# **Connections of Differential Operators**

By Akira Asada

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Shinshu University (Received December 20, 1978)

## Introduction

A connection  $\theta$  of a vector bundle F may be regarded to be the lower order term of a differential operator  $D: C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{p}T^{*}(M)\otimes F) \to C^{\infty}(M, \Lambda^{p+1}T^{*}(M)\otimes F)$  with the symbol  $\sigma$   $(d) \otimes id_{F}$  (cf. [1]). Similarly, for an arbitrary differential operator  $D: C^{\infty}(M, E_{1}) \to C^{\infty}(M, E_{2}), E_{1}$  and  $E_{2}$  being vector bundles over M, we may consider the lower order term of a differential operator  $\widetilde{D}: C^{\infty}(M, E_{1}\otimes F) \to C^{\infty}(M, E_{2}$  $\otimes F)$  with  $\sigma(\widetilde{D}) = \sigma(D) \otimes id_{F}$ ,  $(\sigma(D), etc.)$ , mean the symbols of D, etc.), to be a connection of D with respect to F. This connection has many (formally) similar properties as usual connection. For example, the action of the group of automorphisms of F to the set of all connections of D with respect to F is formally same as usual case (cf. [9]), and the obstruction class o(D, F) which has similar properties as curvature or characteristic classes, can be defined by the help of connection.

The outline of this paper is as follows: In §1, we define the connection of D with respect to a vector bundle F. After showing the existence of connection, the action of the automorphism of F to the connection is calculated in §1. In §2, we define the obstruction class o(D, F) and show D has a connection with respect to F with the degree at most degD-2 if and only if o(D, F)=0. The higher obstructions  $o^{j}(D, F)$  are also defined under the assumption  $o^{j-1}(D, F)=0$ . It is shown that D has a connection with the degree at most degD-j-1 if and only if  $o^{j}(D, F)=0$ . If F is a complex line bundle,  $o(d, F) \in H^{1}(M, \mathbb{S}^{1})$ ,  $\mathbb{S}^{1}$  is the sheaf of germs of closed 1-forms on M, and its de Rham image in  $H^{2}(M, \mathbb{C})$  is the 1-st Chern class of F, the closed 2-form on M whose de Rham image o(d, F), is the curvature form of F. For this reason, we may define ch(D, F) and  $ch^{j}(D, F)$  using non-abelian cohomogy theory ([6], [8]). In §3, we consider the extension of differential operator D on the base space M to the tatal space  $M_{F}$  of a fibre bundle F and show this problem is also treated by the same way as the connection of D defined in §1. For this reason, to fix a connection  $\theta(F)$  of F, we call the

lower order term of the differential operator  $\widetilde{D}: C^{\infty}(M_F, \pi_F^*(E_1)) \to C^{\infty}(M_F, \pi_F^*(E_2))$ with  $\sigma(\widetilde{D}) = \pi_{\theta(F)}^*[\pi_F^*(\sigma(D))]$  is called the connection of D with respect to F and  $\theta(F)$ . Here  $\pi_{\theta(F)}^*: T^*(M_F) \to \pi_F^*(T^*(M))$  is the map defined by  $\theta(F)$ . It is shown that if F is an SO(n)-bundle or SU(n)-bundle with the fibre  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , D has a connection such that decomposed as the sum of connections of D with respect to  $\chi_p(F)$  or  $\chi_{C,p}(F)$ ,  $q \ge 0$ . Here  $\chi_p(F)$ , or  $\chi_{C,p}(F)$ , is the associate p-th degree harmonic polynomials bundle, or (p, p)-type harmonic polynomial bundle, of F.

## §1. Definition of connections

1. Let M be a connected n-dimensional smooth manifold,  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$  and F are complex (or real) vector bundles over M. The dimensions of the fibres of  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are assumed to be finite, but the dimension of the fibre of F need not be finite (cf. § 3). We fix a common (locally finite) coordinate neighborhood  $\{U\}$  of  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$ and F. The (fixed) transition functions of  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$  and F defined by  $\{U\}$  are denoted by  $\{g_{1,UV}(x)\}$ ,  $\{g_{2,UV}(x)\}$  and  $\{g_{UV}(x)\}$ . We denote by  $C^{\infty}(M, E_1)$ , etc., the space of  $C^{\infty}$ -cross-sections of  $E_1$  over M, etc.. Under these notations, a differential operator  $D: C^{\infty}(M, E_1) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M, E_2)$  is a collection of differential operators  $D_U: C^{\infty}(U, E_1) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(U, E_2)$  such that

$$D_U g_{1,UV}(x) = g_{2,UV}(x) D_V, x \in U \cap V.$$

We set  $\deg D = k$ . Then  $D_U$  is written

(1) 
$$D_U = \sum_{|\mathbf{I}| \le k} A_{\mathbf{I}, U}(x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_U}\right)^{\mathbf{I}}, \quad \mathbf{I} = (i_i, \dots, i_n), \quad |\mathbf{I}| = i_1 + \dots + i_n$$
$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_U}\right)^{\mathbf{I}} = \frac{\partial^{|\mathbf{I}|}}{\partial x_{U, 1} i_1 \dots \partial x_{U, n} i_n},$$

where  $(\mathbf{x}_{U,1}, ..., \mathbf{x}_{U,n})$  is the local coordinate on U. We set

$$D_U \otimes 1_F = \sum_{|I| \leq k} A_{I,U}(x) \otimes 1_F \frac{\partial}{\partial x_U}$$
,  $1_F$  is the identity map of the fibre of  $F$ ,

Then  $D_U \otimes 1_F : C^{\infty}(U, E_1 \otimes F) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(U, E_2 \otimes F)$  is a differential operator on U.

**Definition.** A collection  $\{\theta_U\}$  of differential operators  $\theta_U : C^{\infty}(U, E_1 \otimes F) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(U, E_2 \otimes F)$ , is called a connection of D (with respect to F) if it satisfies

(i) 
$$g_{2,UV}(x) \otimes g_{UV}(x) (D_V \otimes 1_F + \theta_V) = (D_U \otimes 1_F + \theta_U) g_{1,UV}(x) \otimes g_{UV}(x),$$

(ii) 
$$\deg \theta_U \leq k-1, \ (k = \deg D).$$

**Proposition 1.** For any D and F connection exists. **Proof.** Let  $\{e_U(x)\}$  be a  $C^{\infty}$ -partition of unity subordinate to  $\{U\}$ . Then to set

(2) 
$$\theta_U(x) = \sum_{U \cap W \neq \flat} e_W(x) g_{2,UW}(x) \otimes g_{UW}(x) \{ D_W \otimes \mathbb{1}_F(g_{1,WU}(x) \otimes g_{WU}(x)) - (g_{2,WU}(x) \otimes g_{WU}(x)) D_U \otimes \mathbb{1}_F \},$$

 $\{\theta_U(x)\}$  satisfies (i), (ii).

**Eefinition.** Let  $\theta = \{\theta_U(x)\}$  be a connection of D with respect to F. Then the collection  $\{D_U \otimes 1_F + \theta_U\}$  is denoted by  $D_{\theta}$ .

