

SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF NON-COMMUTATIVE HARMONIC OSCILLATORS: THE LOWEST EIGENVALUE AND NO CROSSING

FUMIO HIROSHIMA AND ITARU SASAKI

ABSTRACT. The lowest eigenvalue of non-commutative harmonic oscillators $Q(\alpha, \beta)$ ($\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \alpha\beta > 1$) is studied. It is shown that $Q(\alpha, \beta)$ can be decomposed into four self-adjoint operators,

$$Q(\alpha, \beta) = \bigoplus_{\sigma=\pm, p=1,2} Q_{\sigma p},$$

and all the eigenvalues of each operator $Q_{\sigma p}$ are simple. We show that the lowest eigenvalue of $Q(\alpha, \beta)$ is simple whenever $\alpha \neq \beta$. Furthermore a Jacobi matrix representation of $Q_{\sigma p}$ is given and spectrum of $Q_{\sigma p}$ is considered numerically.

1. INTRODUCTION

The non-commutative harmonic oscillator is introduced by A. Parmeggiani and M. Wakayama [PW01, PW02, PW03] as a non-commutative extension of harmonic oscillators. We also refer to [Par10] which is a first account about non-commutative harmonic oscillators and of their spectral properties. It is defined by

$$Q = Q(\alpha, \beta) = A \otimes \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2} x^2 \right) + J \otimes \left(x \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (1.1)$$

as an operator in $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Here $A, J \in \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{R})$, A is positive definite symmetric, and J skew-symmetric. Furthermore $A+iJ$ is positive definite. It is shown in [PW02, PW03] that A and J can be assumed to be $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$, $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and α and β satisfy

$$\alpha > 0, \quad \beta > 0, \quad \alpha\beta > 1. \quad (1.2)$$

We fix A and J as above, and throughout this paper we assume (1.2). Under (1.2), Q is self-adjoint on the domain $D(Q) = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes (D(d^2/dx^2) \cap D(x^2))$ and has purely discrete spectrum $E_0 \leq E_1 \leq E_2 \leq \dots \nearrow \infty$. When $\alpha = \beta$, $Q(\alpha, \beta)$ is equivalent to the direct sum of a harmonic oscillator. Then $E_j = E_{j+1} = \frac{1}{2}(1+j)\sqrt{\alpha^2 - 1}$ for $j = 0, 2, 4, \dots$. In the case of $\alpha \neq \beta$, however, the spectrum of $Q(\alpha, \beta)$ is nontrivial, and exploring properties of the spectrum is the main purpose of the present paper.

An eigenvector associated with the lowest eigenvalue $E = E_0$ is called a ground state in this paper. A long-standing problem concerning eigenvalues of $Q(\alpha, \beta)$ is to

Date: December 17, 2013.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35P05, 35P15.

Key words and phrases. non-commutative harmonic oscillator, multiplicity, the lowest eigenvalue, crossing, no crossing.

determine their multiplicity explicitly. Let $\alpha \neq \beta$. Let $E_n = E_n(\alpha, \beta)$ denote the n -th eigenvalue of $Q(\alpha, \beta)$. The map $c_n : (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto E_n(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}$ is called an eigenvalue-curve. To consider the multiplicity of eigenvalues is reduced to considering crossing or no crossing of eigenvalue-curves.

We state a short history concerning studies of the multiplicity of eigenvalues of Q . In [PW03] it is shown that the multiplicity of any eigenvalues of Q is at most three and an alternative proof is given in [Och01]. At a numerical level it is found in [NNW02] that eigenvalue-curves cross at some points but the lowest eigenvalue is simple. The multiplicity of eigenvalues of Q is also considered in [IW07], where it is derived that

$$\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \min\{\alpha, \beta\} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha\beta - 1}{\alpha\beta}} \leq E_{2n-1} \leq E_{2n} \leq \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right) \max\{\alpha, \beta\} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha\beta - 1}{\alpha\beta}}$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. From this we can see that the multiplicity of E is at most two if $\beta < 3\alpha$ or $\alpha < 3\beta$. In [Par04] it is shown that E is simple but for sufficiently large $\alpha\beta$. Furthermore in [HS12] it is proven that the lowest eigenvalue is at most two and all the ground states are even for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{\sqrt{2}}$, where $D_{\sqrt{2}} = \{(\alpha, \beta) | \alpha, \beta > \sqrt{2}\}$, and it is also shown that E is simple for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D$ for some subset $D \subset D_{\sqrt{2}}$. Recently Wakayama [Wak12] breaks through in studying the multiplicity of E , in that he proves that if all the ground states are even, then E is simple whenever $\alpha \neq \beta$. Combining [Wak12] with [HS12], it is immediate to see that E is simple for $(\alpha, \beta) \in D_{\sqrt{2}}$.

In this paper we settle down the question concerning the multiplicity of the lowest eigenvalue of Q , i.e., we prove that E is simple for all values of α and β ($\alpha \neq \beta$), see Theorem 3.1. Moreover no crossing between eigenvalue-curves associated with an odd eigenvector and an even eigenvector can occur, as proved in Corollary 5.2.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we decompose $Q(\alpha, \beta)$ into four self-adjoint operators: $Q(\alpha, \beta) = \bigoplus_{\sigma=\pm, p=1,2} Q_{\sigma p}$. It is shown that each $Q_{\sigma p}$ is equivalent to some Jacobi matrix $\widehat{Q}_{\sigma p}$, and all the eigenvalues of $Q_{\sigma p}$ are simple. In Section 3, we show that the lowest eigenvalue of $Q(\alpha, \beta)$ is simple. In Section 4, we construct a unitary transformation $U_{\sigma p}$ such that $e^{-tU_{\sigma p}^{-1}Q_{\sigma p}U_{\sigma p}}$ is positivity improving, and it is shown that the ground state is in a positive cone. In Section 5, we show that $\widehat{Q}_{-p} - \widehat{Q}_{+p} \geq \Delta(\alpha, \beta)$, $p = 1, 2$, for some $\Delta(\alpha, \beta)$. In particular, if $\Delta(\alpha, \beta) > 0$, then there is no crossing between the n -th eigenvalue-curve of Q_{-p} and that of Q_{+p} . In Section 6, we show some numerical results.

