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We denote by $\iota_{n} \in \pi_{n}\left(S^{n}\right)$ the homotopy class of the identity map of $S^{n}$. Let $E_{n}$ be the $S^{3}$-bundle over $S^{7}$ induced from the canonical $S^{3}$-bundle $S p(2)$ by $n \iota_{7}$. Let $E_{n, k}$ be the $S^{3}$-bundle over $E_{n}$ induced from $E_{k}$ by the projection $p_{n}: E_{n} \longrightarrow S^{7}$. Then we have a commutative diagram:


In [2] we encounter an obstruction element in $\pi_{9}\left(S^{3}\right)$ which detects the triviality of the bundle $E_{n, k}$. The purpose of this note is to show that $\pi_{9}\left(S^{3}\right)$ really classifies the $S^{3}$ -bundles over $E_{n}$ for some integer $n$.

As is well known ([2]), we have the following cell structure:

$$
E_{n}=\left(S^{3} \cup_{n \omega} e^{7}\right) \cup_{\gamma} e^{10}
$$

where $\omega$ is the Blakers-Massey element generating $\pi_{6}\left(S^{3}\right) \cong Z_{12}$ and $\gamma$ is the attaching map of the top cell of $E_{n}$.

We set $Q_{n}=S^{3} \cup_{n \omega} e^{7}$ and denote by $j:\left(Q_{n, *}\right) \longrightarrow\left(Q_{n}, S^{3}\right)$ the inclusion. Let $\chi$ be a generator of $\pi_{7}\left(Q_{n}, S^{3}\right) \cong \mathbf{Z}$. Then, by (5.1) of [3], we have

$$
j_{*} \gamma=\left[\chi, \iota_{3}\right],
$$

where $\left[\chi, \iota_{3}\right]$ is the relative Whitehead product of $\chi$ and $\iota_{3}$.
We consider the following exact sequence induced from the cofibration $S^{9} \xrightarrow{\gamma} Q_{n}$ $\xrightarrow{i_{n}} E_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
{\left[E_{n}, B S^{3}\right]} & \stackrel{q_{\pi}^{*}}{\leftrightarrows} & \pi_{10}\left(B S^{3}\right) & \stackrel{(\Sigma \gamma)^{*}}{ } & {\left[\Sigma Q_{n}, B S^{3}\right]} & \stackrel{(\Sigma i n)^{*}}{ } & {\left[\Sigma E_{n}, B S^{3}\right]} \\
& \| & \| & \| \\
\pi_{9}\left(S^{3}\right) & r^{r^{*}} & {\left[Q_{n}, S^{3}\right]} & \stackrel{i_{n}^{*}}{\leftrightarrows} & {\left[E_{n}, S^{3}\right],}
\end{array}
$$

where $B S^{3}$ is the classifying space and $q_{n}: E_{n} \longrightarrow S^{10}$ is a map pinching $Q_{n}$ to one point.
If we can show that $i_{n}^{*}:\left[E_{n}, S^{3}\right] \longrightarrow\left[Q_{n}, S^{3}\right]$ is surjective, then the set $\left[E_{n}, B S^{3}\right]$

[^0]is not trivial since $\pi_{10}\left(B S^{3}\right) \cong \pi_{9}\left(S^{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3}$. So our task is to examine the map $i_{n}^{*}$ : $\left[E_{n}, S^{3}\right] \longrightarrow\left[Q_{n}, S^{3}\right]$.

Let $\eta_{2} \in \pi_{3}\left(S^{2}\right)$ be the Hopf map and $\eta_{n}=\sum^{n-2} \eta_{2}$ for $n \geq 2$. We denote by ( $a, b$ ) the greatest common divisor of two integers $a$ and $b$. Set $c=\frac{12}{(12, n)}$. Then we have the following.
Lemma 1. i) The set $\left[Q_{n}, S^{3}\right]$ consists of the element $\omega \eta_{6} g$ and an extension $\overline{C m L_{3}}$ of $c m u_{3}$ for any integer $m$, where $g: Q_{n} \longrightarrow S^{7}$ is a map pinching $S^{3}$ to one point.
ii) $\left[Q_{n}, B S^{3}\right] \cong \mathbf{Z}_{(12, n)}$.

