

## *Nonsense Channel Terms Associated with the $O_{3,1}$ Partial Wave Expansion*

YASUTARO TAKAO

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,  
Shinshu University

(Received October 30, 1971)

### Abstract

The matrix element  $\mathcal{D}_{jm, j'm'}^{M\lambda}(g)$  of the representation of the group  $SL_{2C}$  is easily obtained by the bases that Toller has used, but the  $M$ - $\sigma$  symmetry ( $\lambda = \sigma$ ;  $2\sigma$  is an integer.) of the representations is conveniently treated by Gel'fand type representatives. We give this representative to Toller's base vector, and prove the  $M$ - $\sigma$  symmetry of the function  $d_{jmj'}^{M\sigma}(\zeta)$ .

### 1 Introduction

When the  $O_{3,1}$  partial wave expansion<sup>1)</sup> of a  $t = 0$ , equal-mass scattering amplitude is continued analytically to the  $O_4$  partial wave expansion, the nonsense channel terms appear, which vanish as a whole according to the  $M$ - $\sigma$  symmetry of  $d_{jmj'}^{M\sigma}(\zeta)$ .<sup>2)</sup>

The  $M$ - $\sigma$  symmetry is somewhat different in its nature from other symmetry properties of  $d_{jmj'}^{M\sigma}(\zeta)$ , since it is concerned in two inequivalent representations. To obtain the matrix element  $d_{jmj'}^{M\sigma}(\zeta)$ , we have to use the base vectors of Toller type,<sup>3)</sup> but the treatment of Gel'fand et al.<sup>4)</sup> is more favorable to understand the group theoretical properties of the representations. We intend to give a formalism which combines these two types of treatments, and prove the  $M$ - $\sigma$  symmetry of the function  $d_{jmj'}^{M\sigma}(\zeta)$  by this formulation.\*)

### 2 Representation Spaces of the Group $SL_{2C}$

Using Toller's notation,<sup>3)</sup> we denote by  $K$  the subgroup which consists of all the elements of the form

$$k = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{p}^{-1} & q \\ 0 & \hat{p} \end{pmatrix} \in K \subset SL_{2C}. \quad (1)$$

---

\*) This symmetry relation was cited in 2) as it was proved by M. Toller, but we could not know its details.

Each right coset of  $SL_{2C}$  with respect to  $K$  contains a unique element of the form

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

hence we attach the index  $z$  to the coset involving the element  $z$  such that  $A_z = Kz$ . If we use  $SL_{2C}/K$  as a homogeneous space, the representation spaces are constructed as follows.

Since a function  $f(A_z)$  defined on  $SL_{2C}/K$  can be regarded as a function of the element  $z$  or a complex number  $z$  defined by (2), we can put

$$f(A_z) = \varphi(z).$$

The linear space spanned by these functions  $f(A_z)$  or  $\varphi(z)$ , which satisfy the conditions of Gel'fand et al.,<sup>4)</sup> is the representation space  $D_z$ , and the representation  $T_\omega$  on this space is given by the equation

$$[T_\omega(g)f](A_z) = \omega(A_z, g)f(A_zg), \quad g \in SL_{2C}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\omega(A_z, gg') = \omega(A_z, g)\omega(A_zg, g'), \quad g, g' \in SL_{2C}. \quad (4)$$

If we regard  $\varphi(z)$  as a function of the element  $z$ , it can be extended to the function defined on the whole group  $SL_{2C}$  by the equation

$$f(g) = L^{n_1-1, n_2-1}(k)\varphi(z), \quad k = gz^{-1} \in K, \quad (5)$$

where  $L^{n_1-1, n_2-1}(k) = p^{n_1-1} \bar{p}^{n_2-1}$  for the element  $k$  of (1), which is the one-dimensional representation of the subgroup  $K$ , and  $n_1, n_2$  are arbitrary complex numbers.

