

## *Note on the Suspension-order of a Some Complex*

By HIDEYUKI KACHI

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
Shinshu University  
(Received October 30, 1971)

### 1 Introduction

We shall attempt to compute the suspension-order of a complex

$$Y_{k+1} = S^n \cup e^{n+1} \cup e^{n+2(q-1)} \cup e^{n+2(q-1)+1} \cup \dots \cup e^{n+2k(q-1)+1}$$

with only homology groups  $H^{n+2i(q-1)}(Y_{k+1}) \approx Z_q$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , where  $p$  is odd prime.

H. Toda [4] gave the general properties of the suspension-order. We compute  $K_O^*$  in order to give a lower boundary of the suspension-order of  $Y_{k+1}$ . Here, the notation  $K_O^*$  means the reduced group of original K-theory of vector bundles. But, we shall use only the definitions

$$K_O^{-i}(X) = [S^i X, B_O] \text{ and } K_U^{-i}(X) = [S^i X, B_U]$$

and Bott's periodicity [1].

### 2 Suspension-order of complex $Y_k$

We shall use the following notations. For each topological space, we always associate a base point  $*$ . Mapping and homotopies considered are base point preserving. The set of the homotopy classes of mappings  $f: (X, *) \rightarrow (Y, *)$  is denoted by  $[X, Y]$ .

Let  $A$  be a subspace of a topological space  $X$ , then  $X/A$  is a space obtained by smashing  $A$  to a base point.  $q: X \rightarrow X/A$  indicates the smashing map (projection). A reduced product  $A \wedge B$  is  $A \times B / A \vee B$ , where  $A \vee B = A \times * \cup * \times B$ . We define  $n$ -fold suspension  $S^n X$  of  $X$  by the formula  $S^n X = X \wedge S^n$  ( $SX = S^1 X$ ). We denote by  $1_X$  and  $\iota_X$  the identity map of space  $X$  and its homotopy class and call the order of the homotopy class  $\iota_{SX}$  the suspension-order of  $X$ .

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be topological spaces, and  $f: B \rightarrow A$  a continuous mapping, then we denote by

$$A \cup_f B$$

a space obtained from a cone  $CB$  by identifying its base  $B$  with the mapping  $f$  into  $A$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $Y = Y_k$ . Then the class  $\rho_{SY}$  is represented by a mapping  $f: SY_k \rightarrow SY_k$  satisfying the following conditions;  $f(SY_k^{n+1}) \subset SY_k^{n+i-1}$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2(k-1)(p-1) + 1$ . A mapping  $f_j: S^{n+2j(p-1)+1} \rightarrow S^{n+2(j-1)(p-1)+2}$  given uniquely by the commutativity of the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SY_k^{n+t} & \xrightarrow{f} & SY_k^{n+t-1} = SY_k^{n+2(j-1)(p-1)+1} \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow a' \\ SY_k^{n+t}/SY_k^{n+t-1} = S^{n+t+1} & \xrightarrow{f_j} & S^{n+2(j-1)(p-1)+2} = SY_k^{n+t-1}/SY_k^{n+t-2} \end{array}$$

represents a generator of  $\pi_{n+2j(p-1)+1}(S^{n+2(j-1)(p-1)+2}; p)$  if and only if  $(\Delta\mathfrak{B}^1 - \mathfrak{B}^1\Delta)H^{n+2j(p-1)}(Y_k; Z_p) = 0$ , where  $t = 2j(p-1)$  and  $\Delta$  is mod.  $p$  Bockstein operator.

