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#### Abstract

This paper takes up the systematic study of the Gottlieb groups $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ of spheres for $k \leq 13$ by means of the classical homotopy theory methods. We fully determine the groups $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $k \leq 13$ except for the 2-primary components in the cases: $k=9, n=53 ; k=11, n=115$. Especially, we show $\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right]=0$ if $n=2^{i}-7$ for $i \geq 4$.
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## Introduction

The Gottlieb groups $G_{k}(X)$ of a pointed space $X$ have been defined by Gottlieb in [9] and [10]; first $G_{1}(X)$ and then $G_{k}(X)$ for all $k \geq 1$. The higher Gottlieb groups $G_{k}(X)$ are related in $[10]$ to the existence of sectioning fibrations with fiber $X$. For instance, if $G_{k}(X)$ is trivial then there is a cross-section for every fibration over the $(k+1)$-sphere $\mathbb{S}^{k+1}$, with fiber $X$.

This paper grew out of our attempt to develop techniques in calculating $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $k \leq 13$ and any $n \geq 1$. The composition methods developed by
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Toda [36] are the main tools used in the paper. Our calculations also deeply depend on the results of [13], [16] and [21].

Section 1 serves as background to the rest of the paper. Write $\iota_{n}$ for the homotopy class of the identity map of $\mathbb{S}^{n}$. Then, the homomorphism

$$
P^{\prime}: \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{k+n-1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)
$$

defined by $P^{\prime}(\alpha)=\left[\iota_{n}, \alpha\right]$ for $\alpha \in \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)[11]$ leads to the formula $G_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=$ Ker $P^{\prime}$, where $[-,-]$ denotes the Whitehead product. Let $S O(n)$ be the rotation group and $J: \pi_{k}(S O(n)) \rightarrow \pi_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ be the $J$-homomorphism. We recall $P^{\prime}=J \circ \Delta$ and so, we have

$$
\operatorname{Ker}\left\{\Delta: \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{k-1}(S O(n))\right\} \subset G_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)
$$

By use of this result and [13, Table 2], we can show the lower bounds of the 2-primary component of $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ if $n \geq 13$ and $k \leq 11$.

Our main task is to consult first [11], [12], [20], [21], [35] and [36] about the order of $\left[\iota_{n}, \alpha\right]$ and then to determine some Whitehead products in unsettled cases as well. In light of Serre's result [33, Proposition IV.5], the $p$-primary component of $G_{2 m+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 m}\right)$ vanishes for any odd prime $p$, if $2 m \geq k+1$.

Let $E X$ be the suspension of a space $X$ and denote by $E: \pi_{k}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{k+1}(E X)$ the suspension map. Write $\eta_{2} \in \pi_{3}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}\right), \nu_{4} \in \pi_{7}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}\right)$ and $\sigma_{8} \in \pi_{15}\left(\mathbb{S}^{8}\right)$ for the Hopf maps, respectively. We set $\eta_{n}=E^{n-2} \eta_{2} \in \pi_{n+1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 2$, $\nu_{n}=E^{n-4} \nu_{4} \in \pi_{n+3}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 4$ and $\sigma_{n}=E^{n-8} \sigma_{8} \in \pi_{n+7}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 8$. Write $\eta_{n}^{2}=\eta_{n} \circ \eta_{n+1}, \nu_{n}^{2}=\nu_{n} \circ \nu_{n+3}$ and $\sigma_{n}^{2}=\sigma_{n} \circ \sigma_{n+7}$. Section 2 is a description of $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $k \leq 7$. To reach that for $G_{n+6}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$, we make use of Theorem 2.2 partially extending the result of $[17]:\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{2}\right]=0$ if and only if $n \equiv 4,5,7(\bmod 8)$ or $n=2^{i}-5$ for $i \geq 4$; for the proof of which Section 3 and Section 4 are devoted.

Section 5 devotes to proving Mahowald's result: $\left[\iota_{16 s+7}, \sigma_{16 s+7}\right] \neq 0$ for $s \geq 1$.
Section 6 takes up computations of $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $8 \leq k \leq 13$. In a repeated use of [21], we have found out the triviality of the Whitehead product [23]:

$$
\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right]=0, \text { if } n=2^{i}-7(i \geq 4)
$$

which corrects thereby [21] for $n=2^{i}-7$.

## 1 Preliminaries on Gottlieb groups

Throughout this paper, spaces, maps and homotopies are based. We use the standard terminology and notations from the homotopy theory, mainly from [36]. We do not distinguish between a map and its homotopy class.

Let $X$ be a connected space. The $k$-th Gottlieb group $G_{k}(X)$ of $X$ is the subgroup of the $k$-th homotopy group $\pi_{k}(X)$ consisting of all elements which can be represented by a map $f: \mathbb{S}^{k} \rightarrow X$ such that $f \vee \operatorname{id}_{X}: \mathbb{S}^{k} \vee X \rightarrow X$ extends (up to homotopy) to a map $F: \mathbb{S}^{k} \times X \rightarrow X$. Define $P_{k}(X)$ to be the set of elements of $\pi_{k}(X)$ whose Whitehead product with all elements of all homotopy groups is zero. It turns out that $P_{k}(X)$ forms a subgroup of $\pi_{k}(X)$ and, by [10, Proposition 2.3], $G_{k}(X) \subseteq P_{k}(X)$. Recall from [18] that $X$ is said to be a $G$-space (resp. $W$-space) if $\pi_{k}(X)=G_{k}(X)$ (resp. $\pi_{k}(X)=P_{k}(X)$ ) for all $k$.

Given $\alpha \in \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $k \geq 1$, we deduce that $\alpha \in G_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ if and only if $\left[\iota_{n}, \alpha\right]=0$. In other words, consider the map

$$
P^{\prime}: \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{k+n-1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)
$$

defined by $P^{\prime}(\alpha)=\left[\iota_{n}, \alpha\right]$ for $\alpha \in \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$. Then, this leads to the formula

$$
G_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\operatorname{Ker} P^{\prime}
$$

Write now $\sharp$ for the order of a group or its any element. Then, from the above interpretation of Gottlieb groups of spheres, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
G_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left(\sharp\left[\iota_{n}, \alpha\right]\right) \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right), \text { if } \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \text { is a cyclic group }  \tag{1.1}\\
\text { with a generator } \alpha .
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ is an H -space for $n=3,7$, we have

$$
G_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \text { for } k \geq 1, \text { if } n=3,7 .
$$

We recall the following result from [12] and [42] needed in the sequel.
Lemma 1.1 (1) If $\xi \in \pi_{m}(X), \eta \in \pi_{n}(X), \alpha \in \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{m}\right), \beta \in \pi_{l}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ and if $[\xi, \eta]=0$ then $[\xi \circ \alpha, \eta \circ \beta]=0$.
(2) Let $\alpha \in \pi_{k+1}(X), \beta \in \pi_{l+1}(X), \gamma \in \pi_{m}\left(\mathbb{S}^{k}\right)$ and $\delta \in \pi_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)$.

Then $[\alpha \circ E \gamma, \beta \circ E \delta]=[\alpha, \beta] \circ E(\gamma \wedge \delta)$.
(3) If $\alpha \in \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}\right)$ and $\beta \in \pi_{l}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}\right)$ then $[\alpha, \beta]=0$ unless $k=l=2$.
(4) $[\beta, \alpha]=(-1)^{(k+1)(l+1)}[\alpha, \beta]$ for $\alpha \in \pi_{k+1}(X)$ and $\beta \in \pi_{l+1}(X)$.

In particular, $2[\alpha, \alpha]=0$ for $\alpha \in \pi_{n}(X)$ if $n$ is odd.
(5) If $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \pi_{p+1}(X), \beta \in \pi_{q+1}(X)$ and $p \geq 1$, then $\left[\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}, \beta\right]=$
$\left[\alpha_{1}, \beta\right]+\left[\alpha_{2}, \beta\right]$ and $\left[\beta, \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right]=\left[\beta, \alpha_{1}\right]+\left[\beta, \alpha_{2}\right]$.
(6) $E[\alpha, \beta]=0$ for $\alpha \in \pi_{k}(X)$ and $\beta \in \pi_{l}(X)$.
(7) Let $\alpha \in \pi_{n+1}(X)$. If $n$ is even, $2[\alpha, \alpha]=0$ and $[\alpha,[\alpha, \alpha]]=0$. If $n$ is odd, $3[\alpha,[\alpha, \alpha]]=0$ and all Whitehead products in $\alpha$ of weight $\geq 4$ vanish.

Let $G_{k}(X ; p)$ and $\pi_{k}(X ; p)$ be the $p$-primary components of $G_{k}(X)$ and $\pi_{k}(X)$ for a prime $p$, respectively. But for $X=\mathbb{S}^{n}$, recall the notation from [36]:

$$
\pi_{k}^{n}= \begin{cases}\pi_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right), & \text { if } k=n \\ E^{-1} \pi_{2 n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n+1} ; 2\right), & \text { if } k=2 n-1 \\ \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n} ; 2\right), & \text { if } k \neq n, 2 n-1\end{cases}
$$

As it is well-known, $\left[\iota_{n}, \iota_{n}\right]=0$ if and only if $n=1,3,7$ and $\sharp\left[\iota_{n}, \iota_{n}\right]=2$ for $n$ odd and $n \neq 1,3,7$, and it is infinite provided $n$ is even. Thus, we have reproved the result [10] that $G_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\pi_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for $n=1,3,7$, $G_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=2 \pi_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \cong 2 \mathbb{Z}$ for $n$ odd and $n \neq 1,3,7$, and $G_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=0$ for $n$ even, where $\mathbb{Z}$ denotes the additive group of integers. It is easily obtained that $G_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=P_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for all $k, n[18$, Theorem I.9]. In other words, on the level of spheres the class of $G$-spaces coincides with that of $W$-spaces.

We show
Proposition $1.2(1)\left(2+(-1)^{n}\right)\left[\iota_{n}, \iota_{n}\right] \in G_{2 n-1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$. In particular, the infinite direct summand of $G_{4 n-1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 n}\right)$ is $\left\{3\left[\iota_{2 n}, \iota_{2 n}\right]\right\}$ unless $n=1,2,4$.
(2) If $k \geq 3$ then $G_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}\right)=\pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}\right)$.
(3) If $n$ is odd and $n \neq 1,3,7$ then $2 \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \subset G_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$. In particular,
$G_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n} ; p\right)=\pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n} ; p\right)$ for any odd prime $p$ and $k \geq 1$.
(4) $G_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ provided that $E: \pi_{k+n-1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{k+n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n+1}\right)$ is
a monomorphism.

PROOF. By Lemma 1.1.(7), $\left[\iota_{n},\left[\iota_{n}, \iota_{n}\right]\right]=0$ for $n$ odd. In light of [19, Theorem 1.2.2],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp\left[\iota_{2 n},\left[\iota_{2 n}, \iota_{2 n}\right]\right]=3 \text {, if } n \geq 2 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (1) follows.
(2) follows from Lemma 1.1.(3) what it was shown in [8] as well.

By Lemma 1.1.(4);(5), $\left[2 \iota_{n}, \iota_{n}\right]=0$. So, by Lemma 1.1.(1), $\left[\iota_{n}, 2 \alpha\right]=\left[2 \iota_{n}, \alpha\right]=$ 0 for $\alpha \in \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$. This leads to (3).
(4) is a direct consequence of Lemma 1.1.(6). This completes the proof.

We note that $P^{\prime}: \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{k+n-1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ and the homomorphism

$$
P: \pi_{k+n+1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 n+1}\right) \longrightarrow \pi_{k+n-1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)(k \leq 2 n-2)
$$

in the EHP sequence defined as the notation " $\Delta$ " in [36, Chapter II] are related as follows:

$$
P^{\prime}=P \circ E^{n+1} \text { for } k \leq 2 n-2 .
$$

Denote by $i_{n}(\mathbb{R}): S O(n-1) \hookrightarrow S O(n)$ and $p_{n}(\mathbb{R}): S O(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ the inclusion and projection maps, respectively. We use the following exact sequence induced from the fibration $S O(n+1) \xrightarrow{S O(n)} \mathbb{S}^{n}$ :

$$
\left(\mathcal{S O}_{k}^{n}\right) \quad \pi_{k+1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \pi_{k}(S O(n)) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} \pi_{k}(S O(n+1)) \xrightarrow{p_{*}} \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \longrightarrow \cdots,
$$

where $i=i_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}), p=p_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Delta: \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{k-1}(S O(n))$ the connecting map.

We recall, for the $J$-homomorphism $J: \pi_{k}(S O(n)) \rightarrow \pi_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}=J \circ \Delta \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ker}\left\{\Delta: \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{k-1}(S O(n))\right\} \subset G_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $V_{n, k}$ the Stiefel manifold consisting of $k$-frames in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $k \leq n-1$. We consider the commutative diagram:

where $i: V_{n+1,1} \hookrightarrow V_{2 n, n}$ is the inclusion and $\Delta^{\prime}$ is the connecting map associated with the fibration $S O(2 n) \xrightarrow{S O(n)} V_{2 n, n}$.

By [5, Theorem 2], $\Delta^{\prime}$ is a split monomorphism if $k \leq 2 n-2$ and $n \geq 13$. So, we have $\sharp(\Delta \alpha)=\sharp\left(i_{*} \alpha\right)$ for $\alpha \in \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ if $k \leq 2 n-2$ and $n \geq 13$. Hence, by (1.4) and [13, Table 2], we obtain the following.

Proposition 1.3 Let $n \geq 13$. Then, $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\pi_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $k=1,2,8,9$ if $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4) ; G_{n+3}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n} ; 2\right)=\pi_{n+3}^{n}$ if $n \equiv 7(\bmod 8) ; G_{n+6}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\pi_{n+6}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ if $n \equiv 4,5,7(\bmod 8) ; G_{n+7}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n} ; 2\right)=\pi_{n+7}^{n}$ if $n \equiv 15(\bmod 16) ; G_{n+10}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n} ; 2\right)=$ $\pi_{n+10}^{n}$ if $n \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4) ; G_{n+11}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n} ; 2\right)=\pi_{n+11}^{n}$ if $n$ is odd unless $n \equiv$ $115(\bmod 128)$.

In virtue of [33, Proposition IV.5] ([36, (13.1)]), Serre's isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{i-1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 m-1} ; p\right) \oplus \pi_{i}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4 m-1} ; p\right) \cong \pi_{i}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 m} ; p\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by the correspondence $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto E \alpha+\left[\iota_{2 m}, \iota_{2 m}\right] \circ \beta$.
By (1.5), the Freudenthal suspension theorem and the EHP sequence, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{2 n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 n} ; p\right)=0, \text { if } p \text { is an odd prime and } k \leq 2 n-1 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The notation $\pi_{n+m}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ (resp. $\left.\{\alpha(n)\}\right)$ means that there exist some $k \geq 1$ and an element $\alpha_{k}(\operatorname{resp} . \alpha(k)) \in \pi_{k+m}\left(\mathbb{S}^{k}\right)$ satisfying $\alpha_{n}=E^{n-k} \alpha_{k}$ (resp. $\left.\alpha(n)=E^{n-k} \alpha(k)\right)$ for $n \geq k$. For the $p$-primary component with any prime $p$, the notation is available.

Hereafter, we omit the reference [36] unless otherwise stated. Now, we know that $\pi_{n+3}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n} ; 3\right)=\left\{\alpha_{1}(n)\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ and $\pi_{n+7}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n} ; 3\right)=\left\{\alpha_{2}(n)\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ for $n \geq 3$. We have the relations [36, (13.7), Lemma 13.8, Theorem 13.9]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}(5) \alpha_{1}(8)=0 \text { and } \alpha_{1}(7) \alpha_{2}(10)=0 . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $\{-,-,-\}_{n}$ for the Toda bracket, where $n \geq 0$ and $\{-,-,-\}=\{-,-,-\}_{0}$. We recall that there exists the element $\beta_{1}(5) \in \pi_{15}\left(\mathbb{S}^{5}\right)$ satisfying $\beta_{1}(5) \in$ $\left\{\alpha_{1}(5), \alpha_{1}(8), \alpha_{1}(11)\right\}_{1}, 3 \beta_{1}(5)=-\alpha_{1}(5) \alpha_{2}(8)$ and that $\pi_{n+10}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n} ; 3\right)=\left\{\beta_{1}(n)\right\} \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}_{9}$ for $n=5,6$ and $\cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ for $n \geq 7$.

Let $\Omega^{2} \mathbb{S}^{2 m+1}=\Omega\left(\Omega \mathbb{S}^{2 m+1}\right)$ be the double loop space of $\mathbb{S}^{2 m+1}$ and $Q_{2}^{2 m-1}=$ $\Omega\left(\Omega^{2} \mathbb{S}^{2 m+1}, \mathbb{S}^{2 m-1}\right)$ the homotopy fiber of the canonical inclusion (the double suspension map) $i: \mathbb{S}^{2 m-1} \rightarrow \Omega^{2} \mathbb{S}^{2 m+1}$. Then, the $(\bmod p)$ EHP sequence $[39$, (2.1.3)] or $[36,(13.2)]$ is stated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \xrightarrow{E^{2}} \pi_{i+3}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 m+1}\right) \xrightarrow{H} \pi_{i}\left(Q_{2}^{2 m-1}\right) \xrightarrow{P} \pi_{i}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 m-1}\right) \xrightarrow{E^{2}} \pi_{i+2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 m+1}\right) \xrightarrow{H} \cdots . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By making use of [36, Corollary 13.2], we obtain the generators of the following groups which are all isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ :

$$
\text { 9) } \begin{gather*}
\pi_{6 m-3}\left(Q_{2}^{2 m-1} ; 3\right)=\{i(2 m-1)\},  \tag{1.9}\\
\quad \text { where } i_{2 m-1}: \mathbb{S}^{6 m-3} \hookrightarrow Q_{2}^{2 m-1} \text { is the inclusion; } \\
\pi_{6 m}\left(Q_{2}^{2 m-1} ; 3\right)=\left\{a_{1}(2 m-1)\right\}\left(a_{1}(2 m-1)=i(2 m-1) \alpha_{1}(6 m-3)\right) ; \\
\pi_{6 m+4}\left(Q_{2}^{2 m-1} ; 3\right)=\left\{a_{2}(2 m-1)\right\}\left(a_{2}(2 m-1)=i(2 m-1) \alpha_{2}(6 m-3)\right) ; \\
\pi_{6 m+7}\left(Q_{2}^{2 m-1} ; 3\right)=\left\{b_{1}(2 m-1)\right\}\left(b_{1}(2 m-1)=i(2 m-1) \beta_{1}(6 m-3)\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

The following result and its proof have been shown by Toda [40].
Theorem 1.4 Let $n \geq 2$. Then, $\left[\iota_{2 n},\left[\iota_{2 n}, \alpha_{1}(2 n)\right]\right] \neq 0$ if and only if $n \neq 2$ and $2 n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$.