2. DECOMPOSITION OF $Q(\alpha, \beta)$ AND JACOBI MATRIX

2.1. Decomposition of $Q(\alpha, \beta)$. Let $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x + \frac{d}{dx})$ and $a^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x - \frac{d}{dx})$ be the annihilation operator and the creation operators, respectively. In terms of a and a^* , Q can be expressed as

$$Q = A(a^*a + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{J}{2}(aa - a^*a^*). \quad (2.1)$$

Let \mathcal{H}_+ (resp. \mathcal{H}_-) be the set of even (resp. odd) functions in \mathcal{H} , and P_+ (resp. P_-) be the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{H}_+ (resp. \mathcal{H}_-). Let $|n\rangle$ be the n -th normalized

eigenvector of a^*a , i.e., $|n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}(a^*)^n|0\rangle$ with $|0\rangle = \pi^{-1/4}e^{-x^2/2}$. Let $\mathbb{C}|n\rangle$ be the one-dimensional subspace spanned by $|n\rangle$ over \mathbb{C} . Hence the Wiener-Itô decomposition $L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}|n\rangle$ follows. The total Hilbert space is

$$\mathcal{H} \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \mid X, Y \in \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}|n\rangle \right\} \cong \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n, \quad \mathcal{H}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{C}|n\rangle \\ \mathbb{C}|n\rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

We use this equivalence without further notice. Since $a|n\rangle = \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle$ and $a^*|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle$, we see that $aa : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n-2}$ and $a^*a^* : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n+2}$. Furthermore a^*a leaves \mathcal{H}_n invariant. Then we have $Q : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{n-2} \oplus \mathcal{H}_n \oplus \mathcal{H}_{n+2}$. From these observations, we can find the invariant domains of Q . We denote the orthogonal projection onto $\mathbb{C}|n\rangle$ by $|n\rangle\langle n|$, and define orthogonal projections on \mathcal{H} by

$$P_{\uparrow}(n) = \begin{pmatrix} |n\rangle\langle n| & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad P_{\downarrow}(n) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & |n\rangle\langle n| \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

Note that $1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (P_{\uparrow}(n) + P_{\downarrow}(n))$. In order to decompose Q , we define the following orthogonal projections:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{+1} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (P_{\uparrow}(4n) + P_{\downarrow}(4n+2)), & T_{+2} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (P_{\downarrow}(4n) + P_{\uparrow}(4n+2)), \\ T_{-1} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (P_{\uparrow}(4n+1) + P_{\downarrow}(4n+3)), & T_{-2} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (P_{\downarrow}(4n+1) + P_{\uparrow}(4n+3)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|2n\rangle$ is even and $|2n+1\rangle$ is odd, one has $T_{+1} + T_{+2} = P_+$ and $T_{-1} + T_{-2} = P_-$. We set $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma p} = \text{Ran}(T_{\sigma p})$. Then \mathcal{H} is decomposed as

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\sigma=\pm, p=1,2} \mathcal{H}_{\sigma p}. \quad (2.3)$$

Theorem 2.1. *The operator Q is reduced by $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma p}$, $\sigma = \pm$, $p = 1, 2$.*

Proof. Recall that $A \subset B$ means that $D(A) \subset D(B)$ and $Av = Bv$ for all $v \in D(A)$. We see that $a^2P_j(n) \supset P_j(n-2)a^2$, $a^*a^*P_j(n) \supset P_j(n+2)a^*a^*$ and $a^*aP_j(n) \supset P_j(n)a^*a$ for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and $j = \uparrow, \downarrow$. Clearly it holds that $AP_j(n) = P_j(n)A$, $JP_{\uparrow}(n) = P_{\downarrow}(n)J$ and $JP_{\downarrow}(n) = P_{\uparrow}(n)J$. Then $QT_{\sigma p} \supset T_{\sigma p}Q$ and the theorem follows. \square

Let us set $Q_{\sigma p} = Q|_{\mathcal{H}_{\sigma p}}$. Then it holds that

$$Q = \bigoplus_{\sigma=\pm, p=1,2} Q_{\sigma p}. \quad (2.4)$$

2.2. Jacobi matrix representation of $Q_{\sigma p}$. We construct a unitary operator implementing the equivalence between $Q_{\sigma p}$ and a Jacobi matrix. Set

$$U_{+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (P_{\uparrow}(8n) + P_{\downarrow}(8n+2)) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (P_{\uparrow}(8n+4) + P_{\downarrow}(8n+6)). \quad (2.5)$$

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	...
\uparrow	■	□	□	□	■	□	□	□	■	□	□	□	■	...
\downarrow	□	□	■	□	□	□	■	□	□	□	■	□	□	...

FIGURE 1. $\text{Ran } T_{+1}$ is supported on “■”

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	...
\uparrow	□	□	■	□	□	□	■	□	□	□	■	□	□	...
\downarrow	■	□	□	□	■	□	□	□	■	□	□	□	■	...

FIGURE 2. $\text{Ran } T_{+2}$ is supported on “■”

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	...
\uparrow	□	■	□	□	□	■	□	□	□	■	□	□	□	...
\downarrow	□	□	□	■	□	□	□	■	□	□	□	■	□	...

FIGURE 3. $\text{Ran } T_{-1}$ is supported on “■”

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	...
\uparrow	□	□	□	■	□	□	□	■	□	□	□	■	□	...
\downarrow	□	■	□	□	□	■	□	□	□	■	□	□	□	...

FIGURE 4. $\text{Ran } T_{-2}$ is supported on “■”

This operator is unitary on \mathcal{H}_{+1} and we have

$$\bar{Q}_{+1} = U_{+1}^{-1} Q_{+1} U_{+1} = T_{+1} \left(A(a^* a + \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{S}{2}(aa + a^* a^*) \right) T_{+1}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. In a way similar to that of U_{+1} one can define the unitary operators U_{+2}, U_{-1} and U_{-2} on $\mathcal{H}_{+2}, \mathcal{H}_{-1}$ and \mathcal{H}_{-2} , respectively, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Q}_{+2} &= U_{+2}^{-1} Q_{+1} U_{+2} = T_{+2} \left(A(a^* a + \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{S}{2}(aa + a^* a^*) \right) T_{+2}, \\ \bar{Q}_{-1} &= U_{-1}^{-1} Q_{-1} U_{-1} = T_{-1} \left(A(a^* a + \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{S}{2}(aa + a^* a^*) \right) T_{-1}, \\ \bar{Q}_{-2} &= U_{-2}^{-1} Q_{-2} U_{-2} = T_{-2} \left(A(a^* a + \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{S}{2}(aa + a^* a^*) \right) T_{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