In the following, we use the notation

$$\chi = (n_1 | n_2) = (M, \lambda), \quad \text{where } n_2 - n_1 = 2M, \quad n_2 + n_1 = 2\lambda, \quad (6)$$

and write  $L^\chi$  or  $L^{M\lambda}$  for  $L^{n_1-1, n_2-1}$ . Making use of this one-dimensional representation, we can construct a representation which is induced on  $SL_{2C}$  from it, i. e.

$$[\mathcal{D}^\chi(g)f](a) = f(ag), \quad a \in SL_{2C}, \quad (7)$$

taking into account of (5) which shows

$$f(kg) = L^\chi(k)f(g). \quad (8)$$

This representation, however, is the same as the one given by (3) and (4). In

fact, if we write an element  $g$  of  $SL_{2C}$  as

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{-1} & \beta \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \gamma/\delta & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma = 1,$$

$f(g)$  depends only on the variables  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  according to the covariance condition (8), i. e.  $f(g)=f(\gamma, \delta)$ . Moreover  $f(\gamma, \delta)$  is the homogeneous function of the degree  $(n_1 - 1, n_2 - 1)$ , so that (7) can be rewritten as

$$[\mathcal{D}^\lambda(g)\varphi](z) = (\beta z + \delta)^{n_1-1}(\overline{\beta z + \delta})^{n_2-1}\varphi\left(\frac{\alpha z + \gamma}{\beta z + \delta}\right), \tag{9}$$

which is nothing but the equation (3) with

$$\omega(A_Z, g) = (\beta z + \delta)^{n_1-1}(\overline{\beta z + \delta})^{n_2-1}.$$

On the other hand the coset  $A_Z$  contains a unitary element  $u$  which is uniquely determined except the uncertainty of  $u_z(\mu) \in K$ , i. e.  $A_Z = Ku$ . Thus we can put

$$f(A_Z) = L^\lambda(k_1^{-1})f(u), \quad k_1 z = u, \tag{10}$$

taking account of (5). In order to avoid the uncertainty of the phase in  $L^\lambda(k)$ , we restrict hereafter  $2M$  to be integers. Then (7) or (9) can be rewritten as

$$[\mathcal{D}^\lambda(g)f](u) = L^\lambda(ug(ug)_0^{-1})f((ug)_0), \tag{11}$$

where  $(g)_0$  is a unitary element in  $A_Z$  containing  $g \in SL_{2C}$ .

Let  $u$  be factorized in the form

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{a} & -\overline{b} \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} = u_z(\mu)u_y(\theta)u_z(\nu),$$

then we have

$$z = b/a = e^{-i\nu} \tan \frac{\theta}{2},$$

and so,

$$L^{n_1-1, n_2-1}(k) = |a|^{2(M+\lambda-1)}a^{-2M} = \left(\frac{1 + \cos \theta}{2}\right)^{\lambda-1} e^{-i(\mu+\nu)M}, \tag{12}$$

where  $k = uz^{-1}$ .

The base vectors of  $D_\lambda$  corresponding to  $\Phi_{jm}^M(u)$  used by Toller have the form in Gel'fand type representatives,

$$\varphi_{jm}^{M\lambda}(z) = (2j+1)^{1/2} e^{i(M-m)\nu} \left( \frac{1+\cos\theta}{2} \right)^{1-\lambda} d_{Mm}^j(\theta), \quad (13)$$

where

$$\Phi_{jm}^M(u) = (2j+1)^{1/2} R_{Mm}^j(u), \quad d_{Mm}^j(\theta) = e^{iM\mu} R_{Mm}^j(u) e^{im\nu},$$

and  $R^j$  is the ordinary  $(2j+1)$ -dimensional representation of  $SU_2$ .

Finally, we give the operators  $\partial/\partial z$  and  $\partial/\partial \bar{z}$  expressed in terms of  $\mu$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\nu$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} &= -2e^{i\nu} \left( \frac{1+\cos\theta}{2} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{1-\cos\theta}{2} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \cos\theta} + \frac{i}{2} e^{i\nu} \left( \frac{1+\cos\theta}{2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{1-\cos\theta}{2} \right)^{-1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} &= -2e^{-i\nu} \left( \frac{1+\cos\theta}{2} \right)^{3/2} \left( \frac{1-\cos\theta}{2} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \cos\theta} - \frac{i}{2} e^{-i\nu} \left( \frac{1+\cos\theta}{2} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{1-\cos\theta}{2} \right)^{-1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

which are easily obtained by direct calculations from the equation above (12).