By definition,  $D_{\theta}: C^{\infty}(M, E_1 \otimes F) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M, E_2 \otimes F)$  is a differential operator on M and  $\deg D_{\theta} = k$ . Hence we have

(3) 
$$\sigma(D_{\theta}) = \sigma(D) \otimes id_F,$$

where  $\sigma(D)$ , etc., are the symbols of D, etc., and  $id_F$  is the identity map of  $\pi^*$ (F),  $\pi$  is the projection of  $T^*(M)$ , the cotangent bundle of M.

Note 1. If  $E_1 = A^p T^*$ ,  $E_2 = A^{p+1}T^*$  and D = d, the exterior differential, then a connection of d with respect to F is a linear connection of F.

Note 2. For a differential complex

$$(D): C^{\infty}(M, \mathbf{E}_1) \xrightarrow{D_1} C^{\infty}(M, \mathbf{E}_2) \xrightarrow{D_2} \cdots$$

Connection (with respect to F) is also defined. But the lifted sequence

$$(D_{\theta}): C^{\infty}(M, E_1 \otimes F) \xrightarrow{D_1, \theta_1} C^{\infty}(M, E_2 \otimes F) \xrightarrow{D_2, \theta_2} \cdots,$$

is not a differential complex in general, although its symbol sequence is exact (cf. [2]).

2. Let  $\varphi : E_1 \rightarrow E_1$  be a bundle map, then we set

(4) 
$$\varphi D_U = \sum_{|\mathbf{I}| \leq k} A_{\mathbf{I}, U}(x) \varphi(x) (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_U})^{\mathbf{I}},$$

and set

$$(5) D_U \varphi = \varphi D_U + D_{U,\varphi}.$$

By definition,  $\deg D_{U,\varphi} \leq k-1$ .

**Lemma 1.** If  $\varphi$  is an automophism, then

(6)  $D_{U,\varphi^{-1}} = -\varphi^{-1} D_{U,\varphi^{\varphi^{-1}}}.$ 

**Proof.** Since we have  $\varphi_{-1}(\varphi D) = D$ , we get

$$D_U = D_U \varphi^{-1} \varphi = \varphi^{-1} D_U \varphi + D_U, \varphi^{-1} = D_U + \varphi^{-1} D_U, \varphi + D_U, \varphi^{-1},$$

we obtain (6).

If  $\{\theta_U\}$  is a connection of D with respect to F, we have

$$g_{2,UV} \otimes g_{UV} D_V \otimes 1_F - D_U \otimes 1_F g_{1,UV} \otimes g_{UV} = g_{2,UV} \otimes g_{UV} \theta_V - \theta_U g_{1,UV} \otimes g_{UV}.$$

Hence we get

(7) 
$$g_{2,UV} \otimes h_U g_{UV} h_V^{-1} \{ (1_{E_2} \otimes h_V) D_V \otimes 1_F (1_{E_1} \otimes h_V^{-1}) - (1_{E_2} \otimes h_U) D_U \otimes 1_F (1_{E_1} \otimes h_U^{-1}) \} g_{1,UV} \otimes g_{UV} = (1_{E_2} \otimes h_U) \{ g_{2,UV} \otimes g_{UV} \theta_V - \theta_U g_{1,UV} \otimes g_{UV} \} (1_{E_1} \otimes h_V^{-1}),$$

where  $1_{E_1}$  and  $1_{E_2}$  are the identity maps of the fibres of  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ . But since we get by (6)

$$(1_{E_{2}} \otimes h_{U}) D_{U} \otimes 1_{F} (1_{E_{1}} \otimes h_{U}^{-1})$$
  
=  $(1_{E_{2}} \otimes h_{U}) \{ (1_{E_{1}} \otimes h_{U}^{-1}) D_{U} \otimes 1_{F} - (1_{E_{1}} \otimes h_{U}^{-1}) (D_{U} \otimes 1_{F}) |_{E_{1}} \otimes h_{U} (1_{E_{1}} \otimes h_{U}^{-1}) \},$ 

and since

$$(1_{E_1} \otimes h_U^{-1}) D_U \otimes 1_F = (1_{E_2} \otimes h_U^{-1}) D_U \otimes 1_F,$$

we obtain

(8) 
$$(1_{E_2} \otimes h_U) D_U \otimes 1_F (1_{E_1} \otimes h_U^{-1}) = D_U \otimes 1_F - (D_U \otimes 1_F)_{1_{E_1}} \otimes h_U (1_{E_1} \otimes h_U^{-1}).$$

By (7), (8), we have

**Lemma 2.** If  $\{\theta_U\}$  is a connection of D with respect to F, where  $\{g_{UV}\}$ , a transition function of F, is fixed, then by the change of transition function of F by  $\{h_U\}$ ,  $\{\theta_U\}$  is changed to  $\{\theta_U'\}$  given by

(9) 
$$\theta_U' = (1_{E_2} \otimes h_U) \{ \theta_U - (1_{E_2} \otimes h_U^{-1}) (D_U \otimes 1_F)_{1_{E_1}} \otimes h_U \} (1_{E_1} \otimes h_U^{-1}).$$

Note. Since  $d_f = df$ , the action of the automorphism of F to the connection of D is formally similar as the usual connection (cf. [1], [9]).

**Definition.** If  $\{\theta_{1,U_1}\}$  and  $\{\theta_{2,U_2}\}$  are the connections of D with respect to F, we call  $\{\theta_{1,U_1}\}$  and  $\{\theta_{2,U_2}\}$  to be equivalent if there exists a common locally finite refinement  $\{U\}$  of  $\{U_1\}$ ,  $\{U_2\}$  and a collection of bundle automorphisms  $\{h_U\}$  of F, each  $h_U$  is defined on U, such that

$$\theta_{1,U_{1}} | U = (1_{E_{2}} \otimes h_{U}) \{ \theta_{2,U_{2}} | U - (1_{E_{2}} \otimes h_{U}^{-1}) (D_{U} \otimes 1_{F})_{1_{E_{1}}} \otimes h_{U} \} (1_{E_{1}} \otimes h_{U}^{-1}),$$
  
$$U \subset U_{1} \cap U_{2},$$

for each U.

Note. If  $E_1 = E_2 = E$ , E and F both have unitary structures and D is formally selfadjoint, that is,  $\{g_{1,UV}\}$  (= $\{g_{2,UV}\}$ ) and  $\{g_{UV}\}$  both take the values in unitary group, then to denote inner product on  $C^{\infty}(M, E \otimes F)$  defined from the inner products of E and F by  $\langle \varphi, \phi \rangle$ , we get

 $\langle g_{1,UV}^{-1} \otimes g_{UV}^{-1} D_U g_{1,UV} \otimes g_{UV} \varphi, \phi \rangle = \langle \varphi, g_{1,UV}^{-1} \otimes g_{UV}^{-1} Dg_{1,UV} \otimes g_{UV} \rangle,$ 

if Supp.  $\varphi$  and Supp.  $\psi$  both contained in  $U \cap V$ . Hence D has a connection  $\theta$  such that  $D_{\theta}$  is formally selfadjoint. In this case, if  $\{h_U\}$  take values in unitary group, the change  $\{\theta_U'\}$  of  $\{\theta_U\}$  by  $\{h_U\}$  given by (9) also gives a formally selfadjoint operator  $D_{\theta'}$ .

