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Introduction

It is known that by a compact perturbation $G$, the spectre set of a Selfadjoint operator $H$ remains invariant except finite set of point spectres and such finite set can be taken arbitrarily with appropriate choice of $G$ ([5], [18]). The spectre difference $d = d(H, G)$ of $H$ and $H + G$ is defined to be $d_1 - d_2$, where $d_1$ is the number of point spectres of $H + G$ which are not in the spectre set of $H$ and $d_2$ is the number of point spectres of $H$ which are not in the spectre set of $H + G$. The positive spectre difference $d_+(H, G)$ and negative spectre difference $d_-(H, G)$ are defined similarly for the sets of positive spectres and negative spectres of $H$ and $H + G$. If $\zeta$–function $\zeta_H(s)$ and $\eta$–function $\eta_H(s)$ of $H$ etc., are defined and continued analytically at $s = 0$, we have although they have poles at $s = 0$

$$d(H, G) = d_+(H, G) + d_-(H, G) = \lim_{s \to 0} \zeta_D(s) - \zeta_D(s),$$
$$d^+(H, G) - d^-(H, G) = \lim_{s \to 0} \eta_D^+(s) - \eta_D^-(s).$$

Let $M$ be a compact smooth manifold, $E$ a smooth vector bundle over $M$, $D: C^\infty(M, E) \to C^\infty(M, E)$ an elliptic operator, $\theta$ its lower order perturbation such that $D$ and $\theta$ both allow selfadjoint $L^2$–extensions, then $\zeta_D(s)$ and $\eta_D(s)$ etc., are defined and continued analytically at $s = 0$ ([10]). The positive and negative spectre differences are unitary invariants and since a connection of $D$ with respect to $F$, a vector bundle over $M$, is a lower order perturbation of $\{D_0 \otimes 1_F\}$, $D = \{D_0\}$ ([2]), these gives invariants of connection under the bundle automorphisms (cf. [2], [4], [13]).

The purpose of this paper is to give formulas to compute positive and negative spectre differences of $D$ and $D + \theta$ which at least does not need to compute the spectre set of $D + \theta$.

For this purpose, we consider the operator $\Delta + r^k D$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times M$, $n \geq 2$, where $\Delta$
is the Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $r$ is the euclidean norm function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Although degenerate parabolic operator (cf. [12], [15]) was used in the study of $\eta$-invariant ([1], cf. [3]), our operator is degenerate elliptic if $D$ is negative (cf. [14]) and degenerate ultrahyperbolic (cf. [11]) if $D$ is positive. But under suitable boundary condition (4) in §1 and (4)' in §3), we can treat this operator without assumption of positivity or negativity of $D$. If $D$ is negative, our boundary condition can be replaced by $0-$boundary condition, but for positive $D$, our boundary condition can not be replaced $0-$boundary condition in general.

To express spectre difference by using the trace of the fundamental solution of $D+r_kD$, first we consider this operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, r^{-n-1}dr)\otimes V^{p,n}(E)$, where $V^{p,n}$ is the space of harmonic polynomials of homogeneous degree $p$ and $E_1$ is the $\lambda-$proper space of $D$. By virtue of a formula of Lommer ([8]), we get explicit fundamental solution of our operator in this space and its trace is also computed using formulas of Bessel functions ([9]) (§1). Next we construct fundamental solution of $D+r_kD$ on $L^2([0, a], r^{-n-1}dr)\otimes V^{p,n}(M, E)$ and on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, r^{-n-1}dr)\otimes V^{p,n}(M, E)$ and compute its trace. The trace is expressed as a formula containing $\zeta_{D, \pm}(s)$ and $\zeta_{D, \mp}(s)$. Here $\zeta_{D, \pm}(s)$ are defined to use positive (or negative) proper values of $D$. (§§3–4).

To summarize the fundamental solution of $D+r_kD$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, r^{-n-1}dr)\otimes V^{p,n}(M, E)$ in $p$, we use a family of operators $T_{w, \alpha}$ with complex parameters $w$ and $\alpha$, $\Re \alpha > 0$, such that $T_{w, \alpha}$ is analytic in $w$ and $\alpha$ and $\lim_{w \to 0} T_{w, \alpha} = I$, the identity map and set $A_{w, \alpha} = T_{w, \alpha} - 2$. Then for $\Re w > n-2$, we can construct the fundamental solution of $A_{w, \alpha} + r_kD$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times M, \pi^n(E))$ and on $L^2(B_a \times M, \pi^n(E))$. Here $\pi$ is the projection onto $M$ and $B_a = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n||x|| < a\}$. The fundamental solution of $D+r_kD$ is obtained by analytic continuation in $w$. We denote these fundamental solutions by $G_{k}(w, \alpha)$ and $G_{k, a}(w, \alpha)$. Their traces are computed as the formulas containing $\zeta_{D, \pm}(s)$, $\zeta_{k}(w, \alpha)$ and $\zeta_{k, a}(w, \alpha)$. $\lim_{w \to 0} G_{k, a}(w, \alpha)$ is also computed if $\zeta_{D, \pm}(0)$ exist as a formula containing $\zeta_{a}(w, \alpha)$ and $\zeta_{k, a}(w, \alpha)$. Here $\zeta_{a}(w, \alpha)$, $\zeta_{k, a}(w, \alpha)$ are defined in §4 and their analytic continuations and the values or residues at $w = 0$ are computed in §5.

Using these results, under the assumptions that $\zeta_{D, \pm}(0)$ exist, we have

$$\lim_{a \to 0} \lim_{w \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} w^r G_{k, a}(w, \alpha) = -\frac{1}{n} \zeta_{D, \pm}(0), \quad n = 2, 4,$$

$$\lim_{a \to 0} \lim_{w \to 0} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sin(n\pi/2) \frac{(4-n)(2-n)}{(n-2)!} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}-2\right)\right)^2 \zeta_{D, \pm}(0), \quad n \text{ is odd},$$
We also denote the fundamental solutions of $A_{w,\sigma} + r^k D$ and $A_{w,\sigma} - r^k D = A_{w,\sigma} + r^k (-D)$ by $G^+_{k,(w,\sigma)}$ and $G^-_{k,(w,\sigma)}$. Then we get

\[
\lim_{\sigma \to 0} \lim_{k \to \infty} w k^2 \{ \text{tr} \ G^+_{k,(w,\sigma)} - \text{tr} \ G^-_{k,(w,\sigma)} \} = \frac{\pi^2}{2n} \eta_D(0), \quad n=2, 4,
\]

\[
\lim_{\sigma \to 0} \lim_{k \to \infty} w k^2 \{ \text{tr} \ G^+_{k,(w,\sigma)} - \text{tr} \ G^-_{k,(w,\sigma)} \} = -\frac{\sin \left( \frac{\pi n}{2} \right)}{16} \frac{(4-n)(2-n)}{(n-2)!} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor ^2 \pi \eta_D(0), \quad n \text{ is odd},
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} k^2 \frac{\partial^{n-2}}{\partial \sigma^{n-2}} \{ \text{tr} \ G^+_{k,(w,\sigma)} - \text{tr} \ G^-_{k,(w,\sigma)} \} = \frac{\pi^2}{2(n-2)} \eta_D(0), \quad n \text{ is even}, \quad n \geq 6.
\]

By these formulas, we can express the spectre differences of $D$ and $D + \theta$ as follows

\[
\lim_{\sigma \to 0} \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{w \to \infty} w \{ \text{tr} \ G^+_{k,\sigma,(w,\sigma)} r^k \theta G^+_{k,\sigma,(w,\sigma)} (I + r^k \theta G^+_{k,\sigma,(w,\sigma)})^{-1} \}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{n} (d_+ + d_-), \quad n=2, 4,
\]

\[
\lim_{\sigma \to 0} \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{w \to \infty} \lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{\partial^{n-2}}{\partial h^{n-2}} \{ \text{tr} \ G^+_{k,\sigma,(w,\sigma)} r^k \theta G^+_{k,\sigma,(w,\sigma)} (I + r^k \theta G^+_{k,\sigma,(w,\sigma)})^{-1} \}
\]

\[
= \frac{n \sin \left( \frac{\pi n}{2} \right)}{8 \pi} \frac{(4-n)(2-n)}{(n-2)!} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor ^2 (d_+ + d_-), \quad n \text{ is odd},
\]

\[
\lim_{\sigma \to 0} \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{\partial^{n-2}}{\partial h^{n-2}} \{ \text{tr} \ G^+_{k,\sigma,(w,\sigma)} r^k \theta G^+_{k,\sigma,(w,\sigma)} (I + r^k \theta G^+_{k,\sigma,(w,\sigma)})^{-1} \}
\]

\[
+ \frac{\sigma^2}{2(n-2)} (\dim \ker(D + \theta) - \dim \ker D) \]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2(n-2)} (d_+ + d_-), \quad n \text{ is even}, \quad n \geq 6,
\]

\[
\lim_{\sigma \to 0} \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{h \to \infty} \lim_{w \to \infty} \{ \text{tr} \ G^+_{k,\sigma,(w,\sigma)} r^k \theta G^+_{k,\sigma,(w,\sigma)} (I + r^k \theta G^+_{k,\sigma,(w,\sigma)})^{-1} \}
\]

\[
+ \{ \text{tr} \ G^-_{k,\sigma,(w,\sigma)} r^k \theta G^-_{k,\sigma,(w,\sigma)} (I - r^k \theta G^-_{k,\sigma,(w,\sigma)})^{-1} \}
\]

\[
= \frac{\pi^2}{2n} (d_+ - d_-), \quad n=2, 4,
\]
\[
\lim_{k \to -\infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} wk^2 \left[ \text{tr} \left[ G^{k}(x, 0, a) p^{k \theta} G^{k}(x, 0, a) \right] \left( I + r^{k \theta} G^{k}(x, 0, a) \right)^{-1} \right] \\
+ \text{tr} \left[ G^{-k}(x, 0, a) p^{k \theta} G^{-k}(x, 0, a) \left( I - r^{k \theta} G^{-k}(x, 0, a) \right)^{-1} \right] \\
= \frac{\sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2} (n - n) \right) (2 - n) \left( \frac{n}{2} - 2 \right) !}{16} \pi (d_+ - d_-), n \text{ is odd,} \\
+ \text{tr} \left[ G^{k}(x, 0, a) p^{k \theta} G^{k}(x, 0, a) \left( I + r^{k \theta} G^{k}(x, 0, a) \right)^{-1} \right] \\
+ \text{tr} \left[ G^{-k}(x, 0, a) p^{k \theta} G^{-k}(x, 0, a) \left( I - r^{k \theta} G^{-k}(x, 0, a) \right)^{-1} \right] \\
= \frac{1}{2(n - 2)} (d_+ - d_-), n \text{ is even,} n \geq 6.
\]

The applications of these results will be given in forthcoming papers.

§1. Trace of the fundamental solution of \( L_{n,p} + r^k \)

1. We set \( \beta(\delta, n, a) = \sqrt{(1 - n/2)^2 - a}, \) \( \beta(c) = \beta(n, a + c) \) and \( \mu(\delta) = 2\beta(n, a)(k + 2), \) \( \mu(a, c) = \mu(a + c), k \neq -2. \) Then by a formula of Lommel ([8], [9], [17]), we have

Lemma 1. The fundamental system of solutions of the equation

\[
d^2f \over dr^2 + \frac{n - 1}{r} \frac{df}{dr} + \left( \frac{a}{r^2} + cr^k \right) f = 0,
\]

is given by \( f(r) = \begin{cases} r^{1-n/2} f_{\mu, a}(2\sqrt{c}(k+2)) \Gamma(k+1/2), & k \neq -2, \\
\{r^{1-n/2} \pm \beta(c) \} & \text{if} \ k = -2, \ a+c \neq (1-n/2)^2 \text{and} \ \{r^{1-n/2}, r^{1-n/2} \log r \} & \text{if} \ k = -2, \ a+c = (1-n/2)^2. \end{cases} \)

Definition. For \( n \geq 2, \ p \geq 0 \) (both integers), we set

\[
L_{n,p} = \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{n - 1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} + \frac{1}{r^2} \mu(2-n-p),
\]

\[
\alpha = \alpha(\lambda) = \sqrt{(p + n/2 - 1)^2 - \lambda}, \ \nu(\lambda) = \nu(p, k) = \frac{2p + n - 2}{k + 2}, k \neq -2.
\]

By lemma 1, if \( \lambda \) is a real number, a fundamental system of solutions of \( (L_{n,p} + 2\lambda^k) y = 0 \) is given by the following \( \{y_+, z, y_-, z\} \).

\[
y_+(z(r)) = r^{1-n/2} f_{\nu, k}(2\sqrt{\lambda}(k+2)), \ y_-(z(r)) = r^{1-n/2} f_{\nu, k}(2\sqrt{\lambda}(k+2)),
\]

\[
y_+(z(r)) = r^{1-n/2} f_{\nu, k}(2\sqrt{|\lambda|}(k+2)), \ y_-(z(r)) = r^{1-n/2} f_{\nu, k}(2\sqrt{|\lambda|}(k+2)),
\]

\( \lambda > 0, k \neq -2, \)

\( \lambda < 0, k \neq -2, \)

\[
y_+(z(r)) = r^{1-n/2} f_{\nu, k}(2\sqrt{|\lambda|}(k+2)), \ y_-(z(r)) = r^{1-n/2} f_{\nu, k}(2\sqrt{|\lambda|}(k+2)),
\]

\( \lambda > 0, k \neq -2, \)

\( \lambda < 0, k \neq -2, \)
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\[ y_+, x(r) = r^{1 - \frac{n}{2} + \alpha}, \quad y_-, x(r) = r^{1 - \frac{n}{2} - \alpha}, \]

\[ k = -2, \quad \lambda \left( p + \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right)^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda = 0, \quad (n, p) \neq (2, 0), \]

\[ y_+, x(r) = r^{1 - \frac{n}{2}}, \quad y_-, x(r) = r^{1 - \frac{n}{2}} \log r, \]

\[ k = -2, \quad \lambda = \left( p + \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right)^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda = 0, \quad (n, p) = (2, 0). \]

The Wronskians $W(y_+, y_-, x)$ of these $\{y_+, x, y_-, x\}$ are given by

\[ W(y_+, y_-, x) = \frac{k + 2}{\pi} r^{1 - n}, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad k \neq -2, \]

\[ W(y_+, y_-, x) = -\frac{k + 2}{2} r^{1 - n}, \quad \lambda < 0, \quad k \neq -2, \]

\[ W(y_+, y_-, x) = -2a(x) r^{1 - n}, \quad \lambda \neq \left( p + \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right)^2, \quad k = -2, \quad \lambda = 0, \quad (n, p) = (2, 0). \]

We set

\[ G_{x, x}(r, \rho) = G_{x, x}(r, \rho) = W(y_+, y_-, x)(\rho) y_+(\rho)y_-(-x(r)), \quad x \geq r \geq \rho > 0, \]

\[ = W(y_+, y_-, x)(\rho) r^{1 - n} y_+(\rho) y_-(-x(r)), \quad x \geq \rho \geq r > 0, \]

\[ G_{x, x}(\rho) f = \int_0^\rho G_{x, x}(r, \rho) f(r) r \, dr, \quad G_{x, x} f = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} f = \int_0^\rho G_{x, x}(r, \rho) f(r) r \, dr. \]

