

A Memoir on the Spatially Spherosymmetric Solution for a Nonlinear Parabolic Equation

Nobutoshi ITAYA and Kentaro MUKAI†

Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Faculty of Science, Shinshu University

(Received July, 31, 1995)

Abstract : The paper discusses the temporal behavior of the spatially spherosymmetric solution for a nonlinear parabolic equation, which is a sense related with that of the spatially spherosymmetric solution for the 3-dimensional compressible Burgers' equation.

As for the notation used below, it is conventional, $H^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$, $H^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\bar{\Omega}_T)$, etc., denoting Hölder spaces.

1 Introduction

The partial differential equation to be considered is:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(x, t) = \phi(x, t)^{-2} \mu \Delta_n \psi(x, t) + \psi(x, t)^2 \quad (|x| \leq l (> 0), t \geq 0) \quad (1.1)$$

$$\left(x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \Delta_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right),$$

$$\psi(x, 0) = \psi_0(x) \quad (\in H^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}), \Omega = \{x \mid |x| \leq l\}, 0 < \alpha < 1), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\psi(x, t)|_{|x|=l} = 0 \quad (t \geq 0), \quad (\text{accompanied by compatibility conditions}), \quad (1.3)$$

where μ is a positive constant and $\phi(x, t)$ is defined by

$$\phi(x, t) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \psi(x, \tau) d\tau \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Now, without proof, we give two theorems.

Theorem 1 (Temporally local existence). *For some $T \in (0, \infty)$, there exists a unique solution $\psi(x, t)$ for (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3) belonging to $H^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\bar{\Omega}_T)$ ($\Omega_T = \Omega \times [0, T]$). [We note that, if $\psi_0(x) \geq 0$, then $\psi(x, t) \geq 0$.]*

Theorem 2 (Spatial spherosymmetry). *If $\psi(x, t) \in H^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\bar{\Omega}_T)$ ($0 < T < \infty$) satisfies (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3) with $\psi_0(x) = \tilde{\psi}_0(|x|)$, then $\psi(x, t)$ has a form $\tilde{\psi}(|x|, t)$,*

†Graduate Course (Doctor), Tokyo Metropolitan University

where $\tilde{\psi}_0(r)$ depends only on r and $\tilde{\psi}(r, t)$ does only on r and t . Moreover, $\tilde{\psi}(r, t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\psi}(r, t) = \tilde{\phi}(r, t)^{-2} \mu \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \tilde{\psi}(r, t) + \frac{n-1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \tilde{\psi}(r, t) \right] + \tilde{\psi}(r, t)^2 \quad (1.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (0 \leq r \leq l, 0 \leq t \leq T), \quad & \left(\frac{\tilde{\psi}_r}{r} \Big|_{r=0} \equiv \tilde{\psi}_{rr}(0, t) \right), \\ \tilde{\psi}(r, 0) = \tilde{\psi}_0(r), \quad & \tilde{\psi}_r(0, t) = \tilde{\psi}(l, t) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

(together with compatibility conditions). ($\tilde{\phi}(r, t) = \exp[\int_0^t \tilde{\psi}(r, \tau) d\tau]$.) [In the discussion of the 3-dimensional compressible Burgers' equation, the case $n=5$ matters.]

2 Blow-up result

In this section, we show that the solution $\psi(x, t)$ for (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3) with

$$\psi(x, 0) = \tilde{\psi}_0(|x|) \quad (\geq 0, \neq 0) \quad (2.1)$$

blows up in a finite time under a certain condition on $\tilde{\psi}_0(r)$.