3. We set

Con(D, F)={
$$\theta | \theta$$
 is a connection of D with respect to F},  
 $\mathscr{D}^{j}(E_{1}\otimes F, E_{2}\otimes F)=\{\eta: C^{\infty}(M, E_{1}\otimes F)\rightarrow C^{\infty}(M, E_{2}\otimes F) | \eta \text{ is a differential} operator with degree at most } j\}.$ 

Then by definition, to fix  $\theta_0 = \{\theta_{0,U}\} \in \text{Con}(D, F)$  and define  $i_{\theta_0}(\theta) = \{\theta_U - \theta_{0,U}\} = D_{\theta} - D_{\theta_0}, \ \theta = \{\theta_U\} \in \text{Con}(D, F)$ , we have a bijection

(10)  $i_{\theta_0}: \operatorname{Con}(D, F) \to \mathscr{D}^{k-1}(E_1 \otimes F, E_2 \otimes F).$ 

Since  $\mathscr{D}^{k-1}(E_1 \otimes F, E_2 \otimes F) = C^{\infty}(M, Hom(E_1 \otimes F, E_2 \otimes F) \otimes J_{k-1}(M))$  is a topological space by  $C^{\infty}$ -topology, Con(D, F) becomes a topological space by (10) and this topology does not depend on the choice of  $\theta_0$ .

Denote  $\mathfrak{G}(F)$  the group of bundle automorphisms of F,  $\mathfrak{G}(F)$  acts on Con (D, F) by lemma 2. To copy this action to  $\mathscr{D}^{k-1}(E_1 \otimes F, E_2 \otimes F)$  by  $i_{\theta_0}$ , we can define an action of  $\mathfrak{G}(F)$  on  $\mathscr{D}^{k-1}(E_1 \otimes F, E_2 \otimes F)$  which may different from usual action. By (9), the isotropy group  $\mathfrak{G}(F)_{\theta}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}(F)$  at  $\theta$  is given by

(11) 
$$((F)_{\theta} = \{ \{h_U\} \mid (1_{E_2} \otimes h_U) D_U, \theta_U = D_U, \theta_U (1_{E_1} \otimes h_U) \},$$
$$D_{U, \theta_U} = D_U \otimes 1_F + \theta_U.$$

By (10),  $\operatorname{Con}(D, F)$  is imbedded in  $\mathscr{D}^{k-1,s}(E_1 \otimes F, E_2 \otimes F) = \mathscr{X}^s(M, \operatorname{Hom}(E_1 \otimes F, E_2 \otimes F) \otimes J_{k-1}(M))$ , where  $\mathscr{X}^s$  means s—th Sobolev space. Hence, if F has a fixed unitary structure,  $\mathfrak{G}(F)$  is the group of bundle automorphisms of F with the unitary structure and M is compact, local slice theorem is valid ([4], [7], [9]).

In the case D is formally selfadjoint, we set

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Con}_{s}(D, \ F) = \{\theta \mid \theta \text{ is a formally selfadjoint connection of } D \text{ with} \\ & \text{ respect to } F\}, \\ & \mathscr{D}^{j}{}_{s}(E_{1} \otimes F, \ E_{2} \otimes F) = \{\eta : C^{\infty}(M, \ E_{1} \ F) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M, \ E_{2}F) \ E \ \eta \text{ is a formally} \\ & \text{ selfadjoint differential operator with degree at most } j\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then to fix a formally selfadjoint connection  $\theta_0$  of D with respect to F, we get

$$(10)' \qquad i_{\theta_{\theta}}: \operatorname{Con}_{s}(D, F) \to \mathscr{D}^{k-1}_{s}(E_{1} \otimes F, E_{2} \otimes F).$$

If M is compact, by the action of  $\mathfrak{G}(F)$ ,  $\operatorname{Con}_{s}(D, F)$  has local slice.

## §2. The obstruction class.

4. For the index set  $I = (i_1, ..., i_n)$ , we set

$$I+1_{i}=(i_{1},...,i_{j-1},i_{j}+1,i_{j+1},...,i_{n})$$

(cf. [3]). Using this notation, we set

$$D_{U} = \sum_{|\mathbf{I}|=k-1} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{U,\mathbf{I}+1j}(x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{U}}\right)^{\mathbf{I}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{u,j}} \right] \\ + \sum_{|\mathbf{I}|=k-1} B_{U,\mathbf{I}}(x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{U}}\right)^{\mathbf{I}} + lower \ order \ terms, \\ A_{U,\mathbf{I}+1j}(x) = A_{U,\mathbf{I}'+1k}(x) \ if \ \mathbf{I}+1j = \mathbf{I'}+1_{k}.$$

Then, since  $g_{2,UV}D_V = D_U g_{1,UV}$ , we get

$$g_{2,UV} \otimes g_{UV} D_V \otimes 1_F - D_U \otimes 1_F g_{1,UV} \otimes g_{UV}$$

$$= -\sum_{I} \left[ \sum_{j} A_{U,I+1j} \left( \frac{\partial (x_V)^{I+1}}{\partial (x_U)} \right)^{I+1} \otimes 1_F (g_{1,UV} \otimes \frac{\partial g_{UV}}{\partial x_{V,j}})^{I} \right] \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_V} \right)^{I}$$

$$+ lower order terms.$$

Therefore, to set a connection  $\{\theta_U\}$  of D with respect to F by

$$\theta_U = \sum_{|\mathbf{I}|=k-1} \theta_{U,\mathbf{I}}(x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_U}\right)^{\mathbf{I}} + lower \text{ order terms,}$$

we obtain

$$g_{2,UV} \otimes g_{UV} \theta_{V,I} - \theta_{U,I} (\frac{\partial (x_V)}{\partial (x_U)}^{I} g_{1,UV} \otimes g_{UV})$$
$$= -\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{U,I+1j} (\frac{\partial (x_V)^{I+1j}}{\partial (x_U)} g_{1,UV} \otimes \frac{\partial g_{UV}}{\partial x_{V,j}}),$$

for each I, |I| = k-1. But since  $g_{2,UV}D_V = D_Ug_{1,UV}$ , we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{U,\mathbf{I}+1,j} \left(\frac{\partial (x_{V})}{\partial (x_{U})}\right)^{\mathbf{I}+1,j} g_{1,UV} \otimes \frac{\partial g_{UV}}{\partial x_{V,j}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{2,UV} A_{V,\mathbf{I}+1,j} \otimes \frac{\partial g_{UV}}{\partial x_{V,j}}.$$

Hence we have

(12) 
$$g_{2,UV} \otimes g_{UV} \theta_{V,I} - \theta_{U,I} (\frac{\partial (x_V)}{\partial (x_U)})^{I} g_{1,UV} \otimes g_{UV}$$

$$= -\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{2,UV} A_{V,\mathbf{I}+1j} \otimes \frac{\partial g_{UV}}{\partial x_{V,j}}, \quad |\mathbf{I}| = k-1.$$

5. We set by  $\xi_{U^1}, \dots, \xi_{U^n}$ , the dual basis of  $\partial/\partial x_{U,1}, \dots, \partial/\partial x_{U,n}$  in the cotangent space. Then to set

$$\mathscr{H}_{U} = \sum_{|\mathbf{I}|=k-1} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{U,\mathbf{I}+1j} \xi_{U}^{I+1j} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{U,j}}),$$

