

Global Isomorphisms of Lower Dimensional Lie Groups

By Ichiro YOKOTA and Toshikazu MIYASHITA

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,

Shinshu University

(Received December 3, 1990)

Among lower dimensional Lie algebras, there exist some overlaps as in the following left side table (Helgason [1] 519-520). Now we give global explicit isomorphisms between linear Lie groups corresponding these Lie algebras. Our results are the following right side table which is a global answer of Helgason [1] 521-522.

$\mathfrak{sp}(1) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2) \cong \mathfrak{so}(3),$	$Sp(1) \cong SU(2), \quad Sp(1)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong SO(3),$
$\mathfrak{sp}(1, \mathbf{R}) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(1, \mathbf{R}) \cong \mathfrak{sp}(1, 1) \cong \mathfrak{so}(2, 1),$	$Sp(1, \mathbf{R}) \cong SL(2, \mathbf{R}) \cong SU(1, 1), \quad Sp(1, \mathbf{R})/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(2, 1)_0,$
$\mathfrak{sp}(1) \times \mathfrak{sp}(1) \cong \mathfrak{so}(4),$	$(Sp(1) \times Sp(1))/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong SO(4),$
$\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{R}) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{R}) \cong \mathfrak{so}(2, 2),$	$(Sp(1, \mathbf{R}) \times Sp(1, \mathbf{R}))/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(2, 2)_0,$
$\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{R}) \times \mathfrak{su}(2) \cong \mathfrak{so}^*(4),$	$(Sp(1, \mathbf{R}) \times Sp(1))/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong SO^*(4),$
$\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{C}) \cong \mathfrak{so}(3, 1),$	$SL(2, \mathbf{C})/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(3, 1)_0,$
$\mathfrak{sp}(2) \cong \mathfrak{so}(5),$	$Sp(2)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong SO(5),$
$\mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbf{R}) \cong \mathfrak{so}(2, 3),$	$Sp(2, \mathbf{R})/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(2, 3)_0,$
$\mathfrak{sp}(1, 1) \cong \mathfrak{so}(4, 1),$	$Sp(1, 1)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(4, 1)_0,$
$\mathfrak{su}(4) \cong \mathfrak{so}(6),$	$SU(4)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong SO(6),$
$\mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbf{R}) \cong \mathfrak{so}(3, 3),$	$SL(4, \mathbf{R})/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(3, 3)_0,$
$\mathfrak{su}(2, 2) \cong \mathfrak{so}(2, 4),$	$SU(2, 2)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(2, 4)_0,$
$\mathfrak{su}^*(4) \cong \mathfrak{so}(1, 5),$	$SU^*(4)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(1, 5)_0,$
$\mathfrak{su}(1, 3) \cong \mathfrak{so}^*(6),$	$SU(1, 3)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong SO^*(6),$
$\mathfrak{so}^*(8) \cong \mathfrak{so}(6, 2),$	<hr style="width: 20%; margin-left: auto; margin-right: 0;"/>

where $\mathbf{Z}_2 = \{E, -E\}$ in any case.

Almost all of them might be known (e.g. [2]) except the the last several ones, but we will give their proves for all cases.

1. Notations and preliminaries

Let $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}i, \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{R} \oplus \mathbf{R}i \oplus \mathbf{R}j \oplus \mathbf{R}k$ be the fields of real, complex, quaternionic numbers, and $\mathbf{C}' (i'^2 = 1), \mathbf{H}' = \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}j' (j'^2 = 1)$ be the algebras of split complex, split quaternionic numbers, respectively. Let $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{R}^c, \mathbf{C}^c, \mathbf{H}^c$ be the complexifications of $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{H}$, respectively, and their complex conjugations are denoted by $\tau : \tau(x + yi) = x - yi, x, y \in K = \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{H}$.