First, we define $J^{(n)}(r)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) by

$$\begin{aligned} J^{(n)}(r) &= C_n r^{\frac{n}{2}} Z_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\beta_n r), \\ (C_n > 0), \text{ const. such that } & \int_0^l J^{(n)}(r) dr = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where $Z_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(s)$ is the Bessel function of order $\frac{n}{2}-1$ for each n and $\beta_n l$ is the first zero-point of $Z_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(s)$ ($s > 0$). For example, $J^{(1)}(r) = C_1 \cos \frac{\pi}{2l} r$, $J^{(2)}(r) = C_2 r J_0(\beta_2 r)$ ($J_0(\beta_2 l) = 0$), etc. Next, let $\psi(x, t)$ belong to $H^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\bar{\Omega}_T)$ for some $T \in (0, \infty)$ and satisfy (1.1)-(1.2)-(2.1). Then, by theorem 2, $\psi(x, t)$ is expressed as $\psi(x, t) = \tilde{\psi}(|x|, t)$. Moreover, $\tilde{\psi}(r, t)$ ($r = |x|$) satisfies (1.5)-(1.6). Multiplying both sides of (1.5) by $\tilde{\phi}(r, t)^2 \cdot J^{(n)}(r)$ and integrating them in r over $[0, l]$, we have easily,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^l \tilde{\phi}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\psi}(r, t) J^{(n)}(r) dr &= -\mu \beta_n \int_0^l \tilde{\psi}(r, t) J^{(n)}(r) dr + \int_0^l \tilde{\phi}^2 \tilde{\psi}(r, t)^2 J^{(n)}(r) dr, \\ (\text{N.B.: } \frac{d^2}{dr^2} J^{(n)}(r) - (n-1) \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{J^{(n)}(r)}{r} \right) &= -\beta_n J^{(n)}(r)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

By the strength of the equality $\tilde{\phi}_t = \tilde{\phi} \tilde{\psi}$, it is seen that

$$\int_0^l \tilde{\phi}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tilde{\psi}(r, t) J^{(n)}(r) dr = \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^l \tilde{\phi}^2 \tilde{\psi}(r, t) J^{(n)}(r) dr - 2 \int_0^l \tilde{\phi}^2 \tilde{\psi}(r, t)^2 J^{(n)}(r) dr. \quad (2.4)$$

Thus, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^l \tilde{\phi}^2 \tilde{\psi}(r, t) J^{(n)}(r) dr &= -\mu \beta_n \int_0^l \tilde{\psi}(r, t) J^{(n)}(r) dr + 3 \int_0^l \tilde{\phi}^2 \tilde{\psi}(r, t)^2 J^{(n)}(r) dr \\ &\geq -\mu \beta_n \int_0^l \tilde{\phi}^2 \tilde{\psi}(r, t) J^{(n)}(r) dr \end{aligned}$$

$$+ 3 \frac{\left\{ \int_0^t \tilde{\phi}^2 \tilde{\psi} J^{(n)}(r) dr \right\}^2}{\int_0^t \tilde{\phi}^2 J^{(n)}(r) dr}, \quad (2.5)$$

(N.B.: $\tilde{\phi}^2 \tilde{\psi} J^{(n)} = \tilde{\phi} (J^{(n)})^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\phi} \tilde{\psi} (J^{(n)})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\tilde{\phi} \geq 1$, $J^{(n)} \geq 0$ ($\neq 0$)).

Let $y_n(t)$ denote $\int_0^t \tilde{\phi}^2 \tilde{\psi} J^{(n)} dr$ (> 0). Then from (2.5) follows an inequality,

$$\frac{d}{dt} y_n(t) \geq -\mu \beta_n y_n(t) + 3 y_n(t)^2 \left(2 \int_0^t y_n(\tau) d\tau + 1 \right)^{-1}, \quad (2.6)$$

(N.B.: $\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \tilde{\phi}^2 J^{(n)} dr = \int_0^t \tilde{\phi}^2 \tilde{\psi} J^{(n)} dr = 2 y_n$, $\int_0^t \tilde{\phi}^2 (r, 0)^2 J^{(n)} dr = 1$).