 $\mathscr{H}_U$  is a cross-section of  $Hom(E_1, E_2) \otimes S^k(T^*(M)) \otimes T(M)$  over U. Here,  $S^k(T^*(M)) = J_k(M)/J_{k-1}(M)$  is the k-th symmetric product of  $T^*(M)$ . By (12), to set

$$\sigma(\theta_U) = \sum_{|\mathbf{I}|=k-1} \theta_{U,\mathbf{I}} \xi_U^{\mathbf{I}}, \ \sigma(\theta_V)^{\oplus VU} = g_{2,UV} \otimes g_{UV} \ \sigma(\theta_V) g_{1,VU} \otimes g_{VU},$$

we get

$$\sigma(\theta_U) - \sigma(\theta_V)^{\mathfrak{g}}_{VU} = g_{2,UV} [\mathscr{M}_V(g_{UV})] g_{1,VU} \otimes g_{VU}$$
$$= \mathscr{M}_U(g_{UV}) (1_{E_1} \otimes g_{VU}),$$

because  $g_{2,UV} \mathscr{A}_V = \mathscr{A}_U g_{1,UV}$ . Since this right hand side does not depend on th choice of  $\{\theta_U\}$ , we have

Lemma 3. To set

$$\mathscr{A}_{UV} = \sigma(\theta_U) - \sigma(\theta_V)^{\mathfrak{g}_{VU}} = \mathscr{A}_U(g_{UV}) (1_{E_1} \otimes g_{VU}),$$

 $\mathscr{A}_{UV}$  is a cross-section of  $Hom(E_1, E_2) \otimes S^{k-1}(T^*(M)) \otimes Hom(F, F)$  over  $U \cap V$  and does not depend on the chice of  $\{\theta_U\}$ .

By definition, the collection  $\{\mathscr{M}_{UV}\}$  satisfies cochain condition

(13) 
$$\mathscr{A}_{UV} + \mathscr{A}_{VW} \mathscr{G}_{VU} + \mathscr{A}_{WU} \mathscr{G}^{WU} = 0.$$

If  $\theta' = \{\theta'_U\}$  is equivalent to  $\theta$ , we have by (9)

(14) 
$$\theta'_{U,\mathbf{I}} = \theta_{U,\mathbf{I}} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{U,\mathbf{I}+1} \otimes h_{U}^{-1} \frac{\partial h_{U}}{\sigma x_{U,j}},$$

for any I,  $|\mathbf{I}| = k-1$ . Conversely, if  $\theta'$  satisfies (14), there exists  $\eta \in \mathscr{D}^{k-2}(E_1 \otimes F, E_2 \otimes F)$  such that  $\theta' + \eta$  is equivalent to  $\theta$ . If  $\theta$  satisfies (14), then

(15) 
$$\sigma(\theta'_U) = \sigma(\theta_U) - (1_{E_2} \otimes h_U^{-1}) \mathscr{M}_U(h_U).$$

But, since  $\mathscr{M}_U(h_U^{-1}) = -(1_{E_2} \otimes h_U^{-1}) [\mathscr{M}_U(h_U)] (1_{E_1} \otimes h_U^{-1}),$  (15) is rewritten

(15)' 
$$\sigma(\theta'_U) = \sigma(\theta_U) + \mathscr{A}_U(h_U^{-1}) \ (1_{E_1} \otimes h_U).$$

Therefore, if  $\{\theta_U\}$  and  $\{\theta'_U\}$  are equivalent each other, then

(16) 
$$\{ \sigma(\theta'_U) - \sigma(\theta'_V)^{\mathfrak{g}_{VU}} \} - \{ \sigma(\theta_U) - \sigma(\theta_V)^{\mathfrak{g}_{VU}} \}$$
$$= \mathscr{I}_U(f_U) (\mathbf{1}_{E_1} \otimes f_U^{-1}) - [\mathscr{I}_V(f_V) (\mathbf{1}_{E_1} \otimes f_V^{-1})]^{\mathfrak{g}_{VU}},$$

with suitable  $\{f_U\}$ ,  $f_U: U \to Hom(F, F)$ . Conversely, if (16) is satisfied, then with suitable  $\eta \in \mathscr{D}^{k-2}(E_1 \otimes F, E_1 \otimes F)$ ,  $\theta' + \eta$  is equivalent to  $\theta$ . Especially, we have

**Lemma 4.** (i). The symbol  $\sigma(\theta)$  of  $\theta$  is defined if and only if there exist  $f_U$ ,  $f_U: U \rightarrow Hom(F, F)$ , such that

(17) 
$$\mathscr{M}_{UV} = \mathscr{M}_U(f_U) \left( \mathbf{1}_{E_1} \otimes f_U^{-1} \right) - \left[ \mathscr{M}_V(f_V) \left( \mathbf{1}_{E_1} \otimes V^{-1} \right) \right]^{\mathbb{Q}VU},$$

for each  $U \cap V$ .

(ii). If D has a connection  $\theta$  with respect to F such that  $\sigma(\theta)$  is defined, then there exists a connection  $\theta_0$  of D with respect to F such that deg  $\theta_0 \leq k-2$ .

**Proof.** We only need to show (ii). But since  $\sigma(\theta)$  is defined,  $g_{2,UV} \otimes g_{UV} \theta_V - \theta_U g_{1,UV} \otimes g_{UV}$  is a differential operator of degree at most k-2. Hence there exists  $\eta_0 \in \mathscr{D}^{k-1}$  ( $E_1 \otimes F$ ,  $E_1 \otimes F$ ) such that  $\sigma(\theta) = \sigma(\eta_0)$  (cf. the proof of proposition 1). Then, since  $\sigma(\theta+\eta) = \sigma(\theta) + \sigma(\eta)$  for  $\eta \in \mathscr{D}^{k-1}(E_1 \otimes F, E_2 \otimes F)$ , we have the lemma.

6. We denote by  $\mathfrak{P}(F)$  the associate principal bundle of F and define a differential operator  $\mathfrak{D}: C^{\infty}(U, \mathfrak{P}(F)) \to C^{\infty}(U, Hom(E_1, E_2) \otimes S^{k-1}(T^*(M)) \otimes Hom(F, F))$  by

$$\mathcal{D}f_U = \mathcal{M}_U(f_U) (1_{E_1} \otimes f_U^{-1}).$$

The sheaf of germs of images of  $\mathscr{D}$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{R}(\mathscr{D})$ . Then (12) and (13) show that  $\{\sigma(\theta_U) - \sigma(\theta_V)^{\mathfrak{g}_V U}\} = \{\mathscr{M}_{UV}\}$  defines a cohomo logy class in  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}(\mathscr{D}))$ . By (16), this class is same if  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  are equivalent. By lemma 3, this class does not depend on the choice of  $\theta$ .

**Definition.** The cohomology class of  $\{\mathscr{M}_{UV}\}$  in  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}(\mathscr{D}))$  is denoted by o(D, F).

By this definition, lemma 4 is restated as follows:

**Theorem 1.** D has a connection  $\theta$  with respect to F such that  $\deg \theta \leq k-2$  if and only if o(D, F)=0.