Proof. In the exact sequence induced from the cofibration $S^{6} \xrightarrow{n \omega} S^{3} \xrightarrow{i} Q_{n}$, we have

and


By use of the first exact sequence, we have that there exists an extension $\overline{\mathrm{cml}_{3}}$ for each $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ since

$$
(n \omega)^{*}\left(c m \iota_{3}\right)=\left(c m \iota_{3}\right) \circ n \omega=\frac{m n}{(12, n)} 12 \omega=0 .
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 5.7 of [5], we have

$$
\left(\sum n \omega\right)^{*} \eta_{3}=n\left(\eta_{3} \circ \sum \omega\right)=3 n\left(\eta_{3} \circ \sum \omega\right)=n \Sigma\left(\eta_{2} \circ \nu^{\prime}\right)=0 .
$$

Thus $g^{*}$ is injective and $\omega \eta_{6} g$ is a non-zero element of [ $\left.Q_{n}, S^{3}\right]$. This proves i).
In the second exact sequence, as $(\Sigma n \omega)^{*}: \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{12}$ maps 1 to $n$ and $g^{*}$ is surjective, we have $\operatorname{Ker}\left(g^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\sum n \omega\right)^{*} \cong n \mathbf{Z}_{12}$ and $\left[Q_{n}, B S^{3}\right] \cong \frac{\mathbf{Z}_{12}}{n \mathbf{Z}_{12}} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{(12, n)}$. This proves ii).

Let $h: S^{7} \longrightarrow S^{4}$ be the Hopf map. Then we know the following ([1], [5]):

$$
\left[c_{4}, c_{4}\right]=2 h \pm \sum \omega \text { and } \pi_{10}\left(S^{4}\right)=\mathbf{Z}_{24}\left\{h \circ \sum^{3} h\right\} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{3}\left\{\Sigma\left(\omega \circ \Sigma^{3} \omega\right)\right\}
$$

We show
Lemma 2. $\Sigma \gamma=(\Sigma i)_{*}\left(a\left(h \circ \Sigma^{3} h\right)+b \Sigma\left(\omega^{\circ} \Sigma^{3} \omega\right)\right)$ for some integers $a$ and $b$.
Proof. We consider an anti-commutative diagram ([4]):

where $\Sigma^{\prime}$ stands for the relative suspension and the lower sequence is exact. Since $j^{\prime} *(\Sigma \gamma)=-\Sigma^{\prime}\left(j_{*} \gamma\right)=-\Sigma^{\prime}\left[\chi, c_{3}\right]=0$ by (2.30) of [4], there exists an element $\delta \in \pi_{10}\left(S^{4}\right)$ satisfying $\Sigma \gamma=(\Sigma i)_{*} \delta$. This completes the proof.

Remark. According to Lemma 2.32 of [4], $\delta$ is represented by the Hopf construction of a mapping of type $\left(\iota_{3}, n \omega\right)$. So we have

$$
\Sigma \gamma= \pm 2 n\left(\sum i\right)_{*}\left(h^{\circ} \Sigma^{3} h\right)
$$

Now we shall prove the following.
Theorem. $\gamma^{*}:\left[Q_{n}, S^{3}\right] \longrightarrow \pi_{9}\left(S^{3}\right)$ is trivial and $i_{n}^{*}:\left[E_{n}, S^{3}\right] \longrightarrow\left[Q_{n}, S^{3}\right]$ is surjective if $n \neq 0 \bmod 3$.
Proof. We consider the commutative diagram:


By [5], we have $\gamma^{*}\left(\omega \eta_{6} g\right)=\omega \eta_{6} g \gamma \in \omega \eta_{6} \pi_{9}\left(S^{7}\right)=\left\{\omega \eta_{6} \circ\left(\eta_{7} \circ \eta_{8}\right)\right\}=\left\{\omega \eta_{6}^{3}\right\}=\left\{6\left(\omega \circ \Sigma^{3} \omega\right)\right\}=0$.
Assume that there exists an integer $m$ such that $\gamma^{*} \overline{c m u_{3}}=\omega^{\circ} \sum^{3} \omega$. Then, by use of Lemmas 1 and 2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma\left(\omega \circ \Sigma^{3} \omega\right) & =\Sigma\left(\gamma^{*} \overline{c m \iota_{3}}\right)=\Sigma \overline{c m \iota_{3}} \circ \Sigma i \circ\left(a\left(h \circ \Sigma^{3} h\right)+b \Sigma\left(\omega \circ \Sigma^{3} \omega\right)\right) \\
& =\left(c m \iota_{4}\right) \circ\left(a\left(h \circ \Sigma^{3} h\right)+b \Sigma\left(\omega \circ \Sigma^{3} \omega\right)\right) \\
& =c m \iota_{4} \circ a\left(h \circ \Sigma^{3} h\right)+c m \iota_{4} \circ b \Sigma\left(\omega^{\circ} \Sigma^{3} \omega\right) \\
& =a\left(\left(c m \iota_{4} \circ h\right) \circ \Sigma^{3} h\right)+b c m \Sigma\left(\omega^{\circ} \Sigma^{3} \omega\right) \\
& =a\left(\left(c m h+\frac{c m(c m-1)}{2}\left[\iota_{4}, c_{4}\right] H(h)\right) \circ \Sigma^{3} h\right)+b c m \Sigma\left(\omega^{\circ} \circ \Sigma^{3} \omega\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $H$ is the Hopf invariant and we have used the Hilton formula. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-b c m) \Sigma\left(\omega \circ \Sigma^{3} \omega\right) & =a\left(\left(c m h+\frac{c m(c m-1)}{2}(2 h \pm \Sigma \omega)\right) \circ \Sigma^{3} h\right) \\
& =a\left(\left((c m)^{2} h \pm \frac{c m(c m-1)}{2} \Sigma \omega\right) \circ \Sigma^{3} h\right) \\
& =a\left(\left((c m)^{2} h\right) \circ \Sigma^{3} h \pm \frac{c m(c m-1)}{2}\left(\Sigma \omega \circ \Sigma^{3} h\right)\right) \\
& =a(c m)^{2}\left(h \circ \Sigma^{3} h\right) \pm \frac{a c m(c m-1)}{2} \Sigma\left(\omega^{\circ} \Sigma^{2} h\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Sigma\left(\omega^{\circ} \Sigma^{2} h\right)$ and $\Sigma\left(\omega^{\circ} \Sigma^{3} \omega\right)$ are elements of the 3-primary component of $\pi_{10}\left(S^{4}\right)$ $\cong \mathbf{Z}_{24} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{3}$ ([1], [5]), we have $\Sigma\left(\omega \circ \Sigma^{2} h\right)=-2 \Sigma \omega \circ \Sigma^{3} h=-\Sigma \omega^{\circ} 2 \Sigma^{3} h= \pm \Sigma(\omega \circ$ $\Sigma^{3}(\omega)=\mp 2 \Sigma\left(\omega^{\circ} \Sigma^{3} \omega\right)$.
Thus we have

$$
(1-b c m) \Sigma\left(\omega^{\circ} \Sigma^{3} \omega\right)=a(c m)^{2}\left(h \circ \Sigma^{3} h\right)-a c m(c m-1) \Sigma\left(\omega^{\circ} \Sigma^{3} \omega\right)
$$

and

$$
a(c m)^{2}\left(h \circ \Sigma^{3} h\right)-(1-b c m+a c m(c m-1)) \Sigma\left(\omega \circ \Sigma^{3} \omega\right)=0 .
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(\mathrm{~cm})^{2} \equiv 0 \bmod 24 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c m(b-a(c m-1)) \equiv 1 \bmod 3 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By hypothesis $n \neq 0 \bmod 3$, we have $(12, n)=1,2$ or 4 , and so $c=12,6$ or 3 which contradicts (2). Hence we conclude that $\gamma^{*}$ is trivial. This completes the proof.

Finally we have
Corollary. If $n \neq 0 \bmod 3$, then $\left[E_{n}, B S^{3}\right] \neq 0$ and if $(12, n)=1$, then $\left[E_{n}, B S^{3}\right] \cong \pi_{9}\left(S^{3}\right)$.
Proof. Making use of the exact sequence induced from the cofibration $S^{9} \xrightarrow{\gamma} Q_{n} \xrightarrow{i_{n}}$ $E_{n}$ and by Lemma 1. ii), we have

By Theorem, $\gamma^{*}$ is trivial if $n \neq 0 \bmod 3$, and so $q_{n}^{*}$ is injective. This implies the first half. If $(12, n)=1$, we have $\left[Q_{n}, B S^{3}\right]=0$. This implies that $q_{n}^{*}$ is surjective, so the second half follows.

Remark. Corollary tells us that there exist only $3 S^{3}$-bundles over $E_{1}=\operatorname{Sp}(2), E_{5}, E_{7}$ and $E_{11}$ up to isomorphism of bundles.
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