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Abstract:

Carbon nanotubes (CNT) have remarkable mechanical properties with very high elastic modulus and electrical conductivity. Shape memory polymer (SMP) as one of smart materials is characterized with its remarkable recoverability and shape memory effect, but its mechanical properties such as strength and elastic modulus is not high enough. In this study, CNT/SMP nanocomposites were developed with the typical CNTs of the vapor growth carbon fibers (VGCFs). A fine and homogeneous dispersion of VGCF throughout the SMP matrix is obtained. The specimens with different VGCF weight fraction, such as SMP bulk, 1.7wt%, 3.3wt% and 5.0wt%, were prepared, and their dynamic mechanical properties and shape recovery behavior were investigated. It was found that storage elastic modulus is improved obviously with increment of VGCF weight fraction, and the CNT/SMP nanocomposites showed a good shape memory effect. It is indicated that the recovery stress of CNT/SMP nanocomposites with only 3.3% weight fraction of carbon nanotubes will reach almost twice of that in SMP bulk.
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1. Introduction

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are seamlessly rolled sheets of hexagonal array of carbon atoms with diameter ranging from a few Angstroms to several tens of nanometers. Carbon nanotubes are receiving steadily increasing attention because of their unique structural and electrical characteristics. The tensile modulus and strength of CNTs reach 270 GPa to 1 TPa and 11-200 GPa, respectively [1-4]. The unusual electrical and mechanical properties of carbon nanotubes have motivated a flurry of interests to exploit their applications in advanced composite materials, particularly polymer based composites, to improve the performance of a matrix or to achieve new properties [5]. Polymer composites with CNTs were expected to improve the mechanical properties of the matrix polymer. Recently, because a large quantity composition technology was established, the cost of CNT decreased and then the applications to various fields became more and active. Many researches on mechanical, thermal and electric characterizations of CNT reinforced polymers are reported, such as CNT/polystyrene [6-8], CNT/PVA [9], CNT/PVDF [10], CNT/PP [11-17], CNT/nylon [18], and CNT/epoxy [19-21] etc.

One the other hand, shape memory polymers (SMPs) have the characteristics such as large recoverability, lightweight, superior molding property and lower cost. These advantages have resulted in that the SMPs become one of functional materials with much attention from many fields [22-24]. Figure 1 shows the schematic representation of four steps of the shape memory effect in SMPs. This was based on thermo-elastic phase transformation and its reversal at the temperatures above and below T_g. When the SMPs were heated at fluxing temperature over T_g and formed into a specified shape by compression, extrusion or injection moldings they memorized the formed shape (step 1). In this situation, it is considered that there exist two phases, stationary phase and reversible phase, which correspond to the crystal portion with bridging construction and the amorphous portion, respectively. The amorphous portion shows the rubber elasticity by heating above T_g, and is easy to be deformed into an arbitrary shape under an applied force (step 2). Then, the deformed shape is fixed by cooling below T_g (step 3). This was
called shape fixity in shape memory behavior. To change the deformed shape, SMPs could recover the memorized shape by heating over glass transition temperature under a free load condition (step 4), and then go through the process again as above [25-29].

For shape memory polymer (SMP) of polyurethane series, its glass transfer temperature ($T_g$) may be set up around room temperature, and its characterizations such as shape recovery and/or shape fixation may appear to be quite different at the temperature above and below $T_g$[30-35]. Thus the polyurethane SMP will have wide applications in the field of industry as an actuation material. However, their lower mechanical properties such as strength and stiffness result in the current limited application. The low stiffness of SMP resins only produces a relatively small recovery force in a temperature change process. Thus, a few researches have studied adding reinforcement to SMP for improvement of mechanical property. C. Liang et al. [36] report that glass fiber and the Kevlar fiber reinforced SMP increased stiffness and Young's modulus, and decreased a recoverable strain. Ken Gall et al. [37] presented that SiC reinforced SMP nanocomposites increased elastic modulus by approximately a factor of 3 with the addition of 40wt% SiC, and permanent bend stain were discovered. The present authors group [38-41] demonstrated that the relationship between fiber weight fraction and recoverability for chopped strand glass fiber reinforced SMP composites by injection molding. It is found that the composite stiffness and recoverable strain levels depend strongly on the fiber weight fraction. The material with 50wt% fraction of glass fiber will increase failure stress 140% and decrease recovery rate 62% compared with the bulk one.