Example 1. If D=d, the exterior differential,  $\mathscr{N}(f)$  is equal to df. Hence to define  $D_2: C^{\infty}(M, Hom(F, F) \otimes T^*(M)) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M, Hom(F, F) \otimes A^2T^*(M))$  by  $D_2F=dF$  + F, we get an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \to \mathbb{R}(\mathscr{D}) \to \mathscr{B}^{1}(M) \otimes Hom(F, F) \xrightarrow{D_{2}} D_{2}(\mathscr{B}^{1}(M) \otimes Hom(F, F)) \to 0,$$

where  $\mathscr{B}^{1}(M)$  is the sheaf of germs of closed 1-forms on M. Therefore, there exists a Hom (F, F)-valued 2-form  $\Theta$  on M such that whose de Rham image by

this exact sequence just covers the representative of o(D, F) defined by  $\theta$ , a connection of F. This  $\Theta$  is the curvature form of  $\theta$ . On the other hand, if F is a complex line bundle, then the kernel sheaf of  $\mathscr{D}$  is the constant sheaf of complex numbers over M and we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^* d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence we can define  $\delta(o(D, F)) \in H^2(M, \mathbb{C})$ . It is the 1-st Chern class of F.

Example 2. If k=2,  $E_1=E_2=1$ , the 1-dimensional trivial bundle, then set  $D=\sum_{i,j}A_{i,j}(x)\partial^2/\partial x_i\partial x_j+lower$  order terms,  $A_{i,j}=A_{j,i}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(f)$  is given

$$\mathscr{D}(f)\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{i,j}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}} f^{-1}) dx_{i}.$$

Hence if F is a complex line bundle and the matrix  $(A_{i,j}(x))$  is regular at any point of M, the kernel shdaf of  $\mathcal{D}$  is the constant sheaf of complex numbers over M.

We denote the kernel sheaf of  $\mathscr{D}$  by  $\mathfrak{ter}(\mathscr{D})$ . The sheaf of germs of smooth sections of  $\mathfrak{P}(F)$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{P}(F)$ . Then we have the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 {\rightarrow} \mathfrak{ker}(\mathscr{D}) {\rightarrow} \mathfrak{P}(F) {\rightarrow} \mathbf{R}(D) {\rightarrow} 0$$

Then to set  $\mathfrak{O}_{\mathscr{D}}$  the sheaf of germs of those automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{ker}(D)$  that can be extended to automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{P}(F)$ , there exists 2-dimensional cohomology set  $H^2(M, \mathfrak{O}_{\mathscr{D}})$  and map  $\delta: H^1(M, \mathbf{R}(\mathscr{D})) \rightarrow H^2(M, \mathfrak{O}_{\mathscr{D}})$  ([6], [8]).

**Definition**. We denote  $\delta(o(D, F))$  by ch(D, F).

On the other hand, if there is an operator  $\mathscr{D}_2 = \{\mathscr{D}_{2,U}\}$  such that the local integrability condition for the equation  $g = \mathscr{D}(f)$  is given by  $\mathscr{D}_2(g) = 0$ , then we define the curvature  $\Theta = \Theta(\theta, D, F)$  of a connection  $\theta$  of D with respect so F by

(18) 
$$\Theta_U = \mathcal{D}_2, U(\theta_U), \ \Theta = \{\Theta_U\}.$$

7. We assume there is a transition function  $\{g_{UV}\}$  of F such that

(19) 
$$\deg[g_{2,UV} \otimes g_{UV} D_V \otimes 1F - D_U \otimes 1_F g_{1,UV} \otimes g_{UV}] = k - j, \ j \ge 2$$

We note that under thi assumption, D has a connection  $\theta$  with respect to F such that deg  $\theta \leq k-j$  (cf. the proof of proposition 1).

Under the assumption (19), we set

$$D_{U} = \sum_{|\mathbf{I}|=k-j, |j| \leq j} A_{U,\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{J}}(x) (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{U}})^{\mathbf{I}+j} + lower \text{ order terms,}$$
$$A_{U,\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{J}} = A_{U,\mathbf{I}'+\mathbf{J}'}, \text{ if } \mathbf{I}+\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{I}'+\mathbf{J}'.$$

Then we have

$$g_{2,UV} \otimes g_{UV} \otimes 1_{F} - D_{U} \otimes 1_{F} g_{1,UV} \otimes g_{UV}$$

$$= -\sum_{\mathbf{1I} \mid =k-j} \sum_{\mathbf{1} \leq |\mathbf{J}| \leq j} A_{U,\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{J}} \otimes 1_{F} \frac{|\mathbf{J}|!}{|\mathbf{J}|!} \sum_{\mathbf{J} \geq \mathbf{K}, |\mathbf{K}| \geq 1} \frac{|\mathbf{J}|!}{|\mathbf{K}! (\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{K})!} \{(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{U}})^{\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{K}} g_{1,UV}\} \otimes \{(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{U}})^{\mathbf{K}} g_{UV}\} ] (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{U}})^{\mathbf{I}} + lower order terms.$$

Let  $\{\theta_U\}$  be a connection of D with respect to F such that deg  $\theta_U \leq k-j$ , then to set  $\theta_U = \sum_{|\mathbf{I}|=k-j} \theta_{U,\mathbf{I}}(\partial/\partial x_U)^{\mathbf{I}} + loder$  terms, we have

$$g_{2,UV} \otimes g_{UV} \theta_{V,I} (\frac{\partial (x_U)}{\partial (x_V)})^{I} - \theta_{U,I} g_{1,UV} \otimes g_{UV}$$
  
=  $-\sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{J}| \leq j} A_{U,I+J} \otimes \mathbf{1}_F \frac{|\mathbf{J}|!}{\mathbf{J}!} [\sum_{\mathbf{J} \geq \mathbf{K}, |\mathbf{K}| \geq 1} \frac{|\mathbf{J}|!}{\mathbf{K}! (\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{K})!} \{ (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_U})^{\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{K}} g_{1,UV} \{ \otimes \} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_U})^{\mathbf{K}} g_{UV} \} ].$ 

Hence to set

$$\mathcal{M}^{j}_{UV}(f) = \sum_{|\mathbf{I}|=k-j} \xi_{U}^{\mathbf{I}} \Big[ \sum_{1 \leq |\mathbf{J}| \leq j} A_{U,\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{J}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{F} \xi_{U} \frac{\mathbf{J}|\mathbf{J}|!}{\mathbf{J}!} \Big[ \sum_{\mathbf{J} \geq \mathbf{K}, |\mathbf{K}| \geq 1} \frac{|\mathbf{J}|!}{\mathbf{K}! (\mathbf{J}-\mathbf{K})!} \\ \{ (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{U}})^{\mathbf{J}-\mathbf{K}} g_{1,UV} \{ \otimes \} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{U}})^{\mathbf{K}} f \} \Big] \Big],$$

we obtain

(12)<sub>j</sub> 
$$\sigma(\theta_U) - \sigma(\theta_V)^{\bigcup VU} = \mathscr{H}^j_{UV}(g_{UV})g_{1,VU} \otimes g_{VU}.$$

By  $(12)_j$ ,  $\sigma(\theta_U) - \sigma(\theta_V)^{\mathcal{W}U} = \mathscr{H}^j_{UV}$  does not depend on the choice of  $\theta$  if deg  $\theta \leq k-j$ . On the other hand, if  $h_U$  satisfies