**Proof.** Let  $u: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$  be a mapping of degree  $p$ . Then  $\rho_{SY}$  is represented by  $1_Y \wedge u: SY_k \rightarrow SY_k$ . Let  $h = 1_Y \wedge u$ . Since  $h_*(\alpha) = p\alpha = 0$  for  $\alpha \in H_*(SY_k)$ , we have that  $h_*: H_*(SY_k) \rightarrow H_*(SY_k)$  is trivial for  $i > 0$ . Obviously,  $H_{n+t+2}(SY_k, SY_k^{n+t+1}) \approx H_{n+t+2}(SY_k) = 0$ , and  $H_{n+t+1}(SY_k) \approx H_{n+t+1}(SY_k, SY_k^{n+t+1}) \approx Z_p$ . Applying lemma 1.4 of [4] for  $n+t+1 = n+2j(p-1)+1$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ ) in place of  $n$  in the lemma 1.4 of [4], we get a homotopy  $h_s: SY_k \rightarrow SY_k$  such that  $h = h_0$ ,  $h_s(SY_k^{n+2j(p-1)}) \subset SY_k^{n+2j(p-1)}$ ,  $h_1(SY_k^{n+2j(p-1)}) \subset SY_k^{n+2(j-1)(p-1)+1}$  and  $h_1(SY_k^{n+2j(p-1)+1}) \subset SY_k^{n+2j(p-1)}$ . We put  $f = h_1$ , then the first condition is satisfied.

Consider complexes  $K = SY_k \cup_h CSY_k$  and  $K_1 = SY_k \cup_f CSY_k$ . They have the same homotopy type. In fact, we give a homotopy equivalence  $F: K \rightarrow K_1$  as follows. A point of  $CSY_k$  is represented by a pair  $(x, s)$ ,  $x \in SY_k$ ,  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $F$  is defined by the formulas

$$F|_{SY_k} = 1_{SY}$$

and

$$F(x, s) = \begin{cases} (x, 2s-1) & \text{for } 1/2 \leq s \leq 1, \\ h_{2s}(x) & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

$F$  is a cellular map and

$$(1) \quad F(SY_k^{n+2j(p-1)+1} \cup_h CSY_k^{n+2j(p-1)+1}) \subset SY_k^{n+2j(p-1)+1} \cup_f CSY_k^{n+2j(p-1)+1}.$$

Let  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  be chains in the cell complex  $K$  represented by the cells  $Se^{n+i}$  and  $CSe^{n+i}$ , respectively. For suitably chosen orientations of the cells, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial a_{2j(\rho-1)+1} &= pa_{2j(\rho-1)}, \\ \partial a_{2j(\rho-1)} &= 0, \\ \partial b_{2j(\rho-1)+1} &= pb_{2j(\rho-1)} - pa_{2j(\rho-1)}, \\ \partial b_{2j(\rho-1)} &= pa_{2j(\rho-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $a'_i$  and  $b'_i$  be corresponding chains of  $K_1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\partial a'_{2j(\rho-1)+1} &= pa'_{2j(\rho-1)}, \\ \partial a'_{2j(\rho-1)} &= \partial b'_{2j(\rho-1)} = 0, \\ \partial b'_{2j(\rho-1)+1} &= pb'_{2j(\rho-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Consider the chain mapping  $F_{\#}: C(K) \longrightarrow C(K_1)$  induced by  $F$ . From the definition of  $F$ ,  $F_{\#}(a_s) = a'_s$  and  $F_{\#}(b_s) = b'_s \bmod a'_{s+1}$ . It follows from the relation (1) that  $F_{\#}(b_{2j(\rho-1)+1}) = b'_{2j(\rho-1)+1}$ . By use of the naturality  $\partial_o F_{\#} = F_{\#} \partial$ , we have that  $F_{\#}(b_{2j(\rho-1)}) = b'_{2j(\rho-1)} + a'_{2j(\rho-1)+1}$ .

Let  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\beta_i$ ,  $\alpha'_i$ , and  $\beta'_i$  be the dual classes mod  $p$  of  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$ ,  $a'_i$  and  $b'_i$ , respectively. Then they are independent generators and the induced homomorphism  $F^*: H^*(K_1; Z_p) \longrightarrow H^*(K; Z_p)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}F^*(\alpha'_{2j(\rho-1)}) &= \alpha_{2j(\rho-1)}, \\ F^*(\alpha'_{2j(\rho-1)+1}) &= \alpha_{2j(\rho-1)+1} + \beta_{2j(\rho-1)}, \\ F^*(\beta'_i) &= \beta_i.\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $K = SY_k \cup_h CSY_k = Y_k \wedge P^2$  for  $P^2 = S^1 \cup_u CS^1 = * \cup e^1 \cup e^2$ . Each cell of  $Y_k$  and  $P^2$  represents cohomology class mod  $p$ . Then  $\Delta e^1 = e^2$ ,  $\Delta e^{n+2j(\rho-1)} = e^{n+2j(\rho-1)+1}$ .