The study of SMP nanocomposites with carbon nanotubes (CNTs) has not been reported. Using carbon nanotubes may obtain higher performance than usual reinforcement due to the large surface area of carbon nanotubes. In this paper, CNT/SMP nanocomposites were innovated with different CNT weight fractions. The fundamental mechanical properties and shape recovery behavior of CNT/SMP nanocomposite were examined. The tensile properties were evaluated at the temperature above and below $T_g$. The thermo-mechanical cycle tests were carried out for
evaluating the influence of CNT weight fraction on shape memory effects. And the recovery stress test was also conducted in order to investigate the influence of CNT weight fraction on recovery stress.

2. Experimental

2.1 Material

Vapor grown carbon fibers (VGCFs) (Showa Denko K.K.), one of typical carbon nanotubes, is used. The diameter of VGCF is about 150nm and length is 10~20µm. The polyesterpolyol series of polyurethane SMP (Diary, MS4510) was used and its glass transition temperature $T_g$ was about 45°C. The raw material was liquid. The weight ratio of polymer to solvent is set to be 3:7.

2.2 Fabrication of specimens

VGCFs were put into the solvent little by little and dispersed for 3h by ultrasonic vibration at 45°C. The diluted SMP solution is gradually poured into the mixed-solution of VGCF and solvent, and then whole mixture was agitated for 3h. The mixture was cast into the container and dried at 70°C, and then CNT/SMP nanocomposites were prepared. In order to vaporize water completely, the CNT/SMP nanocomposites were dried at 110°C. The weight fraction of VGCF was 1.7wt%, 3.3wt% and 5.0wt%, respectively. The pure SMP films were also prepared in a similar manner. CNT/SMP nanocomposites were examined in a scanning electron microscope (SEM) in order to observe the distribution of VGCFs in nanocomposites. The SEM image of 5.0wt% VGCFs was shown in Fig.2. It could be found that the VGCFs exhibited relatively good dispersion in SMP and were randomly distributed. VGCFs were observed to be 100~200 nanometers in diameter and several microns in length. However, when the VGCF weight fraction exceeds 6.7wt%, the material cannot be fabricated for testing due to too much VGCF’s, resulting in frangible specimen. The weight fraction together with the size of carbon nanotube will be very sensitive parameters to their distribution in SMP nonocomposites due to the large surface of CNTs.

The dumbbell specimen was fabricated in accordance with JIS K6251 by a standard dumbbell
cutter (Type SDK-300). Figure 3 shows the geometric shape of the specimen.

2.3 Experimental procedure

The experimental equipment used was an Instoron Universal Testing Instrument (Type 4466) with a temperature-controlled chamber. The heating and/or cooling the specimen is controlled by an air-conditioner. The temperature was measured by the thermocouple that was installed near the specimen.

2.3.1 Static tensile test

The static tensile test was conducted at a constant tensile speed with different temperature conditions. The tensile speed was 10 mm/min and the temperatures of chamber were set at 25°C (<T_g), 45°C and 65°C (>T_g), respectively.

The strain was calculated by the ratio of the elongation obtained by the crosshead displacement to the gage length (20mm) with a maximum of 300%.

2.3.2 Thermo-mechanical cycle test

The shape recovery of SMP bulk occurs when the polymer subjected to thermo-mechanical cycle [32]. In order to investigate the shape recovery property of the developed nanocomposite, the thermo-mechanical cycle test was conducted. Figure 4 shows the schema of the thermo-mechanical cycle test. The specimen was pulled up to maximum strain ε_m at a constant tensile speed at the high temperature T_h above T_g (at the rubbery state) (Process 1). Maintaining the strain at ε_m, the specimen was cooled to the low temperature T_l below T_g (at the glassy state) and kept for 20 minutes (Process 2). The specimen was unloaded at the temperature T_l (Process 3), where small unloading strain ε_u occurred. Then the specimen was heated from T_l to T_h under no-load and kept for 5 minutes (Process 4), where the strain of the specimen was recovered. This is one completed thermo-mechanical cycle. When this one cycle is finished a residual strain, ε_u, is remained. The test was repeated to 5 cycles.

The thermo-mechanical cycle test conditions were set as T_h=65°C, T_l=25°C, the maximum strain ε_m=100%. And loading and unloading speed was 10 mm/min, and the heating or cooling
speed was 8°C/min.

2.3.2 Recovery stress test

The schema of stress-strain-temperature curves in a recovery stress test is shown in Fig.5. The loading or unloading conditions in the recovery stress test was almost the same as in the thermo-mechanical cycle test. However, in the process 4, the specimen was kept for 10 minutes at low temperature $T_l$ under no-load and then the test distance of the specimen was adjusted to have a constant strain. After that the specimen was heated from $T_l$ to $T_h$ and kept for 5 minutes at $T_h$ under a constraint strain condition and the recovery stress was measured (process 5). In process 6, it was unloaded at $T_h$.