We arrange the groups used in this paper, although they are familiar.

$$SO(n) = \{A \in M(n, \mathbf{R}) \mid {}^tAA = E, \det A = 1\},$$

$O(m, n) = \{A \in M(m+n, \mathbf{R}) \mid {}^tAI_mA = I_m\}$, $O(m, n)_0$ is the identity connected component of $O(m, n)$.

$$SO^*(2n) = \{A \in M(2n, \mathbf{C}) \mid {}^tAA = E, J_nA = \bar{A}J_n, \det A = 1\},$$

$$U(n) = \{A \in M(n, \mathbf{C}) \mid A^*A = E\}, \quad SU(n) = \{A \in U(n) \mid \det A = 1\},$$

$$SL(n, K) = \{A \in M(n, K) \mid \det A = 1\}, \quad K = \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C},$$

$$SU(m, n) = \{A \in M(m+n, \mathbf{C}) \mid A^*I_mA = I_m, \det A = 1\},$$

$$SU^*(2n) = \{A \in M(2n, \mathbf{C}) \mid J_nA = \bar{A}J_n, \det A = 1\},$$

$$Sp(n) = \{A \in M(n, \mathbf{H}) \mid A^*A = E\},$$

$$Sp(n, \mathbf{R}) = \{A \in M(2n, \mathbf{R}) \mid {}^tAJ_nA = J_n\},$$

$$Sp(m, n) = \{A \in M(m+n, \mathbf{H}) \mid A^*I_mA = I_m\}$$

where $I_m = \text{diag}(\underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_m, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_n)$, $J_n = \text{diag}(J, \dots, J)$, $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

LEMMA 1. Define $SU(n, \mathbf{C}') = \{A \in M(n, \mathbf{C}') \mid A^*A = E, \det A = 1\}$, $Sp(n, \mathbf{H}') = \{A \in M(n, \mathbf{H}') \mid A^*A = E\}$. Then we have

$$SU(n, \mathbf{C}') \cong SL(n, \mathbf{R}), \quad Sp(n, \mathbf{H}') \cong Sp(n, \mathbf{R}).$$

PROOF. See Yokota [3], Propositions 0.2 and 0.4.

2. Explicit global isomorphisms

THEOREM 2. (1) $Sp(1) \cong SU(2)$, $Sp(1)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong SO(3)$.

(2) $Sp(1, \mathbf{R}) = SL(2, \mathbf{R}) \cong SU(1, 1)$, $Sp(1, \mathbf{R})/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(2, 1)_0$.

PROOF. (1) The natural embedding $k : \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}j \rightarrow M(2, \mathbf{C})$, $k(a+bj) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}$ induces the isomorphism $k : Sp(1) \rightarrow SU(2)$. Next let \mathbf{H}_0 be all pure quaternionic numbers with the norm $N(x) = x\bar{x}$ and put $SO(3) = SO(\mathbf{H}_0)$. Then $f : Sp(1) \rightarrow SO(3)$, $f(p)x = px\bar{p}$, $x \in \mathbf{H}_0$ induces the isomorphism $Sp(1)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong SO(3)$.

(2) $Sp(1, \mathbf{R}) = SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ by the definition. The natural embedding $k' : \mathbf{H}' = \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}j' \rightarrow M(2, \mathbf{C})$, $k'(a+bj') = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}$ induces the isomorphism $k' : Sp(1, \mathbf{H}') \rightarrow SU(1, 1)$ (note that $Sp(1, \mathbf{H}') \cong Sp(1, \mathbf{R})$ (Lemma 1)). Next let \mathbf{H}'_0 be all pure split quaternionic numbers with the norm $N(x) = x\bar{x}$ and put $O(2, 1) = O(\mathbf{H}'_0)$. Then $f : Sp(1, \mathbf{H}') \rightarrow O(2, 1)_0$, $f(p)x = px\bar{p}$, $x \in \mathbf{H}'_0$ induces the isomorphism $Sp(1, \mathbf{H}')/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(2, 1)_0$.

THEOREM 3. (1) $(Sp(1) \times Sp(1))/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong SO(4)$.

(2) $Sp(1, \mathbf{R}) \times Sp(1, \mathbf{R})/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(2, 2)_0$. (3) $(Sp(1, \mathbf{R}) \times Sp(1))/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong SO^*(4)$.

PROOF. (1) Consider \mathbf{H} with the norm $N(x) = x\bar{x}$ and put $SO(4) = SO(\mathbf{H})$. Then $f : Sp(1) \times Sp(1) \rightarrow SO(4)$, $f(p, q)x = px\bar{q}$, $x \in \mathbf{H}$ induces the required isomorphism.