Then $G_{x, x}$ is the fundamental solution of $L_n + \lambda r^k$ with the selfadjoint boundary condition

\[ u(0) = 0, \quad \frac{u(a)}{u'(a)} = \frac{y_-, x'(a)}{y_-, x'(a)}, \quad y_-, x'(a) \neq 0, \]

\[ u(0) = 0, \quad u'(a) = 0, \quad y_-, x'(a) = 0. \]

In the rest of this §, we assume $k$ to be a real number. Then, by the asymptotic formulas of Bessel functions ([6], [9], [17]), we have for $k > -2$

\[ y_+, x(r) = r^{1 - \frac{n}{2}} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{k + 2}{\lambda}} \frac{k + 2}{4} \cos \left( \frac{k + 2}{r} \frac{2}{\lambda} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right\} (1 + O\left( \frac{3}{4} r^{-\frac{3}{4}(k+2)} \right)) \]

\[ + O\left( \frac{3}{4} r^{-\frac{3}{4}(k+2)} \right), \]
\[
 y_{-\lambda}(r) = r^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{k+2}{\lambda}} - \frac{\lambda + \frac{1}{2}}{r^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sin \left( \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda} \frac{k+2}{k+2} - \nu(p)(\lambda - \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4}(k+2))}{2} \right) \right\} \]

\[
 + O \left( \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4}(k+2) \right),
\]

\[\lambda > 0, \; r \to \infty \text{ or } \lambda \to \infty.\]

\[
 y_{+\lambda}(r) = r^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{k+2}{\lambda}} - \frac{\lambda + \frac{1}{2}}{r^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left( \frac{\lambda - \frac{3}{4} \frac{3}{4}(k+2)}{2} \right) \right\},
\]

\[
 \lambda > 0, \; r \to \infty \text{ or } \lambda \to \infty.
\]

Hence to set \( G_{\lambda}(r, \rho) = \rho^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} r^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} H_{\lambda}(r, \rho), \) we have \( H_{\lambda}(r, \rho) \mid_{\rho \geq r} = H_{\lambda}(\rho, r) \mid_{r \geq \rho} \) and for \( k > -2 \)

(5) \[ H(\rho, r) = O \left( \left( \frac{\rho}{r} \right)^{\frac{n}{2} + p} \right), \; \rho \geq r, \; r \to 0, \]

(5) \[ H_{\rho, k}(r, \rho) \sim \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)^{\frac{k+2}{2}} \left[ \sin \left( \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda} - \nu(p) \pi}{2} \right) \frac{k+2}{k+2} \right],
\]

\[
 -\cos \left( \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda} - \nu(p) \pi}{2} \right) \frac{k+2}{k+2} \right), \]

\[
 \rho \geq r, \; r \to \infty, \; \lambda > 0 \text{ or } \lambda \to \infty,
\]

(5) \[ H_{\rho, k}(r, \rho) \sim \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)^{\frac{k+2}{2}} \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{4\sqrt{\lambda} - \nu(p) \pi}{2} \right) \frac{k+2}{k+2} \right],
\]

\[
 + \exp \left( -\frac{4\sqrt{\lambda} - \nu(p) \pi}{2} \right) \frac{k+2}{k+2} \right), \]

\[r \to \infty, \; \lambda > 0 \text{ or } \lambda \to \infty.
\]

By (5), we obtain

Lemma 2. (i) \( G_{\lambda, k, a} \) is defined on \( L^2([0, a], r^{n-1} dr) \) and maps it into \( L^2([0, a], \)

\( r^{n-1} dr \) unless \( k = -2, \lambda = (p + n/2 - 1)^2 \).

(ii). If \( \lambda > 0 \), \( G_{\lambda, k} \) is defined on \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, r^{n-1} dr) \) and maps it into \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, r^{n-1} dr) \) if \( k > 2 \).

(iii). If \( \lambda < 0 \), \( G_{\lambda, k} \) is defined on \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, r^{n-1} dr) \) if \( k > -2 \) and maps it into \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, r^{n-1} dr) \) if \( k > 2 \).

(iv). \( \text{tr } G_{\lambda, k} \) exists if \( k > 2 \).

2. **Lemma 3.**

(i). As a function of \( \rho \), \( H_{\lambda, k}(r, \rho) \) belongs in \( L^2([0, a], d\rho) \) for all \( r \), \( 0 < r \leq a \), and at \( r \to 0 \), we have

\[
\| r^{\frac{1}{2}} H_{\lambda, k}(r, \rho) \|_{L^2([0, a], d\rho)} = O(r), \quad k \neq -2, \quad n + 2\rho \neq 4,
\]

or \( k = -2, \lambda \leq (p + n/2 - 1)^2 - 1 \),

\[
\| r^{\frac{1}{2}} H_{\lambda, -2}(r, \rho) \|_{L^2([0, a], d\rho)} = O(r^{\frac{1}{2}} \log r), \quad k \neq -2, \quad n + 2\rho = 4,
\]

\[
\| r^{\frac{1}{2}} H_{\lambda, -2}(r, \rho) \|_{L^2([0, a], d\rho)} = O(r^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad (p + n/2 - 1)^2 - 1 < \lambda < (p + n/2 - 1)^2
\]

(ii). If \( G_{\lambda, k, a} \) is defined on \( L^2([0, a], r^{n-1} dr) \), then

\[
| G_{\lambda, k, a} | = \left( \int_0^a r^{\frac{1}{2}} H_{\lambda, k}(r, \rho) \| H_{\lambda, k}(r, \rho) \|_{L^2([0, a], d\rho)} d\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

**Proof.** Since we have

\[
r^{-2\alpha} \int_0^r r^{1+2\alpha} d\rho + r^{2\alpha} \int_0^a r^{1-2\alpha} d\rho = \frac{a^{2-2\alpha}}{2-2\alpha} - \frac{4\alpha r^{2}}{4 - 4\alpha},
\]

we get (i) by (5)_6. By (i), for \( f \in L^2([0, a], r^{n-1} dr) \), \( \| f \| = 1 \), we get by Schwarz inequality

\[
| G_{\lambda, f} | = \int_0^a r^{\frac{1}{2}} H_{\lambda}(r, \rho) f(\rho) d\rho \leq \int_0^a r^{\frac{1}{2}} H_{\lambda}(r, \rho) | f(\rho) |^2 r^{n-1} d\rho dr
\]

\[
\leq \int_0^a r^{\frac{1}{2}} H_{\lambda}(r, \rho) | f(\rho) |^2 r^{n-1} d\rho dr
\]

Hence we have (ii).

**Proposition 1.** At \( |\lambda| \to \infty \), we have

\[
| G_{\lambda, k, a} | = O(|\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \quad -2 \leq k < 2,
\]
\[ | | G_{k,a} | | = O( | | \lambda | | ^{\frac{1}{2} \log | | \lambda | | } ) , \]
\[ | | G_{k,a} | | = O( | | \lambda | | ^{-\frac{2}{k+2}} ) , \]
\[ | | G_{k,a} | | = O( | | \lambda | | ^{-\frac{2}{k+2}} ) , \]

**Proof.** To set \( \alpha = \sqrt{(p \pm n/2 - 1)^2} - \lambda \), we have by (7)
\[
\left( \int_0^a r \left| \frac{1}{2} H_{k_{-2}}(r, \rho) | | L^2([0, a], \rho^2) \right| ^2 dr \right) ^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{a}{4|\alpha|},
\]

because \( \sqrt{1/(4a^2)} a^4 (1 - \alpha^2)/(4 - 4a^2) = a^2/4|\alpha| \). Then, since \( |\alpha| = O(|\lambda|^{1/2}) \), we get (8) for \( k = -2 \).

If \( k > -2 \), set \( \sigma = \frac{2\sqrt{|\lambda|}}{(k+2)} \rho (k+2)^{k+2} \), we have for \( 0 \leq \rho \leq a \) (or \( 0 \leq \rho \)),
\[
\rho = \left( \frac{k+2}{2\sqrt{|\lambda|}} \right) ^{\frac{k+2}{k+2}} \sigma ^{\frac{k+2}{k+2}} , \quad 0 \leq \sigma \leq \frac{2\sqrt{|\lambda|}}{(k+2)} \frac{a}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad 0 \leq \sigma ,
\]
\[
d \rho = \left( \frac{k+2}{2\sqrt{|\lambda|}} \right) ^{\frac{k+2}{k+2}} \frac{2}{k+2} \frac{\sigma ^{-\frac{k+2}{k+2}} d \sigma}.
\]

Hence we get the last formula. Other parts of proposition follows from (5) and lemma 3.

**Note.** Set \( G_{k,a}(r, \rho) = G_{k,a}(r, \rho) - (y_+, \lambda (a)/y_+, \lambda (a)) W(y_+, \lambda , y_-, \lambda (\rho)) \), if \( y_+, \lambda (a) \neq 0 \), and
\[
G_{k,a}(r, \rho) = \int_0^a G_{k,a}(r, \rho) f(\rho) d \rho , \quad y_+, \lambda (a) \neq 0 ,
\]
\[
G_{k,a}(r, \rho) = \int_0^a G_k(r, \rho) f(\rho) d \rho , \quad f \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, a, r^{n-1} dr) , \quad y_+, \lambda (a) = 0 ,
\]
\( G_{k,a} \) is the fundamental solution of \( L_{n,p} + \lambda r^k \) with the boundary condition
\[
(4) \quad u(0) = u(a) = 0 .
\]

Since \( y_+, \lambda (a) \neq 0 \) for \( k > -2 \) if \( \lambda < 0 \) by a theorem of Hurwitz ([17]) and
\[
\frac{y_-, \lambda (a)}{y_+, \lambda (a)} \sim \exp \left( -4\sqrt{|\lambda|} \frac{k+2}{a} - \frac{\rho (p)}{2} \frac{\pi}{4} \left( 1 + O( |\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2} a - \frac{k+2}{2}} ) \right) \right) , \quad \lambda \rightarrow -\infty ,
\]
\( G_{k,a} \) and \( G_{k,a} \) are defined on \( \mathcal{L}^2([0, a]) \), \( r^{n-1} dr \) and on \( \mathcal{L}^2(0, a) \), \( r^{n-1} dr \) and they satisfy same estimates as (8) for \( \lambda \rightarrow -\infty \). But if \( \lambda > 0 \), \( G_{k,a} \) may not be
defined on $L^2([0, a], r^{n-1}dr)$ and since

$$
\frac{y_-, l(a)}{y_+, l(a)} \sim \tan \left( \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{k+2} a - \frac{\nu(p)}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{k+2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} a \right) \right) \\
+ O\left( \frac{k+2}{\sqrt{\lambda}} a \right), \quad \lambda \to \infty,
$$

we have no such estimate for $\lambda \to \infty$.

3. Lemma 4. (i). If $a \leq \infty$, we have

\begin{equation}
(10) \quad \text{tr } G_{\lambda, -2, a} = -\frac{a^2}{4} \left( (p + \frac{n}{2} - 1)^2 - \lambda \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \lambda \neq (p + \frac{n}{2} - 1)^2,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\text{tr } G_{\lambda, n/2-1, -2, a} = \frac{a^2}{2} \left( \log a - \frac{1}{2} \right).
\end{equation}

(ii). If $k > 2$, we have

\begin{equation}
(11) \quad \text{tr } G_{\lambda, k} = -\left( \frac{1}{k+2} \right)^{k+2} B\left( \frac{k-2}{k+2}, \frac{2}{k+2} \right) \frac{\Gamma\left( \nu(p) + \frac{2}{k+2} \right)}{\Gamma\left( \nu(p) + \frac{k}{k+2} \right)} |\lambda|^{-\frac{2}{k+2}}, \quad \lambda < 0,
\end{equation}

$$
\text{tr } G_{\lambda, k} = \sin \frac{(k-2)\pi}{2(k+2)} \text{tr } G_{\lambda, k}, \quad \lambda > 0.
$$

**Proof.** Since $\text{tr } G_{\lambda, -2, a} = -\frac{1}{2} \{(p + \frac{n}{2} - 1)^2 - \lambda \}^{-1/2} \int_0^a r dr$, $\lambda \neq (p + \frac{n}{2} - 1)^2$,

$$
\text{tr } G_{\lambda, n/2-1, -2, a} = \int_0^a r \log r dr,
$$

we get (i).

To show (ii), we set $s = \left( \frac{2\sqrt{|\lambda|}}{k+2} \right) \frac{r}{r^{k+2/2}}$. Then by (9), we have

\begin{equation}
\int_0^\infty \frac{r f_{\nu(p)}}{k+2} \left( \frac{2\sqrt{|\lambda|}}{k+2} r \right)^{k+2} Y_{\nu(p)} \left( \frac{2\sqrt{|\lambda|}}{k+2} r \right) dr \\
= \lambda^{-\frac{2}{k+2}} \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right)^{k+2} \frac{-\frac{2}{k+2}}{s} \int_0^\infty s^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}} f_{\nu(p)}(s) Y_{\nu(p)}(s) ds, \quad \lambda > 0,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\int_0^\infty \frac{r I_{\nu(p)}}{k+2} \left( \frac{2\sqrt{|\lambda|}}{k+2} r \right)^{k+2} K_{\nu(p)} \left( \frac{2\sqrt{|\lambda|}}{k+2} r \right) dr \\
= |\lambda|^{-\frac{2}{k+2}} \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right)^{k+2} \frac{-\frac{2}{k+2}}{s} \int_0^\infty s^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}} I_{\nu(p)}(s)K_{\nu(p)}(s) ds, \quad \lambda < 0.
\end{equation}

We know that, if $a > 1$, Re $k > 2$,

\begin{equation}
\int_0^\infty s^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}} I_{\nu(p)}(s)K_{\nu(p)}(as) ds
\end{equation}
On the other hand, since $\Re(\nu(\rho)+1)-\Re(\nu(\rho)+2/(k+2)) > 0$, if $\Re\nu > 2$, we get

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 1+0} \int_0^\infty s^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}} I_{\nu(\rho)}(s) K_{\nu(\rho)}(s) ds = \int_0^\infty s^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}} I_{\nu(\rho)}(s) K_{\nu(\rho)}(s) ds,$$

by Lebesgue's theorem. Hence we have

$$\int_0^\infty s^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}} I_{\nu(\rho)}(s) K_{\nu(\rho)}(s) ds = \frac{\Gamma(\nu(\rho)+\frac{2}{k+2})}{\Gamma(\nu(\rho)+1)} F\left(\frac{\nu(\rho)+\frac{2}{k+2}}{\frac{2}{k+2}}, \frac{2}{k+2}, \nu(\rho)+1, \frac{1}{a^2}\right).$$

On the other hand, since $\Re(\nu(\rho)+1)-\Re(\nu(\rho)+2/(k+2)) > 0$, if $\Re\nu > 2$, we get