3. Results and discussions

3.1 Static tensile property

Typical stress-strain curve for three kinds of nanocomposite with different VGCFs weight fraction and SMP bulk were shown in Fig.6 at three testing temperature 25°C, 45°C, and 65°C. The young’s modulus, yield stress and the tensile stress at each temperature became large when the VGCF weight fraction increased. Thus it is clear that mechanical properties of shape memory polymer (SMP) are reinforced by VGCF carbon nanotubes. The developed nanocomposites were not broken within the strain range of 300% (the maximum limit of the instrument due to the chamber). This shows they have excellent ductility similar to SMP bulk.

When the testing temperature was 25°C ($<T_g$), the yield point was observed clearly in all specimens. The yield region became long when VGCFs weight fraction increased. After the yield point, the inclination of the stress-strain curve increased with the increase of strain. That is to say, a strain-hardening phenomenon occurred.

When the testing temperature was 45°C and 65°C ($>T_g$), the yield phenomenon also appeared slowly even if at the lower stress level. When the strain exceeded 30%, the stress increased gradually with the increment of the strain, and the increment rate in this region became large.
when the temperature became lower. The stress yielding and strain hardening are generated by turns for all specimens in the plastic deformation region.

The relationships between the VGCFs weight fraction and Young's modulus at each testing temperature is shown in Fig.7. The relationships between the VGCF weight fraction and yield stress is shown in Fig.8. For the 5.0wt% VGCF nanocomposite at each temperature, compared with SMP bulk, the high young’s modulus were observed with the increment of 125%, 216% and 186% at 25°C, 45°C, and 65°C, while the yield stress had the increment of 87%, 132% and 138%, respectively. Both Young’s modulus and tensile strength at temperature below $T_g$ were much larger than that above $T_g$ where the deformation resistance was small. The reason of these deformation characteristics appeared is considered as follows. The shape memory polymer used in this study is a kind of segmental polyurethane which is composed of the flexible soft segment and the rigid hard segment with a specified ratio. The micro-Brownian motion of the soft segment was frozen at low temperature. For this reason, at the temperature below $T_g$, deformation resistance is large. However, when temperature rises, especially above $T_g$, since soft segment exercises easily, and then deformation will become easy. This will result in the big difference for the mechanical properties below and above $T_g$ [33].

3.2 Shape fixity and shape recovery property

The stress-strain curves obtained in the thermo-mechanical cycle test of the maximum strain $\varepsilon_m = 100\%$ for CNT/SMP nanocomposites and SMP bulk were shown in Fig.9. Observing the same cycle number, when the VGCF weight fraction in CNT/SMP nanocomposites became high, the stress at the maximum strain became large, and the residual strain increased. The stress corresponding to any strain value during cycle loading also increased with the VGCF weight fraction, but the tendency of stress-strain curve on cycle number in CNT/SMP nanocomposites with 1.7, 3.3 and 5.0wt% of VGCFs weight fraction, respectively, is similar to that in SMP bulk. When cycle number increased the variation of stress-strain loop was large at an early stage, and it became small pronouncedly after cycle number $N=2$. The shape of stress-strain loop was
almost the same after two cycles (N>2) although it moves to the higher strain side. The residual strain $\varepsilon_p(N)$ increased slowly accompanying with the reduction of recovery strain. This means that the addition of carbon nanotubes up to 5.0wt % weight fraction will not only make rise of the mechanical property but also almost keep the shape fixture property similar to the SMP bulk.

When the developed nanocomposites were cooled to the low temperature $T_1$ and kept for 20 minutes under the maximum strain $\varepsilon_m$ (Process 2) stress in CNT/SMP nanocomposites decreased firstly due to stress relaxation and then increased due to heat contraction at $T_1$ during one cycle. The relationship between stress and time was shown in Fig.10 for the first typical cycle. The curves shifted to the high stress level with the increase of VGCF weight fractions. The stress relaxation was large but thermal contraction stress was small in the cooling process of low temperature $T_1$. Relaxation time became short with the increment VGCF weight fraction. However, the thermal stress became large due to heat contraction and relatively large Young's modulus at $T_1$, so that the stress in Fig.10 increased obviously accompanying with the thermal contraction. The stress increment became blunt and tended to constant after about 25 minutes.