Assume that  $\mathfrak{B}^1 e^{n+s} = x_s e^{n+s+2(\rho-1)}$  for some integer  $x_s$  ( $0 \leq x_s < p$ ). Then we have, by Cartan's formula,

$$\mathfrak{B}^1(e^{n+s} \times e^1) = x_s(e^{n+s+2(\rho-1)} \times e^1)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{B}^1(e^{n+s} \times e^2) = x_s(e^{n+s+2(\rho-1)} \times e^2).$$

The projection  $q: Y_k \times P^2 \longrightarrow Y_k \wedge P^2$  induces isomorphisms of  $H^*(Y_k \wedge P^2; Z_p)$  into  $H^*(Y_k \times P^2; Z_p)$  such that

$$q^*(\alpha_s) = e^{n+s} \times e^1 \text{ and } q^*(\beta_s) = e^{n+s} \times e^2.$$

By the naturality of the  $\mathfrak{B}^1$ -operation, we have that

$$\mathfrak{B}^1 \beta_s = x_s \beta_{s+2(p-1)},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}^1 \alpha_s = x_s \alpha_{s+2(p-1)}.$$

By use of the inverse of  $F^*$ , we have that

$$\mathfrak{B}^1 \beta'_s = x_s \beta'_{s+2(p-1)},$$

$$\mathfrak{B}^1 \alpha'_{2i(p-1)} = x_{2i(p-1)} \alpha'_{2(i+1)(p-1)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}^1 \alpha'_{2i(p-1)+1} &= x_{2i(p-1)+1} \alpha'_{2(i+1)(p-1)+1} \\ &\quad + (x_{2i(p-1)} + x_{2i(p-1)+1}) \beta'_{2(i+1)(p-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The commutativity diagram of the lemma defines a mapping

$$Q: SY_k^{n+2(j-1)(p-1)+1} \cup_f CSY_k^{n+2j(p-1)} \longrightarrow S^{n+2(j-1)(p-1)+2} \cup_{f_j} CS^{n+2j(p-1)+1},$$

which induces monomorphisms of cohomology groups mod  $p$ .  $\alpha'_{2(j-1)(p-1)+1}$  and  $\beta'_{2j(p-1)}$  are the images of  $Q^*$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{B}^1 \neq 0$  in  $S^{n+2(j-1)(p-1)+2} \cup_{f_j} CS^{n+2j(p-1)+1}$  if and only if  $x_{2j(p-1)} - x_{2j(p-1)+1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . It means that  $(\Delta \mathfrak{B}^1 - \mathfrak{B}^1 \Delta) H^{n+2j(p-1)}(Y_k; Z_p) \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 2. 2.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . The suspension-order of  $Y_k = S^n \cup e^{n+1} \cup \dots \cup e^{n+2(k-1)(p-1)} \cup e^{n+2k-1(p-1)+1}$  is a divisor of  $p^k$ .*

**Proof.** We prove by induction on  $k$ . Since we may consider  $SY_{k-1} = SY_k^{n+2(k-2)(p-1)+1}$ ,  $SY_{k-1}$  is a subcomplex of  $SY_k$ . Then, from Theorem 4.4 of [4], the suspension-order of  $SY_k/SY_{k-1} = S^{n+2(k-1)(p-1)+1} \cup e^{n+2k-1(p-1)+2}$  is  $p$ . From the assumption of induction and Theorem 1.2 of [4], we obtain the result.

### 3. Computation of $K\check{U}(Y_k)$ and $K\check{O}(Y_k)$ .

We shall use the following exact sequence in K-theory ( $K^n = K_{O^n}$  or  $K_{U^n}$ );

$$(2) \dots \longrightarrow K^n(X/A) \xrightarrow{p^*} K^n(X) \xrightarrow{i^*} K^n(A) \xrightarrow{\delta} K^{n+1}(X/A) \xrightarrow{p^*} \dots$$

Note that if  $X = A \cup_f CB$ , then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^n(A) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & K^{n+1}(X/A) = K^{n+1}(SB) \\ & \searrow f^* & \nearrow S \\ & & K^n(B) \end{array}$$

is commutative, where the suspension homomorphism  $S$  is an isomorphism onto.