 $(20)_j \qquad \deg(D_U \otimes 1_F)_{1E_1 \otimes hU} \leq k - j,$ 

and  $\theta'_U = 1_{E2} \otimes h_U(\theta_U - 1_{E2} \otimes h_U^{-1} D_{U,h_{II}}) 1_{E1} \otimes h_U^{-1}$ , then

$$\{\sigma(\theta'_U) - \sigma(\theta'_V)^{\mathbb{G}^V U}\} - \{\sigma(\theta_U) - \sigma(\theta_V)^{\mathbb{G}^V U}\} \\ = \mathscr{A}^{j}_{UV}(h_U^{-1}) (1_{E_1} \otimes h_U) - [\mathscr{A}^{j}_{UV}(h_V^{-1}) (1_{E_1} \otimes h_V)]^{\mathbb{G}^V U}.$$

We set  $C_{j^{\infty}}(U, \mathfrak{P}(F)) = \{f \mid f \in C^{\infty}(U, \mathfrak{P}(F)), \deg(D_U \otimes \mathbb{1}_F)_{\mathbb{1}_E \mathbb{1} \otimes f} \leq k-j\}, j \leq k$ . Since constant section belongs in  $C_{j^{\infty}}(U, \mathfrak{P}(F)), C_{j^{\infty}}(U, \mathfrak{P}(F)) \neq \emptyset$  for all j. We define  $\mathscr{D}^j$ : $C_{j^{\infty}}(U, \mathfrak{P}(F)) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(U, Hom(E_1, E_2)) \otimes S^{k-j}$   $(T^*(M)) \otimes Hom(F, F))$  by

$$\mathcal{D}^{j}(f) = \mathcal{M}^{j}(f)f^{-1}.$$

Then, to set  $\mathbf{R}(\mathscr{D}^{j})$  the image sheaf of  $\mathscr{D}^{j}$ ,  $\{\sigma(\theta_{U}) - \sigma(\theta_{V})^{\mathfrak{g}^{V}U}\}$  defines an element of  $H^{1}(M, \mathbf{R}(\mathscr{D}^{j}))$ .

**Definition.** Under the above assumptions, the class  $\sigma(\theta_U) - \sigma(\theta_V)^{QVU}$  in  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}(\mathfrak{D}^j))$  is denoted by  $o^j(D, F)$ .

By definition, we have

**Theorem 1'**. D has a connection  $\{\theta_U\}$  with respect to F such that deg  $\theta_U \leq k-j$ -1 if and only if  $o^j(D, F)=0$ .

**Corollary.**  $o^{j}(D, F)$  is defined if and only if o(D, F) (D, F)  $(=o^{1}(D, F))=o^{2}(D, F)=\cdots=o^{j-1}(D, F)=0.$ 

As in n<sup>o</sup>6, we can define  $ch^{j}(D, F)$  and  $\Theta^{j}(\theta)$  under the assumption  $o^{j-1}(D, F) = 0$ .

## § 3. Extension of differential operators

8. Let  $\xi = \xi(F) = \{M_F, M, \pi_F, F\}$  be a *G*-bundle, *G* is a Lie group, over *M* with the coordinate neighborhood system  $\{U\}$  and transition function  $\{g_{UV}(x)\}$ . Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are the vector bundles over *M* such that trivial on each *U*. Then  $f \in C^{\infty}$   $(M_F, \pi_F^*(E_i)), i=1,2$ , can be written

$$f = \{f_U(x, y)\}, f_U \in C^{\infty}(U \times F, \pi_F^*(E_i)), x \in U, y \in F, f_U(x, y) = f_V(x, g_{UV}(x)y), (x, y) \in (U \cap V) \times F.$$

We set

(21) 
$$g_{UV}(x) # f_V(x, y) = f_V(x, g_{UV}(x)y).$$

Let  $D: C^{\infty}(M, E_1) \to C^{\infty}(M, E_2)$  be a differential operator of degree kon M. Then to fix a connection  $\theta = \theta(F)$  of F,  $\pi_F^*(D) = \pi_F^*(D) = \pi_F^*(D_U)$  is defined on each  $C^{\infty}(U \times F, \pi_F^*(E_1))$ .

**Definition**. A collection of differential operators  $\{\theta_U\}$ ,  $\theta_U: C^{\infty}(U \times F, \pi_F^*(E_1)) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(U \times F, \pi_F^*(E_2))$  is called a connection of D with respect to  $\xi(F)$  and  $\theta(F)$ ) if it satisfies

(i)  $g_{UV}(x)^{\#}(\pi_F^{*}(D_V) + \theta_V) = (\pi_F^{*}(D_U) + \theta_U)g_{UV}(x)^{\#},$ 

(ii) 
$$\deg \theta_U \leq k-1$$
,

(iii) 
$$\theta_U \pi_F^* f = 0, f \in C^{\infty}(U, E_1).$$

**proposition 1**'. For any D and  $\xi$  (and  $\theta(F)$ ), connection exists.

Proof. Under the same notations as in proposition 1, it is sufficient to set

(1)' 
$$\theta_{U,\xi}(x) = \sum_{W \cap U \neq \flat} e_W(x) g_{UW}(x) \# \{ \pi_F^*(D_W) g_{WU}(x) \# - g_{WU}(x) \# \pi_F^*(D_U) \}.$$

**Definition.** We define a differential operator  $D_{\theta}: C^{\infty}(M_F, \pi_F^*(E_1)) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M_F, \pi_F^*(E_1))$ 

 $(E_2)$ ) by

$$D\theta f_U = (\pi_F^*(D_U) + \theta_U)f_U, \quad f = \{f_U\}.$$

By definition, denote the projection from  $T^*(M_F)$  to  $\pi_F^*(T^*(M))$  defined by  $\theta$ (F), by  $\pi_{\theta(F)}$ , we get

(22) 
$$\sigma(D_{\theta}) = \pi_{\theta(F)}^*(\pi_F^*(\pi_F^*(\sigma(D)))).$$

**Proposition 2.** If F has a G-invariant measure  $\mu$ , M is a Rimannian manfold with the volume element dv, and  $E=E_1=Ei_2$  has a (fixed) unitary structure, then the formal adjoint  $\theta_{\xi'}=\theta_{U,\xi'}$  of  $\theta_{\xi}$  is a connection of D', the formal adjoint of D. Especially, if D is formally selfadjoint, then D has a formally selfadjoint connection.

**Proof.** By assumption,  $g_{UV}(x)^{\sharp}$  is extended to a unitary operator of  $L^{2}((U \cap V) \times F, dv \otimes \mu) \otimes E_{x}$ ,  $E_{x}$  is the fibre of E at x. Hence we have the proposition.