### 3 Intertwining Operators

When a linear mapping  $A$  of a representation space  $D_{x_1}$  into another space  $D_{x_2}$  satisfies the relation

$$A \mathcal{D}^{x_1}(g) = \mathcal{D}^{x_2}(g) A, \quad (15)$$

$A$  is called an intertwining operator. Gel'fand et al.<sup>4)</sup> showed that in the case of the representation of  $SL_{2C}$  an intertwining operator exists if and only if one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

- Case 1)  $n_1 = -m_1$ ,  $n_2 = -m_2$ ,  $n_1, n_2$  nonnegative integers;
- Case 2)  $n_1 = -m_1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,  $n_2 = m_2$ ;
- Case 3)  $n_1 = m_1$ ,  $n_2 = -m_2 = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ;
- Case 4)  $n_1 = -m_1$ ,  $n_2 = -m_2$ ,  $n_1, n_2$  not simultaneously nonnegative integers;
- Case 5)  $n_1 = m_1$ ,  $n_2 = m_2$ ,

where  $\chi_1 = (n_1 | n_2)$  and  $\chi_2 = (m_1 | m_2)$ . Now we list the intertwining operators in the first three cases, which are concerned with our  $M - \sigma$  symmetry:

- Case 1)  $A = \partial^{n_1+n_2}/\partial z^{n_1} \partial \bar{z}^{n_2}$ ;
- Case 2)  $A = \partial^{n_1}/\partial z^{n_1}$ ;
- Case 3)  $A = \partial^{n_2}/\partial \bar{z}^{n_2}$ .

Let us calculate the matrix element of  $A$  in Case 2). As  $n_1 = \sigma - M$  is a nonnegative integers, we can differentiate  $\varphi_{jm}^{M\sigma}(z)$   $n_1$  times with respect to  $z$ , and we have then

$$A\varphi_{jm}^{M\sigma}(z) = \frac{\partial^{n_1}}{\partial z^{n_1}} \varphi_{jm}^{M\sigma}(z) = (-1)^{\sigma-M} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(j-M+1)\Gamma(j+\sigma+1)}{\Gamma(j-\sigma+1)\Gamma(j+M+1)} \right]^{1/2} \varphi_{jm}^{\sigma M}(z), \quad (16)$$

and, for the matrix element,

$$A_{jm,j'm'} = (-1)^{\sigma-M} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(j-M+1)\Gamma(j+\sigma+1)}{\Gamma(j-\sigma+1)\Gamma(j+M+1)} \right]^{1/2} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{mm'}, \quad (17)$$

where we used the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial z^r} \varphi_{jm}^{M\sigma}(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^r (-1)^k \binom{r}{k} \frac{\Gamma(\sigma-M-k)}{\Gamma(\sigma-M-r)} \left( \frac{\bar{z}}{1+|z|^2} \right)^{r-k} \left[ \frac{\Gamma(j-M+1)\Gamma(j+M+k+1)}{\Gamma(j-M-k+1)\Gamma(j+M+1)} \right]^{1/2} \\ &\quad \times \varphi_{jm}^{M+k, \sigma-k}(z) \end{aligned}$$

obtained from (13) and (14).

A similar method can be used for *Case 3*), but the differentiation must be made with respect to  $\bar{z}$  for  $z$  in *Case 2*), and we have then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and} \quad A\varphi_{jm}^{M\sigma}(z) &= \left[ \frac{\Gamma(j+M+1)\Gamma(j+\sigma+1)}{\Gamma(j-\sigma+1)\Gamma(j-M+1)} \right]^{1/2} \varphi_{jm}^{-\sigma, -M}(z), \\ A_{jm,j'm'} &= \left[ \frac{\Gamma(j+M+1)\Gamma(j+\sigma+1)}{\Gamma(j-\sigma+1)\Gamma(j-M+1)} \right]^{1/2} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{mm'}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Finally, *Case 1*) is the combination of *Cases 2*) and *3*), that is,

$$A = A_{\text{Case 3}} \cdot A_{\text{Case 2}},$$

i. e.

$$A_{jm,j'm'} = (-1)^{\sigma-M} \frac{\Gamma(j+\sigma+1)}{\Gamma(j-\sigma+1)} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{mm'}. \quad (19)$$

Using these results, for instance, we can construct the special invariant subspaces denoted by  $E_{x_1}$  and  $F_{x_2}$  in Gel'fand's book.<sup>4)</sup> If  $\sigma + M \geq 0$  in *Case 2*), then  $A\varphi_{jm}^{M\sigma}(z) = 0$  for  $j$  such that  $\sigma > j \geq |M|$ . Hence the subspace spanned by these  $\varphi_{jm}^{M\sigma}(z)$  is invariant and is just  $E_{x_1}$ . If  $\sigma + M < 0$ , the indices  $j$  appearing in the image of  $A$  are not smaller than  $|M|$ , and therefore the image is an invariant subspace of  $D_{x_2}$ , which is just  $F_{x_2}$ .