For a CW-complex  $Y$  and a fibering  $0 \xrightarrow{p} 0/U (= \Omega^2 B_0)$ , there is an exact sequence

$$(3) \quad \dots \longrightarrow K_U^n(Y) \xrightarrow{i^*} K_O^n(Y) \xrightarrow{p^*} K_O^{n-1}(Y) \xrightarrow{\delta} K_U^{n+1}(Y) \longrightarrow \dots$$

The sequence commute with homomorphism induced by a mapping  $f: Y' \longrightarrow Y$  and also the homomorphism in (2).

Let  $B_U^k$  be a  $(k-1)$ -connected space such that  $\Omega^{k-1}(B_U^k)$  has the same singular homotopy type as  $B_U$ .

**Lemma 3. 1.** (H. Toda [4]) (i) Let  $L$  be a CW-complex such that  $L^{n-1} = *$ . Then we have natural isomorphism  $k_U^{n+i}(L) = [SL, B_U^{n+i}]$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

(ii) We may take  $B_U^k$  as CW-complex such that the  $(k+4)$ -skeleton of  $B_U^k$  is  $S^{k-1} M_2 = S^{k+1} \cup e^{k+3}$  if  $k \geq 2$ , where  $M_2$  is the complex projective space.

The values of  $K_U^{n+i}(S^n)$  and  $K_O^{n+i}(S^n)$  are as follows

$i$	$-4$	$-3$	$-2$	$-1$	$0$	$1$	$2$	$3$
$K_U^{n+i}(S^n)$	$Z$	$0$	$Z$	$0$	$Z$	$0$	$Z$	$0$
$K_O^{n+i}(S^n)$	$Z$	$0$	$Z_2$	$Z_2$	$Z$	$0$	$0$	$0$

Consider  $Y_1 = S^n \cup_u e^{n+1}$ , where  $u: S^n \longrightarrow S^n$  is a mapping of degree  $p$ . Then, it follow from the table (4) and exact sequence of (2) that

$i$	$-4$	$-3$	$-2$	$-1$	$0$	$1$	$2$	$3$
$K_U^{n+i}(Y_1)$	$0$	$Z_p$	$0$	$Z_p$	$0$	$Z_p$	$0$	$Z_p$
$K_O^{n+i}(Y_1)$	$0$	$Z_p$	$0$	$0$	$0$	$Z_p$	$0$	$0$

**Lemma 3. 2.** A generator of  $K_U^{n+1}(S^{2(p-1)}Y_1) \approx [S^{2(p-1)}Y_1, B_U^{n+1}] \approx Z_p$  is represented by a mapping  $h: S^{2(p-1)}Y_1 \longrightarrow B_U^{n+1}$  satisfying the following condition; Let a mapping  $\bar{h}: S^{n+2p-1} \longrightarrow S^{n+2}$  represent a generator of  $\pi_{n+2p-1}(S^{n+2}; p)$ , then the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 S^{2p-1}Y_1 & \xrightarrow{h} & B_U^{n+1} \\
 \uparrow i & & \uparrow j \\
 S^{n+2p-1} & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} & S^{n+2}
 \end{array}$$

is homotopy commutative, where  $i$  and  $j$  are inclusions ( $n \geq 2p-1$ ).

**Proof.** Let  $m = 2p - 1$ . From the puppe's exact sequence, we have that the homomorphism  $i^* : [S^m Y_1, S^{n+2}] \longrightarrow [S^{n+m}, S^{n+2}]$  induced by inclusion  $i$  is an isomorphism of the  $p$ -primary components. Since  $\pi_{n+2p}(B_U^{n+1}/S^{n+2})$  is an infinite cyclic group, we have that the homomorphism  $j_* : [S^m Y_1, S^{n+2}] \longrightarrow [S^m Y_1, B_U^{n+1}]$  is epmorphism of the  $p$ -primary components. Therefore we have the required homotopy commutative diagram.