PROOF. First of all, observe that using the proof of [14, Corollary (5.9)], the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
[[\alpha, \beta], \gamma] \in E \pi_{6 n-2}(X) \text { for } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \pi_{2 n}(X) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. By (1.2), (1.3) and (1.10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{2 n},\left[\iota_{2 n}, \iota_{2 n}\right]\right]=J \Delta\left[\iota_{2 n}, \iota_{2 n}\right] \in E \pi_{6 n-3}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 n-1} ; 3\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.8) and (1.9), $\left[\iota_{2 n},\left[\iota_{2 n}, \iota_{2 n}\right]\right]= \pm E P(i(2 n-1))$. By the naturality [39, (2.1.5)], we obtain $\left[\iota_{2 n},\left[\iota_{2 n}, \alpha_{1}(2 n)\right]\right]= \pm E P\left(a_{1}(2 n-1)\right)$. By [39, (4.15), Proposition 4.4], $(n+1) a_{1}(2 n-1)=H P(i(2 n+1))$. So, $P\left(a_{1}(2 n-1)\right)=$ $\pm \operatorname{PHP}(i(2 n+1))=0$ if $2 n \not \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$. For the case $n=2$, the assertion is trivial.

Next, assume that $n \neq 2$ and $2 n \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$. Then, by [38, Theorem 10.3], there exists an element $v \in \pi_{6 n-2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 n-3}\right)$ satisfying $H(v)=b_{1}(2 n-5)$ and $E^{2} v=P\left(a_{1}(2 n-1)\right)$. Furthermore, by [38, Proposition 5.3.(ii)], we obtain $P\left(a_{2}(2 n-3)\right)=3 v$. Hence, by the $(\bmod 3)$ EHP sequence (1.8), we have $P\left(a_{1}(2 n-1)\right) \neq 0$. This implies the sufficient condition and completes the proof.

We show

Proposition 1.5 (1) Let $3 \leq n \leq 27$. Then, $G_{4 n+2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 n} ; 3\right)=0$ if $n=$ $5,8,11,14,17,20,23,26$ and $G_{4 n+2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 n} ; 3\right)=\left\{\left[\iota_{2 n}, \alpha_{1}(2 n)\right]\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ otherwise.
(2) Let $3 \leq n \leq 9$. Then, $G_{6 n-2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 n} ; 3\right)=\left\{\left[\iota_{2 n},\left[\iota_{2 n}, \iota_{2 n}\right]\right]\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ for $n=3,5,9$, $G_{22}\left(\mathbb{S}^{8} ; 3\right)=\left\{\left[\iota_{8},\left[\iota_{8}, \iota_{8}\right]\right],\left[\iota_{8}, \alpha_{2}(8)\right]\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)^{2}$,
$G_{34}\left(\mathbb{S}^{12} ; 3\right)=\left\{\left[\iota_{12},\left[\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}\right]\right],\left[\iota_{12}, \alpha_{3}^{\prime}(12)\right]\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{9}$,
$G_{40}\left(\mathbb{S}^{14} ; 3\right)=\left\{\left[\iota_{14},\left[\iota_{14}, \iota_{14}\right]\right],\left[\iota_{14}, \alpha_{1}(14) \beta_{1}(17)\right]\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)^{2}$ and
$G_{46}\left(\mathbb{S}^{16} ; 3\right)=\left\{\left[\iota_{16},\left[\iota_{16}, \iota_{16}\right]\right],\left[\iota_{16}, \alpha_{4}(16)\right]\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)^{2}$.

PROOF. Notice that $G_{6 n-2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 n}\right) \ni\left[\iota_{2 n},\left[\iota_{2 n}, \iota_{2 n}\right]\right]$ by Lemma 1.1.(7).
The assertion is obtained from [39, pp. 60-1: Table], (1.5), (1.2), Theorem 1.4. We determine $\pi_{38}\left(\mathbb{S}^{18} ; 3\right)$ and $\pi_{34}\left(\mathbb{S}^{12} ; 3\right)$. The rest is similar.
(1) By [39, pp. 60-1: Table], $\pi_{n+20}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n} ; 3\right)=\left\{\beta_{1}^{2}(n)\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ for $n \geq 5$. So, by $(1.5), \pi_{38}\left(\mathbb{S}^{18} ; 3\right)=\left\{\beta_{1}^{2}(18),\left[\iota_{18}, \alpha_{1}(18)\right]\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)^{2}$. Again, by (1.5), we get $\left[\iota_{18}, \beta_{1}^{2}(18)\right] \neq 0$. Hence, by Theorem 1.4, $G_{38}\left(\mathbb{S}^{18} ; 3\right)=\left\{\left[\iota_{18}, \alpha_{1}(18)\right]\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$.
(2) By $(1.5), \pi_{34}\left(\mathbb{S}^{12} ; 3\right)=E \pi_{23}\left(\mathbb{S}^{11} ; 3\right) \oplus\left\{\left[\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}\right] \circ \alpha_{3}^{\prime}(23)\right\}$. By [39, pp. 601: Table] and (1.11), $\left[\iota_{12},\left[\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}\right]\right] \in E^{3} \pi_{31}\left(\mathbb{S}^{9} ; 3\right)$ and so, $\left[\iota_{12},\left[\iota_{12}, \alpha_{3}^{\prime}(12)\right]\right] \in$ $E^{3} \pi_{42}\left(\mathbb{S}^{9} ; 3\right)$. Moreover, $\pi_{42}\left(\mathbb{S}^{9} ; 3\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ and $E^{4}: \pi_{42}\left(\mathbb{S}^{9} ; 3\right) \rightarrow \pi_{45}\left(\mathbb{S}^{13} ; 3\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{9}$ is injective. This implies $\left[\iota_{12},\left[\iota_{12}, \alpha_{3}^{\prime}(12)\right]\right]=0$ and hence, the group $G_{34}\left(\mathbb{S}^{12} ; 3\right)$ follows.

Remark 1.6 In virtue of (1.10) and Lemma 1.1.(2);(6), $\left[\iota_{2 n},\left[\iota_{2 n},\left[\iota_{2 n}, \iota_{2 n}\right]\right]\right]=$ $\left[\iota_{2 n}, \iota_{2 n}\right] \circ E^{2 n-1}\left[\iota_{2 n},\left[\iota_{2 n}, \iota_{2 n}\right]\right]=0$.

## 2 Gottlieb groups of spheres with stems for $k \leq 7$

According to [11], [12], [17], [20], [35] and [36], we know the following results:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}\right]=0 \text { if and only if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 4) \text { or } n=2,6}  \tag{2.1}\\
& {\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2}\right]=0 \text { if and only if } n \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4) \text { or } n=5 .} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, (1.1) completely determines $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $k=1,2$ overlaping with Proposition 1.3.

We recall that $\pi_{6}^{3}=\left\{\nu^{\prime}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4}$, where $2 \nu^{\prime}=\eta_{3}^{3}$. Write $\omega$ for a generator of the $J$-image $J \pi_{3}(S O(3))=\pi_{6}\left(\mathbb{S}^{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ satisfying $\omega=\nu^{\prime}-\alpha_{1}(3)$. We recall the relation $\left[\iota_{4}, \iota_{4}\right]= \pm\left(2 \nu_{4}-E \omega\right)$. By abuse of notation, $\nu_{n}$ represents a generator of $\pi_{n+3}^{n}$ and $\pi_{n+3}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 4$, respectively. Then, $\pi_{7}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}\right)=$
$\left\{\nu_{4}, E \omega\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{12}, \pi_{n+3}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{\nu_{n}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{24}$ for $n \geq 5$. Here, we write up the relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{3}^{3}=2 \nu^{\prime} \text { and } \eta_{n}^{3}=4 \nu_{n} \text { for } n \geq 5 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [36, (5.9-11), Proposition 5.11],
(2.4) $\eta_{3} \nu_{4}=\nu^{\prime} \eta_{6}, \eta_{5} \nu_{6}=0,\left[\iota_{4}, \eta_{4}\right]=\left(E \nu^{\prime}\right) \eta_{7}$, $\left[\iota_{5}, \iota_{5}\right]=\nu_{5} \eta_{8}, \nu_{6} \eta_{9}=0$ and $\nu^{\prime} \nu_{6}=0$.

By [2, Corollary (7.4)],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{4}, \nu_{4}\right]= \pm 2 \nu_{4}^{2} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In light of Lemma 1.1.(2) and (2.4), we obtain

$$
\left[\iota_{4}, E \nu^{\prime}\right]=\left(2 \nu_{4}-E \nu^{\prime}\right) \circ 2 \nu_{7}=4 \nu_{4}^{2} .
$$

So, we have $2 E \nu^{\prime} \in G_{7}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}\right)$. Consequently, by Proposition 1.2.(1) and (1.6),

$$
G_{7}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}\right)=\left\{3\left[\iota_{4}, \iota_{4}\right], 2 E \nu^{\prime}\right\} \cong 3 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}
$$

By Lemma 1.1.(2) and (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{5}, \nu_{5}\right]=0 . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall the relations [36, (7.1), (7.4), p. 64, Lemma 6.3]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{7} \sigma_{8}=\sigma^{\prime} \eta_{14}+\bar{\nu}_{7}+\varepsilon_{7}, \varepsilon_{3} \eta_{11}=\eta_{3} \varepsilon_{4}, \eta_{6} \bar{\nu}_{7}=\bar{\nu}_{6} \eta_{14}=\nu_{6}^{3} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{9}, \iota_{9}\right]=\eta_{9} \sigma_{10}+\sigma_{9} \eta_{16} ;\left[\iota_{9}, \eta_{9}\right]=\eta_{9}^{2} \sigma_{11}+\sigma_{9} \eta_{16}^{2} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [36, Lemma 6.2],

$$
\left[\iota_{6}, \nu_{6}\right]= \pm 2 \bar{\nu}_{6} .
$$

By $[36,(7.19-20)]$,
(2.9) $\quad \sigma^{\prime} \nu_{14}=x \nu_{7} \sigma_{10}$ and $\left[\iota_{8}, \nu_{8}\right]=2 \sigma_{8} \nu_{15}-x \nu_{8} \sigma_{11}(x:$ odd $), 4 \nu_{9} \sigma_{12}=0$.

By [36, (7.22), Theorem 7.6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{9}, \nu_{9}\right]=\bar{\nu}_{9} \nu_{17} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\sharp\left[\iota_{10}, \nu_{10}\right]=4$. In light of [17], [20], [21], [34], [35], [36], Proposition 1.2.(3) and (1.5), we know the following:

$$
\sharp\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}\right]= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } n \equiv 7(\bmod 8) \text { or } n=2^{i}-3 \text { for } i \geq 3 ;  \tag{2.11}\\ 2, & \text { if } n \equiv 1,3,5(\bmod 8) \text { and } n \geq 9 \text { and } n \neq 2^{i}-3 ; \\ 12, & \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 4) \text { and } n \geq 6 \text { or } n=4,12 ; \\ 24, & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 4) \text { and } n \geq 8 \text { unless } n=12 .\end{cases}
$$

Thus, (1.1) leads to a complete description of $G_{n+3}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 5$.
By [36, (7.20-1)],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{10}, \eta_{10}\right]=2 \sigma_{10} \nu_{17}, \quad\left[\iota_{11}, \iota_{11}\right]=\sigma_{11} \nu_{18}, \nu_{11} \sigma_{14}=0 \text { and } \sigma_{12} \nu_{19}=0 . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), we have $\left[\iota_{4}, \nu_{4} \eta_{7}\right]=\left[\iota_{4},\left(E \nu^{\prime}\right) \eta_{7}\right]=\left[\iota_{5}, \nu_{5} \eta_{8}\right]=0$. Hence, by the group structures of $\pi_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $k=4,5$ and Proposition 1.2.(1), we get

Proposition $2.1 G_{n+4}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\pi_{n+4}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) ; G_{n+5}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\pi_{n+5}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ unless $n=6$ and $G_{11}\left(\mathbb{S}^{6}\right)=3 \pi_{11}\left(\mathbb{S}^{6}\right) \cong 3 \mathbb{Z}$.

In the next two sections, we will prove the following result partially extending that of [17, Theorem 1.3].

Theorem $2.2\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{2}\right]=0$ if and only if $n \equiv 4,5,7(\bmod 8)$ or $n=2^{i}-5$ for $i \geq 4$.

We recall that $\pi_{10}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}\right)=\left\{\nu_{4}^{2}, \alpha_{1}(4) \alpha_{1}(7), \nu_{4} \alpha_{1}(7)\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{8} \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)^{2}$. By (2.5) and (1.7), we get that $\left[\iota_{4}, \nu_{4} \alpha_{1}(7)\right]=\left[\iota_{4}, \alpha_{1}(4) \alpha_{1}(7)\right]=0$. Recall from [36, Lemma 5.14] that $\pi_{12}^{5}=\left\{\sigma^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \pi_{13}^{6}=\left\{\sigma^{\prime \prime}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\pi_{14}^{7}=\left\{\sigma^{\prime}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{8}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \sigma^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 \sigma^{\prime \prime}, E \sigma^{\prime \prime}=2 \sigma^{\prime} \text { and } E^{2} \sigma^{\prime}=2 \sigma_{9} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [2, Corollary (7.4)], (2.4) and (2.13), we obtain

$$
\left[\iota_{5}, \sigma^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]=\left[\iota_{5}, \iota_{5}\right] \circ E^{4} \sigma^{\prime \prime \prime}=0,\left[\iota_{6}, \sigma^{\prime \prime}\right]=\left[\iota_{6}, \iota_{6}\right] \circ E^{5} \sigma^{\prime \prime}=4\left(\left[\iota_{6}, \iota_{6}\right] \circ \sigma_{11}\right)
$$

and $2\left[\iota_{6}, \sigma^{\prime \prime}\right] \neq 0$. We recall the relation $\left[\iota_{8}, \iota_{8}\right]= \pm\left(2 \sigma_{8}-E \sigma^{\prime}\right)$. In $\pi_{22}^{8}=$ $\mathbb{Z}_{16}\left\{\sigma_{8}^{2}\right\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{8}\left\{\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right) \sigma_{15}\right\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}\left\{\kappa_{8}\right\}$, we have $\left[\iota_{8}, E \sigma^{\prime}\right]=2\left[\iota_{8}, \iota_{8}\right] \sigma_{15}= \pm 2\left(2 \sigma_{8}^{2}-\right.$ $\left.\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right) \sigma_{15}\right)$ and in view of $\left[2\right.$, Corollary (7.4)], we obtain $\left[\iota_{8}, \sigma_{8}\right]=\left[\iota_{8}, \iota_{8}\right] \circ \sigma_{15}=$ $\pm\left(2 \sigma_{8}^{2}-\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right) \sigma_{15}\right)$. We know that $\pi_{n+7}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n} ; 5\right)=\left\{\alpha_{1}^{\prime}(n)\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{5}$ for $n \geq 3$. Thus, by Propositions 1.2, 1.3 and Theorem 2.2, we obtain

Proposition $2.3(1) G_{n+6}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\pi_{n+6}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ if $n \equiv 4,5,7(\bmod 8)$ or $n=$ $2^{i}-5$ and $G_{n+6}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=0$ otherwise.
(2) $G_{n+7}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=0$ ifn $=4,6, G_{12}\left(\mathbb{S}^{5}\right)=\pi_{12}\left(\mathbb{S}^{5}\right)$ and $G_{15}\left(\mathbb{S}^{8}\right)=\left\{3\left[\iota_{8}, \iota_{8}\right], 4 E \sigma^{\prime}\right\} \cong$ $3 \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Let $H: \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 n-1}\right)$ be the Hopf homomorphism. Then, by [1] and [31, Proposition 4.5], there exists an element $\gamma \in \pi_{2 n-8}^{n-7}$ satisfying
(2.14) $\left[\iota_{n}, \iota_{n}\right]=E^{7} \gamma$, if $n \equiv 7(\bmod 8) ; H \gamma=\sigma_{2 n-15}$, if $n \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$ and $n \geq 23$.

Concerning (2.14), we obtain
Theorem 2.4 (Mahowald [23]) $\left[\iota_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right] \neq 0$, if $n \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$ and $n \geq$ 23. It desuspends seven dimensions whose Hopf invariant is $\sigma_{2 n-15}^{2}$.

In virtue of Theorem 6.1.(2), the first half of Theorem 2.4 is obtained and this will be proved in Section 5 .

By abuse of notation, $\sigma_{n}$ represents a generator of $\pi_{n+7}^{n}$ and $\pi_{n+7}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 9$, respectively.

By [36, (10.18), Theorem 10.5],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{9}, \sigma_{9}\right]=\sigma_{9}\left(\bar{\nu}_{16}+\varepsilon_{16}\right) \neq 0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{11} \bar{\nu}_{18}=\sigma_{11} \varepsilon_{18}=0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of $\left[36\right.$, Theorem 12.16], $\sharp\left[\iota_{10}, \sigma_{10}\right]=16$ and, by [36, Lemma 12.14],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{11}, \sigma_{11}\right]=0 . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that $\sharp\left[\iota_{12}, \sigma_{12}\right]=16\left[36\right.$, Lemma 12.19, Theorem 12.22] and $\left[\iota_{13}, \sigma_{13}\right] \neq$ 0 [36, p. 166]. We also know that $\sharp\left[\iota_{14}, \sigma_{14}\right]=16[26$, p. 52$],\left[\iota_{15}, \sigma_{15}\right]=0$ [24, Lemma 6.2], $\sharp\left[\iota_{16}, \sigma_{16}\right]=16\left[24\right.$, p. 323], $\left[\iota_{17}, \sigma_{17}\right] \neq 0[25$, p. 27] and $\sharp\left[\iota_{18}, \sigma_{18}\right]=16[25,(5.36)]$. By [32, p. 72: (7.23)], $\left[\iota_{19}, \sigma_{19}\right] \neq 0$. By [32, p. 142 , Theorem 3.(b)], $\sharp\left[\iota_{20}, \sigma_{20}\right]=16$. Hence, by combining the results of [20, Theorem (1.1.2c)], [21, Theorem C], [36, Theorem 10.3], Proposition 1.2.(3), (1.5) and Theorem 2.4, we obtain
$\sharp\left[\iota_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right]= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } n=11 \text { or } n \equiv 15(\bmod 16) ; \\ 2, & \text { if } n \text { is odd and } n \geq 9 \text { unless } n=11 \text { and } n \equiv 15(\bmod 16) ; \\ 120, & \text { if } n=8 ; \\ 240, & \text { if } n \text { is even and } n \geq 10 .\end{cases}$

Whence, by means of (1.1), the group $G_{n+7}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 9$ has been fully described as well.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2.2, part I

Since $S O(n) \cong S O(n-1) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ for $n=4,8$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \pi_{k+1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=0, \text { if } n=3,7 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the exact sequence $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n}^{n}\right)$ and the fact that $\pi_{n}(S O(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for $n \equiv$ $3(\bmod 4)[16, p p .161-2]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \eta_{n}=0, \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 4) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall the formula [16, Lemma 1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\alpha \circ E \beta)=\Delta \alpha \circ \beta \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.2) and (3.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\eta_{n}^{2}\right)=0, \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 4) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given elements $\alpha \in \pi_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ and $\beta \in \pi_{n+k}(S O(n+1))$ satisfying $p_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}) \beta=$ $\alpha$, then $\beta$ is called a lift of $\alpha$ and we write

$$
\beta=[\alpha] .
$$

For $m \leq n-1$, set $i_{m, n}=i_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \circ \cdots \circ i_{m+1}(\mathbb{R})$. We set $[\alpha]_{n}=i_{m, n_{*}}[\alpha] \in$ $\pi_{k}(S O(n))$, where $[\alpha] \in \pi_{k}(S O(m))$ is a lift of $\alpha \in \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}\right)$. Observe that $J\left[\iota_{3}\right]=\nu_{4}$ and $J\left[\iota_{7}\right]=\sigma_{8}$.