(2) Use \mathbf{H}' instead of \mathbf{H} of (1) and put $O(2, 2) = O(\mathbf{H}')$. Then we have the required

isomorphism as similar to (1) (note that $Sp(1, \mathbf{H}') \cong Sp(1, \mathbf{R})$ (Lemma 1)).

(3) Let \mathbf{H}^c be the complexification of \mathbf{H} with the norm $N(x) = x\bar{x}$ and the Hermitian inner product $\langle x, y \rangle = (xx, iy)$ (where (x, y) is the usual inner product of \mathbf{H}^c defined by $\frac{1}{2}(\bar{x}y + y\bar{x})$) and put $SO^*(4) = \{\alpha \in \text{Iso}_c(\mathbf{H}^c) \mid N(\alpha x) = N(x), \langle \alpha x, \alpha y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle, \det \alpha = 1\}$. (This group $SO^*(4)$ is isomorphic to the ordinary group $SO^*(4)$). In fact, the matrix $A \in M(4, \mathbf{C})$ of $\alpha \in SO^*(4)$ with respect to the basis $\{1, i, j, k\}$ of \mathbf{H}^c satisfies ${}^tAA = E, J_2A = (\tau A)J_2, \det A = 1$. Since $\{a + bi + cij + dik \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{R}\}$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{H}' , we identify these algebras. Then $f : Sp(1, \mathbf{H}') \times Sp(1) \rightarrow SO^*(4)$, $f(p, q)x = px\bar{q}$, $x \in \mathbf{H}^c$ induces the required isomorphism.

THEOREM 4. $SL(2, \mathbf{C})/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(3, 1)_0$.

PROOF. Consider the 4-dimensional \mathbf{R} -vector space $\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{C}) = \{X \in M(2, \mathbf{C}) \mid X^* = X\} = \{X = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & x \\ \bar{x} & \eta \end{pmatrix} \mid \xi, \eta \in \mathbf{R}, x \in \mathbf{C}\}$ with the norm $N(X) = \det X = \xi\eta - x\bar{x}$ and put $O(3, 1) = O(\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{C}))$. Then $f : SL(2, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow O(3, 1)_0$, $f(A)X = AXA^*$, $X \in \mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{C})$ induces the required isomorphism.

THEOREM 5. (1) $Sp(2)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong SO(5)$. (2) $Sp(2, \mathbf{R})/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(2, 3)_0$.

(3) $Sp(1, 1)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(4, 1)_0$.

PROOF. (1) Consider the 5-dimensional \mathbf{R} -vector space $\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})_0 = \{X \in M(2, \mathbf{H}) \mid X^* = X, \text{tr}(X) = 0\} = \{X = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & x \\ \bar{x} & -\xi \end{pmatrix} \mid \xi \in \mathbf{R}, x \in \mathbf{H}\}$ with the norm $N(X) = -\det X = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(X^2) = \xi^2 + x\bar{x}$ and put $SO(5) = SO(\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})_0)$. Then $f : Sp(2) \rightarrow SO(5)$, $f(A)X = AXA^*$, $X \in \mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})_0$ induces the required isomorphism.

(2) Use $\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H}')_0$ instead of $\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H})_0$ of (1) and put $O(2, 3) = O(\mathfrak{S}(2, \mathbf{H}')_0)$. Then we have the required isomorphism as similar to (1) (note that $Sp(2, \mathbf{H}') \cong Sp(2, \mathbf{R})$ (Lemma 1)).

(3) Consider the 5-dimensional \mathbf{R} -vector space $\mathfrak{S}_1(2, \mathbf{H})_0 = \{X \in M(2, \mathbf{H}) \mid X = I_1 XI_1, \text{tr}(X) = 0\} = \{X = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & x \\ -\bar{x} & -\xi \end{pmatrix} \mid \xi \in \mathbf{R}, x \in \mathbf{H}\}$ with the norm $N(X) = -\det X = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(X^2) = \xi^2 - x\bar{x}$ and put $O(4, 1) = O(\mathfrak{S}_1(2, \mathbf{H})_0)$. Then $f : Sp(1, 1) \rightarrow O(4, 1)_0$, $f(A)X = I_1 A I_1 X A^*$, $X \in \mathfrak{S}_1(2, \mathbf{H})_0$ induces the required isomorphism.