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 1+0} F\left(\frac{\nu(\rho)+\frac{2}{k+2}}{\frac{2}{k+2}}, \frac{2}{k+2}, \nu(\rho)+1, \frac{1}{a^2}\right) = \frac{\Gamma(\nu(\rho)+1)}{\Gamma\left(\nu(\rho)+\frac{k-2}{k+2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k-2}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{k+2}\right)}.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty s^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}} I_{\nu(\rho)}(s) K_{\nu(\rho)}(s) ds = 2^{-\frac{2k}{k+2}} \Gamma\left(\nu(\rho)+\frac{2}{k+2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{k-2}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{k+2}\right)} = 2 B\left(\frac{k-2}{k+2}, \frac{2}{k+2}\right) \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu(\rho)+\frac{k-2}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\nu(\rho)+\frac{k}{k+2}\right)},$$

if $\Re k > 2$. Then, since $\int_0^\infty s^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}} I_{\nu(\rho)}(s) Y_{\nu(\rho)}(as) ds = -\frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}}}{\sin\left(\frac{k-2}{k+2}\pi/2\right)} \int_0^\infty s^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}} I_{\nu(\rho)}(s) K_{\nu(\rho)}(as) ds, a > 1 (\text{[9]}),$ and since $|Y_{\nu(\rho)}(as)| \leq 1/\sqrt{s}$, $s \geq M$, $a \geq 1$ for large $M$ and $|s^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}-1/2} J_{\nu(\rho)}(s)|$ is integrable.
on \([M, \infty)\), we obtain

\[
(12)' \quad \int_0^\infty s^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} \frac{r}{s^{\frac{k+2}{k+2}}} I_{\nu(p)}(s) Y_{\nu(p)}(s) ds
\]

\[
= \lim_{\delta \to 1+0} \frac{1}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{(k-2)\pi}{2(k+2)}\right) \int_0^\infty s^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} I_{\nu(p)}(s) K_{\nu(p)}(as) ds
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{\pi} s^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} \sin\left(\frac{(k-2)\pi}{2(k+2)}\right) B\left(\frac{k-2}{k+2}, \frac{2}{k+2}\right) \frac{I'(\nu(p)+\frac{2}{k+2})}{I'(\nu(p)+\frac{k}{k+2})}.
\]

By (12) and (12)', we obtain (ii). Because we have

\[
\text{tr} G_{k,k} = -\frac{\pi}{k+2} \int_0^\infty r I_{\nu(p)}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{k+2} \frac{k+2}{r^2}\right) Y_{\nu(p)}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{k+2} \frac{k+2}{r^2}\right) dr
\]

\[
= -\frac{\pi}{k+2} \frac{r}{k+2} \left(\frac{2}{k+2}\right)^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} \int_0^\infty r^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} I_{\nu(p)}(s) Y_{\nu(p)}(s) ds, \lambda > 0,
\]

\[
\text{tr} G_{k,k} = -\frac{\pi}{k+2} \frac{r}{k+2} \left(\frac{2}{k+2}\right)^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} \int_0^\infty r^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} I_{\nu(p)}(s) Y_{\nu(p)}(s) ds, \lambda < 0.
\]

Since we know ([6], 1)

\[
\Gamma\left(\frac{k-2}{k+2}\right) = \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{4}{k+2}\right) = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{k+2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{4\pi}{k+2}\right)},
\]

\[
\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{k+2}\right) = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{k+2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{k+2}\right)},
\]

\[
\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{k+2}\right) = 2^{k+2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{k+2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{k+2} + \frac{1}{2}\right),
\]

we get

\[
(13) \quad B\left(\frac{k-2}{k+2}, \frac{2}{k+2}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{k+2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{k+2}\right)}.
\]

On the other hand, since \(\sin((k-2)\pi/2(k+2)) = \sin(\pi/2 - 2\pi/(k+2)) = \cos(2\pi/(k+2))\), to use \(\nu(p) = (2p+n-2)/(k+2)\), we rewrite (11) as follows
\[
(14) \quad \text{tr } G_{\lambda,k} = -2^{-\frac{4}{k+2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{k+2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{k+2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2b+n}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2b+n+k-2}{k+2}\right)} \lambda^{-\frac{2}{k+2}}, \lambda > 0,
\]

\[
\text{tr } G_{\lambda,k} = \frac{1}{\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{k+2}\right)} \text{tr } G_{1,k,k}, \lambda < 0.
\]

In the rest, we set \((k\text{ may be a complex number)}\)
\[
(15) \quad C_{n,k} = -\frac{2}{(n-2)!} \frac{\pi}{k+2} \left(\frac{1}{k+2}\right)^{\frac{n}{k+2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{k+2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}, \quad C_{n,k,c} = \frac{C_{n,k}}{\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{k+2}\right)}.
\]

\section{2. Analytic continuations in \(k\) and \(\lambda\).}

4. Since \(r > 0\), for complex \(k\), we determine \(r^{(k+2)/2}\) to be
\[
(16) \quad r^{\frac{k+2}{2}} = e^{\frac{k+2}{2} \log r}, \quad \log r \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

Then to set \(\xi = \Re (k+2)/2 = 1 + (1/2) \Re k, \eta = \Im (k+2)/2 = 1/2 \Im k, \theta = \arg (k+2)/2 = \tan^{-1}(\xi/\eta), -\pi < \theta \leq \pi\), we get
\[
\frac{2\sqrt{|\lambda|}}{k+2} r^{\frac{k+2}{2}}, \quad \arg \left(\frac{2\sqrt{|\lambda|}}{k+2} r^{\frac{k+2}{2}}\right) = \log r\eta - \theta.
\]

We set \(r_n = e^{(2\pi n + \phi)/\eta}\). By definition, \((2\sqrt{|\lambda|}/(k+2)) r_n^{(k+2)/2}\) is a positive real number. Hence to set \(s = (2\sqrt{|\lambda|}/(k+2)) r_n^{(k+2)/2}\), \(s_n = (2\sqrt{|\lambda|}/(k+2)) r_n^{(k+2)/2}\), \(s_n^{-(k+2)/(k+2)}\) does not depend on \(n\) because \(s_n^{(k+2)/(k+2)} = (2\sqrt{|\lambda|}/(k+2)) r_n^{(k+2)/2}\).

Since \(G_{\lambda,k,a}\) given in \(n\) is the fundamental solution of \(L_n,b+r^k\) with the boundary condition (4) although \(k\) is a complex number, we have
\[
(\text{tr } G_{\lambda,k,a} = \int_0^\phi r_f(p) \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{k+2} - r\right) Y_f(p) \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{k+2} - r\right) dr, \lambda > 0,
\]
\[
(\text{tr } G_{\lambda,k,a} = -\int_0^\phi r_f(p) \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{k+2} - r\right) K_f(p) \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{k+2} - r\right) dr, \lambda < 0.
\]

Hence to set \(s = (2\sqrt{|\lambda|}/(k+2)) r^{(k+2)/2}\), \(\gamma_{c,d} = ((2\sqrt{|\lambda|}/(k+2)) r^{(k+2)/2}) c \leq r \leq d\), we have
\[
(\text{tr } G_{\lambda,k,a} = \frac{\pi}{k+2} |\lambda|^{-\frac{2}{k+2}} \int_{\gamma_{c,a}} s^{-\frac{2}{k+2}} r_f(p) Y_f(p) s ds, \lambda > 0,
\]
\[
(\text{tr } G_{\lambda,k,a} = -\frac{2}{k+2} |\lambda|^{-\frac{2}{k+2}} \int_{\gamma_{c,a}} s^{-\frac{2}{k+2}} r_f(p) K_f(p) s ds, \lambda < 0.
\]
Lemma 5. To set
\[ I_{n,+} = \int_{s_n}^{s_{n+1}} r^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}} J_{\nu(p)}(r) Y_{\nu(p)}(r) dr, \]
\[ I_{n,-} = \int_{s_n}^{s_{n+1}} r^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}} J_{\nu(p)}(r) K_{\nu(p)}(r) dr, \]
we have
\[ \int_{r_n, r_{n+1}} s^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}} J_{\nu(p)}(s) Y_{\nu(p)}(s) ds = I_{n,+}, \]
\[ \int_{r_n, r_{n+1}} s^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}} J_{\nu(p)}(s) K_{\nu(p)}(s) ds = I_{n,-}. \]

Proof. Since \( J_{\nu(p)}(s) Y_{\nu(p)}(s) \) (respectively \( J_{\nu(p)}(s) K_{\nu(p)}(s) \)) is a 1-valued function and \( s_n^{-\frac{(k-2)}{(k+2)}} \) and \( s_{n+1}^{-\frac{(k-2)}{(k+2)}} \) lie on same branch of \( s^{-\frac{(k-2)}{(k+2)}} \), the starting point and ending point of \( r_n, r_{n+1} \) lie on same branch of \( s^{-\frac{(k-2)}{(k+2)}} \) \( J_{\nu(p)}(s) Y_{\nu(p)}(s) \) (respectively \( s^{-\frac{(k-2)}{(k+2)}} J_{\nu(p)}(s) K_{\nu(p)}(s) \)). Hence we have the lemma.

Lemma 6. If \( \text{Re } k > 2 \) and \( \lambda < 0 \), we have
\[ \text{tr} G_{\lambda, k} = (n-2)! C_{n, k, \lambda} \cdot \frac{I\left(\frac{2p+n}{k+2}\right)}{I\left(\frac{2p+n+k-2}{k+2}\right)} \left| \lambda \right|^{-\frac{2}{k+2}}. \]

Proof. By lemma 5, we have
\[ \int_{r_n, r_{n+1}} s^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}} J_{\nu(p)}(s) K_{\nu(p)}(s) ds = \int_0^{s_n} r^{-\frac{k-2}{k+2}} J_{\nu(p)}(s) K_{\nu(p)}(s) ds. \]
On the other hand, by the asymptotic formulas of \( J_{\nu(p)}(s) \) and \( K_{\nu(p)}(s) \), we get
\[ J_{\nu(p)}(s) K_{\nu(p)}(s) = \frac{1}{2s} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)\right), |s| \to \infty. \]
Hence for \( r_n < p < r_{n+1} \), we get \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{r_n, r_{n+1}} s^{-\frac{(k-2)}{(k+2)}} J_{\nu(p)}(s) K_{\nu(p)}(s) ds = 0 \), because \( \text{Re } k > 2 \). Therefore we obtain the lemma by (12).

Definition. Let \( g(s) \) be a locally integrable function on \( \mathbb{C} \) such that continuous on \( \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R}^- \) is the negative real axis, holomorphic on \( \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{R} \) and \( |g(s)| \leq C e^{-|\text{Im } s|}, \text{Im } s \neq 0 \), for some constant \( C \). Then we set
\[ B_{\lambda, k}(\mathbb{R}^+) = \{ f | f(r) = g \left( \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{k+2} r^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \text{ for some } g, f(r) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, r^{n-1} dr) \}. \]
The closure of \( B_{\lambda, k}(\mathbb{R}^+) \) in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, r^{n-1} dr) \) is denoted by \( \overline{B}_{\lambda, k}(\mathbb{R}^+) \).
Lemma 6. If $\lambda > 0$ and $\Re k > 2$, $G_{\lambda, k}$ is defined on $B_{\lambda, k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and as an operator on $B_{\lambda, k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

\[ \text{tr } G_{\lambda, k} = (n-2)! Cn, k+ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n+k-2}{k+2}\right)} (-\lambda)^{-\frac{2}{k+2}}. \]

Proof. To set $a = \left(2\sqrt{\lambda}/(k+2)\right)(k+2)^{1/2}$ and take $r_n < a$, we have for $f \in B_{\lambda, k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

\[ \int_{r_n}^{a} G_{\lambda, k}(r, \rho) f(\rho) d\rho = \frac{(k+2)}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \left(\frac{2}{k+2}\right)^{\frac{2}{k+2}} \int_{r_n}^{a} G(r, \left(\frac{k+2}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)^{\frac{2}{k+2}}) g(a) da. \]

But since $G(r, ((k+2)/2\sqrt{\lambda})^{\frac{2}{k+2}}) = O(1/\sqrt{\lambda}) e^{1/\sqrt{\lambda}}$, $\sigma \to \infty$, by the asymptotic formulas of $f_\nu(p)(s)$ and $Y_\nu(p)(s)$, we get

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{r_n}^{a} G_{\lambda, k}(r, \rho) f(\rho) d\rho = 0 \]

by the definition of $B_{\lambda, k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $k > 2$. Hence $G_{\lambda, k}$ is defined on $B_{\lambda, k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, since $\text{tr } G_{\lambda, k} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{r_n}^{a} G_{\lambda, k}(r, \rho) d\rho$, we obtain the lemma by lemma 5 and (12).

5. Let $\lambda$ be a complex number with $\Re \lambda \neq 0$. Then we determin $-\pi/4 < \sqrt{\lambda} < \pi/4$, $\Re \lambda > 0$, and set

\[ y_+, \lambda(r) = r^{-\frac{n}{2}} f_\nu(p) \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{k+2} \frac{r^{\frac{k+2}{2}}}{r^{\frac{k+2}{2}}} \right), \]

\[ y_-, \lambda(r) = r^{-\frac{n}{2}} Y_\nu(p) \left(\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{k+2} \frac{r^{\frac{k+2}{2}}}{r^{\frac{k+2}{2}}} \right), \quad \Re \lambda > 0, \]

\[ y_+, \lambda(r) = r^{-\frac{n}{2}} K_\nu(p) \left(\frac{2\sqrt{-\lambda}}{k+2} \frac{r^{\frac{k+2}{2}}}{r^{\frac{k+2}{2}}} \right), \]

\[ y_-, \lambda(r) = r^{-\frac{n}{2}} K_\nu(p) \left(\frac{2\sqrt{-\lambda}}{k+2} \frac{r^{\frac{k+2}{2}}}{r^{\frac{k+2}{2}}} \right), \quad \Re \lambda < 0. \]

To use these $y_+, \lambda$, $y_-, \lambda$, we define $G_{\lambda, k}$ similarly as in n°1.

Lemma 6'. (i) If $\Re \lambda < 0$, $\Re k > 2$, $G_{\lambda, k}$ is defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, r^{n-1} dr)$ and we have

\[ \text{tr } G_{\lambda, k} = (n-2)! Cn, k+ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n+k-2}{k+2}\right)} (-\lambda)^{-\frac{2}{k+2}}. \]

(ii) If $\Re \lambda > 0$, $\Re k > 2$, $G_{\lambda, k}$ is defined on $B_{\lambda, k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and as an operator on $B_{\lambda, k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have
Proof. (i) follows from (17). Since $-\pi/2 < \arg \lambda < \pi/2$ if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$, $B_{\lambda,k}(\mathbb{R}^t)$ is defined if $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$. Hence we obtain (ii).

We note that as the functions of $k$, the right hand sides of (14)'' are continued meromorphically on $C - [-2, -\infty]$ and if $\operatorname{Re} k > -2$, the right hand side of (14)'' is holomorphic and the right hand side of (14)'' has poles of order 1 at $k = -2$.

Definition. (i). If $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} k > -2$, we define $\operatorname{tr} G_{\lambda,k}$ by the right hand side of (14)''.

(ii). If $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} k > -2$, $k = -2(2m-1)/(2m+1)$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, we define $\operatorname{tr} G_{\lambda,k}$ by the right hand side of (14)''.

Lemma 7. In the domain $\{k | \operatorname{Re} k > -2\}$, we have

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} C_{\lambda,k} = -\frac{1}{(n-2)!} \frac{\Gamma'\left(\frac{2p+n}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n+k-2}{k+2}\right)}.
$$

Proof. Since we have $$
(1/(k+2))^{\frac{k}{k+2}} = (1/(k+2))^{\frac{1}{k+2}}, \quad \Gamma(\frac{2p+n}{k+2}) = \Gamma(\frac{2p+n+k-2}{k+2}),
$$
and $$
\Gamma'((2p+n)/(k+2)) = \Gamma'((2p+n+k-2)/(k+2)), \quad \Gamma'((2p+n)/(k+2)) - \Gamma'((2p+n+k-2)/(k+2)) + 1,
$$
we get (18) for $C_{\lambda,k}$ by $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$. Then, since $\lim_{k \to \infty} \cos((\pi/k+2)) = 1$, we get (18) for $C_{\lambda,k}$.