Next, we need
Lemma 3.1 Let $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 7$. Then,
(1) $\left\{\Delta \iota_{n}, \eta_{n-1}, 2 \iota_{n}\right\}=0$;
(2) $\Delta\left(E\left\{\eta_{n-1}, 2 \iota_{n}, \alpha\right\}\right)=0$, where $\alpha \in \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ is an element satisfying $2 \iota_{n} \circ \alpha=$ 0 .

PROOF. By [36, Proposition 1.4] and the fact that $2 \pi_{n+1}(S O(n+1))=0$ [16, p. 161], we obtain
$i_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}) \circ\left\{\Delta \iota_{n}, \eta_{n-1}, 2 \iota_{n}\right\}=-\left\{i_{n+1}(\mathbb{R}), \Delta \iota_{n}, \eta_{n-1}\right\} \circ 2 \iota_{n+1} \subset 2 \pi_{n+1}(S O(n+1))=0$.

It follows from $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n+1}^{n}\right)$ and (3.4) that $i_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})_{*}: \pi_{n+1}(S O(n)) \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(S O(n+$ $1)$ ) is a monomorphism. This leads to (1).

By (3.3) and (1), for any $\beta \in\left\{\eta_{n-1}, 2 \iota_{n}, \alpha\right\}$, we obtain

$$
\Delta(E \beta) \in \Delta \iota_{n} \circ\left\{\eta_{n-1}, 2 \iota_{n}, \alpha\right\}=-\left\{\Delta \iota_{n}, \eta_{n-1}, 2 \iota_{n}\right\} \circ E \alpha=0 .
$$

This leads to (2) and completes the proof.

We recall that $\varepsilon_{n-1} \in\left\{\eta_{n-1}, 2 \iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{2}\right\}$ and $\mu_{n-1} \in\left\{\eta_{n-1}, 2 \iota_{n}, E^{n-5} \sigma^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\}$ for $n \geq$ 5. By (3.1) and Lemma 3.1.(2), we obtain

Example $3.2 \Delta \varepsilon_{n}=0$ and $\Delta \mu_{n}=0$, if $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
We show
Lemma 3.3 (1) $\Delta\left(\nu_{n}^{2}\right)=0$, if $n \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$;
(2) $\Delta\left(\nu_{4 n}^{2}\right)=0$, if $n$ is odd.

PROOF. Since $\pi_{7}(S O(5)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ [16, p. 162], $\Delta: \pi_{8}\left(\mathbb{S}^{5}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{7}(S O(5))$ is trivial and $\Delta \nu_{5}=0$. So, by (3.3), $\Delta\left(\nu_{5}^{2}\right)=0$. Let now $n \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 13$. We consider the exact sequence $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n+5}^{n}\right)$ :

$$
\pi_{n+6}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \pi_{n+5}(S O(n)) \xrightarrow{i_{⿱}} \pi_{n+5}(S O(n+1)) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

By [5, Theorem 2], we obtain

$$
\pi_{n+5}(S O(n)) \cong \pi_{n+5}(S O) \oplus \pi_{n+6}\left(V_{n+8,8}\right)
$$

In light of [13, Table 1], $\pi_{n+6}\left(V_{n+8,8}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{8}$ and by [6], $\pi_{n+5}(S O)=0$. So, $\pi_{n+5}(S O(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{8}$. By [16, p. 161], $\pi_{n+5}(S O(n+1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{8}$. From the fact that $\pi_{n+6}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{\nu_{n}^{2}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, we obtain $\Delta\left(\nu_{n}^{2}\right)=0$, and hence (1) follows.

We obtain $\pi_{9}(S O(4)) \cong \pi_{9}(S O(3)) \oplus \pi_{9}\left(\mathbb{S}^{3}\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)^{2}$, and so $\Delta\left(\nu_{4}^{2}\right)=0$. Let now $n \geq 3$. Then, we consider the exact sequence $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{4 n+5}^{4 n}\right)$ :

$$
\pi_{4 n+6}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4 n}\right) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \pi_{4 n+5}(S O(4 n)) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} \pi_{4 n+5}(S O(4 n+1)) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

By [16, p. 161],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{4 n+5}(S O(4 n+1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}(n \geq 2) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left[15\right.$, Theorem 1.(iii)], $\pi_{17}(S O(12))=\left\{\left[\iota_{7}\right]_{12} \eta_{7} \mu_{8}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Since $J\left(\left[\iota_{7}\right]_{12} \eta_{7} \mu_{8}\right)=$ $\sigma_{12} \eta_{19} \mu_{20} \neq 0$ in $\pi_{29}\left(\mathbb{S}^{12}\right)$, we get that $\Delta\left(\nu_{12}^{2}\right)=0$. Let $n$ be odd and $n \geq 5$. In
light of [5, Theorem 2],

$$
\pi_{4 n+5}(S O(4 n)) \cong \pi_{4 n+5}(S O) \oplus \pi_{4 n+6}\left(V_{4 n+8,8}\right)
$$

By means of [6] and [13, Table 1], $\pi_{4 n+5}(S O) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $\pi_{4 n+6}\left(V_{4 n+8,8}\right)=0$. Hence, we obtain $\Delta\left(\nu_{4 n}^{2}\right)=0$ if $n$ is odd with $n \geq 5$. This leads to (2) and completes the proof.
[17, Theorem 1.3] suggests the non-triviality of $\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{2}\right]$ for $n \equiv 0,1,2,3,6(\bmod$ 8) and $n \geq 6$ and [28, Proposition 3.4] gives an explicit proof of its nontriviality for $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 6$.

By Lemma 1.1.(1) and (2.11), we have $\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{2}\right]=0$ if $n \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$ or $n=$ $2^{i}-3$ for $i \geq 3$. In virtue of Lemma 3.3 and (1.3), we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{2}\right]=0, \text { if } n \equiv 5(\bmod 8) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{2}\right]=0, \text { if } n \equiv 4(\bmod 8) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 8$. By [5, Theorem 2], [6] and [13, Table 1], $\pi_{2 n+3}(S O(2 n-2)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}$. In the exact sequence $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{2 n+3}^{2 n-3}\right)$, the map $p_{2 n-2}(\mathbb{R})_{*}: \pi_{2 n+3}(S O(2 n-2)) \rightarrow \pi_{2 n+3}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 n-3}\right)$ is an epimorphism by Lemma 3.3.(1). So, the direct summand $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ of $\pi_{2 n+3}(S O(2 n-2))$ is generated by $\left[\nu_{2 n-3}^{2}\right]$. By [16, p. 161], $\pi_{2 n+3}(S O(2 n+1)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $\pi_{2 n+3}(S O(2 n+2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{2 n+3}^{2 n+1}\right)$ that the direct summand $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ of $\pi_{2 n+3}(S O(2 n+1))$ is generated by $\Delta \nu_{2 n+1}$. By [16, p. 161], $\pi_{2 n+3}(S O(2 n+k-1)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ for $0 \leq k \leq 2$. Hence, by use of $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{2 n+3}^{2 n+k-1}\right)$ for $-1 \leq k \leq 2,\left(i_{2 n-2,2 n+1}\right)_{*}: \pi_{2 n+3}(S O(2 n-$ $2)) \rightarrow \pi_{2 n+3}(S O(2 n+1))$ is an epimorphism and we get the relation

$$
\left[\nu_{2 n-3}^{2}\right]_{2 n+1}=\Delta \nu_{2 n+1} .
$$

Thus, we conclude
Lemma 3.4 $E^{3} J\left[\nu_{2 n-3}^{2}\right]=\left[\iota_{2 n+1}, \nu_{2 n+1}\right]$, if $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 8$.
Hereafter, we use often the EHP sequence of the following type:

$$
\left(\mathcal{P E} \mathcal{E}_{n+k}^{n}\right) \quad \pi_{n+k+2}^{2 n+1} \xrightarrow{P} \pi_{n+k}^{n} \xrightarrow{E} \pi_{n+k+1}^{n+1} .
$$

It is well-known that

$$
H\left[\iota_{n}, \iota_{n}\right]=0 \text { for } n \text { odd, and } H\left[\iota_{n}, \iota_{n}\right]= \pm 2 \iota_{2 n-1} \text { for } n \text { even. }
$$

So, by [36, Proposition 2.5], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H P\left(E^{3} \gamma\right)= \pm\left(1+(-1)^{n}\right) E \gamma \text { for } \gamma \in \pi_{k}^{2 n-2} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\Delta \alpha=0$ for $\alpha \in \pi_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$. Then, by [41, pp. 214-5], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(J[\alpha])= \pm E^{n+1} \alpha \text { for } k \leq 2 n . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we show

## I. $\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{2}\right] \neq 0$ if $n \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 9$.

In virtue of (2.10) and [36, Lemmas 9.2,10.1, Theorem 20.3], $\left[\iota_{9}, \nu_{9}^{2}\right]=\bar{\nu}_{9} \nu_{17}^{2} \equiv$ $2 \kappa_{9}+8 a \sigma_{9}^{2} \neq 0$ for $a \in\{0,1\}$.

Let $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 8$. By Lemma 3.4, $\left[\iota_{2 n+1}, \nu_{2 n+1}^{2}\right]=E^{3}\left(J\left[\nu_{2 n-3}^{2}\right] \circ\right.$ $\left.\nu_{4 n+1}\right)$. Suppose that $E^{3}\left(J\left[\nu_{2 n-3}^{2}\right] \circ \nu_{4 n+1}\right)=0$. Then, by use of $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{4 n+6}^{2 n}\right)$, we obtain $E^{2}\left(J\left[\nu_{2 n-3}^{2}\right] \circ \nu_{4 n+1}\right)=8 a\left[\iota_{2 n}, \sigma_{2 n}\right]$ for $a \in\{0,1\}$. By means of [36, Proposition 11.11.(i)], there exists an element $\beta \in \pi_{4 n+4}^{2 n-2}$ such that $P\left(8 \sigma_{4 n+1}\right)=$ $E^{2} \beta$ and $H \beta \in\left\{2 \iota_{4 n-5}, \eta_{4 n-5}, 8 \sigma_{4 n-4}\right\}_{2}$. By [36, (1.15), Proposition 1.2.0);ii), Lemma 1.1] and the relation $2 \eta_{4 n-5}=0$, we see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{2 \iota_{4 n-5}, \eta_{4 n-5}, 8 \sigma_{4 n-4}\right\}_{2} \subset\left\{2 \iota_{4 n-5}, \eta_{4 n-5}, 8 \sigma_{4 n-4}\right\} \subset \\
\left\{2 \iota_{4 n-5}, 0,4 \sigma_{4 n-4}\right\}=2 \iota_{4 n-5} \circ \pi_{4 n+4}^{4 n-5}+\pi_{4 n-3}^{4 n-5} \circ 4 \sigma_{4 n-3}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

So, there exists an element $\beta^{\prime} \in \pi_{4 n+3}^{2 n-3}$ such that $\beta=E \beta^{\prime}$. Hence, $E^{2}\left(J\left[\nu_{2 n-3}^{2}\right] \circ\right.$ $\left.\nu_{4 n+1}\right)=a E^{3} \beta^{\prime}$.

In virtue of Lemma 1.1.(1) and (2.1), $\left[\iota_{2 n-1}, \eta_{2 n-1} \sigma_{2 n}\right]=0$. In light of (1.3) and Example 3.2, $\left[\iota_{2 n-1}, \varepsilon_{2 n-1}\right]=0$, and so $P \pi_{4 n+7}^{4 n-1}=0$. Therefore, by $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{4 n+5}^{2 n-1}\right)$, $E\left(J\left[\nu_{2 n-3}^{2}\right] \circ \nu_{4 n+1}\right)=a E^{2} \beta^{\prime}$. Finally, by use of $\left(\mathcal{P}_{4 n+4}^{2 n-2}\right)$ and (3.9), we have a contradictory relation $\nu_{4 n-5}^{3}=0$. Thus, we get $\left[\iota_{2 n+1}, \nu_{2 n+1}^{2}\right]=E^{3}\left(J\left[\nu_{2 n-3}^{2}\right] \circ\right.$ $\left.\nu_{4 n+1}\right) \neq 0$.

We denote by $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ the real $n$-dimensional projective space, by $\gamma_{n}: \mathbb{S}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} P^{n}$ the covering map and by $p_{n}^{\prime}: \mathbb{R} P^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n}$ the collapsing map, respectively. Then, we can take $\Delta \iota_{n}=j \circ \gamma_{n-1}$, where $j: \mathbb{R} P^{n-1} \hookrightarrow S O(n)$ is the canonical embedding. Hence, by the relations $j \circ p_{n}(\mathbb{R})=p_{n-1}^{\prime}$ and $p_{n}^{\prime} \circ \gamma_{n}=(1+$ $\left.(-1)^{n+1}\right) \iota_{n}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}(\mathbb{R})\left(\Delta \iota_{n}\right)=\left(1+(-1)^{n}\right) \iota_{n-1} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 8$. By use of $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n+1}^{n-1}\right)$ and [16, pp. 161-2], we get that $i_{n}(\mathbb{R})_{*}: \pi_{n+1}(S O(n-1)) \rightarrow \pi_{n+1}(S O(n))$ is a monomorphism. So, we
obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \nu_{n-1}=0, \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 8) \text { and } n \geq 8 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.3.(2), $\nu_{n-1}$ and $\nu_{n-4}^{2}$ are lifted to $\left[\nu_{n-1}\right] \in \pi_{n+2}(S O(n))$ and $\left[\nu_{n-4}^{2}\right] \in \pi_{n+2}(S O(n-3))$, respectively. We show the following

Lemma 3.5 Let $n \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 16$. Then,
(1) $2\left[\nu_{n-1}\right]-\Delta \nu_{n}=x\left[\nu_{n-4}^{2}\right]_{n}$ for odd $x$;
(2) $\pi_{n+5}(S O(n+1))=\left\{\left[\nu_{n-1}\right]_{n+1} \nu_{n+2}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

PROOF. By use of $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n+2}^{n-k}\right)$ for $2 \leq k \leq 4$, Lemma 3.3 and [16, p. 161], we see that $\left(i_{n-3, n-1}\right)_{*}: \pi_{n+2}(S O(n-3)) \rightarrow \pi_{n+2}(S O(n-1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{8}$ is an isomorphism and $\pi_{n+2}(S O(n-3))=\left\{\left[\nu_{n-4}^{2}\right]\right\}$. In virtue of [16, p. 161], $\pi_{n+2}(S O(n+1)) \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ and $\pi_{n+2}(S O(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{24} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{8}$. So, by $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n+2}^{n-k}\right)$ for $k=0,1$, we get $\pi_{n+2}(S O(n))=\left\{\Delta \nu_{n},\left[\nu_{n-1}\right]\right\}$. By (3.10), we obtain $p_{n}(\mathbb{R})\left(\Delta \nu_{n}\right)=2 \nu_{n-1}$, and hence $2\left[\nu_{n-1}\right]-\Delta \nu_{n} \in \operatorname{Im}\left\{i_{n}(\mathbb{R})_{*}: \pi_{n+2}(S O(n-1)) \rightarrow \pi_{n+2}(S O(n))\right\}$. Since $\sharp\left(2\left[\nu_{n-1}\right]-\Delta \nu_{n}\right)=8$, we have the required relation of (1).

We consider the exact sequence $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n+5}^{n}\right)$ :

$$
\pi_{n+6}\left(S^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \pi_{n+5}(S O(n)) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} \pi_{n+5}(S O(n+1)) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

By (3.5), $\pi_{n+5}(S O(n+1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. In view of [5, Theorem 2], [6] and [13, Table 1], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n+5}(S O(n)) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}(n \equiv 0(\bmod 8) \text { and } n \geq 8) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.11), $\nu_{n-1}^{2}$ is lifted to $\left[\nu_{n-1}\right] \nu_{n+2}$. Consequently, we obtain $\pi_{n+5}(S O(n))=$ $\left\{\Delta\left(\nu_{n}^{2}\right),\left[\nu_{n-1}\right] \nu_{n+2}\right\}$ and $\pi_{n+5}(S O(n+1))=\left\{\left[\nu_{n-1}\right]_{n+1} \nu_{n+2}\right\}$. This leads to (2) and completes the proof.

The relation in [36, Lemma 11.17] is regarded as the $J$-image of that in Lemma 3.5.(1).

Remark 3.6 The results in (3.2), (3.4), Lemma 3.3, Example 3.2 and (3.11) overlaps with [13, Table 2].

Now, we present a proof of the non-triviality of $\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{2}\right]$ in the case $n \equiv 0(\bmod$ 8 ) and $n \geq 8$.
II. $\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{2}\right] \neq 0$ if $n \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 8$.

By (2.9) and [36, Theorem 7.7], $\left[\iota_{8}, \nu_{8}^{2}\right]=\nu_{8} \sigma_{11} \nu_{18} \neq 0$. Let $n \equiv 0(\bmod$ 8) and $n \geq 16$. In light of (3.12), $\pi_{n+5}(S O(n)) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}$. So, by (3.3) and Lemma 3.5,

$$
\Delta\left(\nu_{n}^{2}\right)=\left[\nu_{n-4}^{2}\right]_{n} \nu_{n+2}
$$

and hence $\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{2}\right]=E^{3}\left(J\left[\nu_{n-4}^{2}\right] \circ \nu_{2 n-1}\right)$.
Suppose that $E^{3}\left(J\left[\nu_{n-4}^{2}\right] \circ \nu_{2 n-1}\right)=0$. Then, $E^{2}\left(J\left[\nu_{n-4}^{2}\right] \circ \nu_{2 n-1}\right) \in P \pi_{2 n+6}^{2 n-1}=$ $\left\{\left[\iota_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}\right]\right\}$. By [36, Proposition 11.11.(ii)], it holds $P \pi_{2 n+5}^{2 n-3} \subset E^{2} \pi_{2 n+1}^{n-4}$. So, by (2.14) and using $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{2 n+4-k}^{n-1-k}\right)$ for $k=0,1$, we get that

$$
J\left[\nu_{n-4}^{2}\right] \circ \nu_{2 n-1}-a E^{5}\left(\gamma \sigma_{2 n-10}\right)-E \beta \in P \pi_{2 n+4}^{2 n-5}
$$

for some $\beta \in \pi_{2 n+1}^{n-4}$ and $a \in\{0,1\}$. Hence, (3.8) and (3.9) imply a contradictory relation $\nu_{2 n-7}^{3}=0$, and thus $\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{2}\right] \neq 0$.

We note that Nomura [30] has a different proof of II.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2.2, part II

Let $\omega_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \in \pi_{n-1}(O(n)), \omega_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \in \pi_{2 n}(U(n))$ and $\omega_{n}(\mathbb{H}) \in \pi_{4 n+2}(S p(n))$ be the characteristic elements for the orthogonal $O(n)$, unitary $U(n)$ and symplectic $S p(n)$ groups, respectively. We note that $\omega_{n}(\mathbb{R})=\Delta \iota_{n}$ and $\sharp\left(\Delta \iota_{n}\right)=$ 2 for odd $n \geq 9$.