THEOREM 6. (1) $SU(4)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong SO(6)$. (2) $SL(4, \mathbf{R})/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(3, 3)_0$.

(2) $SU(2, 2)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(2, 4)_0$. (4) $SU^*(4)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong O(1, 5)_0$.

(5) $SU(1, 3)/\mathbf{Z}_2 \cong SO^*(6)$.

PROOF. (1) Consider the 6-dimensional \mathbf{R} -vector space

$$\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C}) = \left\{ X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi & -b & a \\ -\xi & 0 & -\bar{a} & -\bar{b} \\ b & \bar{a} & 0 & -\bar{\xi} \\ -a & \bar{b} & \bar{\xi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid \xi, a, b \in \mathbf{C} \right\}$$

with the norm $N(X) = -\frac{1}{4} \text{tr}(XX^*) = \xi\bar{\xi} + a\bar{a} + b\bar{b}$ and put $SO(6) = SO(\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C}))$.

Then $f: SU(4) \rightarrow SO(6)$, $f(A)X = AX^tA \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C})$ induces the required isomorphism.

(2) Use $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C}')$ instead of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C})$ of (1) and put $O(3, 3) = O(\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C}'))$. Then we have the required isomorphism as similar to (1) (note that $SU(4, \mathbf{C}') \cong SL(4, \mathbf{R})$ (Lemma 1)).

(3) Consider the 6-dimensional \mathbf{R} -vector space

$$\mathfrak{S}_2(\mathbf{C}) = \left\{ X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi & -b & a \\ -\xi & 0 & \bar{a} & \bar{b} \\ b & -\bar{a} & 0 & -\bar{\xi} \\ -a & -\bar{b} & \bar{\xi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid \xi, a, b \in \mathbf{C} \right\}$$

with the norm $N(X) = -\frac{1}{4} \text{tr}(I_2 X I_2 X^*) = -\xi \bar{\xi} + a\bar{a} + b\bar{b}$ and put $O(2, 4) = O(\mathfrak{S}_2(\mathbf{C}))$. Then $f: SU(2, 2) \rightarrow O(2, 4)_0$, $f(A)X = AX^tA$, $X \in \mathfrak{S}_2(\mathbf{C})$ induces the required isomorphism.

(4) Consider $\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathbf{C}) = \mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C})$ with the norm $N(X) = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr}(X J_2 X J_2) = \frac{1}{2} (\xi^2 + \bar{\xi}^2) + a\bar{a} + b\bar{b}$ and put $O(1, 5) = O(\mathfrak{S}_1(\mathbf{C}))$. Then $f: SU^*(4) \rightarrow O(1, 5)_0$, $f(A)X = AX\bar{A}^{-1}$, $X \in \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathbf{C})$ induces the required isomorphism.

(5) Let $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C})^c$ be the complexification of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C})$ with the norm $N(X) = -\frac{1}{4} \text{tr}(XX^*)$ and the Hermitian inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr}((\tau X) I_1 Y^* I_1)$ and put $SO^*(6) = \{\alpha \in \text{Iso}_c(\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C})^c) \mid N(\alpha X) = N(X), \langle \alpha X, \alpha Y \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle, \det \alpha = 1\}$. (This group $SO^*(6)$ is isomorphic to the ordinary group $SO^*(6)$. In fact, the matrix $A \in M(6, \mathbf{C})$ of $\alpha \in SO^*(6)$ with respect to the basis

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

of $\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C})^c$ satisfies ${}^tAA = E$, $J_3 A = (\tau A) J_3$, $\det A = 1$). Then $f: SU(1, 3) \rightarrow SO^*(6)$, $f(A)X = (\Gamma_1^{-1} A \Gamma_1) X^t (\Gamma_1^{-1} A \Gamma_1)$, $X \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{C})^c$ (where $\Gamma_1 = \text{diag}(i, 1, 1, 1) \in M(4, \mathbf{C})$) induces the required isomorphism.

3. Explicit local isomorphism between $\mathfrak{so}^*(8)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(6, 2)$

The centers of $SO^*(8)$ and $SO(6, 2)$ are both \mathbf{Z}_2 , but the maximal compact subgroups of $SO^*(8)$ and $SO(6, 2)$ are $U(4)$ and $SO(6) \times SO(2)$, respectively. Hence there exists no epimorphism between $SO^*(8)$ and $SO(6, 2)$. So we will only give an isomorphism between their Lie algebras.