Corollary. In the domain $\{k | \operatorname{Re} k > -2\}$, we have

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \operatorname{tr} G_{\lambda,k} = -\frac{1}{2(2p+n)^2} \operatorname{Re} \lambda 
eq 0.
$$

Note. Since $\lim_{k \to \infty} (k+2)^2(1 - \cos((\pi/k+2))) = -\pi^2/2$, we obtain

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} k^2 \left[ \operatorname{tr} G_{\lambda,k} - \operatorname{tr} G_{-\lambda,k} \right] = \frac{\pi^2}{4(2p+n)^2}, \quad \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0.
$$

§3. The operator $D_{\mu,a} + rD$.

6. Let $M$ be a Riemannian manifold, $E$ an Hermitian vector bundle over $M$, $D$ a (formally selfadjoint) elliptic operator on $C^\omega(M, E)$. We fix a selfadjoint $L^2$-extension of $D$ and assume it allows spectral decomposition without continuous spectre.

Let $n \geq 2$ and $\mu$ be the Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the coordinate $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. Let $r = \sqrt{x_1^2}$ be the norm function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and set $x_1 = r \sin \theta_{n-1} \cdots \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_1, \quad x_2 = \ldots$.
\[ r \sin \theta_{n-1} \cdots \sin \theta_2 \cos \theta_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} = r \sin \theta_{n-1} \cos \theta_{n-2}, \ x_n = r \cos \theta_{n-1}. \] Then \( D \) is written as \( \partial^2 / \partial r^2 + (n-1)/r \partial / \partial r + 1/r^2 \Delta_{n-1, \theta} \), where \( \Delta_{n-1, \theta} \) is the Laplacian on \( S^{n-1} \).

On \( \mathbb{R}^n \times M \), \( D + r^k \mathcal{D} \) acts on \( C^0(\mathbb{R}^n \times M, \pi^*(E)) \), where \( \pi \) is the projection from \( \mathbb{R}^n \times M \) onto \( M \). We denote the \( \lambda \)-proper space of \( D \) in \( L^2(M, E) \) by \( E \) and the space of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree \( p \) restricted on \( S^{n-1} \) by \( \mathcal{H}_{n,p}^\lambda \). Their O.N. -basis are denoted by \( \phi_i, \lambda(y) \) and \( A^{n,p}_{\lambda i}(\theta) \). It is known ([6], II, [16])

\[
(20) \quad \dim \mathcal{H}_{n,p}^\lambda = h(p, n) = \frac{(p+n-2)(n+p-3)!}{p!(n-2)!}, \quad (n, p) \neq (2, 0), \ h(0, 2) = 1.
\]

With suitable completion, we get \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times M, \pi^*(E)) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes L^2(M, E) \), \( L^2(M, E) = \sum_{\lambda} E_{\lambda} \), \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \pi^*(E)) \otimes L^2(S^{n-1}) \) and \( L^2(S^{n-1}) = \sum_{p} \mathcal{H}_{n,p}^\lambda \). Then we have

\[
(21) \quad (D + r^k \mathcal{D})|L^2([0, a], r^{n-1}dr) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{n,p}^\lambda \otimes E_{\lambda} = (L_{n,p} + \lambda r^k) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{n,p}^\lambda \otimes 1_{E_{\lambda}}, 0 < a \leq \infty,
\]

where \( 1_{\mathcal{H}_{n,p}^\lambda} \) and \( 1_{E_{\lambda}} \) are the identity operators on \( \mathcal{H}_{n,p}^\lambda \) and \( E_{\lambda} \). Hence to set

\[
G^b_{\lambda, k, a}(f)(r, \theta, y)
\]

\[
= \int_0^a \int_{S^{n-1} \times M} G_{\lambda, k}(r, \rho) \sum_i A^{n,p}_i(\theta, \rho) A^{n,p}_i(\rho) \phi_i(\rho, \lambda, y) \phi_i(\rho, \lambda, y) f(\rho, \varphi, \eta) \ d\eta \ d\varphi \ d\rho,
\]

\( G^b_{\lambda, k, a} \) is a fundamental solution of \( D + r^k \mathcal{D} \) on \( L^2([0, a], r^{n-1}dr) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{n,p}^\lambda \otimes E_{\lambda} \) with the boundary condition

\[
\begin{align*}
  u(0, \theta, y) &= 0, \\  u(a, \theta, y) &= \frac{y_{-1, \lambda}(a)}{y_{-1, \lambda}'(a)}, \\  y_{-1, \lambda}'(y) &= 0, \\  u(0, \theta, y) &= 0, \\  u'(a, \theta, y) &= 0, \\  y_{-1, \lambda}'(a) &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

We denote \( G^b_{\lambda, k, a} \) by \( G^b_{\lambda, k} \). In this case, the boundary condition is \( u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, r^{n-1}dr) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{n,p}^\lambda \otimes E_{\lambda} \).

In the rest, we denote \( L^2([0, a], r^{n-1}dr) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{n,p}^\lambda \otimes L^2(M, E) \) by \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times M, E)_{b, a} \) and \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, r^{n-1}dr) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{n,p}^\lambda \otimes L^2(M, E) \) by \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times M, E)_{b} \).

**Definition.** In \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, M, E)_{b, a} \) (respectively, in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times M, E)_{b} \)), we set

\[
G^b_{k, a} = \sum_{\lambda} G^b_{\lambda, k, a}, \quad G^b_{k} = \sum_{\lambda} G^b_{\lambda, k}.
\]

By definition, \( G^b_{k, a} \) is densely defined in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times M, E)_{b, a} \) and if \( f \) belongs in \( \mathcal{D}(G^b_{k, a}) \), we have \( (D + r^k \mathcal{D}) \ G^b_{k, a} f = f \) and

\[
(4) \quad G^b_{k, a} f (0, \theta, y) = 0,
\]
Trace of the Fundamental Solution of $A+r^kD$ and Spectre Difference

$\langle (G^{p, k, a}f)(a, 0, y), (G^{p, k, a}f)'(a, 0, y) \rangle = (y, \lambda(a), y, \lambda'(a)) \in C^p, \lambda \in \text{Spec}D.$

Here $(G^{p, k, a}f)_\lambda$ means $\lambda-$component of $G^{p, k, a}f.$

By definition and proposition 1, we obtain for real $k$

**Proposition 2.** (i). $G^{p, k, a}$ is bounded. For large $m$, $(G^{p, k, a})^m$ is of trace class.

(ii). $G^{p, k, a}$ is bounded and $(G^{p, k, a})^m$ is of trace class for large $m$ if $k > -2$.

(iii). $G^{p, k, a}$ is bounded and $(G^{p, k, a})^m$ is of trace class for large $m$ unless

$\ker D \neq \{0\},$ if $k > 2.$

Note 1. By (20), we have $|G^{p, k, a}| = O(h(p, n)) = O(p^{-2}), p \rightarrow \infty.$

Note 2. To use $G^{p, k, a}(0)$ instead of $G^{p, k, a}$, we construct the fundamental solution $G^{p, k, a}(0)$ of $A + r^kD$ with the boundary condition $u(0, 0, y) = u(a, 0, y) = 0$. In this case, by the note of $n^2$, if $D$ has only finite positive proper values, $G^{p, k, a}(0)$ is bounded. But if $D$ has infinite number of positive proper values, $G^{p, k, a}(0)$ may not be bounded. Since $\mathcal{D}(G^{p, k, a}(0)) = \mathcal{D}^{-p, k, a}$, $\mathcal{D}^{-p, k, a} = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times M, E)_{p, a}, u(0, 0, y) = u(a, 0, y) = 0, (A + r^kD)u = 0\}$, and since

$$\mathcal{D}^{-p, k, a} = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times M, E)_{p, a}, u(0, 0, y) = u(a, 0, y) = 0, (A + r^kD)u = 0\},$$

$G^{p, k, a}(0)$ is defined on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times M, E)_{p, a}$ if $D$ has no positive proper value. (22) also shows that to set

$$\mathcal{N}^{-n, p, k, a} = \{a \mid \dim \mathcal{N}^{-p, k, a} = \infty \} \subset \mathbb{R}^+,$$

$\mathcal{N}^{-n, p, k, a}$ is the empty set if $D$ has only finite positive proper values and by a theorem of Weyl ([5], [18]), $\mathcal{N}^{-n, p, k, D}$ does not depend on the self-adjoint lower order perturbation of $D$.

**7. Definition.** Let $f$ be an element of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $w$ and $\alpha$ are complex numbers with $\Re \alpha > 0$. Then we set

$$(T_w, a)f(r, 0) = \sum_p \langle f + \alpha, r \rangle \sum_{(i)} A^{n, \alpha}_{(i)}(\partial),$$

By definition, we have $\mathcal{D}(T_w, a) \subset \mathcal{D}(T_w, \bar{a})$ if $\Re w_1 > \Re w_2$ and if $\Re w \leq 0$, $\mathcal{D}(T_w, a) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For a fixed $f$, $T_w, a$ is defined on $\Re w < c, \Re \alpha > 0$, where $c$ is a constant determined by $f$, and holomorphic in $w$ and $\alpha$ on this domain. Since $c \geq 0$, we have as a holomorphic function in $w$

$$\lim_{w \rightarrow 0} T_w, a f = f.$$
We set \( x=(r, \theta), \xi=(s, \varphi) \) and define
\[
Ef(s, r, \theta) = \int_{s<1} E(s, r, \theta) f(r, \varphi) d\varphi, \quad 0<s \leq 1, \quad E(\xi, x) \text{ is the Poisson kernel of } \Delta,
\]
\[(Hf)(s, r, \theta) = g(1, r, \theta), \quad g(s, x) \in C^{(\omega, \delta, b)}(I \times \mathbb{R}^n), \quad I=[0, 1].
\]
Here \( C^{(\omega, b, \delta)}(I \times \mathbb{R}^n) = \{ f | f \text{ is bounded on } [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ continuous on } (0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } C^\omega-\text{class in } s \} \). Then we have the following commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) & \overset{T_{w,0}}{\longrightarrow} & L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \\
\mid & E & \mid \frac{(s^{1-\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} s^\omega)^w}{\frac{s}{1-w}} \mid H_1 & C_\delta^{(\omega, b, \delta)}(I \times \mathbb{R}^n) & \longrightarrow & C_\delta^{(\omega, b, \delta)}(I \times \mathbb{R}^n).
\end{array}
\]

Here, \( (s^{1-\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} s^\omega)^w f \) is defined by (cf. [T])
\[
\left( s^{1-\omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} s^\omega \right)^w f(s) = \mathcal{M}^{-1}(\alpha - \omega)^w \mathcal{M} \left[ f(s) \right](s), \quad \mathcal{M} \left[ f \right](\omega) = \int_0^1 s^{\omega-1} f(s) ds.
\]

By definition, as a function of \( w \), \( (s^{1-\alpha} \partial/\partial s s^\omega)^w f(s) \) is defined on \( \text{Re } w > c \) if \( f \) is \( O(s^c) \), \( s \to 0 \), and holomorphic in \( w \).

**Lemma 8.** Let \( w \) be a complex number and not a positive integer, \( \alpha \) a complex number with \( \text{Re } \alpha > c \). Then to set for \( f(s) = O(s^{-c}), \ s \to 0, \)
\[
S_w,0[f](s)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( 1 - e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}w} \right)^w \Gamma(1-w) \left( t \right)^\alpha \left( \log \left( t \right) \right)^{w-1} f(t) dt,
\]
we have
\[
(25) \quad \left( s^{1-\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} s^\omega \right)^w S_w,0[f] = f, \quad f \in C^{(\omega, b, \delta)}(I \times \mathbb{R}^n),
\]
\[
(24') \quad \lim_{w \to 0} S_w,0[f] = f, \quad f \in C^{(\omega, b, \delta)}(I \times \mathbb{R}^n), \quad f(0) = 0.
\]

**Proof.** By the inversion formula of Mellin transformation and the definition of \( (s^{1-\alpha} \partial/\partial s s^\omega)^w \), to set
\[
S_w,0(s, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{-1}} \int_{-\sqrt{-1} \gamma}^{\sqrt{-1} \gamma} (\alpha - \rho)^{-w-1} \left( \frac{t}{s} \right)^\rho \rho^\sigma d\rho,
\]
and define \( S_w,0[f](s) = \int_0^\infty S_w,0(s, t) f(t) dt \), we obtain (25). But, since \( S_w,0(s, t) = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\alpha - \sqrt{-1} \sigma)^{-w-1} e^{\sqrt{-1} \sigma \log(t/s)} d\sigma \) and when \( w \) is not a positive integer,
this integral is equal to the limit of the integral along the half circle in the upper half plane if \( t>s \), \( \Re w>1 \), and equal to the integral along the path starts from \(-\sqrt{-1}\infty \), rounds \(-\sqrt{-1} \) in the negative direction and ends at \(-\sqrt{-1}\infty \) if \( t<s \), \( \Re w>1 \), we get

\[
S_{w,a}(s, t) = \frac{-\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \left( \frac{t}{s} \right) \left\{ \log\left( \frac{t}{s} \right) \right\}^{w-1} \left( 1-e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} t} \right) \Gamma(1-w), \quad t<s,
\]

\[=0, \quad t>s,\]

if \( \Re w>1 \) and \( w \) is not a positive integer. Then, since \( S_{w,a}[f] \) and \((s^{1-a} \partial/\partial s \quad s^a)^w \)
\( S_{w,a}[f] \) both analytic in \( w \), we have \((25)\) for other \( w \) by analytic continuation.

To show \((24)\)', we note that \( f(s) = \int_0^s f'(t) dt \) by assumption. Hence we get \( S_{w,a}[f](s) = \int_0^s f'(t) du \), so that

\[
\int_0^s f'(t) du = \int_0^s f'(t) du = \left( \sqrt{-1}/2\pi \right) \left( e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} t} - 1 \right) \Gamma(1-w) \left( -a \right)^w \gamma(w, -a \log(-1)) f'(u) du,
\]

where \( \gamma(w, a) = \int_0^a t^{w-1} e^{-t} dt \) is the incomplete gamma function. Since \( \gamma(w, a) = (1/w) \gamma(w+1, a) + a^w e^{-a} \) \([6]\), II), and \( \gamma(1, a) = 1-e^{-a} \), we obtain

\[
\lim_{w \to 0} \frac{-1}{2\pi} \left( 1-e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1} t} \right) \Gamma(1-w)(-a)^{-w} \gamma(w, -a \log(-1)) = 1, \quad a \neq 0.
\]

Hence we have \( \lim_{w \to 0} S_{w,a}[f](s) = \int_0^s f'(u) du = f(s) \).

Note. \((25)\) holds if \( f \) is continuous in \( s \). But, \((24)\)', \( f(s) \) should be absolute continuous in \( s \).