Let $r_{n}: U(n) \rightarrow S O(2 n)$ and $c_{n}: S p(n) \rightarrow S U(2 n)$ be the canonical maps, respectively. Set $i_{n}(\mathbb{C}): U(n-1) \hookrightarrow U(n)$ for the inclusion map. As it is well-known,

$$
i_{2 n+1}(\mathbb{R}) r_{n} \omega_{n}(\mathbb{C})=\omega_{2 n+1}(\mathbb{R}) \text { and } i_{2 n+1}(\mathbb{C}) c_{n} \omega_{n}(\mathbb{H})=\omega_{2 n+1}(\mathbb{C})
$$

Let

$$
\tau_{2 n}^{\prime}=r_{n} \omega_{n}(\mathbb{C}) \in \pi_{2 n}(S O(2 n)) \text { and } \bar{\tau}_{4 n}^{\prime}=r_{2 n} c_{n} \omega_{n}(\mathbb{H}) \in \pi_{4 n+2}(S O(4 n))
$$

By use of the exact sequence $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{2 n}^{2 n}\right)$ and [16, p. 161], we obtain the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{2 n+1}(\mathbb{R}) \tau_{2 n}^{\prime}=\Delta \iota_{2 n+1} \text { for } n \geq 4 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 10$. Then, by use of $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n}^{n}\right),(4.1)$ and [16, p. 161],
we obtain
(4.2) $\pi_{n}(S O(n))=\left\{\tau_{n}^{\prime}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $2 \tau_{n}^{\prime}=\Delta \eta_{n}$, if $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 10$.

By the commutative diagram

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i_{4 n, 4 n+2}\right) \bar{\tau}_{4 n}^{\prime}=\tau_{4 n+2}^{\prime} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2 n}(\mathbb{R}) \tau_{2 n}^{\prime}=(n-1) \eta_{2 n-1} \text { and } p_{4 n}(\mathbb{R}) \bar{\tau}_{4 n}^{\prime}= \pm(n+1) \nu_{4 n-1} \text { for } n \geq 2 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By use of $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{4 n+2}^{4 n+1}\right),(4.1),(4.3)$ and [16, p. 161], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\eta_{4 n+1}^{2}\right)=4 i_{4 n+1}(\mathbb{R}) \bar{\tau}_{4 n}^{\prime}, \text { if } n \geq 2 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{4 n+2}^{4 n}\right)$, (4.1) and (4.5), we have $\tau_{4 n}^{\prime} \eta_{4 n}^{2}-4 \bar{\tau}_{4 n}^{\prime} \in\left\{\Delta \nu_{4 n}\right\}$. Composing $p_{4 n}(\mathbb{R})$ with this relation, using the fact that $\eta_{4 n-1}^{3}=12 \nu_{4 n-1}$ (2.3), (3.10) and (4.4),

$$
\tau_{4 n}^{\prime} \eta_{4 n}^{2} \equiv 4 \bar{\tau}_{4 n}^{\prime}\left(\bmod 2 a \Delta \nu_{4 n}\right), \text { for } a \text { odd and } n \geq 2
$$

Set $\tau_{2 n}=J \tau_{2 n}^{\prime} \in \pi_{4 n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2 n}\right)$ and $\bar{\tau}_{4 n}=J \bar{\tau}_{4 n}^{\prime} \in \pi_{8 n+2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4 n}\right)$. Then, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \tau_{2 n}=\left[\iota_{2 n+1}, \iota_{2 n+1}\right], H \tau_{2 n}=(n-1) \eta_{4 n-1} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{3} \bar{\tau}_{4 n}=\left[\iota_{4 n+3}, \iota_{4 n+3}\right], H \bar{\tau}_{4 n}= \pm(n+1) \nu_{8 n-1} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{4 n+1}, \eta_{4 n+1}^{2}\right]=4 E \bar{\tau}_{4 n} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\iota_{X}$ be the identity class of a space $X$. Denote by $\mathrm{P}^{n}(2)$ the Moore space of type $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}, n-1\right)$ and by $i_{n}: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{P}^{n}(2), p_{n}: \mathrm{P}^{n}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n}$ the inclusion and
collapsing maps, respectively. We recall from [37, p. 307, Corollary] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \iota_{\mathrm{P}^{n}(2)}=i_{n} \eta_{n-1} p_{n}, \text { if } n \geq 3 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\bar{\eta}_{n} \in\left[\mathrm{P}^{n+2}(2), \mathbb{S}^{n}\right] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ and $\tilde{\eta}_{n} \in \pi_{n+2}\left(\mathrm{P}^{n+1}(2)\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ for $n \geq 3$ be an extension and a coextension of $\eta_{n}$, respectively. We note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\eta}_{n} \in\left\{\eta_{n}, 2 \iota_{n+1}, p_{n+1}\right\} \text {, if } n \geq 3 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\eta}_{n} \in\left\{i_{n+1}, 2 \iota_{n}, \eta_{n}\right\} \text {, if } n \geq 3 . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \bar{\eta}_{n}=\eta_{n}^{2} p_{n+2} \text { and } 2 \tilde{\eta}_{n}=i_{n+1} \eta_{n}^{2}, \text { if } n \geq 3 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $\bar{\eta}_{n} \tilde{\eta}_{n+1}= \pm E^{n-3} \nu^{\prime}$ for $n \geq 3$. Furthermore, we recall that $\pi_{n+8}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ for $3 \leq n \leq 5$ and $\varepsilon_{3} \in\left\{\eta_{3}, E \nu^{\prime}, \nu_{7}\right\}$. We need

Lemma $4.1 \varepsilon_{n}=\left\{\eta_{n} \bar{\eta}_{n+1}, \tilde{\eta}_{n+2}, \nu_{n+4}\right\}_{n-5}$ for $n \geq 5$.

PROOF. By the fact that $\tilde{\eta}_{7} \in\left\{i_{8}, 2 \iota_{7}, \eta_{7}\right\}$ and [36, Propositon 1.4],

$$
\tilde{\eta}_{7} \circ \nu_{9} \in\left\{i_{8}, 2 \iota_{7}, \eta_{7}\right\} \circ \nu_{9}=i_{8} \circ\left\{2 \iota_{7}, \eta_{7}, \nu_{8}\right\} \subset i_{8} \circ \pi_{12}\left(\mathbb{S}^{7}\right)=0 .
$$

So, by [36, Proposition 1.2.(ii)], we can take

$$
\varepsilon_{5} \in\left\{\eta_{5}, 2 \nu_{6}, \nu_{9}\right\}=\left\{\eta_{5}, \bar{\eta}_{6} \tilde{\eta}_{7}, \nu_{9}\right\}=\left\{\eta_{5} \bar{\eta}_{6}, \tilde{\eta}_{7}, \nu_{9}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon_{n}=E^{n-5} \varepsilon_{5} \in E^{n-5}\left\{\eta_{5} \bar{\eta}_{6}, \tilde{\eta}_{7}, \nu_{9}\right\} \subset\left\{\eta_{n} \bar{\eta}_{n+1}, \tilde{\eta}_{n+2}, \nu_{n+4}\right\}_{n-5} \text { if } n \geq 5 .
$$

The indeterminacy of the bracket $\left\{\eta_{n} \bar{\eta}_{n+1}, \tilde{\eta}_{n+2}, \nu_{n+4}\right\}$ is $\eta_{n} \bar{\eta}_{n+1} \circ \pi_{n+8}\left(P^{n+3}(2)\right)+$ $\pi_{n+5}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \circ \nu_{n+5}$. Since $\eta_{n+4} \nu_{n+5}=0(2.4)$ and $\pi_{n+5}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{\nu_{n} \eta_{n+3}^{2}\right\}$ if $n \geq 5$, we obtain $\pi_{n+5}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \circ \nu_{n+5}=0$. By use of the homotopy exact sequence of a pair $\left(P^{n+3}(2), S^{n+2}\right)$, we obtain $\pi_{n+8}\left(P^{n+3}(2)\right)=\left\{i_{n+3} \nu_{n+2}^{2}\right\}$. So $\bar{\eta}_{n+1} \circ$ $\pi_{n+8}\left(P^{n+3}(2)\right)=\left\{\eta_{n+1} \nu_{n+2}^{2}\right\}=0$, and hence $\eta_{n} \bar{\eta}_{n+1} \circ \pi_{n+8}\left(P^{n+3}(2)\right)=0$. Thus, the indeterminacy is trivial. This completes the proof.

Although the following result is directly obtained from [13, Table 2], we show
Theorem $4.2\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right]=0$ if $n \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 9$.

PROOF. For $n=9$, the assertion is obtained in [17, p. 336]. By [16, p. 161] and Lemma 3.5.(2), we get that

$$
\pi_{n+3}(S O(n))=0
$$

and

$$
\pi_{n+4}(S O(n))=\left\{\left[\nu_{n-2}\right]_{n} \nu_{n+1}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}
$$

We consider the exact sequence $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n+1}^{n}\right)$ :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \pi_{n+2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \pi_{n+1}(S O(n)) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} \pi_{n+1}(S O(n+1)) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $\pi_{n+1}(S O(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{8}$ and $\pi_{n+1}(S O(n+1))=\left\{\tau_{n+1}^{\prime}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ (4.2). By (4.3), $i_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \bar{\tau}_{n-1}^{\prime}$ becomes a generator of $\pi_{n+1}(S O(n))$ and we have $4 i_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \bar{\tau}_{n-1}^{\prime}=$ $\Delta\left(\eta_{n}^{2}\right)$. Hence, we obtain $\Delta \eta_{n} \circ \eta_{n} \bar{\eta}_{n+1}=0$ and we can define a Toda bracket $\left\{\Delta \eta_{n}, \eta_{n} \bar{\eta}_{n+1}, \tilde{\eta}_{n+2}\right\}_{n-5} \subset \pi_{n+5}(S O(n))$. By [36, the second formula in Proposition 1.6 and Proposition 1.2.0)] and the relation $2\left(\eta_{5} \bar{\eta}_{6}\right)=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left\{\Delta \eta_{n}, \eta_{n} \bar{\eta}_{n+1}, \tilde{\eta}_{n+2}\right\}_{n-5} & =\left\{\Delta \eta_{n}, E^{n-5}\left(2\left(\eta_{5} \bar{\eta}_{6}\right)\right), E^{n-5} \tilde{\eta}_{7}\right\}_{n-5} \\
& =\Delta \eta_{n} \circ E^{n-5} \pi_{10}^{5}+\left[P^{n+4}(2), S O(n)\right] \circ \tilde{\eta}_{n+3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $E^{n-5} \pi_{10}^{5}=\left\{E^{n-5}\left(\nu_{5} \eta_{8}^{2}\right)\right\}=0$, we have $\Delta \eta_{n} \circ E^{n-5} \pi_{10}^{5}=0$. By the fact that $\pi_{n+3}(S O(n))=0$ and the relation $\nu_{n+1} \eta_{n+4}=0$, we obtain $\left[P^{n+4}(2), S O(n)\right]$ 。 $\tilde{\eta}_{n+3}=\pi_{n+4}(S O(n)) \circ \eta_{n+4}=0$. This implies

$$
(*) \quad 2\left\{\Delta \eta_{n}, \eta_{n} \bar{\eta}_{n+1}, \tilde{\eta}_{n+2}\right\}_{n-5}=0 .
$$

In virtue of [5, Theorem 2], [6] and [13, Table 1],

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{n+4}(S O(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{8 d}, \text { where } d=2 \text { or } 1 \text { according as }  \tag{4.13}\\
& \quad n \equiv 2(\bmod 8) \text { and } n \geq 18 \text { or } n \equiv 6(\bmod 8) \text { and } n \geq 14
\end{align*}
$$

and $\pi_{n+5}(S O(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. By use of the exact sequence $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n+5}^{n}\right)$, we see that the direct summand $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is generated by $\Delta\left(\nu_{n}^{2}\right)$. So, by ( $*$ ),
$\left\{\Delta \eta_{n}, \eta_{n} \bar{\eta}_{n+1}, \tilde{\eta}_{n+2}\right\}_{n-5}$ contains possibly $\Delta\left(\nu_{n}^{2}\right)\left(\bmod 8 \pi_{n+5}(S O(n))\right.$. By Lemma 4.1 and [36, Proposition 1.4],

$$
\Delta\left(\eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right)=\Delta \eta_{n} \circ \varepsilon_{n} \in\left\{\Delta \eta_{n}, \eta_{n} \bar{\eta}_{n+1}, \tilde{\eta}_{n+2}\right\}_{n-5} \circ \nu_{n+4} .
$$

Thus, we obtain $\Delta\left(\eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right)=a \Delta\left(\nu_{n}^{3}\right)$ for $a \in\{0,1\}$.
Suppose that $\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right] \neq 0$. Then, $\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right]=\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{3}\right]$. On the other hand, by [31, Proposition 4.2], $\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right]=b\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right]$ for $b \in\{0,1\}$. The assumption induces the equality $\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right]=\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right]$. Then, we have
$\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right]=\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{3}\right]+\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right]=2\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right]=0$. This completes the proof.

Since $\pi_{4 n}(S O(4 n)) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{3}$ or $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}$, if $n \geq 2$ [16, p. 161], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp \tau_{4 n}^{\prime}=2, \text { if } n \geq 2 \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we show
Lemma 4.3 If $n \equiv 0,1(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 8$ then $\left[\iota_{n}, \alpha\right] \neq 0$ for $\alpha=$ $\varepsilon_{n}, \bar{\nu}_{n}, \eta_{n} \sigma_{n+1}$ and $\mu_{n}$.

PROOF. We show $\left[\iota_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right] \neq 0$. Let $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 8$. By [36, Proposition 11.10.(i)], there exists an element $\beta \in \pi_{2 n+6}^{n-1}$ such that $E \beta=$ $\left[\iota_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right]$ and $H \beta=\eta_{2 n-3} \varepsilon_{2 n-2}$. Suppose that $\left[\iota_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right]=0$. Then, by $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{2 n+6}^{n-1}\right)$, we have $\beta \in P \pi_{2 n+8}^{2 n-1}$. This induces a contradictory relation $\eta_{2 n-3} \varepsilon_{2 n-2}=0$, and hence $\left[\iota_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right] \neq 0$. Next, consider the case $n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 9$. Then, by (4.6), $\left[\iota_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right]=E\left(\tau_{n-1} \varepsilon_{2 n-2}\right)$ and $H\left(\tau_{n-1} \varepsilon_{2 n-2}\right)=\eta_{2 n-3} \varepsilon_{2 n-2}$. Suppose that $\left[\iota_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right]=0$. Then, $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{2 n+6}^{n-1}\right)$, (3.8) and (4.6) lead to a contradictory relation $\eta_{2 n-3} \varepsilon_{2 n-2}=0$, and so $\left[\iota_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right] \neq 0$. For other elements, the argument goes ahead similarly.

By (1.3) and Lemma 4.3, $\Delta: \pi_{n+8}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n+7}(S O(n))$ is a monomorphism, if $n \equiv 0,1(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 12$. So, by $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n+8}^{n}\right)$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi_{n+9}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\Delta} \pi_{n+8}(S O(n)) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} \pi_{n+8}(S O(n+1)) \longrightarrow 0,  \tag{4.15}\\
\text { if } n \equiv 0,1(\bmod 4) \text { and } n \geq 12 .
\end{gather*}
$$

By (2.9) and [36, Lemma 12.10],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\prime} \nu_{14}^{3}=\eta_{7} \bar{\varepsilon}_{8} . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.16) and [36, Theorem 12.6] yield
$\left[\iota_{8}, \eta_{8}^{2} \sigma_{10}\right]=\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right)\left(\eta_{15} \varepsilon_{16}+\nu_{15}^{3}\right)=\eta_{8} \bar{\varepsilon}_{9}+E^{2} \zeta^{\prime} \neq 0$.
By (2.8), (2.3) and (2.9), $\left[\iota_{9}, \eta_{9}^{2} \sigma_{11}\right]=\left(\eta_{9}^{2} \sigma_{11}+\sigma_{9} \eta_{16}^{2}\right) \circ\left(\eta_{18} \sigma_{19}\right)=0$.
The formula (2.2) and [23, Theorem C] yield

$$
\sharp\left[l_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { if } n \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4) \text { and } n \geq 6 ;  \tag{4.17}\\
2, \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 4) \text { and } n \geq 8
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right]=2, \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 8) \text { and } n \geq 17 . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we conclude
Proposition $4.4\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{3}\right]=0$ if $n \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and $\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right]=\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right]=$ 0 provided $n \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 13$ unless $n \equiv 53(\bmod 64)$.

PROOF. By (3.3) and Lemma 3.3.(1), $\Delta\left(\nu_{n}^{3}\right)=0$ if $n \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. So, the first assertion holds. In light of [24, (7.9)], the second assertion holds for $n=13$. Let $n \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 21$. We consider the exact sequence (4.15). By [5, Theorem 2], [6] and [13, Table 1], we see that

$$
\pi_{n+8}(S O(n+1)) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 5(\bmod 32) \text { and } n \geq 37 \\ \left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)^{2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 21(\bmod 32) \\ \mathbb{Z}_{4}, & \text { if } n \equiv 13(\bmod 16)\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\pi_{n+8}(S O(n)) \cong \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 5(\bmod 32) \text { and } n \geq 37 \\ \left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right)^{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 21(\bmod 64) ; \\ \mathbb{Z}_{8} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 53(\bmod 64) ; \\ \mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}, & \text { if } n \equiv 13(\bmod 16)\end{cases}
$$

By (3.3) and (4.5), we obtain

$$
\Delta\left(\eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right)=4 i_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \bar{\tau}_{n-1}^{\prime} \sigma_{n+1}
$$

and hence

$$
\Delta\left(\eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } n \not \equiv 53(\bmod 64) \\ 4 i_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \bar{\tau}_{n-1}^{\prime} \sigma_{n+1} \neq 0, & \text { if } n \equiv 53(\bmod 64)\end{cases}
$$

This leads to the second assertion and the proof is complete.

Next, we show the following

Lemma 4.5 Let $n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 5$. Then $E\left(\bar{\tau}_{2 n-2} \nu_{4 n-2}^{2}\right)=\left[\iota_{2 n-1}, \bar{\nu}_{2 n-1}\right]$ if and only if $\left[\iota_{2 n+1}, \nu_{2 n+1}^{2}\right]=0$.

PROOF. By (4.7), $E^{3}\left(\bar{\tau}_{2 n-2} \nu_{4 n-2}^{2}\right)=\left[\iota_{2 n+1}, \nu_{2 n+1}^{2}\right]$ and this implies the necessary condition.

Suppose that $\left[\iota_{2 n+1}, \nu_{2 n+1}^{2}\right]=0$. Then, by $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{4 n+6}^{2 n}\right)$,

$$
\pi_{4 n+8}^{4 n+1} \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} \pi_{4 n+6}^{2 n} \xrightarrow{E} \pi_{4 n+7}^{2 n+1}
$$

$E^{2}\left(\bar{\tau}_{2 n-2} \nu_{4 n-2}^{2}\right) \in P \pi_{4 n+8}^{4 n+1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{16}$. We can set $E^{2}\left(\bar{\tau}_{2 n-2} \nu_{4 n-2}^{2}\right)=8 x P\left(\sigma_{4 n+1}\right)$ for $x \in\{0,1\}$.