As in  $n^{o}3$ , we denote the set of all connections (respectively, all formally selfadjoint connections) of D with respect to  $\xi$  and  $\theta(F)$  by  $\operatorname{Con}_{\theta(F)}(D, \xi)$  and  $\operatorname{Con}_{\theta(F),S}(D, \xi)$ . Then we have

(10)' 
$$\operatorname{Con}_{\theta(F)}(D, \xi) \cong \mathscr{D}^{k-1}(\pi_F^*(E_1), \pi_F^*(E_2)),$$
$$\operatorname{Con}_{\theta(F),S}(D, \xi) \cong \mathscr{D}^{k-1}_S(\pi_F^*(E_1), \pi_F^*(E_2)).$$

9. Let  $\mathscr{F}(F)$  be a function space on F such that G acts on  $\mathscr{F}(F)$  by the action  $\tau^{\sharp}f(y)=f(\tau y), \ \tau \supseteq G, \ y \in F, \ f \in \mathscr{F}(F)$ . Then we can construct associate  $\mathscr{F}(F)$ -bundle  $\mathscr{F}(\xi)$  of  $\xi$ . The associate  $C^{\infty}(F)$ -bundle of  $\xi$  is denoted by  $C^{\infty}(\xi)$ .

**Lemma** 5. (i). Let E be a finite dimensional vector bundle, then there is an isomorphism  $\iota$  such that

(23)<sub>i</sub> 
$$\iota: C^{\infty}(M_F, \pi_F^*(E)) \cong C^{\infty}(M, E \otimes C^{\infty}(\xi)).$$

(ii). To fix a connection  $\theta(F)$  of F, there is an isomorphism  $\iota_{\theta(F)} = \iota_{\theta(F), E_1, E_2}$ such that

$$(23)_{ii} \qquad \iota_{\theta(F)}: \mathscr{D}^{j}(\pi_{F}^{*}(E_{1}), \ \pi_{F}^{*}(E_{2})) \cong \mathscr{D}^{j}(E_{1} \otimes C^{\infty}(\xi), \ E_{2} \otimes C^{\infty}(\xi)), \ j \ge 1.$$

**Proof.** Since  $f_U(x, y) \in E_x \otimes C^{\infty}(F)$  if  $\{f_U\} \in C^{\infty}(M_F, \pi_F^*(E))$ ,  $\{f_U\}$  defines a  $C^{\infty}$ -cross-section of  $E \otimes C^{\infty}(\xi)$ . Conversely, a  $C^{\infty}$ -cross-section of  $E \otimes C^{\infty}(\xi)$  satisfies  $f = \{f_U\}, f_U(x) \in Ex \otimes C^{\infty}(F), g_{UV}(x) \# f_V(x) = f_U(x)$ , we have (i).

Since a splitting of tangent bundle of  $M_F$  induces (local) tensor product decomposition of differential operator on  $M_F$ , we have (ii) by (i).

**Lemma 6.** (i). Let  $\theta_{\xi} = \{\theta_{U,\xi}\}$  be a connection of D with respect to  $\theta(F)$ , then

$$\{\iota^*(\theta)_{\xi}\} = \{\iota_2\theta_U, \xi\iota_1^{-1}\},\$$

is a connection of D with respect to  $C^{\infty}(\xi)$  and satisfies

(24) 
$$D_{\ell_*(\theta_{\ell})}|C^{\infty}(M, E_1\otimes \mathbf{K})=D, \mathbf{K}=\mathbf{R} \text{ or } \mathbf{C},$$

where K is the space of constant functions on F and D in the right hand side means  $D(f \otimes c) = Df \otimes c$ .

(ii). Let  $\theta = \{\theta_U\}$  be a connection of D with respect to  $C^{\infty}(\xi)$  and satisfies (24), then

$$(\iota_{\theta(F)}^{-1})^* \theta = \{\iota_{\theta(F)}^{-1} \theta_U\},\$$

is a connection of D with respect to  $\xi$  (F) and  $\theta$  (F).

**Corollary.** To fix a subbundle  $C^{*\infty}(\xi)$  of  $C^{\infty}(\xi)$  such that  $K \otimes C_{*}^{\infty}(\xi) = C^{\infty}(\xi)$ , we have

(24) 
$$\iota^* \operatorname{Con}_{\theta(F)}(D, \xi) \cong \operatorname{Con}(D, C_*^{\infty}(\xi)).$$

By this corollary, we can define the action of  $\mathfrak{G}(F)$  on  $\operatorname{Con}_{\theta(F)}(D, \xi)$ , the obstruction class  $o(D, \xi)$ , characteristic class  $ch(D, \xi)$ , *etc.*. Especially,  $ch(D, \xi)$  belongs in  $H^{2}(M, \Phi)$ , where  $\Phi$  is a subsheaf of the sheaf of germs of automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{P}(F)$ .

**Lemma 5**'. Denote  $C_0^{\infty}(F)$  and  $C_0^{\infty}(M_F, F)$  be the spaces of compact support smooth functions on F and compact support smooth cross-sections of  $C_0^{\infty}(\xi)$ , the associate  $C_0^{\infty}(F)$ -bundle of  $\xi$ , over  $M_F$ , we have

(23)<sub>i</sub>'  $\iota: C_0^{\infty}(M_F, \pi_F^*(E)) \cong C_0^{\infty}(M, E \otimes C_0^{\infty}(\xi)).$ 

By  $(23)_i'$ , we obtain

Lemma 6'. We have the isomorphism

 $(24)' \qquad \iota^*: \operatorname{Con}_{\theta(F)}(D, \xi) \cong \operatorname{Con}(D, E \otimes C_0^{\infty}(\xi))$ 

10. Definition. Let M be a Riemannian manifold with the volume element dv, F has a G-invariant measure  $\mu$  such that  $L^2(F, \mu)$  containes  $C_0^{\infty}(F)$  as a dense subspace and E is an Hermitian vector bundle over M, then we define  $n_{\xi}:\Gamma[(M, E\otimes L^2(\xi))\to\Gamma(M)$  by

(25) 
$$n_{\xi}(f)(x) = ||f(x)||_{E_X \otimes L^2(F,\mu)},$$

where  $\Gamma(M, E \otimes L^2(\bar{z}))$  is the space of (not necessarily continuous) cross-sections of  $E \otimes L^2(\xi)$  over M,  $\Gamma(M)$  is the space of (dv-measurable) functions on M and  $||f(x)||_{E_x \otimes L^2(F,\mu)}$  is the norm of f(x) in  $E_x \otimes L^2(F, \mu)$ .

Definition. Under the same assumptions as above, we set

(26) 
$$L^{2}(M, E \otimes L^{2}(\mu)) = \{f \mid f \in \Gamma(M, E \otimes L^{2}(\mu)), n_{\xi}(f) \in L^{p}(M, dv)\}, \\ ||f|| = ||n_{\xi}(f)|| \text{ in } L^{2}(M, dv), \text{ if } f \in L^{2}(M, E \otimes L^{p}(\xi)).$$

Lemma 7. Under the same assumptions as above, we have

 $(23)_{i,L^2} \qquad \iota_{L^2}: L^2(M_F, \pi_F^*(E)) \cong L^2(M, E \otimes L^2(\xi)),$ and  $\iota_{L^2}$  is a unitary transformation. Here the measure on  $M_F$  is given by  $dv \otimes \mu$ .