**Definition.** We set \( d_{w,a} = T_{w,a} \).

By definition, \( d_{w,a} \) is densely defined in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \) for any \( w \) and \( a \). If \( d_{w,a}f \) is defined for \( w=w_0 \) and \( \Re w_0 = c \), \( d_{w,a}f \) is defined on the domain \( \Re w < c \) and holomorphic in \( w \). If \( c \geq 0 \), we have

\[
\lim_{w \to 0} d_{w,a}f = f.
\]

8. Let \( \lambda \) be a real number, \( c \) a complex number with \(-\pi/2 < \arg c < \pi/2 \). Then \( G_{c-1,2,k,a} \) and \( G_{c-1,2,k} \) are defined by \( n^2 \) and we have

\[
(cL_n, p+\lambda k) \left( \frac{1}{c} G_{c-1,2,k,a} f \right) = f, \quad (cL_n+p+\lambda k) \left( \frac{1}{c} G_{c-1,2,k} f \right) = f.
\]
By (27), if $-\pi/2 < \arg w \log (p+\text{Re} w) - \text{Re} w \log \alpha < \pi/2$, $(p+\alpha)^{-w} G_{(p+\alpha) - w, k}$ and 
$(p+\alpha)^{-w} G_{(p+\alpha) - w, k, h}$ are defined and they are fundamental solutions of 
$(p+\alpha)^{-w} L_{n, p + \lambda k}$. By definition, $(p+\alpha)^{-w} G_{(p+\alpha) - w, k}$ and 
$(p+\alpha)^{-w} G_{(p+\alpha) - w, k, h}$ are holomorphic in $\alpha$ and $w$ and they are continued analytically on 
whole $w$-plane if $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha$ is not a positive number, because Bessel functions defined on whole plane.

Definition. The analytic continuations of $(p+\alpha)^{-w} G_{(p+\alpha) - w, k}$ and $(p+\alpha)^{-w} G_{(p+\alpha) - w, k, h}$ 
are denoted by $G_{\lambda, k, a, (w, \alpha)}$ and $G_{\lambda, k, (w, a)}$.

Since $(p+\alpha)^{-w} L_{n, p + \lambda k}$ is analytic in $w$ and $\alpha$, we obtain by (27)

$$
((p+\alpha)^{-w} L_{n, p + \lambda k}) G_{\lambda, k, a, (w, \alpha)} f = f,
$$

$$
((p+\alpha)^{-w} L_{n, p + \lambda k}) G_{\lambda, k, (w, a)} f = f.
$$

Definition. We define an operator $G_{p, a, (w, \lambda)}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times M, E)_{p, a}$ by

$$
G_{p, a, (w, \lambda)} f = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec} D} G_{\lambda, k, a, (w, \alpha)} f,
$$

$$
G_{p, a, (w, \lambda)} f = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R} \times M} A_{(i)}(\theta) A_{(j)}(\rho) \phi_{\lambda, a, \theta} \phi_{\lambda, a, \rho} f(\theta, \rho) \eta d\eta d\theta d\rho,
$$

where $(\phi_{\lambda, a, \theta}, \phi_{\lambda, a, \rho})$ is the O.N. basis of $E_2$. The operator $G_{p, a, (w, \lambda)}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R} \times M, E)_{p}$ is similarly defined.

By (17), lemma 6, and Abel's continuity theorem, we obtain

Proposition 2'. (i). If $-\pi/2 < \arg p^{-w} < \pi/2$ and $D$ has only finite number of positive 
proper values, $G_{p, a, (w, \alpha)}$ and $G_{p, a, (w, \alpha)}$ are defined on $\mathcal{D}(G p)$ and on $\mathcal{D}(G p a)$.

(ii). If $-\pi/2 < \arg p^{-w} < \pi/2$ and $D$ has infinite number of positive proper values, 
$\mathcal{D}(G p, a)$ contains $\sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec} D} \text{B}_x, \text{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \text{R}^{n} \otimes E y$.

(iii). If $G_{p, a, (w, f)}$ (or $G_{p, a, (w, a)}$) is defined on $\text{Re} w > 0$ and as a holomorphic function 
of $w$, $\lim_{w \to 0} G_{p, a, (w, a)} f$ (or $\lim_{w \to 0} G_{p, a, (w, a)} f$) exists, then

$$
(d+r^k D)(\lim_{w \to 0} G_{p, a, (w, a)} f)= f, (d+r^k D)(\lim_{w \to 0} G_{p, a, (w, a)} f)= f.
$$

Corollary. Under the same assumptions as (iii), if $G_{p, a} f$ (or $G_{p, a} f$) exists, then 
as an $L^2$-valued analytic function in $w$

$$
\lim_{w \to 0} G_{p, a, (w, a)} f = G_{p, a} f, \lim_{w \to 0} G_{p, a, (w, a)} f = G_{p, a} f.
$$

Proof. By (28), to show (29), it is sufficient to show that $\lim_{w \to 0} G_{p, a, (w, a)} f$ (or $\lim_{w \to 0} G_{p, a, (w, a)} f$) satisfies the boundary condition. But this follows from the definition.
Definition. In $L^2(B_a \times M, \pi^a(E))$ and in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times M, \pi^a(E))$, we set

\[ G_{k,a}(w,\alpha) = \sum_p G^p_{k,a}(w,\alpha), \quad G_{k,\zeta}(w,\alpha) = \sum_p G^p_{k,\zeta}(w,\alpha). \]

By note in §6 and proposition 2', we have

Proposition 3. If $\text{Re} \alpha > 0$, $\text{Re} w > n - 2$, $G_{k,\zeta}(w,\alpha)$ (resp. $G_{k,a}(w,\alpha)$) is defined on $\sum \mathcal{D}(G^p_{k,\zeta}(w,\alpha))$ (resp. $\sum \mathcal{D}(G^p_{k,a}(w,\alpha))$).

(ii). If $G_{k,\zeta}(w,\alpha)f$ (or $G_{k,a}(w,\alpha)f$) is defined on $\text{Re} w > 0$ and as a holomorphic function of $w$, $\lim_{w \to 0} G_{k,\zeta}(w,\alpha)f$ (or $\lim_{w \to 0} G_{k,a}(w,\alpha)f$) exists, then

\begin{equation}
(A+r^kD) \lim_{w \to 0} G_{k,\zeta}(w,\alpha)f = f, \quad (A+r^kD) \lim_{w \to 0} G_{k,a}(w,\alpha)f = f.
\end{equation}

Definition. If $G_{k,\zeta}(w,\alpha)f$ (or $G_{k,a}(w,\alpha)f$) is continued analytically on the domain whose closure contains 0, as a function of $w$, and $\lim_{w \to 0} G_{k,\zeta}(w,\alpha)f$ (or $\lim_{w \to 0} G_{k,a}(w,\alpha)f$) exists, then we set

\[ \lim_{w \to 0} G_{k,\zeta}(w,\alpha)f = G_{k,\zeta}f, \quad \lim_{w \to 0} G_{k,a}(w,\alpha)f = G_{k,a}f. \]

By definition and (29), if $\sum p G^p_{k,\zeta}f$ (or $\sum p G^p_{k,a}f$) exists, then

\[ G_{k,\zeta}f = \sum_p G^p_{k,\zeta}f, \quad G_{k,a}f = \sum_p G^p_{k,a}f, \]

and we have

\begin{equation}
(A+r^kD)G_{k,\zeta}f = f, \quad (A+r^kD)G_{k,a}f = f.
\end{equation}

Note. We may define $G^p_{k,\zeta}(\zeta,\alpha)$ (or $G^p_{k,a}(\zeta,\alpha)$) similarly. If $D$ has only finite number of positive proper values and $-\pi/2 < \arg p w < \pi/2$, we get $\mathcal{D}(G^p_{k,\zeta}(\zeta,\alpha)) = \mathcal{D}(G^p_{k,a}(\zeta,\alpha))$ and $\mathcal{D}(G^p_{k,\zeta}(\zeta,\alpha)) = \mathcal{D}(G^p_{k,a}(\zeta,\alpha))$. We also note that, set $\mathcal{N}_{n,k,D} = \cup_{p \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{N}_{n,p,k,D}$, $\mathcal{N}_{n,k,\zeta,D}$ is an empty set if $D$ has only finite number of positive proper values and does not depend on lower order selfadjoint perturbation of $D$.

§4. Trace of $G_{k,\zeta}(w,\alpha)$.

9. Definition. For $D$, we set

\begin{equation}
\zeta_{D,\tau}(s) = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec} D, \lambda > 0} \lambda^{-s}, \quad \zeta_{D,-}(s) = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec} D, \lambda < 0} (-\lambda)^{-s}.
\end{equation}

$\zeta_{D,\tau}(s)$ and $\zeta_{D,-}(s)$ both exist if $\text{Re} s$ is sufficiently large, and since

\begin{equation}
\eta(s) = \zeta_{D,\tau}(s) - \zeta_{D,-}(s), \quad \zeta_{D}(s) = \zeta_{D,\tau}(2s) + \zeta_{D,-}(2s),
\end{equation}

they are both continued meromorphically on whole plane and at $s=0$, they have at
most poles of order 1 ([3], [10]).

Lemma 9. If $\text{Re} k > -2$, in the sense of analytic continuation, we have

\[
(32) \quad \text{tr } \mathcal{G}^{p, k, a, (w, \alpha)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(n+p-3)!}{p!(n-2)!} (p+\alpha)^{-w} \dim \ker D^a + (p+\alpha)^{\frac{2}{k+2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n+k-2}{k+2}\right)} \cdot \frac{(2p+n-2)(n+p-3)!}{p!} \left\{ C_{n, k, \xi_D, +}\left(\frac{2}{k+2}\right) + C_{n, k, -\xi_D, -}\left(\frac{2}{k+2}\right) \right\} + o(1), \quad a \to \infty, \quad (n, p) \neq (2, 0),
\]

\[
\text{tr } \mathcal{G}^{p, k, a, (w, \alpha)} = \alpha^{-w} \dim \ker D^a \log a + \alpha^{\frac{2}{k+2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n-2}{k+2}\right)} \left\{ C_{n, k, \xi_D, +}\left(\frac{2}{k+2}\right) \right\} + o(1), \quad a \to \infty, \quad n=2.
\]

Proof. By lemma 6, if $-\pi/2 < \arg p < \pi/2$, we get if $\lambda \neq 0$

\[
\text{tr } \mathcal{G}_p^{k, (w, \alpha)} = (p+\alpha)^{-w} \frac{(n-2)!}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n-2}{k+2}\right)} \left\{ C_{n, k, \xi_D, +} \right\} + o(1), \quad (n, p) \neq (2, 0),
\]

Hence we obtain (32) by (10), (20) and (30).

Corollary 1. If $\xi_D(0)$ exists, then

\[
(33) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \text{tr } \mathcal{G}^{p, k, a, (w, \alpha)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(n+p-3)!}{p!(n-2)!} (p+\alpha)^{-w} \dim \ker D^a + \frac{2p+n-2}{2p+n} \xi_D(0) + o(1), \quad (n, p) \neq (2, 0),
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \text{tr } \mathcal{G}^{p, k, a, (w, \alpha)} = \frac{\alpha^{-w}}{2} \dim \ker D^a \log a - \frac{1}{2} \xi_D(0) + o(1), \quad n=2.
\]

Proof. Since $C_{n, k, +} - C_{n, k, -} = O(k^{-2})$, $k \to \infty$ and $\lim_{k \to \infty} -k^2 \xi_D, z(2/(k+2)) = 0$, we get (33) by (32) and lemma 7.

Corollary 2. If $\ker D = \{0\}$, then

\[
(32)' \quad \text{tr } \mathcal{G}^{p, k} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n+k-2}{k+2}\right)} \left\{ C_{n, k, \xi_D, +}\left(\frac{2}{k+2}\right) + C_{n, k, -\xi_D, -}\left(\frac{2}{k+2}\right) \right\}.
\]
Note. If \( D \) has no positive proper values, then by (5), we get

\[
\text{tr } G^k_{b,k,\omega}(w,\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(n+p-3)!}{\rho! (n-2)!} (p+\alpha)^{-w} \dim \ker D\alpha^2 + (p+\alpha)^{-k-2w} \]

\[
\left\{ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n+k-2}{k+2}\right)} \right\} C_{v,k,-D} \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right) + o(1),
\]

\[
\text{tr } G^k_{a,k,\omega}(w,\alpha) = \frac{-k}{2} \dim \ker D\alpha^2 \log \rho
\]

\[
+ \frac{k}{k+2-w} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{k+2}\right)} C_{v,k,-D} \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right) + o(1), \quad n=2.
\]

Here, \( \zeta_D(s) \) means the \( \zeta \)–function of positive operator \( -D \).

**Definition.** We denote \( G^\pm_{b,k,\omega}(w,\alpha) \), \( G_{b,k,\omega}(w,\alpha) \), etc., by \( G^\pm_{k,\omega}(w,\alpha) \), \( G^\pm_{k,\omega}(w,\alpha) \), etc., and the fundamental solutions of \( \Delta_{w,\omega} - r^k D = \Delta_{w,\omega} + r^k (-D) \) constructed for the same boundary condition as (4)' by \( G^\pm_{k,\omega}(w,\alpha) \), \( G^\pm_{k,\omega}(w,\alpha) \), etc.

**Lemma 10.** If \( \Re k > -2 \), in the sense of analytic continuation, we have

\[
\text{tr } G^k_{b,k,\omega}(w,\alpha) - \text{tr } G^k_{b,k,\omega}(w,\alpha)
\]

\[
= (p+\alpha)^{-w} \frac{(2p+n)(n+p-3)!}{p!(n-2)! (2p+n)} \eta_D(0) + o(1),
\]

**Proof.** This follows from (32) and (31).

**Corollary 1.** If \( \eta_D(0) \) exists, we have

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} k^\alpha \left( \text{tr } G^k_{b,k,\omega}(w,\alpha) - \text{tr } G^k_{b,k,\omega}(w,\alpha) \right)
\]

\[
= (p+\alpha)^{-w} \frac{\pi^2}{4} \frac{(2p+n-2)(n+p-3)!}{(n-2)! p!(2p+n)} \eta_D(0) + o(1),
\]

where \( (2p+n-2)(n+p-3)!/p! \) means 1 if \( (n, p) = (2, 0) \).

**Corollary 2.** We have
\[
(34') \quad \text{tr } G_{h, k, (w, \alpha)}^p - \text{tr } G_{h, -k, (w, \alpha)}^p
= (p + \alpha)^{-\frac{k}{k+2}} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{2p+n}{k+2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{2p+n+k-2}{k+2} \right)} \frac{(2p+n-2)(n+p-3)!}{p!} \left( C_{n, k, +} - C_{n, k, -} \right) \eta D \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right).
\]

\[
(35') \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} k^2 (\text{tr } G_{h, k, (w, \alpha)}^p - \text{tr } G_{h, -k, (w, \alpha)}^p)
= (p + \alpha)^{-w} \frac{\pi^2}{4} \frac{(2p+n-2)(n+p-3)!}{(n-2)! p! (2p+n)} \eta D (0).
\]

\[
(34'') \quad \text{tr } G_{h, k}^p - \text{tr } G_{h, -k}^p
= \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{2p+n}{k+2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{2p+n+k-2}{k+2} \right)} \frac{(2p+n-2)(n+p-3)!}{p!} \left( C_{n, k, +} - C_{n, k, -} \right) \eta D \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right).
\]

\[
(35'') \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} k^2 (\text{tr } G_{h, k}^p - \text{tr } G_{h, -k}^p) = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \frac{(2p+n-2)(n+p-3)!}{(n-2)! p! (2p+n)} \eta D (0).
\]

10. Definition. We set

\[
(36) \quad \zeta_n(w, \alpha) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p-3)!}{(n-3)! p!} (p + \alpha)^{-w}, \quad n \geq 4,
\]

\[
(36_0) \quad \zeta_0(w, \alpha) = \zeta(w, \alpha) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p} (p + \alpha)^{-w}, \quad \zeta_2(w, \alpha) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p} (p + \alpha)^{-w}.
\]

\[
(36_k) \quad \zeta_{n, k}(w, \alpha) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{2p+n}{k+2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{2p+n+k-2}{k+2} \right)} \frac{(2p+n-2)(n+p-3)!}{p!} (p + \alpha)^{-w}.
\]

\[
(36_{\infty}) \quad \zeta_{\infty, n}(w, \alpha) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2p+n-2)(n+p-3)!}{(2p+n)p!} (p + \alpha)^{-w}.
\]

By definition, for Re $\alpha > 0$, $\zeta_n(w, \alpha)$ and $\zeta_{\infty, n}(w, \alpha)$ converges if Re $w > n - 2$ and holomorphic in $w$ and $\alpha$. On the other hand, Since we get at $p \to \infty$, $(2p+n-2)(n+p-3)! / p! = O(p^m)$, $\Gamma((2p+n)/(k+2))/\Gamma((2p+n+k-2)/(k+2)) = (2p+n)/(k+2)^{k+2} [1 + 2(k-2)/(2p+n)(k+2) + O((k+2)/(2p+n)^2)]$, $\zeta_{n, k}(w, \alpha)$ converges if Re $w > n - 2$ - Re $(1/(k-2)/(k+2))$.