Apply [36, Proposition 11.11.(ii)] to the case $\alpha=8 \sigma_{4 n-6}$, then there exists an element $\beta \in \pi_{4 n+4}^{2 n-2}$ such that

$$
P\left(8 \sigma_{4 n+1}\right)=E^{2} \beta \quad \text { and } \quad H(\beta) \in\left\{\eta_{4 n-5}, 2 \iota_{4 n-4}, 8 \sigma_{4 n-4}\right\}_{2} .
$$

By [36, Lemma 6.5, Theorem 7.1] and (2.7),

$$
\mu_{4 n-5} \in\left\{\eta_{4 n-5}, 2 \iota_{4 n-4}, 8 \sigma_{4 n-4}\right\}_{2} \bmod \eta_{4 n-5} \circ E^{2} \pi_{4 n+2}^{4 n-6}=\left\{\nu_{4 n-5}^{3}, \eta_{4 n-5} \varepsilon_{4 n-4}\right\} .
$$

So we obtain

$$
H(\beta)=\mu_{4 n-5}+y \nu_{4 n-5}^{3}+z \eta_{4 n-5} \varepsilon_{4 n-4}(y, z \in\{0,1\})
$$

By using $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{4 n+5}^{2 n-1}\right)$ and the assumption,

$$
E\left(\bar{\tau}_{2 n-2} \nu_{4 n-2}^{2}\right)-x E \beta \in P \pi_{4 n+7}^{4 n-1}=\left\{P\left(\bar{\nu}_{4 n-1}\right), P\left(\varepsilon_{4 n-1}\right)\right\} .
$$

By Lemma 4.1, $P\left(\bar{\nu}_{4 n-1}\right)=E\left(\tau_{2 n-2} \bar{\nu}_{4 n-4}\right)$ and $P\left(\varepsilon_{4 n-1}\right)=E\left(\tau_{2 n-2} \varepsilon_{4 n-4}\right)$. So, by using $\left(\mathcal{P E} \mathcal{E}_{4 n+4}^{2 n-2}\right)$,

$$
\bar{\tau}_{2 n-2} \nu_{4 n-2}^{2}-x \beta-a \tau_{2 n-2} \bar{\nu}_{4 n-4}-b \tau_{2 n-2} \varepsilon_{4 n-4} \in P \pi_{4 n+6}^{4 n-3}(a, b \in\{0,1\}) .
$$

By applying $H: \pi_{4 n+5}^{2 n-2} \rightarrow \pi_{4 n+5}^{4 n-5}$ to the equation, by use of (4.6), (4.7) and (2.7), we obtain

$$
\nu_{4 n-5}^{3}-x\left(\mu_{4 n-5}+y \nu_{4 n-5}^{3}+z \eta_{4 n-5} \varepsilon_{4 n-4}\right)=a \nu_{4 n-5}^{3}+b \eta_{4 n-5} \varepsilon_{4 n-4} .
$$

Since $\mu_{4 n-5}, \nu_{4 n-5}^{3}, \eta_{4 n-5} \varepsilon_{4 n-4}$ generate $\pi_{4 n+4}^{4 n-5}$ independently, we have $x=0, a=$ 1 and $b=0$. Hence, $E\left(\bar{\tau}_{2 n-2} \nu_{4 n-2}^{2}\right)=E\left(\tau_{2 n-2} \bar{\nu}_{4 n-4}\right)$. This completes the proof.

Since $\nu_{n} \eta_{n+3}=0(2.4)$ and $\bar{\nu}_{n} \eta_{n+8}=\nu_{n}^{3}(2.7)$ for $n \geq 6$, Lemma 4.5 implies
Corollary 4.6 If $\left[\iota_{8 n+3}, \nu_{8 n+3}^{2}\right]=0$, then $\left[\iota_{8 n+1}, \nu_{8 n+1}^{3}\right]=0$.

Now, we show
III. $\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{2}\right]=0$ if $n=2^{i}-5(i \geq 4)$.

We recall the Mahowald element $\eta_{i}^{\prime} \in \pi_{2^{i}}^{S}\left(\mathbb{S}^{0}\right)[22$, Theorem 1] for $i \geq 3$. We set $\eta_{i-1, m}^{\prime}=\eta_{i-1}^{\prime}$ on $\mathbb{S}^{m}$ for $m=2^{i-1}-2$ with $i \geq 4$, that is, $\eta_{i-1, m}^{\prime} \in \pi_{2^{i-1}+m}\left(\mathbb{S}^{m}\right)$. It satisfies the relation $H\left(\eta_{i-1, m}^{\prime}\right)=\nu_{2 m-1}$. Then, the assertion follows directly from [3, Proposition] taking $\alpha=\beta=\eta_{i-1, m}^{\prime}$.

Finally, we show
IV. $\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{2}\right] \neq 0$ if $n \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 19$ unless $n=2^{i}-5$.

By III and Corollary 4.6, we obtain

$$
\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{3}\right]=0, \quad \text { if } \quad n=2^{i}-7(i \geq 4) .
$$

Hence, from Theorem 4.2 and the relation $\eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}=\nu_{n}^{3}+\eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}$,

$$
\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right]=0, \text { if } n=2^{i}-7(i \geq 4) .
$$

Let $n \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 17$. Considering the exact sequence (4.15), in virtue of [5, Theorem 2], [6] and [13, Table 1], we obtain

$$
\pi_{n+8}(S O(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{8} \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{n+8}(S O(n+1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}
$$

By (4.8) and (4.18), we get the relation

$$
4 E\left(\bar{\tau}_{n-1} \sigma_{2 n}\right)=\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right] \neq 0 .
$$

Hence, by (4.18) and Theorem 4.2, we obtain

$$
\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{3}\right]=\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right] \neq 0, \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 8) \text { and } n \geq 17 \text { and } n \neq 2^{i}-7
$$

Thus, by Corollary 4.6, we obtain the assertion.
We are in a position to assert that Mahowald's result [21, Table 2 for $\eta^{2} \rho_{1}$ ] should be stated as follows.

Theorem 4.7 Let $n \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 9$. Then $\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right] \neq 0$ if and only if $n \neq 2^{i}-7$.

## 5 Proof of $\left[\iota_{16 s+7}, \sigma_{16 s+7}\right] \neq 0$ for $s \geq 1$

We give a proof of the first part of Theorem 2.4: $\left[\iota_{16 s+7}, \sigma_{16 s+7}\right] \neq 0$ for $s \geq 1$.

We recall from $[36$, pp. $95-6]$ the construction of the element $\kappa_{7} \in \pi_{21}\left(\mathbb{S}^{7}\right)$. It is a representative of a Toda bracket

$$
\left\{\nu_{7}, E \alpha, E^{2} \beta\right\}_{1},
$$

where $\alpha=\bar{\eta}_{9} \in\left[\mathrm{P}^{11}(2), \mathbb{S}^{9}\right]$ is an extension of $\eta_{9}$ and $\beta=\widetilde{\bar{\nu}}_{9} \in \pi_{18}\left(\mathrm{P}^{10}(2)\right)$ is a coextension of $\bar{\nu}_{9}$ satisfying $\alpha \circ E \beta=0$. Furthermore, $\kappa_{n}=E^{n-7} \kappa_{7}$ for $n \geq 7$ and set $\widetilde{\bar{\nu}}_{n}=E^{n-9} \widetilde{\bar{\nu}}_{9}$ for $n \geq 9$. Then, we can take

$$
\kappa_{n} \in\left\{\nu_{n}, \bar{\eta}_{n+3}, \widetilde{\bar{\nu}}_{n+4}\right\} \text { for } n \geq 7 .
$$

By [16, p. 161], $\pi_{n+4}(S O(n+k)) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ for $k=1,2$ if $n \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$. And, by $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n+4}^{n+2}\right)$, the direct summand $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ of $\pi_{n+4}(S O(n+2))$ is generated by $\Delta \nu_{n+2}$. So, the non-triviality of $\left[\nu_{n}\right] \eta_{n+3} \in \pi_{n+4}(S O(n+1))$ induces the relation $i_{n+2}(\mathbb{R})_{*}\left(\left[\nu_{n}\right] \eta_{n+3}\right)=\Delta \nu_{n+2}$. Because of the fact that $\left[\iota_{n+2}, \nu_{n+2}^{2}\right] \neq 0$, this induces a contradictory relation $0=\Delta \nu_{n+2}^{2} \neq 0$. Hence, we obtain

$$
\left[\nu_{n}\right] \eta_{n+3}=0, \text { if } n \equiv 7(\bmod 8) .
$$

Next, by [16, p. 161],

$$
\left\{\left[\nu_{n}\right], \eta_{n+3}, 2 \iota_{n+4}\right\} \subset \pi_{n+5}(S O(n+1))=0, \text { if } n \equiv 7(\bmod 8) .
$$

So, by (4.10), we have $\left[\nu_{n}\right] \bar{\eta}_{n+3} \in\left\{\left[\nu_{n}\right], \eta_{n+3}, 2 \iota_{n+4}\right\} \circ p_{n+5}=0$ and hence we can define a lift of $\kappa_{n}$ for $n \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$, as follows:

$$
\left[\kappa_{n}\right] \in\left\{\left[\nu_{n}\right], \bar{\eta}_{n+3}, \tilde{\widetilde{\nu}}_{n+4}\right\} \subset \pi_{n+14}(S O(n+1)) \text { for } n \equiv 7(\bmod 8) .
$$

Let $n \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 15$. By use of $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n-4}^{n-k}\right)$ for $k=3,4,\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n-3}^{n-l}\right)$ for $l=2,3,5,\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n-2}^{n-m}\right)$ for $2 \leq m \leq 5$ and [16, p. 161], we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{n-4}(S O(n-4))=\{\beta\} \cong \mathbb{Z} ; \pi_{n-4}(S O(n-3))=\left\{i_{n-3}(\mathbb{R}) \beta, \Delta \iota_{n-3}\right\} \cong(\mathbb{Z})^{2} ; \\
& \pi_{n-3}(S O(n-4))=\left\{\left[\eta_{n-5}^{2}\right]\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} ; \pi_{n-3}(S O(n-3))=\left\{\left[\eta_{n-4}\right], \Delta \eta_{n-3}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2} ; \\
& \pi_{n-2}(S O(n-4))=\left\{\left[\eta_{n-5}^{2}\right] \eta_{n-3}, \Delta \nu_{n-4}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2} ; \\
& \pi_{n-2}(S O(n-3))=\left\{\left[\eta_{n-4}\right] \eta_{n-3}, \Delta \eta_{n-3}^{2}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2} ; \pi_{n-2}(S O(n-2))=\left\{\Delta \eta_{n-2}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { where } \beta \text { is a generator of } \pi_{n-4}(S O(n-4)) \text { and }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \eta_{n-3}=\left[\eta_{n-5}^{2}\right]_{n-3} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{p_{n}(\mathbb{R}), i_{n}(\mathbb{R}), \Delta \iota_{n-1}\right\} \ni \iota_{n-1}\left(\bmod 2 \iota_{n-1}\right) \text { for } n \geq 9 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the same reason as (3.1), we obtain $\Delta\left(\bar{\eta}_{3}\right)=0 \in\left[\mathrm{P}^{4}(2), S O(3)\right]$. Let $n \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 15$. Then, by Lemma 3.1.(1) and (4.10), we obtain

$$
\Delta\left(\bar{\eta}_{n-4}\right)=\Delta \iota_{n-4} \circ \bar{\eta}_{n-5} \in-\left\{\Delta \iota_{n-4}, \eta_{n-5}, 2 \iota_{n-4}\right\} \circ p_{n-3}=0 .
$$

So, $\bar{\eta}_{n-4}$ is lifted to $\left[\bar{\eta}_{n-4}\right] \in\left[\mathrm{P}^{n-2}(2), S O(n-3)\right]$ for $n \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$. We set $\left[\bar{\eta}_{n-4}\right] \circ i_{n-2}=\left[\eta_{n-4}\right]$, which is a lift of $\eta_{n-4}$. By (5.1) and (5.2), we get
(5.3) $\left[\eta_{n-4}\right] \in\left\{i_{n-3}(\mathbb{R}), \Delta \iota_{n-4}, \eta_{n-5}\right\}\left(\bmod i_{n-3}(\mathbb{R}) \circ \pi_{n-3}(S O(n-4))\right.$ $\left.+\pi_{n-4}(S O(n-3)) \circ \eta_{n-4}=\left\{\Delta \eta_{n-3}\right\}\right)$ for $n \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 15$.

By use of the cofiber sequence $\mathbb{S}^{n-3} \xrightarrow{i_{n-2}} \mathrm{P}^{n-2}(2) \xrightarrow{p_{n-2}} \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and the relation $\left[\bar{\eta}_{n-4}\right] \circ i_{n-2}=\left[\eta_{n-4}\right]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\left[\eta_{n-4}\right]} \equiv\left[\bar{\eta}_{n-4}\right]\left(\bmod \pi_{n-2}(S O(n-3)) \circ p_{n-2}=2\left[P^{n-2}(2), S O(n-3)\right]\right) . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show

Lemma 5.1 Let $n \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 15$. Then,
(1) $\overline{\left[\eta_{n-4}\right]} \in\left\{i_{n-3}(\mathbb{R}), \Delta \iota_{n-4}, \bar{\eta}_{n-5}\right\}\left(\bmod \left\{\Delta\left(\bar{\eta}_{n-3}\right)\right\}+K\right)$, where $K=i_{n-3}(\mathbb{R})_{*}\left[\mathrm{P}^{n-2}(2), S O(n-4)\right]+\pi_{n-4}(S O(n-3)) \circ \bar{\eta}_{n-4} ;$
(2) $i_{n-2}(\mathbb{R})_{*} K \subset\left\{\left(\Delta \eta_{n-2}\right) p_{n-2}\right\}$.

PROOF. By (4.9), (5.4) and (5.3), we have (1).
We see that $\left[\mathrm{P}^{n-2}(2), S O(n-4)\right]=\left\{\overline{\left[\eta_{n-5}^{2}\right]},\left(\Delta \nu_{n-4}\right) p_{n-2}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, where $\overline{\left[\eta_{n-5}^{2}\right]}$ is an extension of $\left[\eta_{n-5}^{2}\right]$ and $2 \overline{\left[\eta_{n-5}^{2}\right]}=\left[\eta_{n-5}^{2}\right] \eta_{n-3} p_{n-2}$. Hence, by (5.1),

$$
\begin{gathered}
i_{n-4, n-2_{*}} \overline{\left.\eta_{n-5}^{2}\right]} \in i_{n-2}(\mathbb{R}) \circ\left\{\Delta \eta_{n-3}, 2 \iota_{n-3}, p_{n-3}\right\}= \\
-\left\{i_{n-2}(\mathbb{R}), \Delta \eta_{n-3}, 2 \iota_{n-3}\right\} \circ p_{n-2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\left\{i_{n-2}(\mathbb{R}), \Delta \eta_{n-3}, 2 \iota_{n-3}\right\} \subset \pi_{n-2}(S O(n-2))=\left\{\Delta \eta_{n-2}\right\}$, we have $i_{n-4, n-2_{*}}\left[\mathrm{P}^{n-2}(2), S O(n-4)\right] \subset\left\{\left(\Delta \eta_{n-2}\right) p_{n-2}\right\}$.

From the relation $p_{n-3}(\mathbb{R}) \beta=0$, we obtain $\beta \eta_{n-4}=0 \in \pi_{n-3}(S O(n-4))$. So, by (4.10), we have $\beta \bar{\eta}_{n-4} \in\left\{\beta, \eta_{n-4}, 2 \iota_{n-3}\right\} \circ p_{n-2} \subset \pi_{n-2}(S O(n-2)) \circ p_{n-2}$. Hence, we obtain $i_{n-2}(\mathbb{R})_{*}\left(\pi_{n-4}(S O(n-3)) \circ \bar{\eta}_{n-4}\right) \subset\left\{\left(\Delta \eta_{n-2}\right) p_{n-2}\right\}$. This leads to (2) and completes the proof.

We show
Lemma $5.2\left[\kappa_{n-8}\right]_{n-1}=\Delta \bar{\nu}_{n-1}$ if $n \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 15$.

PROOF. By use of $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n-5}^{n-7+k}\right)$ for $0 \leq k \leq 3$ and [16, p. 161], we have $\left[\nu_{n-8}\right]_{n-4}=\Delta \iota_{n-4}$, and so

$$
\left[\kappa_{n-8}\right]_{n-1} \in\left(i_{n-4, n-1}\right)_{*}\left\{\Delta \iota_{n-4}, \bar{\eta}_{n-5}, \widetilde{\nu}_{n-4}\right\} .
$$

By (5.4) and Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
i_{n-3}(\mathbb{R})_{*}\left\{\Delta \iota_{n-4}, \bar{\eta}_{n-5}, \widetilde{\bar{\nu}}_{n-4}\right\}=-\left\{i_{n-3}(\mathbb{R}), \Delta \iota_{n-4}, \bar{\eta}_{n-5}\right\} \circ \widetilde{\bar{\nu}}_{n-3} \\
\equiv \overline{\left[\eta_{n-4}\right]} \circ \widetilde{\bar{\nu}}_{n-3} \in\left\{\left[\eta_{n-4}\right], 2 \iota_{n-3}, \bar{\nu}_{n-3}\right\} \\
\left(\bmod \left[\eta_{n-4}\right] \circ \pi_{n+6}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-3}\right)+\pi_{n-2}(S O(n-3)) \circ \bar{\nu}_{n-2}+K \circ \widetilde{\nu}_{n-3}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

By Lemma 5.1 and $(3.6), i_{n-2}(\mathbb{R})_{*}\left(K \circ \widetilde{\nu}_{n-3}\right) \subset\left\{\Delta \eta_{n-2}\right\} \circ \bar{\nu}_{n-3}=\left\{\Delta \nu_{n-2}^{3}\right\}=0$. From the relation $\left[\eta_{n-4}\right]_{n-2}=\Delta \iota_{n-2}$, we see that

$$
\left[\kappa_{n-8}\right]_{n-2} \in\left\{\Delta \iota_{n-2}, 2 \iota_{n-3}, \bar{\nu}_{n-3}\right\}\left(\bmod \Delta \pi_{n+7}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-2}\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\kappa_{n-8}\right]_{n-1} } & \in-i_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}) \circ\left\{\Delta \iota_{n-2}, 2 \iota_{n-3}, \bar{\nu}_{n-3}\right\} \\
& =\left\{i_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}), \Delta \iota_{n-2}, 2 \iota_{n-3}\right\} \circ \bar{\nu}_{n-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{i_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}), \Delta \iota_{n-2}, 2 \iota_{n-3}\right\} \equiv \Delta \iota_{n-1}\left(\bmod 2 \Delta \iota_{n-1}\right)$ by (5.2), we have

$$
\left\{i_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}), \Delta \iota_{n-2}, 2 \iota_{n-3}\right\} \circ \bar{\nu}_{n-2}=\Delta \bar{\nu}_{n-1} .
$$

This completes the proof.