For sequences $a = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$ and $b = (b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots)$, we define the Jacobi matrix

$$J(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & a_0 & & & 0 \\ a_0 & b_1 & a_1 & & \\ & a_1 & b_2 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.7)$$

which acts in the set of square summable sequences, $\ell^2 := \ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$, where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Set $a_\sigma = (a_\sigma(0), a_\sigma(1), \dots)$ and $b_{\sigma p} = (b_{\sigma p}(0), b_{\sigma p}(1), \dots)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} a_+(n) &= -\sqrt{(2n+1)(2n+2)}, & a_-(n) &= -\sqrt{(2n+2)(2n+3)}, \\ b_{+1}(n) &= \begin{cases} \alpha(1+4n) & \text{for even } n \\ \beta(1+4n) & \text{for odd } n, \end{cases} & b_{+2}(n) &= b_{+1}(n) \Big|_{(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (\beta, \alpha)}, \\ b_{-1}(n) &= \begin{cases} \alpha(3+4n) & \text{for even } n \\ \beta(3+4n) & \text{for odd } n, \end{cases} & b_{-2}(n) &= b_{-1}(n) \Big|_{(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (\beta, \alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\sigma = \pm$ and $p = 1, 2$, we define the Jacobi matrix $\widehat{Q}_{\sigma p}$ by

$$\widehat{Q}_{\sigma p} = \frac{1}{2} J(a_\sigma, b_{\sigma p}). \quad (2.8)$$

Let $e_n = (\delta_{n,j})_{j=0}^\infty \in \ell^2$ be the standard basis of ℓ^2 . Note that the space \mathcal{H}_{+1} is spanned by the vectors $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} |4n\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |4n+2\rangle \end{pmatrix}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\}$. We define the unitary operator $Y_{+1} : \mathcal{H}_{+1} \rightarrow \ell^2$ by $Y_{+1} \begin{pmatrix} |4n\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = e_{2n}$ and $Y_{+1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |4n+2\rangle \end{pmatrix} = e_{2n+1}$. Then one can compute the matrix element of \bar{Q}_{+1} as $\widehat{Q}_{+1} = Y_{+1} \bar{Q}_{+1} Y_{+1}^{-1}$. Similarly one can define the unitary transformations such that the following theorem holds.

Theorem 2.2 (Jacobi matrix representations). *For $\sigma = \pm, p = 1, 2$, the operators $Q_{\sigma p}$ are unitarily equivalent to the Jacobi matrix $\widehat{Q}_{\sigma p}$.*

Remark. In the case of $\alpha = \beta$, $\widehat{Q}_{\sigma 1} = \widehat{Q}_{\sigma 2}$ for $\sigma = \pm$. Explicitly, each $\widehat{Q}_{\sigma p}$ is expressed as

$$\widehat{Q}_{+1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\sqrt{1 \cdot 2} & & & & & 0 \\ -\sqrt{1 \cdot 2} & 5\beta & -\sqrt{3 \cdot 4} & & & & \\ & -\sqrt{3 \cdot 4} & 9\alpha & -\sqrt{5 \cdot 6} & & & \\ & & -\sqrt{5 \cdot 6} & 13\beta & -\sqrt{7 \cdot 8} & & \\ & & & -\sqrt{7 \cdot 8} & 17\alpha & -\sqrt{9 \cdot 10} & \\ & & & & -\sqrt{9 \cdot 10} & 21\beta & \ddots \\ 0 & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\widehat{Q}_{+2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \beta & -\sqrt{1 \cdot 2} & & & & & 0 \\ -\sqrt{1 \cdot 2} & 5\alpha & -\sqrt{3 \cdot 4} & & & & \\ & -\sqrt{3 \cdot 4} & 9\beta & -\sqrt{5 \cdot 6} & & & \\ & & -\sqrt{5 \cdot 6} & 13\alpha & -\sqrt{7 \cdot 8} & & \\ & & & -\sqrt{7 \cdot 8} & 17\beta & -\sqrt{9 \cdot 10} & \\ & & & & -\sqrt{9 \cdot 10} & 21\alpha & \ddots \\ 0 & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\widehat{Q}_{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 3\alpha & -\sqrt{2 \cdot 3} & & & & & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2 \cdot 3} & 7\beta & -\sqrt{4 \cdot 5} & & & & \\ & -\sqrt{4 \cdot 5} & 11\alpha & -\sqrt{6 \cdot 7} & & & \\ & & -\sqrt{6 \cdot 7} & 15\beta & -\sqrt{8 \cdot 9} & & \\ & & & -\sqrt{8 \cdot 9} & 19\alpha & -\sqrt{10 \cdot 11} & \\ & & & & -\sqrt{10 \cdot 11} & 23\beta & \ddots \\ 0 & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\widehat{Q}_{-2} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 3\beta & -\sqrt{2 \cdot 3} & & & & & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2 \cdot 3} & 7\alpha & -\sqrt{4 \cdot 5} & & & & \\ & -\sqrt{4 \cdot 5} & 11\beta & -\sqrt{6 \cdot 7} & & & \\ & & -\sqrt{6 \cdot 7} & 15\alpha & -\sqrt{8 \cdot 9} & & \\ & & & -\sqrt{8 \cdot 9} & 19\beta & -\sqrt{10 \cdot 11} & \\ & & & & -\sqrt{10 \cdot 11} & 23\alpha & \ddots \\ 0 & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 2.3. *Each eigenvalue of $Q_{\sigma p}$, $\sigma = \pm$, $p = 1, 2$, is simple.*

Proof. Let λ be any eigenvalue of \widehat{Q}_{+1} . Then any vector $u = (u_n)_{n=0}^\infty \in \ker(\widehat{Q}_{+1} - \lambda)$ satisfies the recurrence relations:

$$u_{n+1} = a_+(n)^{-1} \{(\lambda - b_{+1}(n))u_n - a_+(n-1)u_{n-1}\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (2.9)$$

$$u_{-1} = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Note that $a_+(n) \neq 0$. Solutions of system (2.9)-(2.10) are uniquely determined by the term $u_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, i.e.,

$$u_1 = a_+(0)^{-1}(\lambda - b_{+1}(0))u_0 \quad (2.11)$$

$$u_2 = a_+(1)^{-1}\{(\lambda - b_{+1}(1))a_+(0)^{-1}(\lambda - b_{+1}(0)) - a_+(0)\}u_0 \quad (2.12)$$

$$\vdots \quad (2.13)$$

Hence the set of solutions of (2.9)-(2.10) forms a one dimensional subspace. Therefore the multiplicity of any eigenvalue of \widehat{Q}_{+1} is one. Proofs for other cases are similar. \square

Let $\lambda_{\sigma p}(n) = \lambda_{\sigma p}(n, \alpha, \beta)$ be the n -th eigenvector of $Q_{\sigma p}$. Then $\{\lambda_{\sigma p}(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = \text{Spec}(Q_{\sigma p})$ and $\lambda_{\sigma p}(n) \leq \lambda_{\sigma p}(n+1)$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The following result follows immediately from the above theorem.