**Proof.** To triangulate M sufficiently fine such that on each simplex  $\sigma_i$  of M by triangulation,  $\xi$  and E are both trivial. Then to denote the characteristic function of  $\sigma_i \times F$  by  $\chi_i$ , we have

(27) 
$$||f|| = \sum_{i} ||\chi_i f||, \ f \in L^2(M_F, \ \pi_F^*(E)) = L^2(M_F \ dv \otimes \mu) \otimes E.$$

Then by Fubini's theorem, f(x) belongs in  $E_x \otimes L^2(F, \mu)$  almost everywhere on each  $\sigma_i \times F$  and

$$||\chi_i f||^2 = \int_{\sigma_i} \left[ \int_F \{\chi_i(x) f(x, y)\}^2 d\mu \right] dv$$
$$= \int_{\sigma_i} ||n_{\xi}(\chi_i f)||^2 \langle x \rangle dv = \int_M ||n_{\xi}(\chi_i f)||^2 \langle x \rangle dv.$$

Hence we have (27) by (26). Then, since  $C_0^{\infty}(M_F, \pi_F^*(E))$  is dense in  $L^2(M_F, \pi_F^*(E))$ ,  $\iota_{L^2}$  is defined on  $L^2(M_F, \pi_F^*(E))$  and we have the lemma.

In the rest, we assume G = SO(n) or SU(n) and  $F = \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . First we note

(28)<sub>R</sub> 
$$L^2(\mathbf{R}^n) = L^2(\mathbf{R}^+, r^{n-1}dr) \otimes L^2(\mathbf{S}^{n-1}, d\Omega),$$
  
(28)<sub>C</sub>  $L^2(\mathbf{C}^n) = L^2(\mathbf{C}^*, r^{n-1}drd\theta) \otimes L^2(CP^{n-1}, d\omega),$ 

and the actions of G on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, r^{n-1}dr)$  or on  $L^2(\mathbb{C}^*, r^{n-1}brd\theta)$  are trivial. Here  $d\Omega$ and  $d\omega$  are the standard volume elements on  $S^{n-1}$  and  $CP^{n-1}$ . It is known that the o. n.-basis of  $L^2(S^{n-1}, d\Omega)$  and  $L^2(CP^{n-1}, b\omega)$  are taken by harmonic polynomials of homogeneous degree p and type (p, p), p=0, 1, 2, ... We set the space of harmonic polynomials of homogeneous degree p (with *n*-variables) and type (p, p) by  $\mathfrak{P}^{q}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{P}^{p,p}_n$  ([5], [10]). Then each  $\mathfrak{P}^{p,n}$  or  $\mathfrak{P}^{p,p}_n$  is the representation spaces of SO (n) or SU (n) Denoting their representations by  $\chi_p$  and  $\chi_{C,p}$ , the representations of SO (n) and SU (n) in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and in  $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$  (equivalently, in  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, b\Omega)$  and in  $L^2(\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}, d\omega)$ , denoted by  $\chi$  and  $\chi_C$ , are decomposed as

(29) 
$$\chi = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \chi_p, \ \chi_C = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \chi_C, \ p.$$

We denote the associate  $L^2(S^{n-1}, d\Omega)$ -bundle or  $L^2$  (CP<sup>*n*-1</sup>,  $d\omega$ )-bundle of  $\xi$  by  $\chi(\xi)$  or  $\chi_C(\xi)$ , and the associate  $\mathfrak{D}^p_n$ -bundle or  $\mathfrak{D}^{p,p}_n$ -bundle by  $\chi_p(\xi)$  or  $\chi_C, p$  ( $\xi$ ).

**Proposition 3.** There exists a connection  $\theta_{\xi}$  of D with respect to  $\xi$  which induces connection  $\chi_p(\theta_{\xi})$  or  $\chi_{C,p}(\theta_{\xi})$  of D with respect to  $\chi_p(\xi)$  or  $\chi_{C,p}(\xi)$  for each p and satisfies

 $\chi_0(\theta_{\xi})=0$ , or  $\chi_{C,0}(\theta_{\xi})=0$ .

**Proof.** The connection  $\theta_{\xi}$  constructed in the proof of proposition 1' satisfies the requirements of the proposition.

We denote the sheaves defined for D and  $\chi(\xi)$  or  $\chi_C(\xi)$ ,  $\chi_p(\xi)$  or  $\chi_C, p(\xi)$  by R  $(\mathscr{D}\chi), R(\mathscr{D}\chi_C), R(\mathscr{D}\chi)$  or  $R(\mathscr{D}\chi_{C,p})$  (cf. n°6). Then there are maps  $\iota_p: H^1(M, R(\mathscr{D}\chi)) \rightarrow H^1(M, R(\mathscr{D}\chi_C,p))$  and  $\chi_{C,p}: H^1(M, R(\mathscr{D}\chi_C)) \rightarrow H^1(M, R(\mathscr{D}\chi_{C,p}))$  induced by the inclusions  $\mathfrak{H}^p_n \rightarrow L^2(S^{n-1}, d\Omega)$  and  $\mathfrak{H}^p, p_n \rightarrow L^2(CP^{n-1}, d\omega)$ . Then we have by proposition 3 (and lemma 3)

Theorem 2. We have

(30) 
$$\iota_{p}(o(D, \chi(\xi))) = o(D, \chi_{p}(\xi)),$$
$$\iota_{C, p}(o(D, \chi_{C}(\xi))) = o(D, \chi_{C, p}(\xi)),$$

and  $o(D, \chi(\xi))$  (respectively,  $o(D, \chi_C(\xi))$  vanishes if  $o(D, \chi_P(\xi))=0$  (respectively  $o(D, \chi_C, p(\xi))=0$ ) for all p.

**Proof.** We only need to show the second assertion. But since  $L^2(S^{n-1}, d\Omega)$  (respectively  $L^2(CP^{n-1}, d\omega)$ ) is the direct sum of  $\mathfrak{H}^{p}_n$  (respectively  $\mathfrak{H}^{p,p}_n$ ), we have the second assertion.

Same theorems hold for  $ch(D, \chi(\xi))$ , etc., and higher obstruction classes.

#### Referencences

- [1] ASADA, A. : Connection of topological vector budles, Proc. Japan Acad., 41 (1965), 273-276.
- [2] ASADA, A. : Elliptic semi-complexes, J. Fac. Sci. Shinshu Univ. 2(1967), 1-17.
- [3] ASADA, A. : Integration of Alexander-Spanier cochains, J. Fac. Sci. Shinshu Univ., 5(1970), 79-106.
- [4] ATIYAH, M. F.-JONES, J. D. S. : Topological aspects of Yang-Mills theory, Commun. math. Phys., 61 (1978), 97-118.
- [5] CARTAN, E. : Sur la determination d'un système orthogonal complet dans un espace de Riemann symétrique clos, Rend. Circ. mat. Palermo, 53 (1929), 217-252.
- [6] DEDECKER, P. : Sur la cohomologie non abélienne, I, II, Canad. J. Math., 12 (1960), 231-251, 15 (1963), 84-93.
- [7] EBIN, D. : The manifold of Rimannian metrics, Proc. symposia in pure math., 15 (1970), 11-40.
- [8] GIRAUD, J. : Cohomologie non abélienne, Berlin, 1971.
- [9] SINGER, I. M. : Some remarks on the Gribov ambiguity, Commun. math. Phys., 60 (1978), 7-12.
- [10] VIRENKIN, N. Ja. : Special functions and the theory of group representations, Moscow, 1965 (English transl., Providence, 1968).