#### 4 $M$ - $\sigma$ Symmetry of $d_{jmj}^{M\sigma}(\zeta)$

For the element

$$a_x(\zeta) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma\zeta} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\zeta/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\zeta/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

it has been known that  $\mathcal{D}^\lambda(a_z(\zeta))$  has the form<sup>3)</sup>

$$\mathcal{D}_{jm, j'm'}^\lambda(a_z(\zeta)) = \delta_{mm'} d_{jmj'}^{M\lambda}(\zeta), \quad \lambda = (M, \lambda),$$

which is the definition of the function  $d_{jmj'}^{M\lambda}(\zeta)$ . The symmetry relation to be proved is

$$d_{jmj'}^{M\sigma}(\zeta) = \left[ \frac{\Gamma(j+M+1)\Gamma(j-\sigma+1)\Gamma(j'-M+1)\Gamma(j'+\sigma+1)}{\Gamma(j+\sigma+1)\Gamma(j-M+1)\Gamma(j'-\sigma+1)\Gamma(j'+M+1)} \right]^{1/2} d_{j'mj'}^{\sigma M}(\zeta),$$

where  $j, j' \geq \text{Max}(|M|, |\sigma|)$ . (20)

For convenience of verification, we divide the  $(M, \sigma)$  region into four parts.

*Region A;*  $\sigma - M \geq 0$ ,  $\sigma + M \geq 0$ . In this case (20) is nothing but the partial equivalence of the representations on  $D_{x_1}$  and  $D_{x_2}$ , i.e. the equivalence of the representations on  $D_{x_1}/E_{x_1}$  and  $D_{x_2}$ , and therefore it can be derived directly from (16) or (17).

*Region B;*  $\sigma - M \leq 0$ ,  $\sigma + M \geq 0$ . If we interchange the roles of  $M$  and  $\sigma$ , this region becomes *Region A*, and (20) is also valid, but in this case

$$j, j' \geq |M|.$$

*Region C;*  $\sigma - M \leq 0$ ,  $\sigma + M \leq 0$ . This is the case in which roles of  $M$  and  $\sigma$  are interchanged in *Region D*, and (20) is also valid.

*Region D;*  $\sigma - M \geq 0$ ,  $\sigma + M \leq 0$ . In this case  $|\sigma| \leq |M|$ , and then  $A$  maps  $D_{x_1}$  onto the subspace  $F_{x_2}$  which is invariant under the transformation  $\mathcal{D}^{\lambda_2}(g)$ , so that (20) is also derived from (17) and

$$j, j' \geq |M|.$$

Thus, the symmetry relation (20) is verified. Of course,  $M$  and  $\sigma$  are both integers or half-odd integers, and  $m$  must be satisfied with the condition

$$-\text{Min}(j, j') \leq m \leq \text{Min}(j, j').$$

Above results are all derived by making use of *Case 2*) in § 3, but a similar result can be obtained from *Case 3*), i.e.

$$d_{jmj'}^{M\sigma}(\zeta) = \left[ \frac{\Gamma(j-\sigma+1)\Gamma(j-M+1)\Gamma(j'+M+1)\Gamma(j'+\sigma+1)}{\Gamma(j+M+1)\Gamma(j+\sigma+1)\Gamma(j'-\sigma+1)\Gamma(j'-M+1)} \right]^{1/2} d_{j'mj'}^{-\sigma, -M}(\zeta),$$

where  $j, j' \geq \text{Max}(|M|, |\sigma|)$ . (21)

For arbitrary  $\lambda$ , however,  $d_{jmj'}^{M\lambda}(\zeta)$  satisfies the relation<sup>2)</sup>

$$d_{jmj'}^{-M, -\lambda}(\zeta) = \frac{\Gamma(j+\lambda+1)\Gamma(j'-\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(j-\lambda+1)\Gamma(j'+\lambda+1)} d_{jmj'}^{M\lambda}(\zeta),$$

and therefore (21) is equivalent to (20).

#### References

- 1) TOLLER, M., *Nuovo Cimento*, **53A**, 671 (1968).
- 2) AKYEAMPONG, D.A., BOYCE, J.F. and RASHID, M.A., *J. Math. Phys.* **11**, 706 (1970).
- 3) SCIARRINO, A. and TOLLER, M., *J. Math. Phys.* **8**, 1252 (1967).
- 4) GEL'FAND, I.M., GRAEV, M.I. and VILENKIN, N.Ya., *Generalized Functions*, Vol. 5; Integral Geometry and Representation Theory (English transl.: Academic Press, New York and London), (1966).