Hereafter, we write $y_n(t)$, $J^{(n)}(r)$ and β_n simply $y(t)$, $J(r)$ and β , respectively. Defining $Q(t)$ by

$$Q(t) = 2 \int_0^t y(\tau) d\tau + 1 \quad (\geq 1), \quad (2.7)$$

we rewrite (2.6) in the following way:

$$\frac{Q}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \dot{Q} \geq -\mu \beta \dot{Q} \frac{Q}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \dot{Q}^2 \left(\dot{Q} = \frac{d}{dt} Q \right), \quad (2.8)$$

$$Q(0) = 1, \quad \dot{Q}(0) = 2y(0) (> 0) \quad (2.9)$$

According to the relation $\frac{d}{dt} \dot{Q} = \dot{Q} \frac{d}{dQ} \dot{Q}$ (N.B.: $\dot{Q} = 2y > 0$), from (2.8) we have an inequality

$$\frac{d}{dQ} \dot{Q} \geq -\nu + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\dot{Q}}{Q} \quad (\nu = \mu\beta), \quad (2.10)$$

or, what is the same,

$$\frac{d}{dQ} \dot{Q} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\dot{Q}}{Q} \geq -\nu. \quad (2.11)$$

Hence,

$$Q^{-\frac{3}{2}} \dot{Q} - Q(0)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \dot{Q}(0) = Q^{-\frac{3}{2}} \dot{Q} - 2y(0) \geq -\nu \int_{Q(0)=1}^Q Q^{-\frac{3}{2}} dQ = 2\nu(Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1). \quad (2.12)$$

Thus, we have

$$\dot{Q} \geq (2y(0) - 2\nu) Q^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2\nu Q > (2y(0) - 2\nu) Q^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad (2.13)$$

$$Q(0) = 1, \quad (2.14)$$

which leads us to the assertion that, if $y(0)$ satisfies

$$y(0) \left(= \int_0^t \tilde{\psi}_0(r) J(r) dr \right) > \nu = \mu\beta, \quad (2.15)$$

then

$$Q(t) > \frac{1}{\{1 - (y(0) - \nu)t\}^2} \quad \left(0 \leq t < \frac{1}{y(0) - \nu} \right). \quad (2.16)$$

From the discussion made above, we have:

Theorem 3. *The solution $\psi(x, t)$ for (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3)-(2.1) blows up in a finite time under the condition (2.15).*

3 Global existence

Here, we give a theorem which asserts the temporally global existence of a unique solution for our problem (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3)-(2.1) under an additional condition on $\tilde{\psi}_0(r)$. (In the case of $\tilde{\psi}_0(r) \leq 0$), the existence of such a solution is obvious.)

Theorem 4. *There exists a unique temporally global solution $\psi(x, t)$ of the problem (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3)-(2.1) belonging to $H^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\bar{\Omega}_T)$ for an arbitrary $T \in (0, \infty)$ under the condition on $\tilde{\psi}_0(r)$,*

$$|\tilde{\psi}_0|_I^{(0)} = |\psi_0|_a^{(0)} < \frac{\nu n}{6l^2} (= B_0), \quad (I = [0, l]). \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover, it holds that

$$|\tilde{\psi}(\cdot, t)|_I^{(0)} = |\psi(\cdot, t)|_a^{(0)} \leq \frac{2B_0}{1 + 4B_0 t}, \quad (t \geq 0). \quad (3.2)$$

Outline of the proof. Let $\psi(x, t)$ satisfy (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3)-(2.1)-(3.1) in $\bar{\Omega}_T$ for some $T \in (0, \infty)$. (N.B.: ψ has the form $\psi(x, t) = \tilde{\psi}(|x|, t)$.) Now, we define $w(x, t; a, k)$ (or, simply, $w(x, t)$) by

$$w(x, t; a, k) = (1 + ak\mu t) \psi(x, t) = (1 + ak\mu t) \tilde{\psi}(|x|, t) \quad (3.3)$$

(a and k , positive constants).