Corollary 2.4. *For each $\sigma = \pm$ and $p = 1, 2$, eigenvalue-curves*

$$\{(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \lambda_{\sigma p}(n) = \lambda_{\sigma p}(n, \alpha, \beta), n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

have no crossing, i.e., for arbitrary (α, β) and $n \neq m$, $\lambda_{\sigma p}(n, \alpha, \beta) \neq \lambda_{\sigma p}(m, \alpha, \beta)$.

3. SIMPLICITY OF THE LOWEST EIGENVALUE OF $Q(\alpha, \beta)$

In this section, we state the main theorem in this paper.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $\alpha\beta > 1$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$. Then the lowest eigenvalue of $Q(\alpha, \beta)$ is simple and the ground state is even.*

In order to show Theorem 3.1 we introduce a remarkable result given by Wakayama [Wak12].

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that (1) $\alpha \neq \beta$; (2) all the ground states of $Q(\alpha, \beta)$ are even, i.e., $\ker(Q(\alpha, \beta) - E) \subset \mathcal{H}_+$. Then the lowest eigenvalue of $Q(\alpha, \beta)$ is simple.*

Let $Q_{\sigma} = Q_{\sigma 1} \oplus Q_{\sigma 2}$, $\sigma = +, -$. Then Q is decomposed into the direct sum of even part and odd part, $Q = Q_+ \oplus Q_-$. Let $E_{\sigma} = \inf \text{Spec}(Q_{\sigma})$.

Lemma 3.3. *It follows that $E_+ \leq E_-$.*

Proof. Let $\Phi_- = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{-1} \\ \Phi_{-2} \end{pmatrix}$ be a normalized ground state of Q_- . Note that Φ_{-j} , $j = 1, 2$, are odd functions. We set

$$\theta(x) := \begin{cases} +1 & x > 0 \\ -1 & x < 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

We define an even function $\tilde{\Phi}_- \in \mathcal{H}_+$ by

$$\tilde{\Phi}_- := \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi}_{-1} \\ \tilde{\Phi}_{-2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{-j}(x) := \theta(x)\Phi_{-j}(x)$$

Since Φ_- is also an eigenfunction of Q , it is a Schwartz function (see [Par10, Theorem 3.3.13]). So $\theta\Phi_{-j}$ is a distribution over the real line. Since the distributional derivative of θ is $2\delta_0$, where δ_0 is the Dirac mass concentrated at the origin, then $(\theta\Phi_{-j})' = \theta\Phi_{-j}' + 2\delta_0\Phi_{-j}$. Since $\Phi_{-j}(0) = 0$ and δ_0 being a measure, we get $\delta_0\Phi_{-j} = 0$. Hence $(\theta\Phi_{-j})' = \theta\Phi_{-j}' \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, which shows that $\theta\Phi_{-j} \in D(-d/dx)$ and

$$\|(d/dx)\tilde{\Phi}_{-j}\|^2 = \|(d/dx)\Phi_{-j}\|^2, \quad \left(\tilde{\Phi}_{-j}', x \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{\Phi}_{-j}\right) = \left(\Phi_{-j}', x \frac{d}{dx} \Phi_{-j}\right), \quad j', j = 1, 2.$$

Thus one has

$$E_+ \leq (\tilde{\Phi}_-, Q\tilde{\Phi}_-) = (\Phi_-, Q\Phi_-) = E_-. \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore $E_+ \leq E_-$ follows. \square

Lemma 3.4. *It follows that $E_+ < E_-$.*

Proof. Assume that $E_+ = E_-$. Then by (3.2) we have $E_+ = (\tilde{\Phi}_-, Q\tilde{\Phi}_-)$, which implies that $\tilde{\Phi}_-$ is a ground state of Q_+ . In other words, $\tilde{\Phi}_-$ is an eigenvector of Q with eigenvalue E_+ . Thus $\tilde{\Phi}_{-1}$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_{-2}$ are in the Schwartz class. We normalize $\tilde{\Phi}$ as $\|\tilde{\Phi}\| = 1$. From the fact that Φ_{-j} is odd (resp. $\tilde{\Phi}_{-j}$ is even), it follows that $\Phi_-(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{\Phi}_-(0)$ (resp. $\frac{d}{dx}\tilde{\Phi}_{-j}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$). Therefore $\tilde{\Phi}_{-j}$ satisfies the ordinary differential equations:

$$\frac{d}{dx} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi}_{-1} \\ \tilde{\Phi}_{-2} \\ \tilde{\Phi}'_{-1} \\ \tilde{\Phi}'_{-2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ x^2 + \frac{2E_+}{\alpha} & -\frac{1}{\alpha} & 0 & -\frac{2x}{\alpha} \\ \frac{1}{\beta} & x^2 - \frac{2E_+}{\beta} & \frac{2x}{\beta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi}_{-1} \\ \tilde{\Phi}_{-2} \\ \tilde{\Phi}'_{-1} \\ \tilde{\Phi}'_{-2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi}_{-1}(0) \\ \tilde{\Phi}_{-2}(0) \\ \tilde{\Phi}'_{-1}(0) \\ \tilde{\Phi}'_{-2}(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.4)$$