Hereafter, we fix $n=16 s+7 \geq 23$. Suppose that $E^{7}\left(\gamma \sigma_{2 n-8}\right)=\left[\iota_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right]=$ 0 , where $\gamma$ is the element in (2.14). Then, by $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{2 n+5}^{n-1}\right)$ and Lemma 5.2, $E^{6}\left(\gamma \sigma_{2 n-8}\right) \in\left\{\left[\iota_{n-1}, \bar{\nu}_{n-1}\right]=E^{6} J\left[\kappa_{n-7}\right],\left[\iota_{n-1}, \eta_{n-1} \sigma_{n}\right]\right\}$.

By [29, p. 382: Table], there exists an element $\delta \in \pi_{2 n-10}^{n-8}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{n-1}, \eta_{n-1}\right]=E^{7} \delta \text { and } H \delta=\sigma_{2 n-17} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so, $\left[\iota_{n-1}, \eta_{n-1} \sigma_{n}\right]$ desuspends until we reach seven dimensions. Hence, in the sequel argument, it suffices to consider $E^{6}\left(\gamma \sigma_{2 n-8}\right)=a E^{6} J\left[\kappa_{n-7}\right]$ for $a \in$ $\{0,1\}$. By $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{2 n+4}^{n-2}\right)$, we have

$$
E^{5}\left(\gamma \sigma_{2 n-8}-a J\left[\kappa_{n-7}\right]\right) \in P \pi_{2 n+6}^{2 n-3} .
$$

By Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.4, $P \mu_{2 n-3} \neq 0$ and $P\left(\nu_{2 n-3}^{3}\right)=0$. By [29, p. 383: Table], $\left[\iota_{n-2}, \eta_{n-2}^{2}\right]$ and $\left[\iota_{n-2}, \eta_{n-2}^{2} \sigma_{n}\right]$ desuspend until 7 dimensions. Hence, for $x \in\{0,1\}$, we have

$$
E^{5}\left(\gamma \sigma_{2 n-8}-a J\left[\kappa_{n-7}\right]\right)=x P \mu_{2 n-3}
$$

By [36, Proposition 11.10.(ii)], there exists an element $\beta \in \pi_{2 n+3}^{n-3}$ such that $P \mu_{2 n-3}=E \beta$ and $H \beta=\eta_{2 n-7} \mu_{2 n-6}$. Then, by $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{2 n+3}^{n-3}\right)$, we have

$$
E^{4}\left(\gamma \sigma_{2 n-8}-a J\left[\kappa_{n-7}\right]\right)-x \beta \in P \pi_{2 n+5}^{2 n-5} .
$$

This induces the relation $x \eta_{2 n-7} \mu_{2 n-6}=0$. Hence, $x=0$ and we can set

$$
E^{4}\left(\gamma \sigma_{2 n-8}-a J\left[\kappa_{n-7}\right]\right)=y P\left(\eta_{2 n-5} \mu_{2 n-4}\right) \text { for } y \in\{0,1\}
$$

By [36, Proposition 11.10.(i)], there exists an element $\beta^{\prime} \in \pi_{2 n+2}^{n-4}$ such that $P\left(\eta_{2 n-5} \mu_{2 n-4}\right)=E \beta^{\prime}$ and $H \beta^{\prime}=\eta_{2 n-9}^{2} \mu_{2 n-7}$. So, we have

$$
E^{3}\left(\gamma \sigma_{2 n-8}-a J\left[\kappa_{n-7}\right]\right)-y \beta^{\prime} \in P \pi_{2 n+4}^{2 n-7} .
$$

This leads to the relation $y \eta_{2 n-9}^{2} \mu_{2 n-7}=0$, and hence $y=0$. Therefore, by (4.7), we obtain

$$
E^{3}\left(\gamma \sigma_{2 n-8}-a J\left[\kappa_{n-7}\right]-b \bar{\tau}_{n-7} \zeta_{2 n-12}\right)=0(b \in\{0,1\})
$$

By $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{2 n+1-k}^{n-5-k}\right)$ for $k=0,1$ and 2 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E^{2}\left(\gamma \sigma_{2 n-8}-a J\left[\kappa_{n-7}\right]-b \bar{\tau}_{n-7} \zeta_{2 n-12}\right) \in P \pi_{2 n+3}^{2 n-9}=0 \\
& E\left(\gamma \sigma_{2 n-8}-a J\left[\kappa_{n-7}\right]-b \bar{\tau}_{n-7} \zeta_{2 n-12}\right) \in P \pi_{2 n+2}^{2 n-11}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\gamma \sigma_{2 n-8}-a J\left[\kappa_{n-7}\right]-b \bar{\tau}_{n-7} \zeta_{2 n-12} \in P \pi_{2 n+1}^{2 n-13} .
$$

By (4.7) and [36, Lemma 9.2, Theorem 10.3], $H\left(\bar{\tau}_{n-7} \zeta_{2 n-12}\right)= \pm\left(\frac{n-3}{4}\right) \nu_{2 n-15} \zeta_{2 n-12}= \pm 2(n-3) \sigma_{2 n-15}^{2}=0$. Then, the last relation induces the contradictory relation $\sigma_{2 n-15}^{2}=a \kappa_{2 n-15}$. Thus, we obtain the non-triviality of $\left[\iota_{n}, \sigma_{n}\right]$ if $n \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$ and $n \geq 23$.

By Lemma 5.2, we have $\left[\iota_{n}, \bar{\nu}_{n}\right]=E^{6} J\left[\kappa_{n-7}\right]$ if $n \equiv 6(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 14$. By the parallel arguments to the above, we obtain

Corollary $5.3\left[\iota_{n}, \bar{\nu}_{n}\right] \neq 0$, if $n \equiv 6(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 14$.

## 6 Gottlieb groups of spheres with stems for $8 \leq k \leq 13$

By [36, Theorems 7.1,7.4,7.6, p. 186: Table], $\pi_{n+8}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ for $n=4,5$ and $\left[\iota_{4}, \varepsilon_{4}\right]=\left(E \nu^{\prime}\right) \varepsilon_{7} \neq 0,\left[\iota_{5}, \varepsilon_{5}\right]=\nu_{5} \eta_{8} \varepsilon_{9} \neq 0$.

We recall $\pi_{14}\left(\mathbb{S}^{6}\right)=\left\{\bar{\nu}_{6}, \varepsilon_{6},\left[\iota_{6}, \alpha_{1}(6)\right]\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{24} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. By [36, (7.27)],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{6}, \bar{\nu}_{6}\right]=\left[\iota_{6}, \varepsilon_{6}\right]=0 . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we obtain $G_{14}\left(\mathbb{S}^{6} ; 2\right)=\pi_{14}^{6}$. By Proposition 1.5.(1), $G_{14}\left(\mathbb{S}^{6} ; 3\right)=\pi_{14}\left(\mathbb{S}^{6} ; 3\right)$. This shows $G_{14}\left(\mathbb{S}^{6}\right)=\pi_{14}\left(\mathbb{S}^{6}\right)$.

We recall $\pi_{16}\left(\mathbb{S}^{8}\right)=\left\{\sigma_{8} \eta_{15},\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right) \eta_{15}, \bar{\nu}_{8}, \varepsilon_{8}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{4}$ and $\pi_{17}\left(\mathbb{S}^{9}\right)=\left\{\sigma_{9} \eta_{16}, \bar{\nu}_{9}, \varepsilon_{9}\right\} \cong$ $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{3}$. We have $\left[\iota_{8}, \sigma_{8} \eta_{15}\right]=\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right) \sigma_{15} \eta_{22}=\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right)\left(\bar{\nu}_{15}+\varepsilon_{15}\right)=\left[\iota_{8}, \bar{\nu}_{8}\right]+\left[\iota_{8}, \varepsilon_{8}\right]$. By (2.15) and [36, Theorem 12.6], $\left[\iota_{9}, \sigma_{9} \eta_{16}\right]=\sigma_{9}\left(\nu_{16}^{3}+\eta_{16} \varepsilon_{17}\right) \neq 0$. So, obtain $G_{16}\left(\mathbb{S}^{8}\right)=\left\{\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right) \eta_{15}, \sigma_{8} \eta_{15}+\bar{\nu}_{8}+\varepsilon_{8}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}$ and $G_{17}\left(\mathbb{S}^{9}\right)=\left\{\left[\iota_{9}, \iota_{9}\right]\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Hence, by Lemma 4.3, we get that

$$
G_{n+8}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=0, \quad \text { if } \quad n \equiv 0,1(\bmod 4) \text { and } n \geq 4 \text { unless } n=8,9 .
$$

Since $\pi_{27}\left(\mathbb{S}^{10}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{28}\left(\mathbb{S}^{11}\right)$ is a monomorphism [36, (12.21)], we obtain

$$
G_{18}\left(\mathbb{S}^{10}\right)=\pi_{18}\left(\mathbb{S}^{10}\right)
$$

Let $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 11$. Then, by Lemma 1.1.(1) and (2.1), $\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \sigma_{n+1}\right]=$ 0 . In virtue of (1.3) and Example 3.2, we obtain $\left[\iota_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right]=0$. Thus, as it is expected in Proposition 1.3,

$$
G_{n+8}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\pi_{n+8}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right), \quad \text { if } \quad n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)
$$

By Lemma 4.3 and [21, Theorem C],

$$
\sharp\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \sigma_{n+1}\right]= \begin{cases}2, & \text { if } n \equiv 0,1,2,4,5(\bmod 8) \text { and } n \geq 8 \text { unless } n=10 ;  \tag{6.2}\\ 1, & \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 4) \text { and } n \geq 7 .\end{cases}
$$

Here we recall from [4, p. 137, Corollary 1.6] and [7, p. 48: Theorem], the following

Theorem 6.1 (Barratt-Jones-Feder-Gitler-Lam-Mahowald) Let $\beta$ 's generate the $J$-image in the $s$-stem and assume $3 s-2 \leq 2 n$. Then,
(1) $\left[\iota_{n}, \beta\right]=0$, provided $n$ and $s$ satisfy $3 \leq \nu_{2}(n+s+2) \leq \phi(s)$;
(2) $\left[\iota_{n}, \beta\right] \neq 0$ provided $n$ and $s$ satisfy $\nu_{2}(n+s+2) \geq \phi(s)+1 \geq 3$, but $n+s+2 \neq 2^{\phi(s)+1}$.

Here $\nu_{2}(m)$ is the exponent of 2 in the factorization of $m$ and $\phi(s)$ denotes the number of integers in the closed interval $[1, s]$ which are congruent to $0,1,2$ or 4 modulo 8 .

By use of Theorem 6.1, we obtain

$$
\sharp\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \sigma_{n+1}\right]= \begin{cases}2, & \text { if } n \equiv 22(\bmod 32) \text { and } n \geq 54 ;  \tag{6.3}\\ 1, & \text { if } n \equiv 14(\bmod 16) \text { or } n \equiv 6(\bmod 32) \text { and } n \geq 14\end{cases}
$$

and
$\sharp\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+1}\right]= \begin{cases}2, & \text { if } n \equiv 53(\bmod 64) \text { and } n \geq 117 ; \\ 1, & \text { if } n \equiv 13(\bmod 16), 5(\bmod 32) \text { or } 21(\bmod 64) \text { and } n \geq 13 .\end{cases}$

Now, we show
Lemma 6.2 (1) Let $n \equiv 2(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 18$. Then, $\Delta \varepsilon_{n}=0$.
(2) Let $n \equiv 6(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 14$. Then, $\Delta \varepsilon_{n}= \pm 2\left[\nu_{n-2}^{2}\right]_{n} \nu_{n+4}$.

PROOF. Although (1) is directly obtained by [13, Table 2], we give a different proof.

Let $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 18$. Then, by the fact that $\pi_{n+1}(S O(n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[16$, p. 161], we have $\tau_{n}^{\prime} \eta_{n}=0$. So, by (3.3), (4.12) and (4.2), we obtain

$$
\Delta\left(\eta_{n} \bar{\eta}_{n+1}\right)=2 \tau_{n}^{\prime} \circ \bar{\eta}_{n}=\tau_{n}^{\prime} \circ \eta_{n}^{2} p_{n+2}=0
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4.1, we get
$\Delta \varepsilon_{n}=\Delta \iota_{n} \circ \varepsilon_{n-1}=\Delta \iota_{n} \circ\left\{\eta_{n-1} \bar{\eta}_{n}, \tilde{\eta}_{n+1}, \nu_{n+3}\right\}=-\left\{\Delta \iota_{n}, \eta_{n-1} \bar{\eta}_{n}, \tilde{\eta}_{n+1}\right\} \circ \nu_{n+4}$.
We have

$$
\left\{\Delta \iota_{n}, \eta_{n-1} \bar{\eta}_{n}, \tilde{\eta}_{n+1}\right\} \subset \pi_{n+4}(S O(n))
$$

Noting the relation $4 \tilde{\eta}_{n+1}=0$, we obtain
$4\left\{\Delta \iota_{n}, \eta_{n-1} \bar{\eta}_{n}, \tilde{\eta}_{n+1}\right\}=-\Delta \iota_{n} \circ\left\{\eta_{n-1} \bar{\eta}_{n}, \tilde{\eta}_{n+1}, 4 \iota_{n+3}\right\} \subset-\Delta \iota_{n} \circ \pi_{n+4}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)=0$.
This induces $\Delta \varepsilon_{n} \in(2 d)\left(\pi_{n+4}(S O(n)) \circ \nu_{n+4}\right)$, where $d$ is the number in (4.13). Since $4 \pi_{n+7}(S O(n))=0$ by [5, Theorem 2], [6] and [13, Table 1], we obtain (1).

Let $n \equiv 6(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 14$. By the exact sequences $\left(\mathcal{S O}_{n+4}^{n+k}\right)$ for $k=$ $-2,-1$ and Lemma 3.3 we get that $i_{n}(\mathbb{R})_{*}: \pi_{n+4}(S O(n-1)) \rightarrow \pi_{n+4}(S O(n))$ is an isomorphism and $\pi_{n+4}(S O(n-1))=\left\{\left[\nu_{n-2}^{2}\right]\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{8}$.

By [13, Table 2], $\Delta \varepsilon_{n} \neq 0$ for $n \equiv 6(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 14$. Hence, (2) follows and the proof is complete.

Now, by Lemma 6.2.(1) and (6.2),

$$
\left[\iota_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right]=0 \text { and }\left[\iota_{n}, \bar{\nu}_{n}\right]=\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \sigma_{n+1}\right] \neq 0, \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 8) \text { and } n \geq 18
$$

Whence, we conclude that

$$
G_{n+8}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 8) \text { and } n \geq 18
$$

We show $\left[\iota_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right] \neq 0$ if $n \equiv 22(\bmod 32)$ and $n \geq 22$. By (5.5), there exists an element $\delta \in \pi_{2 n-8}^{n-7}$ such that $\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}\right]=E^{7} \delta$ and $H \delta=\sigma_{2 n-15}$. Hence, by Lemma $5.2,\left[\iota_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right]=E^{6}\left(J\left[\kappa_{n-7}\right]+E\left(\delta \sigma_{2 n-7}\right)\right)$. Suppose that $\left[\iota_{n}, \varepsilon_{n}\right]=0$. Then, by the parallel argument to that in the proof the non-triviality of $\left[\iota_{n+1}, \sigma_{n+1}\right]$, we get a contradiction.

By $[24,(7.13)], \operatorname{Ker}\left\{P: \pi_{37}\left(\mathbb{S}^{29}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{35}\left(\mathbb{S}^{14}\right)\right\}=\left\{\eta_{14} \sigma_{15}\right\}$ and hence, $G_{22}\left(\mathbb{S}^{14}\right)=$ $\left\{\eta_{14} \sigma_{15}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. By [32, p. 134: (7.29)], $\operatorname{Ker}\left\{P: \pi_{53}^{45} \rightarrow \pi_{51}^{22}\right\}=\left\{\eta_{45} \sigma_{46}\right\}$ and hence, $G_{30}\left(\mathbb{S}^{22}\right)=\left\{\eta_{22} \sigma_{23}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Thus, we have shown

Proposition 6.3 The group $G_{n+8}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ is equal to the following: 0 if $n \equiv$ $0,1(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 4$ unless $n=8,9$ or $n \equiv 22(\bmod 32)$ and $n \geq$ $54 ; \pi_{n+8}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ if $n=6,10$ or $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4) ;\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, if $n \equiv 2(\bmod$ 8) and $n \geq 18$. Moreover, $G_{n+8}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{\eta_{n} \sigma_{n+1}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ if $n=22$, $n \equiv$ $14(\bmod 16)$ or $n \equiv 6(\bmod 32)$ with $n \geq 14 ; G_{16}\left(\mathbb{S}^{8}\right)=\left\{\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right) \eta_{15}, \sigma_{8} \eta_{15}+\right.$ $\left.\bar{\nu}_{8}+\varepsilon_{8}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}$ and $G_{17}\left(\mathbb{S}^{9}\right)=\left\{\left[\iota_{9}, \iota_{9}\right]\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

By [36, Theorem 7.6],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{4}, \mu_{4}\right]=\left(E \nu^{\prime}\right) \mu_{7} \neq 0 . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\left[\iota_{5}, \mu_{5}\right]=\nu_{5} \eta_{8} \mu_{9} \neq 0$ [36, Theorem 7.7].
By [36, (10.6)],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{6}, \mu_{6}\right]=0 . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\left[\iota_{8}, \mu_{8}\right]=\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right) \mu_{15} \neq 0\left[36\right.$, Theorem 12.6] and $\left[\iota_{9}, \mu_{9}\right]=\eta_{9} \mu_{10} \sigma_{19}+$ $\sigma_{9} \eta_{16} \mu_{17} \neq 0$ [36, (12.21), Theorem 12.7].