The shape recovery property of CNT/SMP nanocomposites was examined from the result of thermo-mechanical cycle tests as above. The shape memory effect relative to both strain fixity and strain recovery were evaluated by using both strain fixity ratio and strain recovery ratio at cycle number $N$ as defined by Eq.(1). The strain recovery ratio considering the residual strain per each cycle was used.

$$R_f(N) = \frac{\varepsilon_u(N) - \varepsilon_p(N-1)}{\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_p(N-1)}$$

$$R_s(N) = \frac{\varepsilon_u(N) - \varepsilon_p(N)}{\varepsilon_u(N) - \varepsilon_p(N-1)}$$

Where $R_f(N)$ and $R_s(N)$ is the strain fixity ratio and the strain recovery ratio in the cycle number $N$, $\varepsilon_u(N)$ is the unloading strain in the process 3 at $T_1$ in the cycle number $N$, $\varepsilon_p(N)$ and $\varepsilon_p(N-1)$ were residual strain in the cycle number $N$ and $N-1$.
From Fig.9, the unloading strains almost take the fixed value near the maximum strain. The strain fixity ratio $R_f(N)$ for each specimen was about 95%. It is clear that the developed nanocomposites have good shape fixed property.

The relationship between the strain recovery ratio and the cycle number was shown in Fig.11.

In the first cycle, the strain recovery ratio $R_1$ in CNT/SMP nanocomposites was less than 80% and decreased with the VGCF weight fraction due to the addition of carbon nanotubes. This is due to inclusion interaction of VGCFs in shape memory polymer and it results in the reduction of shape memory effect. However, after the second cycle the CNT/SMP nanocomposites had the strain recovery ratio increasing from less than 80% to more than 90% and tended to be constant 95% after more cycle numbers. This phenomenon may be called as a training effect. The training effect for the SMP bulk had been reported by Tobushi et al. [32] and confirmed by Ohki et al. [40]. Hence, for the developed nanocomposites with reinforcement carbon nanotubes, it was found that stable strain recovery ability after several cycles of training could be obtained.

3.3 Recovery stress property

The stress-strain curves obtained in the recovery stress test for CNT/SMP nanocomposites and SMP bulk were shown in Fig.12. The load curve and unload curve in the developed nanocomposites were similar to those in thermo-mechanical cycle tests. The specimens were kept for 10 minutes under stress free at low temperature $T_1$ after unloading. It was observed in situ that the strain was recovered slowly during the keeping time. This recovered strain decreased with the increment of VGCF weight fraction. The recovered strain for 3.3wt% and 5.0wt% VGCF was almost the same. The developed nanocomposites kept better shape fixed property than SMP at low temperature. In order to measure the recovery stress for the application of actuator, such as temperature sensors etc., the strain was restrained by fix the specimen distance (see the heating recovery process (Process 5) in Fig.5), and then recovery stress was measured.

The relationship between the recovery stress and the VGCF fraction was shown in Fig.13. It is
clear that the recovery stress of CNT/SMP nanocomposites is larger than SMP bulk. Especially, for the nanocomposites of 3.3wt% VGCF weight fraction, the recovery stress increased about 2 times as large as that in SMP bulk. The reason for this result could be considered as that when a specimen was loaded under both constant strain and high temperature and then cooled to low temperature and unloaded, the carbon nanotubes may store elastic strain energy. When reheating the specimen, this stored elastic strain energy will release and CNT/SMP nanocomposites obtain larger recovery stress [37]. However, when carbon nanotubes exceed a specified amount, since the interaction carry out between carbon nanotubes and shape memory polymer and also between carbon nanotubes, the internal stored elastic strain energy may waste so the recovery stress decreases.

4. Conclusions

The nanocomposites composed of carbon nanotubes (CNT) and shape memory polymer (SMP) were innovated, and their mechanical properties and shape recovery behavior were investigated. The results obtained are remarked as follows.

1. Young’s modulus and yield stress increased with the increment of VGCF weight fraction at any temperature. It is confirmed that there existed a strong temperature dependency of young’s modulus in the CNT/SMP nanocomposites.

2. The developed nanocomposites have excellent shape recoverability. They can keep high strain recovery ability more than 90% after several cycles of training. This will lead that the developed materials can be utilized for the cycle use with any shape in daily life.

3. The recovery stress of CNT/SMP nanocomposites is much larger than SMP bulk. For the nanocomposite with 3.3wt% VGCF weight fraction, the recovery stress is about twice as large as that in SMP bulk. This characterization may be expected to the application of temperature sensor materials for CNT/SMP nanocomposites.
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