Using these functions, we obtain by lemma 9 and lemma 10.
**Proposition 4.** If \( \text{Re } k > -2 \), \( \text{Re } w > n - 2 - \text{Re}((k-2)/(k+2)) \), we have at \( a \to \infty \),

\[
\text{tr } G_{k,a,(w,a)} = - \frac{a^2}{2(n-2)} \left( \zeta_n(w, \alpha) + \alpha^{-w} \right) \dim \ker D + \left\{ \zeta_n, k \left( \frac{k}{k+2} w, \alpha \right) \right. \\
+ \alpha^{-w} \frac{n(n-2)!}{k+2 \Gamma \left( \frac{n+k-2}{k+2} \right)} \left( C_n, k, \zeta_D, + \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right) \right) \\
+ C_n, k, - \zeta_D, - \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right) \right\} + o(1), \ n \geq 3,
\]

\[
\text{tr } G_{k,a,(w,a)} = - \frac{a^2}{2} \log a \dim \ker D + \frac{a^2}{2} \zeta_\delta(w, \alpha) \dim \ker D \\
+ \left\{ \zeta_n, k \left( \frac{k}{k+2} w, \alpha \right) + \alpha^{-w} \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{k}{k+2} \right)} \right\} \\
+ \left\{ C_n, k, + \zeta_D, + \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right) + C_n, k, - \zeta_D, - \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right) \right\} + o(1), \ n = 2.
\]

**Corollary.** Under the same assumptions about \( k \) and \( \alpha \) as above, if \( \zeta_D(0) \) exists, we have at \( a \to \infty \),

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \text{tr } G_{k,a,(w,a)} = - \frac{a^2}{2(n-2)} \left( \zeta_n(w, \alpha) + \alpha^{-w} \right) \dim \ker D \\
- \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \zeta_\delta, w, \alpha + \frac{1}{n} \alpha^{-w} \right) \zeta_\delta(0) + o(1), \ n \geq 3,
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \text{tr } G_{k,a,(w,a)} = - \frac{a^2}{2} \log a \dim \ker D - \frac{a^2}{2} \zeta_\delta(w, \alpha) \dim \ker D \\
- \frac{1}{2} \left( \zeta_\delta, w, \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^{-w} \right) \zeta_\delta(0) + o(1), \ n = 2.
\]

**Proposition 4'.** Under the same assumptions about \( k \) and \( \alpha \) as in proposition 4, we have at \( a \to \infty \),

\[
\text{tr } G^+_{k,a,(w,a)} - \text{tr } G^-_{k,a,(w,a)} = \left\{ \zeta_n, k \left( \frac{k}{k+2} w, \alpha \right) + \alpha^{-w} \frac{n(n-2)!}{k+2 \Gamma \left( \frac{n+k-2}{k+2} \right)} \right. \\
\left. \left( C_n, k, ^+ - C_n, k, - \right) \eta_D \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right) \right\} + o(1),
\]
Corollary. Under the same assumptions about \( k \) and \( \alpha \), if \( \eta_D(0) \) exists, we have at \( \alpha \to \infty \),

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} k^2 (\text{tr} G^k, a, \alpha, \omega) - \text{tr} G^{-k}, a, \alpha, \omega) = -\frac{\pi^2}{4} \left\{ \frac{1}{\zeta_{\infty, n}(\omega, \alpha)} + \frac{1}{n} \alpha^{-w} \right\} \eta_D(0) + o(1).
\]

Note. By (39) and (40), we may set

\[
\text{(39)'} \quad \text{tr} G^k, a, \alpha, \omega - \text{tr} G^{-k}, a, \alpha, \omega = \left\{ \zeta_{n, k} \left( \frac{k}{k+1}, w, \alpha \right) + \alpha^{-w(n-2)} \right\} \left\{ C_{n, \alpha} - C_{n, k, \alpha} \right\} \eta_D \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right).
\]

\[
\text{(40)'} \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} k^2 (\text{tr} G^k, a, \alpha, \omega) - \text{tr} G^{-k}, a, \alpha, \omega) = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \left\{ \frac{1}{\zeta_{\infty, n}(\omega, \alpha)} + \frac{1}{n} \alpha^{-w} \right\} \eta_D(0).
\]

If \( D \) has no positive proper values, we have under the same assumptions about \( k \) and \( \alpha \) as above

\[
\text{tr} G_k, a, \alpha, \omega = -\frac{\alpha^2}{2(n-2)} \zeta_{\omega}(w, \alpha) + \alpha^{-w} \dim \ker D + \left\{ \zeta_{n, k} \left( \frac{k}{k+1}, w, \alpha \right) \right\}
\]

\[
+ \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{k}{k+1} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{n-k+2}{k+2} \right)} \left\{ C_{n, k, \alpha} - C_{n, k, \alpha} \right\} \eta_D \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right) + o(1), \quad n \geq 3,
\]

\[
\text{tr} G_k, a, \alpha, \omega = \alpha^{-w} \frac{a^2 \log a}{2} \dim \ker D - \frac{a^2}{2} \zeta_{\omega}(w, \alpha) \dim \ker D
\]

\[
+ \left\{ \zeta_{n, k} \left( \frac{k}{k+1}, w, \alpha \right) + \alpha^{-w} \right\} \left\{ C_{n, k, \alpha} - C_{n, k, \alpha} \right\} \eta_D \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right) + o(1), \quad n = 2.
\]

§5. Analytic continuations of \( \zeta_{\omega}(w, \alpha), \zeta_{n, k}(w, \alpha) \) and \( \zeta_{\infty, n}(w, \alpha) \).

11. If \( \text{Re} \, w > n-2 \), \( \text{Re} \, \alpha > 0 \), we have

\[
\zeta_{\omega}(w, \alpha) \Gamma(w) = -\int_0^\infty e^{-t} \log(1-e^{-t})t^{w-1}dt,
\]

\[
\zeta_{n, k}(w, \alpha) \Gamma(w) = -\int_0^\infty e^{-t} \frac{1}{(1-e^{-t})^{n-2}} t^{w-1}dt, \quad n \geq 3.
\]

Hence, to denote \( \int_{(0, +)} f(t)dt \) the integral of \( f \) along the path starting from \( \infty \),
rounds 0 in the negative direction and ends at \( \infty \), we get

\[
\zeta_n(w, \alpha) = \frac{1}{(1-e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w}) \Gamma'(w)} \int_{\infty}^{(0,+)} \frac{(-e^{-at} \log(1-e^{-t}) t^{w-1}) dt - 2\pi \sqrt{-1} \alpha^{-w} \Gamma(w)}{t^{w-1}}, \ n \geq 3.
\]

By (41), we obtain

**Lemma 11.** \( \zeta_n(w, \alpha) \) allows analytic continuation on whole \( w \)-plane and 1-valued if \( n \geq 3 \).

Since we know

\[
\lim_{w \to 0} (1-e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w}) \Gamma'(w) = -2\pi \sqrt{-1},
\]

to determine the branch of \( \alpha^{-w} \) to satisfy \( \lim_{w \to 0} \alpha^{-w} = 1 \), we get by (41)

\[
\lim_{w \to 0} w \zeta_n(w, \alpha) = 1.
\]

In general, denote \( B^{(n-2)}(-1, \ldots, -1) \) the Bernoulli number of order \( m \), we know ([6], I)

\[
(1-e^{-t})^{2-n} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{B^{(n-2)}(-1, \ldots, -1) t^{m+n-2}}{m!}, \ n \geq 3.
\]

This shows \( \zeta_n(w, \alpha) \) has poles at \( w = 1, \ldots, n-2 \) if \( n \geq 3 \) and

\[
\lim_{w \to n-2} (w+2-n) \zeta_n(w, \alpha) = \frac{1}{(n-3)!}, \ n \geq 3.
\]

On the other hand, by (42), we get

\[
\zeta_n(0, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\infty}^{(0,+)} \frac{e^{-at} \frac{1}{t}}{(1-e^{-t})^{n-2} - 1} dt
\]

\[
= \text{Res} \left. \frac{1}{t} e^{-at} \right|_{t=0} \frac{1}{(1-e^{-t})^{n-2} - 1}, \ n \geq 3.
\]

Hence we obtain

\[
\zeta_n(0, \alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{n-2} (-1)^m \frac{B^{(n-2)}_{n-2-m}(-1, \ldots, -1)}{m!(n-2-m)!} \alpha^m - 1,
\]

\[
\zeta_n(0, 0) = \frac{B^{(n-2)}_{n-2}(-1, \ldots, -1)}{(n-2)!} - 1,
\]

\[
\frac{d^{n-2}}{dx^{n-2}} \zeta_n(0, \alpha) = (-1)^n, \ n \geq 3.
\]
Summarizing these, we obtain

**Lemma 12.** (i) $\zeta_n(w, \alpha)$ has a pole of order 1 at $w=n-2$ and the residue at $w=n-2$ does not depend on $\alpha$.

(ii) If $n \geq 3$, $\zeta_n(w, \alpha)$ is holomorphic at $w=0$ and $\zeta_n(0, \alpha)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-2$ in $\alpha$.

We set $\varphi_{p,n}(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k-2}{k+2}\right)} \sum_{s=1}^{2p-1} \left(\frac{x-s}{s+1}\right)^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} ds$, where $\Gamma$ is the gamma function.

\[ \varphi_{p,n}(x) \text{ converges for } |x| < 1, \text{ and since } \int_0^x (x-s)^p s^v ds = B(p, v) \]

We have

\[ \varphi_{p,n}(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k-2}{k+2}\right)} \sum_{s=1}^{2p-1} \left(\frac{x-s}{s+1}\right)^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} ds, \quad \text{Re } k > 0, \ k \neq 2, \ |x| < 1. \]

The right hand side of (45) has meaning unless $x^{2/(k+2)} = 1$ if $k$ is not an irrational real number. In the rest, we assume $k$ is not an irrational real number and Re $k > 0$, $k \neq 2$. $\varphi_{p,n}(x)$ may be many valued with the branching point at 0. But if $k$ is not a real number, we consider $\varphi_{p,n}(x)$ only on $C^* = C - \{0\}$.

By (45), $\varphi_{p,n}(x)$ has branching points only on $\{x | x^{2/(k+2)} = 1\}$ except $x = 0$.

Then, since we get for an integer $m$

\[ \text{Res }\left(1 - x\right)^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} \left(\frac{x-s}{s+1}\right)^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} ds = \frac{k+2}{2} \left(x - e^{mk\pi i / (k+2)} \right)^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} e^{(n+k)m\pi i / (k+2)}, \]

denote the generator of $\pi_1(C - \{e^{mk\pi i / (k+2)}\})$ by $\sigma_m$, we get

\[ \langle \varphi_{p,n}(x) \rangle^{\sigma_m} = \varphi_{p,n}(x) \left(1 - e^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} \right)^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} e^{\frac{mk\pi i}{(k+2) / (k+2)}} \left(x - e^{mk\pi i / (k+2)} \right)^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}}. \]

Then, since $\langle \sigma_{n}; n, k(x) \rangle^{\sigma_m} = e^{\frac{1}{(k+2) / (k+2)}} \sigma_{n}; n, k(x)$, where $\sigma_{n}; n, k(x) = - ((k+2) / (k+2) \left(x^{2/(k+2)} - 1 \right)^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} \pi \sqrt{1 - e^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}} \left(x - e^{mk\pi i / (k+2)} \right)^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}}}, \]

we obtain

\[ \langle \varphi_{p,n}(x) \rangle^{\sigma_m} = \varphi_{p,n}(x) + \frac{1 - e^{\frac{1}{(k+2) / (k+2)}} \left(x - e^{mk\pi i / (k+2)} \right)^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}}}{1 - e^{\frac{k-2}{k+2}}} \sigma_{n}; n, k(x). \]

Here, $\mu$ is a positive integer and $x$ is fixed to be $0 \leq \arg(x - e^{mk\pi i / (k+2)}) < 2\pi$.

Next, we set $\xi = x^{2/(k+2)}$, where the branch of $x^{2/(k+2)}$ is chosen to be $1^{2/(k+2)} = 1$.