We recall the relations (2.8) and [36, Proposition 3.1, Lemma 12.12]: $\sigma_{10} \eta_{17}=$ $\eta_{10} \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{11} \mu_{18}=\mu_{11} \sigma_{20}$ and $4 \zeta_{9} \sigma_{20}=8 \sigma_{9} \zeta_{16}=0$. By these relations, (2.8) and (6.13), $\left[\iota_{9}, \eta_{9} \mu_{10}\right]=\left(\eta_{9}^{2} \sigma_{11}+\sigma_{9} \eta_{16}^{2}\right) \mu_{18}=4 \zeta_{9} \sigma_{20}+4 \sigma_{9} \zeta_{16}=4 \sigma_{9} \zeta_{16} \neq 0$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{9}, \eta_{9} \mu_{10}\right]=4 \sigma_{9} \zeta_{16} \neq 0 . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of the EHP sequence $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{17}^{9}\right)$, by $[36$, Theorem 12.8$]$ and (6.7), we have

$$
\sharp\left(\sigma_{10} \zeta_{17}\right)=4 .
$$

So, by [36, (12.25)],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{10}, \mu_{10}\right]=2 \sigma_{10} \zeta_{17} \neq 0 \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Example 3.2, $\left[\iota_{11}, \mu_{11}\right]=0$. We have $\left[\iota_{12}, \mu_{12}\right] \neq 0[36$, Lemma 16.2] and $\left[\iota_{13}, \mu_{13}\right] \neq 0\left[24\right.$, p. 309]. By $\left[24\right.$, pp. 321-2], $\left[\iota_{14}, \mu_{14}\right] \neq 0$. By [32, p. 140 : (8.31), Theorem 3.(b)], $\left[\iota_{22}, \mu_{22}\right] \neq 0$. Hence, by Lemma 4.3 and [21, Theorem C],

$$
\sharp\left[\iota_{n}, \mu_{n}\right]= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } n=6 \text { or } n \equiv 3(\bmod 4) ;  \tag{6.9}\\ 2, & \text { if } n \equiv 0,1,2(\bmod 4) \text { and } n \geq 4 \text { unless } n=6 .\end{cases}
$$

We have $\left[\iota_{4}, \eta_{4} \mu_{5}\right]=\left(E \nu^{\prime}\right) \eta_{7} \mu_{8} \neq 0$ and $\left[\iota_{5}, \eta_{5} \mu_{6}\right]=\nu_{5} \eta_{8}^{2} \mu_{10}=4 \nu_{5} \zeta_{8}=0$ (6.13), [36, Theorem 10.3]. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{5}, \eta_{5} \mu_{6}\right]=0 . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.1) and (4.2), $\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \mu_{n+1}\right]=0$ for $n=6,10$ and 11. By [36, Theorem 12.7],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\iota_{8}, \eta_{8} \mu_{9}\right]=\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right) \eta_{15} \mu_{16} \neq 0 \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left[\iota_{11}, \eta_{11} \mu_{11}\right]=0$ (2.1). By $[24,(7.8)],\left[\iota_{12}, \eta_{12} \mu_{13}\right] \neq 0$. By [24, p. 321], $\left[\iota_{13}, \eta_{13} \mu_{14}\right]=8 \rho_{13} \sigma_{28} \neq 0$. By [32, p. 139: (8.27)], $\left[\iota_{21}, \eta_{21} \mu_{22}\right] \neq 0$. Hence, by [21, Theorem C],

$$
\sharp\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \mu_{n+1}\right]= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } n=5 \text { or } n \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4) ;  \tag{6.12}\\ 2, & \text { if } n \equiv 0,1(\bmod 4) \text { and } n \geq 4 \text { unless } n=5 .\end{cases}
$$

We recall $\pi_{15}\left(\mathbb{S}^{6}\right)=\left\{\nu_{6}^{3}, \mu_{6}, \eta_{6} \varepsilon_{7}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{3}$. Since $\left[\iota_{6}, \eta_{6}\right]=0$ and $\nu_{6}^{3}=\eta_{6} \bar{\nu}_{7}$ (2.7), we have $\left[\iota_{6}, \nu_{6}^{3}\right]=\left[\iota_{6}, \eta_{6} \varepsilon_{7}\right]=0$. So, by (6.6), we obtain $G_{15}\left(\mathbb{S}^{6}\right)=$ $\pi_{15}\left(\mathbb{S}^{6}\right)$.

Next, we recall $\pi_{19}\left(\mathbb{S}^{10}\right)=\left\{\left[\iota_{10}, \iota_{10}\right], \nu_{10}^{3}, \mu_{10}, \eta_{10} \varepsilon_{11}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{3}$. By (4.2) and (2.7), $\left[\iota_{10}, \nu_{10}^{3}\right]=\left[\iota_{10}, \eta_{10} \varepsilon_{11}\right]=0$. So, by (6.8), $G_{19}\left(\mathbb{S}^{10}\right)=\left\{3\left[\iota_{10}, \iota_{10}\right]\right.$, $\left.\nu_{10}^{3}, \eta_{10} \varepsilon_{11}\right\} \cong 3 \mathbb{Z} \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}$.

Let $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 14$. Then, by (4.2),

$$
\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right]=\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right]=0 .
$$

By (6.9), $\left[\iota_{n}, \mu_{n}\right] \neq 0$. Whence, we obtain

$$
G_{n+9}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{\nu_{n}^{3}, \eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}, \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 4) \text { and } n \geq 14
$$

Let $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 11$. Then, by (2.1) and Example 3.2,

$$
G_{n+9}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\pi_{n+9}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right), \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)
$$

We recall $\pi_{13}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}\right)=\left\{\nu_{4}^{3}, \mu_{4}, \eta_{4} \varepsilon_{5}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{3}$. We have $\left[\iota_{4}, \nu_{4}^{3}\right]=2 \nu_{4}^{2} \circ \nu_{10}^{2}=0$ and $\left[\iota_{4}, \eta_{4} \varepsilon_{5}\right]=\left(E \nu^{\prime}\right) \eta_{7} \varepsilon_{8} \neq 0$ [36, Theorem 7.6]. So, by (6.5), $G_{13}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}\right)=\left\{\nu_{4}^{3}\right\} \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Let now $n \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 12$. By Lemma 1.1.(1) and (3.7), we have $\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{3}\right]=0$. In light of (6.9) and (4.17), $\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right]=\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right] \neq 0$ and $\left[\iota_{n}, \mu_{n}\right] \neq 0$. Suppose that $P\left(\alpha_{2 n+1}+\mu_{2 n+1}\right)=0$ for $\alpha_{2 n+1}=\eta_{2 n+1} \varepsilon_{2 n+2}$ or $\eta_{2 n+1}^{2} \sigma_{2 n+3}$. By [36, Proposition 11.10.(i)], there exists an element $\beta \in \pi_{2 n+7}^{n-1}$ satisfying $E \beta=0$ and $H \beta=\eta_{2 n-3}\left(\alpha_{2 n-2}+\mu_{2 n-2}\right)=\eta_{2 n-3} \mu_{2 n-2}$. On the other hand, $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{2 n+7}^{n-1}\right)$ implies a contradictory relation $\beta \in P \pi_{2 n+9}^{2 n-1}=0$. So, $\left[\iota_{n}, \alpha_{n}\right] \neq\left[\iota_{n}, \mu_{n}\right]$ and hence

$$
G_{n+9}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{\nu_{n}^{3}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \quad \text { if } \quad n \equiv 4(\bmod 8)
$$

By (2.7), (2.8) and (2.16), $\left[\iota_{9}, \nu_{9}^{3}\right]=\left(\eta_{9}^{2} \sigma_{11}+\sigma_{9} \eta_{16}^{2}\right) \circ \bar{\nu}_{18}=0$. By (2.15) and (2.12), $\left[\iota_{9}, \sigma_{9} \eta_{16}^{2}\right]=\sigma_{9}\left(\sigma_{16} \eta_{23}^{3}\right)=4 \sigma_{9}^{2} \nu_{23}=0$. So, we obtain $G_{18}\left(\mathbb{S}^{9}\right)=$ $\left\{\sigma_{9} \eta_{16}^{2}, \nu_{9}^{3}, \eta_{9} \varepsilon_{10}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{3}$. Let now $n \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 17$. By (6.9), $\left[\iota_{n}, \mu_{n}\right] \neq 0$ and by (4.2), $\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right]=0$. In light of IV, $\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{3}\right]=0$ if $n=2^{i}-7$ for $i \geq 4$ and $\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{3}\right]=\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right] \neq 0$ if $n \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 17$ and $n \neq 2^{i}-7$. We show $\left[\iota_{n}, \eta_{n}^{2} \sigma_{n+2}\right] \neq\left[\iota_{n}, \mu_{n}\right]$. Suppose otherwise. Then, by [36, Proposition 11.10.(ii)], there is an element $\beta \in \pi_{2 n+7}^{n-1}$ such that $E \beta=$ $P\left(\eta_{2 n+1}^{2} \sigma_{2 n+2}+\mu_{2 n+1}\right)=0$ and $H \beta=\eta_{2 n-3} \mu_{2 n-2}$. On the other hand, by $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{2 n+7}^{n-1}\right)$ and (3.8), $H \beta=0$, and so we get the assertion. Hence, we obtain
$G_{n+9}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\left\{\eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 8) \text { and } n \geq 17 \text { and } n \neq 2^{i}-7 ; \\ \left\{\eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}, \nu_{n}^{3}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}, \text { if } n=2^{i}-7(i \geq 5) .\end{array}\right.$

By (2.4) and [36, (7.10)], $\left[\iota_{5}, \eta_{5} \varepsilon_{6}\right]=\nu_{5} \eta_{8}^{2} \varepsilon_{10}=4 \nu_{5}^{2} \sigma_{11}=0$. So, we obtain $G_{14}\left(\mathbb{S}^{5}\right)=\left\{\nu_{5}^{3}, \eta_{5} \varepsilon_{6}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}$. Let $n \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 13$. By Proposition 4.4 and (6.9), $\nu_{n}^{3} \in G_{n+9}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ and $\mu_{n} \notin G_{n+9}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$. Furthermore, by Proposition 4.4, $\eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1} \in G_{n+9}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ unless $n \equiv 53(\bmod 64)$. So, we obtain

$$
G_{n+9}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\left\{\nu_{n}^{3}, \eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}, \text { if } n \equiv 5(\bmod 8) \text { and } n \not \equiv 53(\bmod 64) .
$$

At the end, we use the following:
$\zeta_{n} \in\left\{2 \iota_{n}, \eta_{n}, \alpha_{n+1}\right\}_{2}\left(\bmod 2 \zeta_{n}\right)$ for $\alpha_{n+1}=\eta_{n+1}^{2} \sigma_{n+3}$ or $\eta_{n+1} \varepsilon_{n+2}$, if $n \geq 11$.

Let $n \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 16$. By [36, Proposition 11.11.(i)], there exists an element $\beta \in \pi_{2 n+6}^{n-2}$ such that $\left[\iota_{n}, \alpha_{n}\right]=E^{2} \beta$ and $H \beta \in\left\{2 \iota_{2 n-5}, \eta_{2 n-5}\right.$, $\left.\alpha_{2 n-4}\right\}_{2} \ni \zeta_{2 n-5}\left(\bmod 2 \zeta_{2 n-5}\right)$. Suppose that $\left[\iota_{n}, \alpha_{n}\right]=0$. Then, $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{2 n+7}^{n-1}\right)$ induces a relation $E \beta \in P \pi_{2 n+9}^{2 n-1}=0$. By $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{2 n+6}^{n-2}\right)$ and (3.8), we have a contradictory relation $\zeta_{2 n-5} \in 2 \pi_{2 n+6}^{2 n-5}$. Whence, we get that $\left[\iota_{n}, \alpha_{n}\right] \neq 0$. In light of (6.9) and (6.12), we know $\left[\iota_{n}, \mu_{n}\right] \neq 0$ and $\left[\iota_{n}, \mu_{n}\right] \eta_{2 n+8} \neq 0$. This implies that $\left[\iota_{n}, \alpha_{n}\right] \neq\left[\iota_{n}, \mu_{n}\right]$ and $\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{3}\right] \neq\left[\iota_{n}, \mu_{n}\right]$.

By (2.9) and (4.16), $\left[\iota_{8}, \nu_{8}^{3}\right]=\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right) \nu_{15}^{3}=\eta_{8} \bar{\varepsilon}_{9}$ and $\left[\iota_{8}, \sigma_{8} \eta_{16}^{2}\right]=\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right) \sigma_{15} \eta_{22}^{2}=$ $\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right)\left(\eta_{15} \varepsilon_{16}+\nu_{15}^{3}\right)=\left[\iota_{8}, \eta_{8} \varepsilon_{9}\right]+\left[\iota_{8}, \nu_{8}^{3}\right]$. We have $\left[\iota_{8},\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right) \eta_{15}^{2}\right]=0$. So, we obtain $G_{17}\left(\mathbb{S}^{8}\right)=\left\{\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right) \eta_{15}^{2}, \sigma_{8} \eta_{15}^{2}+\nu_{8}^{3}+\eta_{8} \varepsilon_{9}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}$. By [32, p. 71], $\operatorname{Ker}\left\{P: \pi_{42}^{33} \rightarrow \pi_{40}^{16}\right\}=0$ and hence, $G_{25}\left(\mathbb{S}^{16}\right)=0$.

By [36, (7.14)],

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \zeta_{5}= \pm E^{2} \mu^{\prime} \text { and } 4 \zeta_{n}=\eta_{n}^{2} \mu_{n+2} \text { for } n \geq 5 \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 6$. By (6.13), Lemma 1.1.(1) and (2.2), $4\left[\iota_{n}, \zeta_{n}\right]=$ 0 . So, by the relation $H\left[\iota_{n}, \zeta_{n}\right]= \pm 2 \zeta_{2 n-1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sharp\left[\iota_{n}, \zeta_{n}\right]=4, \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 4) \text { and } n \geq 6 . \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [29, 4.14], there exists an element $\tau_{1} \in \pi_{2 n+2}^{n-6}$ such that

$$
\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{3}\right]=E^{6} \tau_{1}, H \tau_{1}=\eta_{2 n-13} \kappa_{2 n-12}, \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 8) \text { and } n \geq 16
$$

Suppose that $\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{3}\right]=0$. Then, by $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{2 n+7}^{n-1}\right)$, we have $E^{5} \tau_{1}=0$. So, by $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{2 n+6}^{n-2}\right)$, we have $E^{4} \tau_{1} \in P \pi_{2 n+8}^{2 n-3}=\left\{\left[\iota_{n-2}, \zeta_{n-2}\right]\right\}$. By applying $H: \pi_{2 n+6}^{n-2} \rightarrow$ $\pi_{2 n+6}^{2 n-5}$ to this relation and by (6.14), we obtain $E^{4} \tau_{1}=4 a\left[\iota_{n-2}, \zeta_{n-2}\right]=0$ for $a \in\{0,1\}$. By the fact that $\pi_{2 n+7}^{2 n-5}=\pi_{2 n+6}^{2 n-7}=0$, we obtain $E^{2} \tau_{1}=0$. Hence, by $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{2 n+3}^{n-5}\right)$ and (4.7), we have

$$
E \tau_{1} \in P \pi_{2 n+5}^{2 n-9}=E^{3} \bar{\tau}_{n-8} \circ\left\{\sigma_{2 n-11}^{2}, \kappa_{2 n-11}\right\} .
$$

By $\left(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{E}_{2 n+2}^{n-6}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\tau_{1}+E^{2}\left(b \bar{\tau}_{n-8} \sigma_{2 n-14}^{2}+b \bar{\tau}_{n-8} \kappa_{2 n-14}\right) \in P \pi_{2 n+4}^{2 n-11} \text { with } b, c \in\{0,1\} .
$$

This induces a contradictory relation $\eta_{2 n-13} \kappa_{2 n-12} \in 2 \pi_{2 n+2}^{2 n-13}$. Thus, we conclude that

$$
\left[\iota_{n}, \nu_{n}^{3}\right] \neq 0, \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 8) \text { and } n \geq 16 .
$$

Summing the above, we get
Proposition 6.4 The group $G_{n+9}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ is equal to the following: $\pi_{n+9}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ if $n=6$ or $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4) ;\left\{\nu_{n}^{3}, \eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}$ if $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 14$, $n=2^{i}-7$ for $i \geq 5$ or $n \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ unless $n \equiv 53(\bmod 64) ;\left\{\nu_{n}^{3}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ if
$n \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$ or $53(\bmod 64)$ and $n \geq 117 ;\left\{\eta_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ if $n \equiv 1(\bmod$ 8) and $n \geq 17$ and $n \neq 2^{i}-7$; 0 if $n \equiv 0(\bmod 8)$ and $n \geq 16$. Moreover, $G_{17}\left(\mathbb{S}^{8}\right)=\left\{\left(E \sigma^{\prime}\right) \eta_{15}^{2}, \sigma_{8} \eta_{15}^{2}+\nu_{8}^{3}+\eta_{8} \varepsilon_{9}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}, G_{18}\left(\mathbb{S}^{9}\right)=\left\{\sigma_{9} \eta_{16}^{2}, \nu_{9}^{3}, \eta_{9} \varepsilon_{10}\right\} \cong$ $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{3}$ and $G_{19}\left(\mathbb{S}^{10}\right)=\left\{3\left[\iota_{10}, \iota_{10}\right], \nu_{10}^{3}, \eta_{10} \varepsilon_{11}\right\} \cong 3 \mathbb{Z} \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}$.

By (1.1), Propositions 1.2.(3), 1.3, (1.6) and (6.12), we can determine $G_{n+10}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $n \geq 12$.

We have $G_{14}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4} ; 5\right)=\pi_{14}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4} ; 5\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{5}$ and $G_{14}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4} ; 3\right)=\pi_{14}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4} ; 3\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)^{2}$ by (1.7).

By [36, Theorem 7.3], $\pi_{14}^{4}=\left\{\nu_{4} \sigma^{\prime}, E \varepsilon^{\prime}, \eta_{4} \mu_{5}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{8} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. We have $\left[\iota_{4}, \nu_{4} \sigma^{\prime}\right]=2 \nu_{4}^{2} E^{3} \sigma^{\prime}$ and $\left[\iota_{4}, E \varepsilon^{\prime}\right]=2 \nu_{4} E^{4} \varepsilon^{\prime}-E\left(\nu^{\prime} E^{3} \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$. By the definition of $\varepsilon^{\prime}[36$, p. 58$]$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nu^{\prime} E^{3} \varepsilon^{\prime} \in \nu^{\prime} \circ-\left\{2 \nu_{6}, 2 \nu_{9}, \nu_{12}\right\}=\left\{\nu^{\prime}, 2 \nu_{6}, 2 \nu_{9}\right\} \circ \nu_{13} \\
=2\left\{\nu^{\prime}, \nu_{6}, 2 \nu_{9}\right\} \circ \nu_{13} \ni 2 \varepsilon^{\prime} \nu_{13}\left(\bmod \nu^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime \prime} \nu_{13}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

By the relations $2 \varepsilon^{\prime}=\eta_{3}^{2} \varepsilon_{5}$ [36, Lemma 6.6] and $\varepsilon_{4} \nu_{12}=P\left(\bar{\nu}_{9}\right)$ [36, (7.13)], we obtain $2 \varepsilon^{\prime} \nu_{13}=0$. By (2.3), (2.13) and [36, (7.4)], $E\left(\nu^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)=\eta_{4}^{3} \sigma^{\prime}=\eta_{4}^{2} \circ 4 \bar{\nu}_{6}=$ 0 and so, we obtain $\nu^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime \prime}=0, \nu^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime \prime} \nu_{13}=0$. This implies $\nu^{\prime} E^{3} \varepsilon^{\prime}=0$. By $[36,(7.10),(7.16)], \nu_{5} E \sigma^{\prime}=2\left(\nu_{5} \sigma_{8}\right)= \pm E^{2} \varepsilon^{\prime}$. Therefore, we conclude that $\nu_{4} \sigma^{\prime} \pm E \varepsilon^{\prime} \in G_{14}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}\right)$. We also obtain $2 E \varepsilon^{\prime} \in G_{14}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}\right)$, because $\left[\iota_{4}, 2 E \varepsilon^{\prime}\right]=$ $4\left(\nu_{4} E^{4} \varepsilon^{\prime}\right)=0$. By (2.6) and (6.10), $G_{15}\left(\mathbb{S}^{5}\right)=\pi_{15}\left(\mathbb{S}^{5}\right)$.