Since the right hand side of (3.3) is smooth in $(\tilde{\Phi}_{-1}, \tilde{\Phi}_{-2}, \tilde{\Phi}'_{-1}, \tilde{\Phi}'_{-2}, x)$, the differential equation (3.3) with initial condition (3.4) has the unique solution $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi}_{-1}(x) \\ \tilde{\Phi}_{-2}(x) \\ \tilde{\Phi}'_{-1}(x) \\ \tilde{\Phi}'_{-2}(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

which contradicts $\|\tilde{\Phi}_-\| = 1$. Therefore, $E_+ < E_-$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Assume that $\alpha \neq \beta$. By Theorem 3.2, it is enough to show that $\ker(Q - E) \subset \mathcal{H}_+$. By Lemma 3.3, we have $E_+ < E_-$. Hence all the ground states are even. Therefore the theorem follows. \square

4. POSITIVITY OF GROUND STATE

Let

$$\mathcal{C}^+ = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \begin{pmatrix} |4n\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |4n+2\rangle \end{pmatrix} \middle| a_n > 0, b_n > 0, n \geq 0 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_0^+ = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \begin{pmatrix} |4n\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |4n+2\rangle \end{pmatrix} \middle| a_n \geq 0, b_n \geq 0, n \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Then \mathcal{C}^+ is a positive cone of \mathcal{H}_{+1} and \mathcal{C}_0^+ a nonnegative cone of \mathcal{H}_{+1} . We say that Ψ is nonnegative iff $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^+$, which we denote by $\Psi \geq 0$, and Ψ is strictly positive iff $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}^+$, which we denote by $\Psi > 0$. A bounded operator T on \mathcal{H}_{+1} is *positivity preserving* if and only if $T\mathcal{C}_0^+ \subset \mathcal{C}_0^+$, and *positivity improving* if and only if $T\mathcal{C}_0^+ \subset \mathcal{C}^+$.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that a bounded self-adjoint operator T is positivity improving on $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma p}$ and $\|T\|$ is an eigenvalue. Then the multiplicity of $\|T\|$ is simple and the corresponding eigenvector is strictly positive.*

Proof. See [Far72]. □

Theorem 4.2. *For all $t > 0$, $\sigma = \pm$ and $p = 1, 2$, $e^{-t\bar{Q}_{\sigma p}}$ is positivity improving on $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma p}$. In particular, the lowest eigenvalue of $Q_{\sigma p}$ is simple and corresponding eigenvector is strictly positive.*

Proof. We prove the theorem only for the case of $\sigma = +$ and $p = 1$. For other cases the proof is similar and is left to the reader. We shall show below that $e^{-t\bar{Q}_{+1}}$ is positivity improving. We define

$$H_0 = A(a^*a + \frac{1}{2})T_{+1}, \quad V = \frac{S}{2}(aa + a^*a^*)T_{+1}. \quad (4.1)$$

Note that $\bar{Q}_{+1} = H_0 - V$. Since $a|n\rangle = \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle$ and $a^*|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle$, and H_0 is the multiplication by $\alpha(n + \frac{1}{2})$, we see that e^{-tH_0} is positivity preserving. Since $\begin{pmatrix} |4n\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |4n+2\rangle \end{pmatrix}$ are analytic vectors of V , we see that

$$e^{tV} \begin{pmatrix} |4n\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^j}{j!} (aa + a^*a^*)^j \left(\frac{S}{2}\right)^j \begin{pmatrix} |4n\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{C}^+, \quad (4.2)$$

$$e^{tV} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |4n+2\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^j}{j!} (aa + a^*a^*)^j \left(\frac{S}{2}\right)^j \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |4n+2\rangle \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{C}^+. \quad (4.3)$$

From this $e^{tV}\mathcal{C}_0^+ \subset \mathcal{C}^+$ follows. Let $\Psi, \Phi \in \mathcal{C}_0^+$. By the Trotter-Kato product formula, we have

$$\left(\Psi, e^{-t\bar{Q}_{+1}}\Phi\right) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\Psi, (e^{-tH_0/j} e^{tV/j})^j \Phi\right) \geq 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Therefore $e^{t\bar{Q}_{+1}}$ is positivity preserving. Next we show that $e^{-t\bar{Q}_{+1}}$ is positivity improving. We can assume that $\alpha \leq \beta$ without loss of generality. Let $P_{\leq k}$ be the projection defined by

$$P_{\leq k} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{4n \leq k} |2n\rangle \langle 4n| & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{4n+2 \leq k} |4n+2\rangle \langle 4n+2| \end{pmatrix}$$

It is immediately seen that $\Psi \geq P_{\leq k} \Psi$ for any $\Psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^+$ and $e^{tV/j} \Psi \geq (1 + tV/j) \Psi$. For $k' \geq k$, we set $v = \begin{pmatrix} |4k\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $v' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |4k'\rangle \end{pmatrix}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(v', e^{-t\bar{Q}+1} v \right) &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(v', (e^{-tH_0/j} e^{tV/j})^j v \right) \geq \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(v', (e^{-tH_0/j} P_{\leq k'} e^{tV/j})^j v \right) \\ &\geq \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(v', (e^{-t(k'+(1/2))\beta/j} P_{\leq k'} e^{tV/j})^j v \right) \\ &\geq e^{-t(k'+(1/2))\beta} \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(v', (P_{\leq k'} (1 + tV/j))^j v \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $e^{-tH_0}(1 + tV/j)$ is still positivity preserving. For all $\ell = 2k' - 2k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(v', (P_{\leq k'} (1 + tV/j))^j v \right) &\geq \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(v', {}_j C_\ell (tV/j)^\ell v \right) \geq \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(v', {}_j C_\ell (t(a^*)^2/j)^\ell v \right) \\ &= t^\ell \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} {}_j C_\ell j^{-\ell} \left(v', (a^*)^{4k'-4k} v \right) = \frac{t^\ell}{\ell!} \overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{j(j-1) \cdots (j-\ell-1)}{j^\ell} \left(v', (a^*)^{4k'-4k} v \right) \\ &= \frac{t^\ell}{\ell!} \left(v', (a^*)^{4k'-4k} v \right) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where ${}_j C_k$ denotes the binomial coefficient. Thus we have $(v', e^{-t\bar{Q}+1} v) > 0$. Similarly $\left(\begin{pmatrix} |4n\rangle \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, e^{-t\bar{Q}+1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ |4n+2\rangle \end{pmatrix} \right) > 0$ is derived for all n . Thus $e^{-t\bar{Q}+1}$ is positivity improving. \square