Consider  $K_U^*$  of a complex  $Y_2$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $n$  be sufficientlr large ( $n > 2p - 2$ ).*

- (i)  $K_U^n(Y_2) = 0$  and  $K_U^{n+1}(Y_2)$  has  $p^2$ -elements,
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{B}^1 - \mathfrak{B}^1\mathcal{A} \neq 0$  in  $Y_2$ , then  $K_U^{n+1}(Y_2) \approx Z_{p^2}$ ,

**Proof.** We apply the exact sequence (2) for projection  $q : Y_2 \longrightarrow Y_2/Y_2^{n+1}$  where we may consider that  $Y_2/Y_2^{n+1} = S^{2(p-1)}Y_1$  and  $Y_2^{n+1} = Y_1$ . Then the following sequence is exact

$$0 \longrightarrow K_U^{n+1}(S^{2p-2}Y_1) \xrightarrow{q^*} K_U^{n+1}(Y_2) \xrightarrow{i^*} K_U^{n+1}(Y_1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

An element  $\alpha \in K_U^{n+1}(Y_2) \approx [SY_2, B_U^{n+1}]$  of  $i^*(\alpha) \neq 0$  is represented by a composition  $g \circ p_0 : SY_2 \longrightarrow SY_2/S^{n+1} \longrightarrow B_U^{n+1}$  where  $g|_{SY_2/S^{n+1}} = g|_{S^{n+2}} : S^{n+2} \longrightarrow B_U^{n+1}$  is the injection. Now  $p\alpha = \alpha \circ p_{\mathcal{L}_{SY}}$  for  $Y = Y_2$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $p_{\mathcal{L}_{SY}}$  is represented by a mapping  $f : SY_2 \longrightarrow SY_2$  such that  $f(SY_2^{n+2p-2}) \subset SY_2^{n+1}$  and  $f(SY_2^{n+1}) \subset SY_2^n = S^{n+1}$ . Then there is a mapping  $f' : SY_2/SY_1 \longrightarrow SY_2/S^{n+1}$  such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} SY_2 & \xrightarrow{f} & SY_2 & & \\ \downarrow Sq & & \downarrow q_0 & & \\ SY_2/SY_1 & \xrightarrow{f'} & SY_2/S^{n+1} & \xrightarrow{g} & B_U^{n+1} \end{array}$$

where  $q_0 : SY_2 \longrightarrow SY_2/S^{n+1}$  is projection.

Thus  $p\alpha$  is represented by  $g \circ q_0 \circ f = g \circ f' \circ Sq$ . Thus  $p\alpha = q^*(\gamma)$  for an element  $\gamma$  of  $K_U^{n+1}(Y_2/Y_1) \approx [SY_2/SY_1, B_U^{n+1}]$  represented by  $g \circ f'$ . We may assume that  $g$  is cellular. Then  $(g \circ f')(SY_2^{n+2p-2}/SY_1) \subset g(SY_2^{n+1}/S^{n+1}) = g(S^{n+2}) \subset S^{n+2} \subset B_U^{n+1}$ .

Consider the restriction  $g \circ f'|_{S^{n+2p-1}}$ . Then  $g \circ f'|_{S^{n+2p-1}} = f'|_{S^{n+2p-1}} : S^{n+2p-1} \longrightarrow S^{n+2}$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $f'|_{S^{n+2p-1}}$  is essential if and only if  $\mathcal{A}\mathfrak{B}^1 - \mathfrak{B}^1\mathcal{A} \neq 0$  in  $Y_2$ . It follows from Lemma 3.2 that  $\gamma$  is a generator of  $K_U^{n+1}(Y/Y_2)$ , the order of  $\alpha$  is

$p^2$ , and  $K_U^{n+1}(Y_2) \approx Z_{p^2}$  if  $\Delta\mathfrak{B}^1 - \mathfrak{B}^1\Delta \neq 0$  in  $Y_2$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** (i)  $K_U^n(Y_k) = 0$ ,

(ii) The group  $K_U^{n+1}(Y_k)$  has  $p^k$ -elements,

(iii) If  $(\Delta\mathfrak{B}^1 - \mathfrak{B}^1\Delta)H^{n+2i(p-1)}(Y_k; Z_p) = 0$ , for integer  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ , then  $K_U^{n+1}(Y_k) \simeq Z_{p^k}$ .