Then we have

\[ \xi^{\frac{k}{2} - \frac{n}{2}} \varphi_{p,n}(\xi) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n}{k+2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2p+n+k+2}{k+2}\right)} \xi^p, \quad |\xi| < 1. \]
Since the right hand side of (47) is holomorphic at $\xi=0$, $\varphi^{0,n,k}_{\pi}(\xi)$ is $1-$valued near the origin if $n$ is even and $2-$valued if $n$ is odd. Therefore $\varphi^{0,n,k}_{\pi}(\xi)$ branches only at $\xi=1$ if $n$ is even and branches at $\xi=0$ and $\xi=\pm 1$ if $n$ is odd. The branching point of $\varphi^{0,n,k}_{\pi}(\xi)$ at $\xi=0$ is cancelled by multiplying $\xi^{2-n/2}$. Summarizing these, we have

**Lemma 13.** $\xi^{2-n/2}\varphi^{0,n,k}_{\pi}(\xi)$ is continued analytically on whole complex plane with the branching point at $\xi=1$ if $n$ is even and branching points at $\xi=\pm 1$ if $n$ is odd. To set

$$
\sigma_{\omega,n,h}(\xi) = \frac{-k+2}{1\left(\frac{k-2}{k+2}\right)} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{-1}{2} \frac{2-n}{2} \frac{k+2}{2} \frac{2-n}{2} \frac{k-2}{k+2}, \quad n \text{ is an integer},
$$

$$
\sigma_{i;n,h}(\xi) = \frac{-k+2}{1\left(\frac{k-2}{k+2}\right)} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{-1}{2} \frac{2-n}{2} \frac{k+2}{2} \frac{2-n}{2} \frac{k-2}{k+2},
$$

$n$ is an odd integer,

and denote the generators of $\pi_{1}(C-\{1\})$ and $\pi_{1}(C-\{-1\})$ by $\sigma_{\omega}$ and $\sigma_{i}$, we get

$$
(46)' \quad \xi^{2-n/2} \varphi^{0,n,k}_{\pi}(\xi) = \xi^{2-n/2} \varphi^{0,n,k}_{\pi}(\xi) + \sigma_{i;n,h}(0,1) \xi^{2-n/2} \varphi^{0,n,k}_{\pi}(\xi), \quad i=0, 1.
$$

Note. Denote the generator of $\pi_{i}(C-\{0\})$ by $\tau$, for an odd integer $n$, we get

$$
(\sigma_{\omega,n,h}(0,1) \xi^{2-n/2} \varphi^{0,n,k}_{\pi}(\xi))^{\tau} = \sigma_{i;n,h}(0,1) \xi^{2-n/2} \varphi^{0,n,k}_{\pi}(\xi).
$$

We set $F_{n,k}(\xi) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1}{(2p+n)(2p+k+2)} \pi^{(2p+n)(2p+k+2)} \right] (2p+n-k-2)(2p+n-3)! /p! \cdot \xi^{2}$. Then we have by (47),

$$
F_{n,k}(\xi) = 2\int_{0}^{\xi} \varphi^{0,n,k}_{\pi}(\xi) d\xi,
$$

$$
F_{n,k}(\xi) = 2\xi^{2-n/2} \left( \xi^{2-n/2} \varphi^{0,n-1,k}_{\pi}(\xi) + (n-2) \xi^{2-n/2} \varphi^{0,n,k}_{\pi}(\xi) \right), \quad n \geq 3.
$$

Hence we obtain by lemma 13

**Lemma 13'.** $F_{n,k}(\xi)$ is continued analytically on whole complex plane with the branching points at $\xi=1$ if $n$ is even and at $\xi=\pm 1$ if $n$ is odd. Under the action of $\sigma=\sigma_{\omega}$, the generator of $\pi_{1}(C-\{1\})$, we have

$$
(F_{n,k}(\xi))^{\sigma} = (F_{n,k}(\xi))^{\sigma} = F_{n,k}(\xi) + \sigma_{n,k}(\xi),
$$

where $\sigma_{n,k}(\xi) = 2\int_{0}^{\xi} \eta \sigma_{\omega,n,k}(\eta) d\eta$ and $\sigma_{n,k}(\xi) = 2\xi^{2-n/2} \varphi^{0,n-1,k}_{\pi}(\xi) + (n-2) \xi^{2-n/2} \varphi^{0,n,k}_{\pi}(\xi) + (n-2)$, $\xi^{2-n/2} \varphi^{0,n,k}_{\pi}(\xi), \quad n \geq 3$. 

Lemma 14. If \( \Re \alpha > 3n/2 - 5 \), we have

\[
(49) \quad \xi_{n,k}(w, \alpha)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{(1 - e^{2\pi i/w})^{i(w)}} \int e^{-at} \left[ F_{n,k}(e^{-t}) \frac{\sigma_{n,k}(e^{-t})}{1 - e^{(w-k+2)/2z^{2}+1}} \right]^{w-1} dt.
\]

Proof. By the definition of \( F_{n,k}(\xi) \), we get

\[
\zeta_{n,k}(w, \alpha) = \frac{1}{T(w)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} F_{n,k}(e^{-t})^{w-1} dt, \quad \Re w > n - 2 \Re k + 2, \quad \Re \alpha > 0.
\]

On the other hand, since \( \left( \sigma_{n,k}(\xi) \right)^{(w-2)/(k+2)} \sigma_{n,k}(\xi) \), we get for \( \Re \alpha > 3n/2 - 5 \)

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-at} \sigma_{n,k}(e^{-t})^{w-1} dt = \frac{1}{(w + k - 2)/2z^{2} + 1} \int_{0}^{(w + k)/2} e^{-at} \sigma_{n,k}(e^{-t})^{w-1} dt,
\]

if \( \Re w > 3n/2 - 4 \). But, since this right hand side has meanings if \( w + (k - 2)/(k + 2) \) is not an integer, \( \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-at} \sigma_{n,k}(e^{-t})^{w-1} dt \) is continued analytically on whole \( w \)-plane. Therefore we obtain (49) by (48).

Corollary. \( \zeta_{n,k}(w, \alpha) \) can be continued analytically on whole \( w \)-plane if \( \Re \alpha > 3n/2 - 5 \) and it is holomorphic at \( w = 0 \) if \( k - 2 \| k + 2 \) is not an integer. If \( k - 2 \| k + 2 \) is not an integer, \( \zeta_{n,k}(w, \alpha) \) is given by

\[
\zeta_{n,k}(w, \alpha) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{(w + k)/2} e^{-at} \left[ F_{n,k}(e^{-t}) \frac{\sigma_{n,k}(e^{-t})}{1 - e^{(w-k+2)/2z^{2}+1}} \right]^{w-1} dt.
\]

13. By the definitions of \( \zeta_{n,n}(w, \alpha) \) and \( \zeta_{n}(w, \alpha) \), we have \( \zeta_{n,n}(w, \alpha) = \zeta_{n}(w, \alpha - 1) \) and

\[
\zeta_{n,n}(w, \alpha) = (n - 3)! \zeta_{n}(w, \alpha) - 2 \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p-3)!}{(2p+n)p!} (p+\alpha)^{-w}, \quad n \geq 3.
\]

Hence, for \( n \geq 3 \), to study \( \zeta_{n,n}(w, \alpha) \), it is sufficient to study

\[
\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+p-3)!/(2p+n)p!} (p+\alpha)^{-w}. \quad \text{For this purpose, we use}
\]

\[
\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2p+n} \frac{x^{2p+n}}{2k+1} = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+x}{1-x} - \left( \frac{x^{2k+1}}{2k+1} + \cdots + \frac{x^{n}}{n} \right), \quad n \text{ is odd.}
\]

By this formula, to set \( f_{n,n}(y) = 2 \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} ((p+n-3)!(2p+n)p!) y^{p}, \quad n \geq 3 \), we get
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\[ f_{\infty,n}(y) = -\frac{d^{n-3}}{dy^{n-3}} \left[ y^{\frac{n}{2}-3} \left( \log(1-y) + \frac{y^k}{k} + \cdots + \frac{y^n}{n^2} \right) \right], \quad n \text{ is even,} \]

\[ = \frac{d^{n-3}}{dy^{n-3}} \left[ y^{\frac{n}{2}-3} \left( \log \frac{1+\sqrt{y}}{1-\sqrt{y}} - \frac{2\sqrt{y}}{2k+1} y^k + \cdots + \frac{y^n}{n^2} \right) \right], \quad n \text{ is odd.} \]

Because we have $(d^{n-3}/dy^{n-3})(\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2p+n)y^{p+n-3}}) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(p+n-3)!/(2p+n)!} y^p,\ n \geq 3$ and $\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2p+n)y^{p+n-3}} = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2p+n)y^{p+n-3}} = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2p+n)x^{2p+n}},\ y = x^2$.

**Lemma 15.** For $n \geq 3$, we have

\[ \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{2(n+p-3)!}{(2p+n)!} (p+\alpha)^w = \frac{1}{(1-e^{2\pi i \alpha})\Gamma(w)} e^{-\alpha} f_{\infty,n} (e^{-\alpha})^{w-1} dt, \]

\[ n \text{ is even, } n \geq 6, \]

\[ = \frac{1}{(1-e^{2\pi i \alpha})\Gamma(w)} \left[ e^{-\alpha} f_{\infty,n} (e^{-\alpha})^{w-1} dt \right. \]

\[ -2\pi \sqrt{-1} (\alpha + 2)^{-w} \Gamma(w), \quad n = 4, \]

\[ = \frac{1}{(1-e^{2\pi i \alpha})\Gamma(w)} \left[ e^{-\alpha} f_{\infty,n} (e^{-\alpha})^{w-1} dt \right. \]

\[ + \frac{\sin \frac{n\pi}{2}}{2 (4-n)(2-n)(\Gamma(n/2-2)^2 \sqrt{-1} (\alpha + \frac{n}{2})^{-w} \Gamma(w))}, \quad n \text{ is odd.} \]

**Proof.** By (50), $f_{\infty,n}(y)$ is continued analytically on the whole plane and $n/2-3$ is an integer if $n$ is even and $n-3 \geq n/2-3 > 0$ if $n \geq 6$. Hence $f_{\infty,n}(y)$ is $1$-valued if $n$ is even and $n \geq 6$. This shows the first equality. Since $f_{\infty,n}(y) - 1/y^2 \log(1-y)$ is $1$-valued, we get the second equality.

If $n$ is odd, $y^{1/2} dk (y^{n/2-3}) / dy^k,\ k \geq 3$ is $1$-valued and since $d \log((1+\sqrt{y})/(1-\sqrt{y})) / dy = 1/(\sqrt{y}(1-y))$, except the term $(d^{n-3} y^{n/2-3}) / dy^{n-3}$, log $((1+\sqrt{y})/(1-\sqrt{y}))$, the terms in $f_{\infty,n}(y)$ is $1$-valued if $n$ is odd. Therefore $f_{\infty,n}(y) - \sin(n\pi/2) \Gamma(n/2-2)/y^{n/2} \log((1+\sqrt{y})/(1-\sqrt{y}))$ is $1$-valued because $d^{n-3} y^{n/2-3} / dy^{n-3} = \Gamma(n/2-2) / y^{n/2-2} = \Gamma(n/2-2) / (\Gamma(3-n/2)(2-n/2)(1-n/2)) y^{n/2}$. This shows the last equality.

**Corollary.** (i). $\zeta_{\infty,n}(w, \alpha)$ is continued analytically on whole $w$-plane.

(ii). $\zeta_{\infty,n}(w, \alpha)$ is holomorphic at $w = 0$ if $n$ is even and $n \geq 6$. In this case, we have
\[(44)' \quad \zeta_{\omega, n}(0, \alpha) = n(n-3)! \left\{ \zeta_n(0, \alpha) - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \frac{(n-k-2)(n-3)!}{k!(n-2-k-3)!} \right\}, \]

\[\left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{n-k-3} (-1)^{l} \binom{n-k-3}{l} \frac{B^{(n-k-3)}_{n-l-k-3}[1, \ldots, -1]}{l!(n-l-k-3)!} \right\}, \]

\[d^{n-3} \frac{d}{d\alpha^{n-2}} \zeta_{\omega, n}(0, \alpha) = (-1)^n(n-3)!. \]

(iii). \( \zeta_{\omega, n}(w, \alpha) \) has a pole of order 1 at \( w=0 \) if \( n=2, 4 \) or \( n \) is odd. Their residues do not depend on \( \alpha \) and given by

\[(43)' \quad \lim_{w \to 0} w \zeta_{\omega, n}(w, \alpha) = 1, \quad n=2, 4, \]

\[\lim_{w \to 0} w \zeta_{\omega, n}(w, \alpha) = \sin \frac{n\pi}{4} \left( 4-n \right) \left( 2-n \right) \left( \Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} - 2 \right) \right)^2, \quad n \text{ is odd}. \]

**Proof**, (i) follows from (50) and (51).

If \( n \) is even and \( n \geq 6 \), we get \( \zeta_{\omega, n}(0, \alpha) = (n-3)! \zeta_n(0, \alpha) + \text{res}_{t=0} e^{-at} f_{\omega, n}(e^{-t})/t \) and since

\[ f_{\omega, n}(y) = - \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \frac{(n-3)!}{k!} \left( \frac{n}{2} - 3 \right) \cdots \left( \frac{n}{2} - k - 2 \right) \frac{y^{n-k-3}}{(1-y)^{n-k-3}} \frac{2}{n} (n-3)!, \]

in this case, we have the first equality of (44)', because \((1-e^{-t})^{n-k-3} = \sum_{m=0}^{n-k-3} (-1)^m m! t^{m+3+k-n} \). Moreover, since the degree of \( \text{res}_{t=0} e^{-at} f_{\omega, n}(e^{-t})/t \) in \( \alpha \) is \( n-3 \), we obtain \( d^{n-3} \zeta_{\omega, n}(0, \alpha)/d\alpha^{n-3} = (n-3)! d^{n-3} \zeta_n(0, \alpha)/d\alpha^{n-3} \). This shows the second equality of (44)'.

If \( n=2, \zeta_{\omega, 2}(w, \alpha) = \zeta_2(w, \alpha+1) \) and we obtain (43)' for \( n=2 \) by (43).

By (51) and lemma 12, we have

\[ \lim_{w \to 0} w \zeta_{\omega, n}(w, \alpha) = \lim_{w \to 0} w \frac{1}{(1-e^{2\pi i w} e^{-1w}) \Gamma(w)} - 2\pi \sqrt{-1} (\alpha+2) w \Gamma(w), \quad n=4, \]

\[ \lim_{w \to 0} w \zeta_{\omega, n}(w, \alpha) = \lim_{w \to 0} w \frac{1}{(1-e^{2\pi i w} e^{-1w}) \Gamma(w)} \frac{\sin \frac{n\pi}{2}}{2} \left( 4-n \right) \left( 2-n \right) \left( \Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} - 2 \right) \right)^2 \frac{1}{(4-n)(2-n) \left( \Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} - 2 \right) \right)^2}. \]

\[ \sqrt{-1} (\alpha+2) w \Gamma(w), \quad n \text{ is odd}, \]

we obtain (43)' for other \( n \).
§6. The spectre difference.

14. Theorem 1. (i). Let \( n \geq 3 \), if \( \text{Re} \, k > 0 \) and not an irrational real number, \( (k-2)/(k+2) \) is not an integer, \( 2/(k+2) \) is not a half of integer, then we have at \( a \to \infty \)

\[
\text{tr} \, G_{k,a}(0, \alpha) = -\frac{a^2}{2(n-2)!} (\zeta_n(0, \alpha) + 1) \dim \ker D + \{C_n, k(0, \alpha) \}
\]

\[
\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{k+2}\right)}{(n-k+2)!} \left\{ C_n, k, \zeta_{D^*}(\frac{2}{k+2}) \right\} + C_n, k - \zeta_{D^*}(\frac{2}{k+2}) + o(1).
\]

(ii). Under the same assumptions about \( k \), we have for \( n \geq 2 \)

\[
\lim_{a \to -\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \zeta_n(0, \alpha) + \frac{1}{n} \right) \zeta_{D^*}(0) + o(1),
\]

\[
\lim_{a \to -\infty} \frac{1}{\partial a^n} \text{tr} \, G_{k,a}(0, \alpha) = -\frac{a^2}{2(n-2)!} \dim \ker D - \frac{1}{2(n-2)} \zeta_{D^*}(0) + o(1).
\]

(iii). If \( \zeta_{D^*}(0) \) exists and \( n \) is even, \( n \geq 6 \), we have at \( a \to \infty \)

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{(n-2)!} \zeta_n(0, \alpha) + \frac{1}{n} \right) \zeta_{D^*}(0) + o(1),
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{\partial a^n} \text{tr} \, G_{k,a}(0, \alpha) = -\frac{a^2}{2(n-2)!} \dim \ker D - \frac{1}{2(n-2)} \zeta_{D^*}(0) + o(1).
\]

(iv). If \( \zeta_{D^*}(0) \) exists and \( n = 2, 4 \) or odd, we have

\[
\lim_{a \to -\infty} \frac{1}{\partial a^n} \text{tr} \, G_{k,a}(0, \alpha) = -\frac{a^2}{2(n-2)!} \dim \ker D - \frac{1}{2(n-2)} \zeta_{D^*}(0) + o(1).
\]

Proof. These follow from proposition 4, its corollary and the results of §5.