5. NO CROSSINGS

Recall that $E_n(\alpha, \beta)$ be the n -th eigenvalue of $Q(\alpha, \beta)$, and the map $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto E_n(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue-curve. It will be shown here that the spectrum of Q is $\text{Spec}(Q) = \bigcup_{\sigma=\pm, p=1,2} \text{Spec}(Q_{p\sigma})$, and all the eigenvalues in $\text{Spec}(Q_{p\sigma})$ are simple. Now we are interested in operators, $\widehat{Q}_{-1} - \widehat{Q}_{+1}$ and $\widehat{Q}_{-2} - \widehat{Q}_{+2}$.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that*

$$\sqrt{\alpha\beta} > 1 + \frac{1}{1600000000} \tag{5.1}$$

Then $\widehat{Q}_{-1} - \widehat{Q}_{+1} \geq \Delta(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\widehat{Q}_{-2} - \widehat{Q}_{+2} \geq \Delta(\alpha, \beta)$, where

$$\Delta(\alpha, \beta) = 2 \min\{\sqrt{\alpha/\beta}, \sqrt{\beta/\alpha}\}(\sqrt{\alpha\beta} - 1 - 1/1600000000) > 0.$$

In particular $\lambda_{-1}(n) \geq \lambda_{+1}(n) + \Delta(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\lambda_{-2}(n) \geq \lambda_{+2}(n) + \Delta(\alpha, \beta)$.

We split (5.7) as

$$|(v, Fv)| \leq a_0|v_0|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} \left(a_n + \frac{\gamma_{n-1}^2}{a_{n-1}}\right)|v_n|^2 + \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} \left(a_n + \frac{\gamma_{n-1}^2}{a_{n-1}}\right)|v_n|^2 \quad (5.8)$$

for some N_0 . We recursively define a_n by

$$a_0 = 2, \quad a_n = 2 - \frac{\gamma_{n-1}^2}{a_{n-1}} \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N_0), \quad a_n = 1 \quad (n \geq N_0 + 1). \quad (5.9)$$

We can compute the numerical value of a_n from (5.9), e.g. $a_1 = 1.464 \dots$, $a_2 = 1.305 \dots$, $a_3 = 1.228 \dots$. We take $N_0 = 10000$. Then one can easily check that $a_n > 0$ for all $n < N_0$ and $a_{N_0} > 1$. Hence the inequality (5.8) is valid for $N_0 = 10000$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(v, Fv)| &\leq 2|v_0|^2 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} |v_n|^2 + \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} \left(a_n + \frac{\gamma_{n-1}^2}{a_{n-1}}\right)|v_n|^2 \\ &< 2|v_0|^2 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} |v_n|^2 + \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} (1 + \gamma_{n-1}^2)|v_n|^2. \end{aligned}$$

where we used (5.9). On the other hand, we have $\gamma_{n-1}^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{(2n + \sqrt{4n^2 - 1})^2}$. In particular γ_{n-1} is monotonously decreasing. Therefore we have

$$|(v, Fv)| \leq (1 + \gamma_{N_0}^2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |v_n|^2, \quad (5.10)$$

which implies that $\|F\| \leq 1 + \gamma_{N_0}^2$. Note that

$$\gamma_{N_0}^2 < \gamma_{N_0-1}^2 < 1 + \frac{1}{(4N_0)^2} = 1 + \frac{1}{1600000000}. \quad (5.11)$$

Therefore $\|F\| < 2(1 + 1/1600000000)$. \square

The map $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \lambda_{\sigma_p}(n) = \lambda_{\sigma_p}(n, \alpha, \beta)$ is an eigenvalue-curve. It is immediate to see the corollary below by Theorem 5.1. .

Corollary 5.2. *Let*

$$D = \left\{ (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid \alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \alpha \neq \beta, \sqrt{\alpha\beta} > 1 + \frac{1}{1600000000} \right\}.$$

Fix $p = 1, 2$. Then two eigenvalue-curves $\lambda_{-p}(n)$ and $\lambda_{+p}(n)$ have no crossing in the region D for all n .

6. NUMERICAL RESULTS

For finite sequences $a = (a_0, \dots, a_{N-1})$ and $b = (b_0, \dots, b_N)$, we define the $(N+1)$ -dimensional Jacobi matrix, $J(a, b)$, by

$$J(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} b_0 & a_0 & & & 0 \\ a_0 & b_1 & a_1 & & \\ & a_1 & b_2 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & a_{N-1} \\ 0 & & & a_{N-1} & b_N \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.1)$$

For $\sigma = \pm$ and $p = 1, 2$, we set $a_\sigma^N = (a_\sigma(n))_{n=0}^{N-1}$ and $b_{\sigma p}^N = (b_{\sigma p}(n))_{n=0}^N$. Define a finite Jacobi matrix by $\widehat{Q}_{\sigma p}(N) = \frac{1}{2}J(a_\sigma^N, b_{\sigma p}^N)$. We set

$$\Lambda_{+1}(N) = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\beta - 1) \times \begin{cases} \min\{\alpha^{-1}(2N + \frac{3}{2}), \beta^{-1}(2N + \frac{7}{2})\} & \text{if } N \text{ is even} \\ \min\{\beta^{-1}(2N + \frac{3}{2}), \alpha^{-1}(2N + \frac{7}{2})\} & \text{if } N \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

$$\Lambda_{+2}(N) = \Lambda_{+1}(N) \Big|_{(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (\beta, \alpha)} \quad (6.3)$$

$$\Lambda_{-1}(N) = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha\beta - 1) \begin{cases} \min\{\alpha^{-1}(2N + \frac{5}{2}), \beta^{-1}(2N + \frac{9}{2})\} & \text{if } N \text{ is even} \\ \min\{\beta^{-1}(2N + \frac{5}{2}), \alpha^{-1}(2N + \frac{9}{2})\} & \text{if } N \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (6.4)$$

$$\Lambda_{-2}(N) = \Lambda_{-1}(N) \Big|_{(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (\beta, \alpha)} \quad (6.5)$$

and

$$\delta_{\pm,1}(N) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\alpha|a_\pm(N)| & \text{if } N \text{ is even} \\ \frac{1}{2}\beta|a_\pm(N)| & \text{if } N \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad \delta_{\pm,2}(N) = \delta_{\pm,1}(N) \Big|_{(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (\beta, \alpha)}. \quad (6.6)$$