Then $w(x, t)$ satisfies

$$w_t(x, t) = \tilde{\phi}(|x|, t)^{-2} \mu \Delta_n w(x, t) + \frac{w(x, t)^2 + ak\mu w(x, t)}{1 + ak\mu t}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$w(x, 0) = \psi(x, 0) = \tilde{\psi}_0(|x|), \quad (3.5)$$

$$w(x, t)|_{|x|=l} = \psi(x, t)|_{|x|=l} = \tilde{\psi}(l, t) = 0 \quad (0 \leq t \leq T). \quad (3.6)$$

Moreover, $\hat{w}(x, t)$ defined by

$$\hat{w}(x, t) = w(x, t) + \frac{k}{2n} |x|^2$$

satisfies

$$\hat{w}_t (= w_t) = \tilde{\phi}^{-2} \Delta_n \hat{w} + \left\{ \frac{w^2 + ak\mu w}{1 + ak\mu t} - k\mu \tilde{\phi}^{-2} \right\}_A, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\hat{w}(x, 0) = \tilde{\psi}_0(|x|) + \frac{k}{2n} |x|^2, \quad \hat{w}(x, t)|_{|x|=l} = \frac{k}{2n} l^2. \quad (3.8)$$

After a somewhat lengthy calculation concerning (3.7)-(3.8), on the basis of the maximum principle, we obtain a sufficient condition on $|\tilde{\psi}_0|_I^{(0)}$ under which $|\hat{w}|_a^{(0)}$ is

bounded from above by known quantities and the term $\{\dots\}_A$ is smaller than 0. As a final result, we have our assertion.

Q.E.D

4 Concluding remark

The blowup-nonblowup problem of the spatially spherosymmetric solution for the 3-dimensional compressible Burgers' equation

$$v_t(x,t) = \frac{\mu}{\rho(x,t)} \left(\Delta + \frac{1}{3} \nabla \cdot \text{div} \right) v(x,t) - (v \cdot \nabla) v(x,t), \quad (4.1)$$

$$\rho_t(x,t) + \text{div}(\rho(x,t)v(x,t)) = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

is closely related to our problem (1.1)-(1.2)-(1.3)-(2.1) ($n=5$) in a technical sense, although the former is more complicated and more difficult than the latter. Our discussion above will be useful in treating the former problem, whose settlement consists in estimating $\rho(x,t) = \tilde{\rho}(|x|,t)$ in an *a priori* way.

References

- [1] A. Friedman, *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type*, Prentice Hall, 1964.
- [2] H. Fujita, *On the blowing up of the solution of the Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+\alpha}$* , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. I, **13** (1966), 109-124.
- [3] N. Itaya, *A note on the blowup-nonblowup problem in nonlinear parabolic equations*, Proc. Japan. Acad., **55-A** (1979), 241-244.
- [4] N. Itaya, *On some subjects related with the blowup problem in nonlinear parabolic equations*, Lecture Notes of Num. and Appl. Anal., **2** (Kinokuniya, 1980), 27-38.
- [5] N. Itaya, *On a spherically symmetric time-global solution for the compressible NS equation*, Kôbe Shôdai 'Jimmon Ronshû', **21** (1985), 1-2, 1-10, (in Japanese).
- [6] N. Itaya, *A note on the blowing-up problem of a certain system of nonlinear parabolic equations*, Stud. Math. and Appl., **18** (Kinokuniya-NorthHolland, 1986), 419-429.
- [7] N. Itaya, *A report on the blowing-up problem for nonlinear parabolic equations*, Publ. 60-th Anniv. Kôbe Shôdai (1990), 771-786, (in Japanese).
- [8] N. Itaya *A comment on the global existence of the solution for the nonlinear parabolic equation with a drift term*, J. Fac. Sci. Shinshu Univ., **29**, No.1 (1993), 17-26.
- [9] О. А. Ладыженская, и др., *Линейные и Квазилинейные Уравнения Параболического Типа*, Наука, 1964.
- [10] K. Mukai, *On the blowup-nonblowup problem for a certain nonlinear parabolic equation of a mixed type*, Thesis for Master's Degree, Shinshu University, 1993, (in Japanese).