Note 1. (52) and (53) hold although \( 2/(k+2) \) is a half of an integer if \( D \) has no negative proper values.

Note 2. If \( D \) has no positive proper values, (52) and (53) also hold for \( G_{k,a}(w, \alpha) \), \( (w, \alpha) \).
Similarly by proposition 4', we obtain

**Theorem 1'.** (i). Under the same assumptions about \( k \) as in theorem 1, (i), we have at \( a \to \infty \)

\[
(56) \quad \text{tr} G^+ k, a, (0, a) - \text{tr} G^- k, a, (0, a) = \left\{ \zeta_n, k(0, a) + (n-2)! \frac{\Gamma\left( \frac{n}{k+2} \right)}{\Gamma\left( \frac{n+k-2}{k+2} \right)} \right\} \left( C_{n, k, +} - C_{n, k, -} \right) \eta_D \left( \frac{2}{k+2} \right) + o(1).
\]

(ii). If \( \eta_D(0) \) exists and \( n \) is even, \( n \geq 6 \), we have

\[
(57) \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} k^2 \left\{ \text{tr} G^+ k, (0, a) - \text{tr} G^- k, (0, a) \right\} = \frac{\pi^2}{2} \left\{ \zeta_{\infty, n}(0, a) + \frac{1}{n} \right\} \eta_D(0),
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} k^2 \frac{\partial^{n-2}}{\partial a^{n-2}} \left\{ \text{tr} G^+ k, (0, a) - \text{tr} G^- k, (0, a) \right\} = \frac{\pi^2}{2(n-2)} \eta_D(0).
\]

(iii). If \( \eta_D(0) \) exists and \( n=2, 4 \) or odd, we have

\[
(58) \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{\omega \to 0} k^2 \left\{ \text{tr} G^+ k, (w, a) - \text{tr} G^- k, (w, a) \right\} = \frac{\pi^2}{2n} \eta_D(0), \quad n=2, 4,
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{\omega \to 0} k^2 \left\{ \text{tr} G^+ k, (w, a) - \text{tr} G^- k, (w, a) \right\}
= \frac{\sin \frac{n\pi}{16}}{(n-2)!} \left( \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left( \frac{n-2}{2} \right) \right)^2 \pi \eta_D(0), \quad n \text{ is odd}.
\]

Note. In the integral form, (57) and (58) are written as

\[
(57)' \quad \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \int_{c-i\epsilon}^{c+i\epsilon} k \left( \text{tr} G^+ k, (0, a) - \text{tr} G^- k, (0, a) \right) dk = \frac{\pi^2}{2} \left\{ \zeta_{\infty, n}(0, a) + \frac{1}{n} \right\} \eta_D(0),
\]

\[
\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \int_{c-i\epsilon}^{c+i\epsilon} k \frac{\partial^{n-2}}{\partial a^{n-2}} \left( \text{tr} G^+ k, (0, a) - \text{tr} G^- k, (0, a) \right) dk = \frac{\pi^2}{2(n-2)} \eta_D(0),
\]

\( n \) is even, \( n \geq 6 \).

\[
(58)' \quad \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{|w| = \epsilon} \int_{c-i\epsilon}^{c+i\epsilon} k \left( \text{tr} G^+ k, (w, a) - \text{tr} G^- k, (w, a) \right) dw \, dk
= \frac{\pi^2}{2n} \eta_D(0), \quad n=2, 4,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{|w| = \epsilon} \int_{c-i\epsilon}^{c+i\epsilon} k \left( \text{tr} G^+ k, (w, a) - \text{tr} G^- k, (w, a) \right) dw \, dk
\]
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\[
\sin \frac{n\pi}{2} \frac{(4-n)(2-n)}{16} \left\{ \left( \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \right)^2 \right\} \pi \eta_{\theta}(0), \quad n \text{ is odd.}
\]

15. Let \( \theta: L^2(M, E) \rightarrow L^2(M, E) \) be a selfadjoint operator such that each proper function \( \phi_k \) of \( D \) belongs in \( \Theta(\theta) \) and for some positive constant \( \delta \),

\[
|\partial \phi_k| = O(|\lambda|^{-\delta}).
\]

Then by a theorem of Weyl ([18], [5]), \( D+\theta \) has only point spectre and the number of different proper values of \( D \) and \( D+\theta \) are finite. We define the numbers \( d_+ = d_+ (D, \theta) \) and \( d_- = d_-(D, \theta) \) by

\[
d_+ = d_{+,1} - d_{+,2}, \quad d_- = d_{-,1} - d_{-,2}.
\]

Here, \( d_{+,1} = d_{+,1}(D, \theta) \) is the number of positive proper values of \( D+\theta \) which are not the proper value of \( D \), \( d_{+,2} = d_{+,2}(D, \theta) \) is the number of positive proper values of \( D \) which are not the proper value of \( D+\theta \), \( d_{-,1} = d_{-,1}(D, \theta) \) is the number of negative proper values of \( D+\theta \) which are not the proper values of \( D \), and \( d_{-,2} = d_{-,2}(D, \theta) \) is the number of negative proper values of \( D \) which are not the proper values of \( D+\theta \). By definitions, we have, although \( \zeta_{D}(0) \) or \( \eta_{\theta}(0) \) does not exist,

\[
\lim_{s \to 0} \zeta_{D+\theta}(s) - \zeta_D(s) = d_+ + d_-,
\]

\[
\lim_{s \to 0} \eta_{D+\theta}(s) - \eta_D(s) = d_+ - d_-.
\]

By (60), (55) and (58), denoting \( G_{k, \alpha}(w, \alpha); D, \) etc., the fundamental solutions of \( D_w, a+\theta^k D, \) etc., in \( L^2 (B_a \times M, \pi^*(E)), \) etc., with the boundary condition \( (4)', \) we obtain

\[
\lim_{\theta \to 0} \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{h \to \infty} w \{ \text{tr} G_{k, \alpha}(w, \alpha); D+\theta - \text{tr} G_{k, \alpha}(w, \alpha); D \}
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{n} (d_+ + d_-), \quad n = 2, 4,
\]

\[
\lim_{\theta \to 0} \lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{h \to \infty} w \{ \text{tr} G_{k, \alpha}(w, \alpha); D+\theta - \text{tr} G_{k, \alpha}(w, \alpha); D \}
\]

\[
= -\frac{\sin \frac{n\pi}{2}}{8\pi} \frac{(4-n)(2-n)}{(n-2)!} \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \right\} \pi \eta_{\theta}(0)(d_+ + d_-), \quad n \text{ is odd.}
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} \lim_{h \to \infty} w k^\theta \{ \text{tr} G_{k, \alpha}(w, \alpha); D+\theta - \text{tr} G_{k, \alpha}(w, \alpha); D + \theta \}
\]

\[
\quad - \{ \text{tr} G_{k, \alpha}(w, \alpha); D - \text{tr} G_{k, \alpha}(w, \alpha) \} = \frac{\pi^2}{2n} (d_+ - d_-), \quad n = 2, 4,
\]
\[
\lim_{n \to 0} \lim_{k \to \infty} w k^n \left[ (\text{tr } G^k_{w, \alpha}; D, \theta - \text{tr } G^k_{w, \alpha}; D, \theta) \right]
\]

\[- (\text{tr } G^k_{w, \alpha}; D - \text{tr } G^k_{w, \alpha}; D) \]

\[- \frac{\sin \frac{n \pi}{2}}{16} \left( \frac{4 - n}{(n - 2)!} \right)^2 \pi (d_+ - d_-), \text{ } n \text{ is odd.} \]

We note that, since (60) holds although \( \xi_D(0) \) or \( \eta_D(0) \) does not exist, (61) holds although \( \xi_D(0) \) or \( \eta_D(0) \) does not exist.

On the other hand, since \( \theta \) is selfadjoint, by (59), we have

\[
(62) \quad |(\theta G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha)})| = O(\lambda^{1 - \frac{2}{k+2}}), \lambda \to \infty,
\]

if \( k, w \) and \( \alpha \) are real numbers. Hence, denote \( L^2(M, E) \) the completion of \( (\ker D)^{-1} \cap C_0(M, E) \) by the norm \( |f|_D = (Df, Df) \), as an operator on \( L^2([0, a], \mathcal{H}^p, n\mathcal{L}(M, E)) \), \( \theta G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha)} \) is bounded and \( (\theta G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha)})^m \) is compact if \( m \) is sufficiently large. Therefore, if \( a < 1 \) and \( k \) is sufficiently large, in the space \( L^2([0, a], \mathcal{H}^p, n\mathcal{L}(M, E)) \), \( (I + r^k \theta G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha)})^{-1} \) exists uniquely, and given by the Neumann series

\[
(63) \quad G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D, \theta} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m (r^k \theta G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha)})^m + \cdots
\]

if \( k, w \) and \( \alpha \) are real numbers. Moreover, by the definition of \( G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha)} \), this Neumann series also converges on \( L^2([0, a], \mathcal{H}^p, n\mathcal{L}(M, E)) \), \( (I + r^k \theta G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha)})^{-1} \) exists uniquely, and given by the Neumann series

\[
(64) \quad (Aw, \alpha + r^k (D + \theta)) G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D} = f + r^k \theta G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D} f,
\]

we get

\[
(65) \quad G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D, \theta} = G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D} (I + r^k \theta G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D})^{-1},
\]

because, since the estimate (62) does not depend on \( p \), \( (I + r^k \theta G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D})^{-1} \) exists if \( a < 1 \) and \( k \) is sufficiently large, and under the boundary condition (4'), \( \ker(Aw, \alpha + r^k (D + \theta)) = 0 \). By (63'), we obtain

\[
(66) \quad (Aw, \alpha + r^k (D + \theta)) G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D, \theta} = (I + r^k \theta G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D})^{-1}.
\]

But since the left hand side of (64) is defined and analytic for complex \( w, \alpha, \Re \alpha > 0 \), and \( a > 0 \), and the limit at \( a \to \infty \) exists, we obtain

**Lemma 16.** \( (I + r^k \theta G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D})^{-1} \) and \( (I + r^k \theta G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D})^{-1} \) are densely defined in \( L^2(B_a \times M, \pi^*(E)) \) and in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times M, \pi^*(E)) \), and we have

\[
(67) \quad G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D, \theta} = G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D} (I + r^k \theta G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D})^{-1},
\]

\[
G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D, \theta} = G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D} (I + r^k \theta G^k_{\lambda, k, \alpha, (w, \alpha); D})^{-1}.
\]
16. Theorem 2. Under the above assumptions about $\theta$, denoting $G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D}$, etc., by $G_{k,\{w,\sigma\}}$, etc., we obtain

\begin{align*}
\lim_{a \to -\infty} \lim_{w \to 0} \lim_{k \to \infty} w^{\frac{n \pi}{2}} \left[ \text{tr} \{ G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D+\theta} \theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};I+\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D}^{-1} \} \right] \\
= \frac{1}{n} (d_+ + d_-), \quad n = 2, 4,
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
\lim_{a \to -\infty} \lim_{w \to 0} \lim_{k \to \infty} \left[ \text{tr} \{ G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D+\theta} \theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};I+\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D}^{-1} \} \right] \\
= \frac{\sin \frac{n \pi}{2}}{8\pi} \frac{(4-n)(2-n)}{(n-2)!} \left( \Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} - 2 \right) \right)^{\frac{2}{d_+ + d_-}}, \quad n \text{ is odd}.
\end{align*}

Proof. Since we know

\begin{align*}
(I+\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D})^{-1} - I &= -\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};I+\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D}^{-1} \\
\text{we get (65), by lemma 16 and (61).}
\end{align*}

Since $(W_{w,\alpha} - r^kD)G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};I-\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D})f = -\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};I+\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D}^{-1} f$, we get

\begin{align*}
G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};I-\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D}^{-1} = G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};I+\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D}^{-1},} \\
G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};I-\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D}^{-1} = G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};I+\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D}^{-1},}
\end{align*}

where $(I-\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D})^{-1}$ and $(I+\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D})^{-1}$ are densely defined in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times M, \pi^\theta(E))$ and in $L^2(B a \times M, \pi^\theta(E))$ by the same reason as lemma 16. Then, since

\begin{align*}
(I-\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D})^{-1} - I &= r^k\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};I-\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D}^{-1} \\
\text{we get (65).}
\end{align*}

Corollary. The set of selfadjoint operators of $L^2(M, E)$ which are defined on the set of proper functions of $D$ and satisfy (59) and the condition $\ker (D_{w,\alpha} + r^kD) \cap \mathcal{P}(G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};I+\theta G_{k,\{w,\sigma\};D})) = \{0\}$, has at least $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ connected components, with the strong topology.
Proof. By a theorem of Weyl ([18], 5), the sets of integers which can be expressed as \(d_+\) and \(d_-\) are both equal to \(\mathbb{Z}\). On the other hand, by (65), \(d_++d_-\) and \(d_+-d_-\) are both expressed by moving \(\theta\) and the value of the left hand sides of (65) depend continuously on \(\theta\). Hence we have the corollary.

Note. Similarly, for even \(n, n \geq 6\), we obtain

\[
\lim_{a \to \infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} \left[ \frac{\partial^{n-2}}{\partial a^{n-2}} \left( \text{tr } G_{k,a,(\theta, \omega)} \theta G_{k,a,(\theta, \omega)} (I+r^k \theta G_{k,a,(\theta, \omega)})^{-1} \right) + \frac{a^2}{2(n-2)} (\dim \ker (D+\theta) - \dim \ker D) \right] = -\frac{1}{2(n-2)} (d_++d_-),
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} k^2 \frac{\partial^{n-2}}{\partial a^{n-2}} \left[ \text{tr } G_{k,a,(\theta, \omega)} \theta G_{k,a,(\theta, \omega)} (I+r^k \theta G_{k,a,(\theta, \omega)})^{-1} + \text{tr } G_{k,a,(\theta, \omega)} \theta G_{k,a,(\theta, \omega)} (I-r^k \theta G_{k,a,(\theta, \omega)})^{-1} \right] = -\frac{1}{2(n-2)} (d_+-d_-).
\]

On the other hand, if we use \(G^p_{k,a}\), etc., instead of \(G_{k,a,\omega,a}\), etc., we get

\[
\lim_{a \to \infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} \left[ \text{tr } G^p_{k,a} \theta G^p_{k,a} (I+r^p \theta G^p_{k,a})^{-1} + \frac{(n+p-3)!a^2}{2(n-2)!} (\dim \ker (D+\theta) - \dim \ker D) \right] = -\frac{1}{2(n-2)} (d_++d_-), n \geq 3,
\]

\[
\lim_{a \to \infty} \lim_{k \to \infty} \left[ \text{tr } G^p_{k,a} \theta G^p_{k,a} (I+r^p \theta G^p_{k,a})^{-1} + \frac{a^2 \log a}{2} (\dim \ker (D+\theta) - \dim \ker D) \right] = -\frac{1}{4} (d_++d_-), n=2.
\]

\[
\lim_{k \to \infty} k^2 \left[ \text{tr } G^p_{+,k} \theta G^p_{+,k} (I+r^p \theta G^p_{+,k})^{-1} + \text{tr } G^p_{-,k} \theta G^p_{-,k} (I-r^p \theta G^p_{-,k})^{-1} \right] = -\frac{\pi^2}{4} \frac{(2p+n-2)(n+p-3)!}{2(2p+n)(p)! (n-2)!} (d_+-d_-).
\]
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