Since $\alpha\beta > 1$, one has $\Lambda_{\sigma p}(N) = O(N) \rightarrow +\infty$ ($N \rightarrow +\infty$). Let p_n be the orthogonal projection onto $e_n = (\delta_{n,j})_{j=0}^\infty \in \ell^2$. For a self-adjoint operator T , $\mu_n(T)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, denotes the n -th eigenvalue of T counting multiplicity. For $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\sigma p, N}(n) &= \mu_n(\widehat{Q}_{\sigma p}(N)), \\ \lambda_{\sigma p, N}^{\text{upper}}(n) &= \mu_n(\widehat{Q}_{\sigma p}(N) + \delta_{\sigma p}(N)p_N), \\ \lambda_{\sigma p, N}^{\text{lower}}(n) &= \mu_n(\widehat{Q}_{\sigma p}(N) - \delta_{\sigma p}(N)p_N). \end{aligned}$$

The eigenvalues of $\widehat{Q}_{\sigma p}$ can be approximated by the eigenvalues of the $(N+1)$ -dimensional matrix $\widehat{Q}_{\sigma p}(N)$ in the following sense.

Theorem 6.1. *Fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma = \pm$ and $p = 1, 2$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be a number such that*

$$\lambda_{\sigma p, N}^{\text{upper}}(n) \leq \Lambda_{\sigma p}(N). \quad (6.7)$$

Then it follows that

$$\lambda_{\sigma p, N}^{\text{lower}}(n) \leq \lambda_{\sigma p}(n) \leq \lambda_{\sigma p, N}^{\text{upper}}(n) \quad (6.8)$$

In particular, the error is estimated as $|\lambda_{\sigma p}(n) - \lambda_{\sigma p, N}(n)| \leq \lambda_{\sigma p, N}^{\text{upper}}(n) - \lambda_{\sigma p, N}^{\text{lower}}(n)$.

We give an example below:

Example 6.2. We set $\mathcal{Q}_\pm = \widehat{Q}_{+1}(N) \pm \delta_{+1}(N)p_N$. We apply Theorem 6.1 to the case $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 2$ and $N = 10$. Then $\Lambda_{+1}(N) = 5.875$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{+1,N}^{\text{upper}}(0) &= 0.366917859 \pm 0.000000001, & \lambda_{+1,N}^{\text{lower}}(0) &= 0.366917862 \pm 0.000000001, \\ \lambda_{+1,N}^{\text{upper}}(1) &= 2.432911 \pm 0.000001, & \lambda_{+1,N}^{\text{lower}}(1) &= 2.432920 \pm 0.000001, \\ \lambda_{+1,N}^{\text{upper}}(2) &= 4.7145 \pm 0.0001, & \lambda_{+1,N}^{\text{lower}}(2) &= 4.7164 \pm 0.0001 \\ \lambda_{+1,N}^{\text{upper}}(3) &= 6.2717 \pm 0.0001, & \lambda_{+1,N}^{\text{lower}}(3) &= 6.2789 \pm 0.0001. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_{+1,N}^{\text{upper}}(2) \leq \Lambda_{+1}(N) = 5.875$, by Theorem 6.1 we have numerical bounds:

$$\begin{aligned} 0.36691785 &\leq \lambda_{\sigma p}(0) \leq 0.36691786, \\ 2.43291 &\leq \lambda_{\sigma p}(1) \leq 2.43292, \\ 4.714 &\leq \lambda_{\sigma p}(2) \leq 4.717. \end{aligned}$$

This example does not include the bound on $\lambda_{\sigma p}(3)$, since the condition (6.7) is not valid for $n = 3$.

Proof of Theorem 6.1: We prove the theorem only for the case of $\sigma = +$ and $p = 1$. The other cases can be similarly proven. For $u, v \in \ell^2$, we define the operator $u \odot v : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ by $(u \odot v)\Phi = (v, \Phi)u$, for $\Phi \in \ell^2$. Then operator \widehat{Q}_{+1} can be expressed as

$$\widehat{Q}_{+1} = \widehat{Q}_{+1}(N) \oplus 0 + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} b_{+1}(n)p_n + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_+(n)(e_n \odot e_{n+1} + e_{n+1} \odot e_n).$$

We can show that $u \odot v + v \odot u \leq \epsilon u \odot u + \epsilon^{-1}v \odot v$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. By using this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_+(n)(e_n \odot e_{n+1} + e_{n+1} \odot e_n) &\leq \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |a_+(n)|(\epsilon_n e_n \odot e_n + \epsilon_n^{-1} e_{n+1} \odot e_{n+1}) \\ &= |a_+(N)|\epsilon_N p_N + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (\epsilon_n |a_+(n)| + \epsilon_{n-1}^{-1} |a_+(n-1)|)p_n \end{aligned}$$

for all $\epsilon_n > 0$. We take $\epsilon_{2n+1} = \beta$ and $\epsilon_{2n} = \alpha$ for even N , and $\epsilon_{2n+1} = \alpha$ and $\epsilon_{2n} = \beta$ for odd N . Note that $|a_+(N)|\epsilon_N = \delta_{+1}(N)$. First we consider the case of even N .