THEOREM 7. $\mathfrak{so}^*(8) \cong \mathfrak{so}(6, 2)$.

PROOF. The mapping $f_*: \mathfrak{so}^*(8) \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}(6, 2)$,

$$f_* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda_1 & \alpha_1 & -\bar{\beta}_1 & \alpha_2 & -\bar{\beta}_2 & \alpha_3 & -\bar{\beta}_3 \\ \lambda_1 & 0 & \beta_1 & \bar{\alpha}_1 & \beta_2 & \bar{\alpha}_2 & \beta_3 & \bar{\alpha}_3 \\ -\alpha_1 & -\beta_1 & 0 & -\lambda_2 & \alpha_4 & -\bar{\beta}_4 & \alpha_5 & -\bar{\beta}_5 \\ \bar{\beta}_1 & -\bar{\alpha}_1 & \lambda_2 & 0 & \beta_4 & \bar{\alpha}_4 & \beta_5 & \bar{\alpha}_5 \\ -\alpha_2 & -\beta_2 & -\alpha_4 & -\beta_4 & 0 & -\lambda_3 & \alpha_6 & -\bar{\beta}_6 \\ \bar{\beta}_2 & -\bar{\alpha}_2 & \bar{\beta}_4 & -\bar{\alpha}_4 & \lambda_3 & 0 & \beta_6 & \bar{\alpha}_6 \\ -\alpha_3 & -\beta_3 & -\alpha_5 & -\beta_5 & -\alpha_6 & -\beta_6 & 0 & -\lambda_4 \\ \bar{\beta}_3 & -\bar{\alpha}_3 & \bar{\beta}_5 & -\bar{\alpha}_5 & \bar{\beta}_6 & -\bar{\alpha}_6 & \lambda_4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(where $\alpha_k = a_k + p_k i$, $\beta_k = b_k + q_k i$, a_k, b_k, p_k, q_k , and $\lambda_k \in \mathbf{R}$)

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda_{12} & a_{25} & \bar{b}_{25} & \bar{a}_{34} & b_{34} & \bar{q}_{16} & -\bar{p}_{16} \\ \lambda_{12} & 0 & b_{25} & -\bar{a}_{25} & \bar{b}_{34} & -a_{34} & -p_{16} & -q_{16} \\ -a_{25} & -b_{25} & 0 & -\lambda_{14} & -\bar{a}_{16} & -\bar{b}_{16} & \bar{q}_{34} & -\bar{p}_{34} \\ -\bar{b}_{25} & \bar{a}_{25} & \lambda_{14} & 0 & -b_{16} & a_{16} & -p_{34} & -q_{34} \\ -\bar{a}_{34} & -\bar{b}_{34} & \bar{a}_{16} & b_{16} & 0 & -\lambda_{13} & -q_{25} & p_{25} \\ -b_{34} & a_{34} & \bar{b}_{16} & -a_{16} & \lambda_{13} & 0 & \bar{p}_{25} & \bar{q}_{25} \\ \bar{q}_{16} & -p_{16} & \bar{q}_{34} & -p_{34} & -q_{25} & \bar{p}_{25} & 0 & -\lambda/2 \\ -\bar{p}_{16} & -q_{16} & -\bar{p}_{34} & -q_{34} & p_{25} & \bar{q}_{25} & \lambda/2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(where $a_{k\ell} = a_k + a_\ell$, $\bar{a}_{k\ell} = a_k - a_\ell$ etc., and $\lambda_{k\ell} = (\lambda_k + \lambda_\ell) - \lambda/2$, $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4$) is an isomorphism.

References

- [1] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, 1978.
- [2] I. Yokota, Explicit isomorphism between $SU(4)$ and $Spin(6)$, *J. Fac. Sci., Shinshu Univ.*, **14** (1979), 30-34.
- [3] I. Yokota, Realizations of involutive automorphisms σ and G^σ of exceptional linear Lie groups G , part I, $G = G_2, F_4$ and E_6 , *Tsukuba J. Math.*, **14** (1990), 185-223.