**Proof.** It is obvious for  $k=1$ , by table (5). Then (i) and (ii) are proved by induction on  $k$ , using the exact sequence (2) for projection

$q: Y_k \longrightarrow Y_k/Y_k^{n+2(k-2)(p-1)+1}$  where we may consider that

$Y_k/Y_k^{n+2k(k-2)(p-1)+1} = S^{2(k-2)(p-1)+1}Y_1$  and  $Y_k^{n+2(k-2)(p-1)+1} = Y_{k-1}$ . In fact, the

following sequence is exact;

$$0 \longrightarrow K_U^{n+1}(Y_k/Y_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{p^*} K_U^{n+1}(Y_k) \longrightarrow K_U^{n+1}(Y_{k-1}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

(iii) Assume that  $K_U^{n+1}(Y_k)$  is not cyclic. Let  $i$  be the maximal intger such that  $K_U^{n+1}(Y_k/Y_i)$  is not cyclic. Then it follows easily that  $K_U^{n+1}(Y_{i+2}/Y_i)$  is not cyclic and  $(\Delta\mathfrak{B}^1 - \mathfrak{B}^1\Delta)H^{n+2(i-1)(p-1)}(Y_k; Z_p) \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** (i) The group  $K_O^{n+i}(Y_k) = 0$  for  $i \equiv -3$  and  $1 \pmod{8}$ , (ii) The group  $K_O^{n+i}(Y_k)$  has  $p^k$ -elements if  $i \equiv -3$  or  $1 \pmod{8}$ , (iii) If  $(\Delta\mathfrak{B}^1 - \mathfrak{B}^1\Delta)H^{n+2j(p-1)}(Y_k; Z_p) = 0$  for integers  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ , then the groups  $K_O^{n+1}(Y_k)$  and  $K_O^{n-3}(Y_k)$  are cyclic.

**Proof.** (i), (ii). We prove by induction on  $k$ . It is obvious for  $k=1$ , by table (5). Suppose that (i) and (ii) are true for  $k-1$ . Using the exact sequence (2), we have the following sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow K_O^{n+i-1}(Y_{k-1}) \longrightarrow K_O^{n+i}(Y_k/Y_{k-1}) \longrightarrow K_O^{n+i}(Y_k) \longrightarrow K_O^{n+i}(Y_{k-1}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

is exact. We may consider that  $Y_k/Y_{k-1} = S^{2(k-1)(p-1)}Y_1$ . From the table (5) and Bott's periodicity,  $K_O^{n+i}(Y_k/Y_{k-1}) \approx Z_p$  for  $i \equiv -3$  or  $1 \pmod{8}$  and  $K_O^{n+i}(Y_k/Y_{k-1}) = 0$  for  $i \equiv -3$  and  $1 \pmod{8}$ .

By the inductive assumption and the above exact sequence, (i) and (ii) are true for  $k$ .

(iii). Consider the exact sequence (3)

$$\dots \longrightarrow K_O^{n+i-2}(Y_k) \longrightarrow K_U^{n+i}(Y_k) \xrightarrow{i_*} K_O^{n+i}(Y_k) \longrightarrow K_O^{n+i-1}(Y_k) \longrightarrow \dots$$

From (i) and (ii),  $i_*: K_U^{n+i}(Y_k) \longrightarrow K_O^{n+i}(Y_k)$  is an isomorphism for  $i \equiv -3$  or  $1$

(mod 8).

**Corollary.** *Let  $n \geq 2p - 1$ . If  $(\Delta\mathfrak{B}^1 - \mathfrak{B}^1\Delta)H^{n+2j(p-1)}(Y_k; Z_p) \neq 0$  for integers  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$ , then the suspension-order of  $Y_k$  is  $p^k$ .*

#### Reference

- [1] BOTT, R.: The stable homotopy of classical groups, Ann. of Math., 70 (1959), 313-337.
- [2] BLAKERS, A.L.-MASSEY, W. S.: The homotopy groups of triads: II, Ann. of Math., 55 (1952), 192-201.
- [3] TODA. H.: *Composition methods in homotopy groups of spheres*, Ann. of Math. Studies 59, Princeton.
- [4] TODA. H.: Order of the identity class of a suspension space, Ann. of Math., 78 (1963) 300-325.