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (\epsilon_n |a_+(n)| + \epsilon_{n-1}^{-1} |a_+(n-1)|) p_n \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\epsilon_{N+n+1} |a_+(N+n+1)| + \epsilon_{N+n}^{-1} |a_+(N+n)|) p_{N+n+1} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\epsilon_{N+2n+1} |a_+(N+2n+1)| + \epsilon_{N+2n}^{-1} |a_+(N+2n)|) p_{N+2n+1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\epsilon_{N+2n+2} |a_+(N+2n+2)| + \epsilon_{N+2n+1}^{-1} |a_+(N+2n+1)|) p_{N+2n+2} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\beta |a_+(N+2n+1)| + \alpha^{-1} |a_+(N+2n)|) p_{N+2n+1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha |a_+(N+2n+2)| + \beta^{-1} |a_+(N+2n+1)|) p_{N+2n+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|a_+(n)| \leq 2n + \frac{3}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (\epsilon_n |a_+(n)| + \epsilon_{n-1}^{-1} |a_+(n-1)|) p_n \\
&\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\beta(2N+4n+2+\frac{3}{2}) + \alpha^{-1}(2N+4n+\frac{3}{2})) p_{N+2n+1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha(2N+4n+4+\frac{3}{2}) + \beta^{-1}(2N+4n+2+\frac{3}{2})) p_{N+2n+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $b_{+1}(n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{Q}_{+1} &\geq \widehat{Q}_{+1}(N) \oplus 0 - \delta_{+1}(N) p_N \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\beta(4N+8n+5) - \beta(2N+4n+\frac{7}{2}) - \alpha^{-1}(2N+4n+\frac{3}{2}) \right) p_{N+2n+1} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\alpha(4N+8n+9) - \alpha(2N+4n+\frac{11}{2}) - \beta^{-1}(2N+4n+\frac{7}{2}) \right) p_{N+2n+2} \\
&\geq \widehat{Q}_{+1}(N) \oplus 0 - \delta_{+1}(N) p_N + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\beta - \alpha^{-1})(2N+4n+\frac{3}{2}) p_{N+2n+1} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha - \beta^{-1})(2N+4n+\frac{7}{2}) p_{N+2n+2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\widehat{Q}_{+1} \geq (\widehat{Q}_{+1}(N) - \delta_{+1}(N)p_N) \oplus (\Lambda_{+1}(N))$. We can obtain the same inequality for odd N . In a similar way, we can furthermore obtain the upper bound $\widehat{Q}_{+1} \leq (\widehat{Q}_{+1}(N) + \delta_{+1}(N)p_N) \oplus R(N)$, where $R(N)$ is an operator such that $R(N) \geq \Lambda_{+1}(N)$. By the min-max principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n((\widehat{Q}_{+1}(N) - \delta_{+1}(N)p_N) \oplus \Lambda_{+1}(N)) &\leq \mu_n(\widehat{Q}_{+1}) \\ &\leq \mu_n((\widehat{Q}_{+1}(N) + \delta_{+1}(N)p_N) \oplus R(N)). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\mu_n(\widehat{Q}_{+1}(N) + \delta_{+1}(N)p_N) \leq \Lambda_{+1}(N)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n((\widehat{Q}_{+1}(N) - \delta_{+1}(N)p_N) \oplus \Lambda_{+1}(N)) &= \mu_n(\widehat{Q}_{+1}(N) - \delta_{+1}(N)p_N), \\ \mu_n((\widehat{Q}_{+1}(N) + \delta_{+1}(N)p_N) \oplus R(N)) &= \mu_n(\widehat{Q}_{+1}(N) + \delta_{+1}(N)p_N). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (6.8). □

7. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We can extend non-commutative harmonic oscillators to an infinite dimensional version. Let $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} L^2_{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the boson Fock space, where $L^2_{\text{sym}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 1$, denotes the set of symmetric square integrable functions, and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^0) = \mathbb{C}$. Let $a(f)$ and $a^*(f)$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, be the annihilation operator and the creation operator, respectively, which satisfy canonical commutation relations $[a(f), a^*(g)] = (\bar{f}, g)$, $[a(f), a(g)] = 0 = [a^*(f), a^*(g)]$, and adjoint relation $(a(f))^* = a^*(f)$. Let $d\Gamma(\omega) = \int \omega(k)a^*(k)a(k)dk$ be the second quantization of a real-valued multiplication ω . The scalar field is defined by $\phi(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a^*(f) + a(\bar{f}))$ and its momentum conjugate by $\pi(f) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(a^*(f) - a(\bar{f}))$. Thus we define the self-adjoint operator

$$H = A \otimes d\Gamma(\omega) + J \otimes \left(i\phi(f)\pi(f) + \frac{1}{2}\|f\|^2 \right)$$

on $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}$. The spectrum of H is not purely discrete. It is interesting to consider the existence of a ground state of H and to estimate its multiplicity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

IS thanks T. Nakamaru, H. Niikuni, T. Mine, S. Osawa, A. Sakano and H. Sasaki for their useful comments. IS's work was partly supported by Research supported by KAKENHI Y22740087, and was performed through the Program for Dissemination of Tenure-Track System funded by the Ministry of Education and Science, Japan. FH acknowledges the financial support of Grant-in-Aid for Science Research (B) 20340032. We thank Masato Wakayama for his useful and helpful comments and also thank an invitation to the international conference "Spectral analysis of non-commutative harmonic oscillators and quantum devices" supported by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology in Japan and Institute of Math-for-Industry in Kyushu university in November of 2012. We also thank Alberto Parmeggiani for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [Far72] W. G. Faris, Invariant cones and uniqueness of the ground state for fermion systems, *J. Math. Phys.* **13** (1972), 1285–1290.
- [HS12] F. Hiroshima and I. Sasaki, Multiplicity of the lowest eigenvalue of non-commutative harmonic oscillators, to appear in *Kyushu J. Math.*, preprint 2012.
- [IW07] T. Ichinose and M. Wakayama, On the spectral zeta function for the non-commutative harmonic oscillator, *Rep. Math. Phys.*, **59** (2007), 421–432.
- [NNW02] K. Nagatou, M. T. Nakao and M. Wakayama, Verified numerical computations for eigenvalues of non-commutative harmonic oscillators, *Num. Funct. Anal. and Opt.*, **23** (2002), 633–650.
- [Och01] H. Ochiai, Non-commutative harmonic oscillators and Fuchsian ordinary differential operators, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **217** (2001), 357–373.
- [Par04] A. Parmeggiani, On the spectrum and the lowest eigenvalue of certain non-commutative harmonic oscillators, *Kyushu J. Math.*, **58** (2004), 277–322.
- [Par10] A. Parmeggiani, Spectral theory of non-commutative harmonic oscillators: an introduction, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **1992** Springer-Verlag, 2010.
- [PW01] A. Parmeggiani and M. Wakayama, Oscillator representations and systems of ordinary differential equations, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **98** (2001), 26–30.
- [PW02] A. Parmeggiani and M. Wakayama, Non-commutative harmonic oscillator I, *Form Math.*, **14** (2002), 539–604.
- [PW03] A. Parmeggiani and M. Wakayama, Non-commutative harmonic oscillator II, *Form Math.*, **15** (2003), 669–690.
- [Wak12] M. Wakayama, Simplicity of the lowest eigenvalue of non-commutative harmonic oscillators and the Riemann scheme of a certain Heun’s differential equation, preprint, 2013.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, FUKUOKA, 819-0395, JAPAN
E-mail address: hiroshima@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHINSHU UNIVERSITY, MATSUMOTO 390–8621, JAPAN
E-mail address: isasaki@shinshu-u.ac.jp