We recall the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi_{16}\left(\mathbb{S}^{6}\right)=\left\{\nu_{6} \sigma_{9}, \eta_{6} \mu_{7}, \beta_{1}(6)\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{72} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \\
\pi_{18}\left(\mathbb{S}^{8}\right)=\left\{\sigma_{8} \nu_{15}, \nu_{8} \sigma_{11}, \eta_{8} \mu_{9}, \sigma_{8} \alpha_{1}(15), \beta_{1}(8)\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{24}\right)^{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \\
\pi_{19}^{9}=\left\{\sigma_{9} \nu_{16}, \eta_{9} \mu_{10}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{8} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \\
\pi_{20}^{10}=\left\{\sigma_{10} \nu_{17}, \eta_{10} \mu_{11}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \pi_{21}^{11}=\left\{\sigma_{11} \nu_{18}, \eta_{11} \mu_{12}\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The order $\sharp\left[\iota_{6}, \beta_{1}(6)\right]=\sharp\left[\iota_{6}, \iota_{6}\right] \circ \beta_{1}(11)=3$. By (2.1), $\left[\iota_{6}, \eta_{6} \mu_{7}\right]=0 . \operatorname{By}(2.12)$, $\left[\iota_{6}, \nu_{6} \sigma_{9}\right]=\left[\iota_{6}, \iota_{6}\right]\left(\nu_{11} \sigma_{14}\right)=0$. This yields $G_{16}\left(\mathbb{S}^{6}\right)=3 \pi_{16}\left(\mathbb{S}^{6}\right)$.

It holds that $\left[\iota_{8}, \beta_{1}(8)\right] \neq 0$ and $\left[\iota_{8}, \sigma_{8} \alpha_{1}(15)\right]=\left[\iota_{8}, \iota_{8}\right]\left(\alpha_{2}(15) \alpha_{1}(22)\right)=0$ (1.7). By (2.12), $\left[\iota_{8}, \sigma_{8} \nu_{15}\right]=\left[\iota_{8}, \nu_{8} \sigma_{11}\right]=0$. Hence, by (6.11), we get that $G_{18}\left(\mathbb{S}^{8}\right)=\left\{\sigma_{8} \nu_{15}, \nu_{8} \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{8} \alpha_{1}(15)\right\} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{8}\right)^{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3}$.

We have $\left[\iota_{9}, \sigma_{9} \nu_{16}\right]=0$. So, by (6.7) and Proposition 1.2.(3), $G_{19}\left(\mathbb{S}^{9}\right)=$ $\left\{\sigma_{9} \nu_{16}, \beta_{1}(9)\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{24}$.

We obtain $\left[\iota_{10}, \sigma_{10} \nu_{17}\right]=0$ by (2.12), $\left[\iota_{10}, \eta_{10} \mu_{11}\right]=0$ by (4.2) and hence, $G_{20}\left(\mathbb{S}^{10}\right)=\pi_{20}^{10}$.

By (2.1) and (2.17), $\left[\iota_{11}, \eta_{11} \mu_{12}\right]=\left[\iota_{11}, \sigma_{11} \nu_{18}\right]=0$. This yields $G_{21}\left(\mathbb{S}^{11}\right)=$ $\pi_{21}\left(\mathbb{S}^{11}\right)$.

Therefore, we conclude that

$$
G_{n+10}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\left\{\nu_{4} \sigma^{\prime} \pm E \varepsilon^{\prime}, 2 E \varepsilon^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}(4) \alpha_{2}(7),\right. \\ \left.\nu_{4} \alpha_{2}(7), \nu_{4} \alpha_{1}^{\prime}(7)\right\}, & \text { if } n=4 ; \\ \pi_{15}\left(\mathbb{S}^{5}\right), & \text { if } n=5 ; \\ \pi_{16}^{6} \oplus\left\{3 \beta_{1}(6)\right\}, & \text { if } n=6 ; \\ \left\{\sigma_{8} \nu_{15}, \nu_{8} \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{8} \alpha_{1}(15)\right\}, & \text { if } n=8 ; \\ \left\{\sigma_{9} \nu_{16}, \beta_{1}(9)\right\}, & \text { if } n=9 ; \\ \pi_{20}^{10}=\left\{\sigma_{10} \nu_{17}, \eta_{10} \mu_{11}\right\}, & \text { if } n=10 ; \\ \pi_{21}\left(\mathbb{S}^{11}\right), & \text { if } n=11\end{cases}
$$

Thus, by summing up the above results, we get

Proposition 6.5 The group $G_{n+10}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ is isomorphic to the following: $\mathbb{Z}_{120} \oplus$ $\mathbb{Z}_{6}, \mathbb{Z}_{72} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{24} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{24} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{8}, \mathbb{Z}_{24}, \mathbb{Z}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{6} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ according as $n=$ $4,5,6,8,9,10,11$. Furthermore, $G_{n+10}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ is isomorphic to the group: 0 if $n \equiv$ $0(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 12 ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ if $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 14 ; \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ if $n \equiv 1(\bmod$ 4) and $n \geq 13$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ if $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 15$.

We recall that $\pi_{n+11}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n} ; 3\right)=\left\{\alpha_{3}(n)\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ for $n=3,4$ and that $\pi_{n+11}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n} ; 3\right)=$ $\left\{\alpha_{3}^{\prime}(n)\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{9}$ for $n \geq 5$, where $3 \alpha_{3}^{\prime}(n)=\alpha_{3}(n)$ for $n \geq 5$.

By $[36,(10.14)],\left[\iota_{5}, \zeta_{5}\right]=0$. By (6.14), $\sharp\left[\iota_{6}, \zeta_{6}\right]=\sharp\left[\iota_{10}, \zeta_{10}\right]=4$. By $[36$, Theorem 12.8, Lemma 12.12], $\sharp\left[\iota_{8}, \zeta_{8}\right]=8$. By [36, (12.22)], $E: \pi_{28}^{9} \rightarrow \pi_{29}^{10}$ is an isomorphism, and so $\left[\iota_{9}, \zeta_{9}\right]=0$. By [24, pp. 307, 320], $\left[\iota_{11}, \zeta_{11}\right]=0$ and $\sharp\left[\iota_{12}, \zeta_{12}\right]=8$. By $[25,(3.10)],\left[\iota_{13}, \zeta_{13}\right]=0$. By summing up these results, $\sharp\left[\iota_{n}, \zeta_{n}\right]=1,4,8,1,4,1,8,1$ according as $n=5,6,8,9,10,11,12,13$.

By (6.13), we have $\left[\iota_{4}, E \mu^{\prime}\right]=4 \nu_{4} \zeta_{7} \neq 0$. By $[36,(7.12)],\left[\iota_{4}, \varepsilon_{4} \nu_{12}\right]=0$. We note that $\left[\iota_{6}, \bar{\nu}_{6}\right]=0(6.1)$ and $\left[\iota_{n}, \bar{\nu}_{n} \nu_{n+8}\right]=0$ for $n=8,9$ by (2.10). Hence, by the group structure of $\pi_{n+11}^{n}\left[36\right.$, Theorem 7.4], we obtain $G_{n+11}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n} ; 2\right)$ for
$5 \leq n \leq 12$. Summing up, we obtain

$$
G_{n+11}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\left\{\nu_{4} \sigma^{\prime} \eta_{14}, \nu_{4} \bar{\nu}_{7}, \nu_{4} \varepsilon_{7},\right. \\ \left.2 E \mu^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{4} \nu_{12},\left(E \nu^{\prime}\right) \varepsilon_{7}\right\}, & \text { if } n=4 ; \\ \pi_{16}\left(\mathbb{S}^{5}\right), & \text { if } n=5 ; \\ \left\{4 \zeta_{6}, \bar{\nu}_{6} \nu_{14}\right\}, & \text { if } n=6 ; \\ \left\{\bar{\nu}_{8} \nu_{16}\right\}, & \text { if } n=8 ; \\ \pi_{20}\left(\mathbb{S}^{9}\right), & \text { if } n=9 ; \\ 4 \pi_{21}^{10}, & \text { if } n=10 \\ \pi_{22}\left(\mathbb{S}^{11}\right), & \text { if } n=11 ; \\ \left\{3\left[\iota_{12}, \iota_{12}\right]\right\}, & \text { if } n=12\end{cases}
$$

By abuse of notations, $\zeta_{n}$ for $n \geq 5$ represents a generator of the direct summands $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ of $\pi_{n+11}^{n}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{504}$ of $\pi_{n+11}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$, respectively.

We already know $\left[\iota_{5}, \zeta_{5}\right]=0$ and $\sharp\left[\iota_{12}, \zeta_{12}\right]=8$. By [32, p. 139: (8.24)], $\sharp\left[\iota_{20}, \zeta_{20}\right]=8$. Hence, by [21, Theorem C], Proposition 1.2.(3), (1.6), Theorem 6.1 and (6.14), we obtain

$$
\sharp\left[\iota_{n}, \zeta_{n}\right]= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 2) \text { and } n \geq 5 \text { unless } n \equiv 115(\bmod 128) ; \\ 2, & \text { if } n \equiv 115(\bmod 128) \text { and } n \geq 243 ; \\ 252, & \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 4) \text { and } n \geq 6 \\ 504, & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 4) \text { and } n \geq 8 .\end{cases}
$$

Thus, by summing up the above results, we get
Proposition 6.6 The group $G_{n+11}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ is isomorphic to the following: $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{6}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{504} \oplus\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{504} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{504}, 3 \mathbb{Z}$ according as $n=4,5,6,8,9,10$, 11,12 . Furthermore, $G_{n+11}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ is isomorphic to the group: $\mathbb{Z}_{504}$ if $n \equiv 1(\bmod$ 2) and $n \geq 13$ unless $n \equiv 115(\bmod 128) ; \mathbb{Z}_{252}$ if $n \equiv 115(\bmod 128)$ and $n \geq$ 243; $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ if $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 14$ and 0 if $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $n \geq 16$.

By use of [36, Theorem 7.6, p. 187: Table], we obtain $G_{n+12}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\pi_{n+12}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $n \leq 9$.

We recall $\pi_{22}\left(\mathbb{S}^{10}\right)=\left\{\left[\iota_{10}, \nu_{10}\right]\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{12}$. By Proposition 1.5.(1), $G_{22}\left(\mathbb{S}^{10} ; 3\right)=0$ and hence, $G_{22}\left(\mathbb{S}^{10}\right)=\pi_{22}^{10}$. By $[24,(7.7)], G_{23}\left(\mathbb{S}^{11}\right)=\pi_{23}\left(\mathbb{S}^{11}\right)$. By [36, (7.30)] and $[25,(4.29)]$, we obtain $G_{n+12}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\pi_{n+12}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $n=12$ and 13. Summing
up, we obtain

$$
G_{n+12}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=\pi_{n+12}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right) \text { unless } n=10 \text { and } G_{22}\left(\mathbb{S}^{10}\right)=\pi_{22}^{10}
$$

By use of [36, Theorem 7.7, pp. 187-8: Table], we obtain $G_{n+13}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$. In particular, we need the relations: $\left[\iota_{11}, \theta^{\prime}\right]=0$ and $\left[\iota_{12}, \theta\right]=0$ for $\theta^{\prime} \in \pi_{23}^{11}$ and $\theta \in \pi_{24}^{12}$. We show the case $n=4$. We recall

$$
\pi_{17}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4}\right)=\left\{\nu_{4}^{2} \sigma_{10}, \nu_{4} \eta_{7} \mu_{8},\left(E \nu^{\prime}\right) \eta_{7} \mu_{8}, \nu_{4} \beta_{1}(7), \alpha_{1}(4) \beta_{1}(7)\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{24} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{6} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}
$$

We have $G_{17}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4} ; 2\right)=\pi_{17}^{4}$. We see that $\left[\iota_{4}, \nu_{4} \beta_{1}(7)\right]= \pm 2 \nu_{4} \alpha_{1}(7) \beta_{1}(10)$ and $\left[\iota_{4}, \alpha_{1}(4) \beta_{1}(7)\right]= \pm\left(2 \nu_{4}+\alpha_{1}(4)\right)\left(\alpha_{1}(7) \beta_{1}(10)\right)$. By making use of the exact sequence in [36, Proposition 13.3], we have $\pi_{19}\left(\mathbb{S}^{3} ; 3\right)=\left\{\alpha_{1}(3) \alpha_{1}(6) \beta_{1}(9)\right\} \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$. So, $\left[\iota_{4}, \nu_{4} \beta_{1}(7)\right]$ and $\left[\iota_{4}, \alpha_{1}(4) \beta_{1}(7)\right]$ generate the group $\pi_{20}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4} ; 3\right) \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3}\right)^{2}$ and hence, $G_{17}\left(\mathbb{S}^{4} ; 3\right)=0$.

Summing up, we obtain

$$
G_{n+13}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\pi_{n+13}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right), & \text { if } n \text { is odd or } n=2 \\ \pi_{n+13}^{n}, & \text { if } n \text { is even unless } n=2,14 ; \\ \left\{3\left[\iota_{14}, \iota_{14}\right]\right\} \cong 3 \mathbb{Z}, & \text { if } n=14\end{cases}
$$

We close the paper with the two types of tables.
First, the table of the order of $\left[\iota_{n}, \alpha\right]$, where $\alpha \in \pi_{n+k}^{n}$ for $n \geq k+2, k \leq 11$ and $n \equiv r(\bmod 8)$ with $0 \leq r \leq 7$, given except as otherwise noted. This corrects the table in [27, the second page], where $m \equiv n(k)$ indicates $m \equiv n(\bmod k)$ and symbols in italic stress irregular cases.

Table of the order of $\left[\iota_{n}, \alpha\right]$, I.

| $\alpha \backslash r$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\eta$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2, \neq 6 \\ & 1,=6 \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
| $\eta^{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2, \neq 5 \\ & 1,=5 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $\nu$ | 8 | 2 | 4 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 8, \neq 12 \\ & 4,=12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2, \neq 2^{i}-3 \\ & 1,=2^{i}-3 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 1 |
| $\nu^{2}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2, \neq 2^{i}-5 \\ & 1,=2^{i}-5 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| $\sigma$ | 16 | 2 | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 2, \neq 11 \\ & 1,=11 \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 2 | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,7(16) \\ & 1,15(16) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\eta \sigma$ | 2 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2, \neq 10 \\ & 1,=10 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 2, \equiv 2 \mathscr{2}(32) \\ \geq 54 \end{gathered}$ <br> 1, otherwise | 1 |
| $\varepsilon$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| $\bar{\nu}$ | 2 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2, \neq 10 \\ & 1,=10 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| $\eta^{2} \sigma$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2, \neq 2^{i}-7 \\ & 1,=2^{i}-7 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 2, \equiv 53(64) \\ \geq 117 \\ 1, \not \equiv 53(64) \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $\eta \varepsilon$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 2, \equiv 53(64) \\ \geq 117 \\ 1, \not \equiv 53(64) \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $\nu^{3}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2, \neq 2^{i}-7 \\ & 1,=2^{i}-7 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mu$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| $\eta \mu$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| $\zeta$ | 8 | 1 | 4 | $\begin{gathered} 2, \equiv 115(128) \\ \geq 243 \\ 1, \not \equiv \equiv 115(128) \end{gathered}$ | 8 | 1 | 4 | 1 |

The next three tables of $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $1 \leq k \leq 13$ and $2 \leq n \leq 26$ are given by compiling our results. Like in [36, Chapter XIV], an integer $n$ indicates the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ of order $n$, the symbol $\infty$ the infinite cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}$, the symbol + the direct sum of groups and $(2)^{k}$ the direct sum of $k$-copies of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Table of $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$, II.

| $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ | $\mathrm{n}=2$ | $\mathrm{n}=3$ | $\mathrm{n}=4$ | $\mathrm{n}=5$ | $\mathrm{n}=6$ | $\mathrm{n}=7$ | $\mathrm{n}=8$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}=1$ | $\infty$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=2$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=3$ | 2 | 12 | $3 \infty+2$ | 24 | 2 | 24 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=4$ | 12 | 2 | $(2)^{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=5$ | 2 | 2 | $(2)^{2}$ | 2 | $3 \infty$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=6$ | 2 | 3 | $24+3$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=7$ | 3 | 15 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 120 | $3 \infty+2$ |
| $\mathrm{k}=8$ | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | $24+2$ | $(2)^{3}$ | $(2)^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}=9$ | 2 | $(2)^{2}$ | 2 | $(2)^{2}$ | $(2)^{3}$ | $(2)^{4}$ | $(2)^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}=10$ | $(2)^{2}$ | $12+2$ | $120+6$ | $72+2$ | $24+2$ | $24+2$ | $24+8$ |
| $\mathrm{k}=11$ | $12+2$ | $84+(2)^{2}$ | $(2)^{6}$ | $504+(2)^{2}$ | $4+2$ | $504+2$ | 2 |
| $\mathrm{k}=12$ | $84+(2)^{2}$ | $(2)^{2}$ | $(2)^{6}$ | $(2)^{3}$ | 240 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=13$ | $(2)^{2}$ | 6 | $8+(2)^{2}$ | $6+2$ | 2 | 6 | $(2)^{2}$ |

Table of $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$, III.

| $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ | $\mathrm{n}=9$ | $\mathrm{n}=10$ | $\mathrm{n}=11$ | $\mathrm{n}=12$ | $\mathrm{n}=13$ | $\mathrm{n}=14$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ | $\mathrm{n}=16$ | $\mathrm{n}=17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}=1$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=2$ | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=3$ | 12 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 24 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 12 |
| $\mathrm{k}=4$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=5$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=6$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=7$ | 120 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 120 |
| $\mathrm{k}=8$ | 2 | $(2)^{2}$ | $(2)^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | $(2)^{2}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=9$ | $(2)^{3}$ | $3 \infty+(2)^{2}$ | $(2)^{3}$ | 2 | $(2)^{2}$ | $(2)^{2}$ | $(2)^{3}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{k}=10$ | 24 | $4+2$ | $6+2$ | 0 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{k}=11$ | $504+2$ | 2 | 504 | $3 \infty$ | 504 | 2 | 504 | 0 | 504 |
| $\mathrm{k}=12$ | 0 | 4 | 2 | $(2)^{2}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=13$ | 6 | 2 | $6+2$ | $(2)^{2}$ | 6 | $3 \infty$ | 3 | 0 | 3 |

Table of $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$, IV.

| $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ | $\mathrm{n}=18$ | $\mathrm{n}=19$ | $\mathrm{n}=20$ | $\mathrm{n}=21$ | $\mathrm{n}=22$ | $\mathrm{n}=23$ | $\mathrm{n}=24$ | $\mathrm{n}=25$ | $\mathrm{n}=26$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}=1$ | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=2$ | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{k}=3$ | 2 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 24 | 0 | 12 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{k}=4$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=5$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=6$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=7$ | 0 | 120 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 120 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=8$ | 2 | $(2)^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | $(2)^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{k}=9$ | $(2)^{2}$ | $(2)^{3}$ | 2 | $(2)^{2}$ | $(2)^{2}$ | $(2)^{3}$ | 0 | 2 | $(2)^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}=10$ | 2 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{k}=11$ | 2 | 504 | 0 | 504 | 2 | 504 | 0 | 504 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{k}=12$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{k}=13$ | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
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