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In his paper [1], H. Bass gave characterizations of perfect rings and semiperfect rings. In this paper, we shall give another characterizations of perfect rings and some properties of extension rings over perfect and semi-perfect rings.

Throughout our study, we use the following conventions: Let $R$ be a ring with 1 and $J$ the radicall ${ }^{1}$ of $R$. An $R$-module means (unital) left $R$-module. For a set $A(\neq \phi)$, by $(R)_{A}$ and $R^{(A)}$, we denote the ring of all row finite matrices $\left(x_{i j}\right)(i, j \in A)$ over $R$ and the direct sum of $\# \Lambda^{2}$-copies of left $R$-module $R$, thus $(R)_{A}$ can be regarded as the ring of all linear transformations in $R^{(A)}$.

1. We shall first prove the following

Theorem 1. The following conditions are equivalent.
(1) $J$ is left T-nilpotent.
(2) For every $R$-module $M, J M$ is small in $M$.
(2') For every free $R$-module $M, J M$ is small in $M$.
(3) For every set $A$, the radical of $(R)_{A}$ is $(J)_{A}$.
(3') For the set $Z_{+}$of natural numbers, the radical of $(R)_{Z_{+}}$is $(J)_{Z_{+}}$.
Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$ is due to Bass [1, pp. 473-474.]. Therefore, it suffices that we prove $\left(2^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow(3)$ and ( $\left.3^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow(1)$.
$\left(2^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow(3)$ : Let $X$ be an element of $(J)_{A}$. Then $R^{(A)}=R^{(A)}(I-X)+J^{(A)}=R^{(A)}(I-X)$ $+J R^{(1)},{ }^{3)}$ Hence $R^{(A)}=R^{(1)}(I-X)$ and for every $\lambda \in A$, there exist vectors $\left(a_{2 v}\right)$ of $R^{(\lambda)}$ such that $E_{\lambda}=\left(a_{\lambda_{1}}\right)(I-X)$, where $E_{\lambda}$ represents the vector with element 1 in the $\lambda$-position and $O$ 's elsewhere. Therefore, $I=Y(I-X)$ where $Y$ is an element of $(R)_{A}$ such that the $\lambda$-row of $Y$ coincides with the vector $\left(a_{2,}\right)$. Hence $X$ is left quasi-regular. Accordingly, $(J)_{A}$ is contained in the radical of $(R)_{A}$. By [6, Th. 1] or [8, Th. 1], the assertion is clear.
$\left(3^{\prime}\right) \Leftrightarrow(1)\left(\right.$ Cf. $\left[6\right.$, Th. 5]): Let $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2, \ldots . .}$ be any sequence of elements in $J$.

[^0]By the assumption, the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1-a_{1} & 0\end{array}\right.$ is regular in $(R)_{A}$.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -a_{1} & 0 \\
& 1 & -a_{2} \\
& & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since it's inverse element is a row-finite matrix, there exists a natural number $s$ such that $a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{s}=0$. Hence, $J$ is left $T$-nilpotent.

By virture of Th. 1, we have an following characterizations of perfect rings.
Corollary 1. Let $R$ be a semi-primary ring. ${ }^{4)}$ Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(1) $R$ is left perfect.
(2) For every $R$-module $M, J M$ is small in $M$.
(3) For every set $A$, the radical of $(R)_{A}$ is $(J)_{A}$.

By Th. 1, we give an alternative proof of [7, Th. 1]. In the following Cor. 2, we do not assume that $R$ has the identity.

Corollary 2. $(R)_{A}$ has the radical $(J)_{A}$ if and only if $J$ is left $T$-nilpotent.
Proof. Let $Z$ be the ring of integers. Then we can constract a ring $R^{\prime}=$ $R+Z$ such that $R_{\cap} Z=0$ and the identity of $Z$ is the identity of $R^{\prime}$. If we note that $J$ is the radical of $R^{\prime},\left[8\right.$, Th. 1] implies that the radical of $(R)_{A}$ is that of $\left(R^{\prime}\right)_{A}$. the rest is clear.
2. In this section, we shall restrict our attention to the case that $J$ is left $T$-nilpotent.

Theorem 2.5) Let $S$ be an extension ring of $R$ with the same identity such that $J S$ is an ideal of $S$. Then $J S$ is contained in the radical of $S$. In paticular, if $R$ is left perfect and $S$ is finitely generated as an $R$-module, then $S$ is left perfect.

Proof. Let $x$ be an element of $J S$. Then $S=S(1-x)+J S$. By Th. 1, $S=$ $S(1-x)$ and hence $x$ is left quasi-regular. In the second statement, since $S / J S$ is left Artinian, there exists a natural number $k$ such that $\Im(S)^{k} \subseteq J S$. ${ }^{6)}$ Let $M$ be an $S$-module and $N$ a submodule of $M$ such that $M=N+\mathcal{S}(S) M$. Then $M=$ $N+\Im(S)^{k} M=N+J S M=N+J M$. Since $R$ is left perfect, $M=N$. Hence, by Cor. 1, $S$ is left perfect.

Combining [3, Th. 1.7.4] with Th. 2, we can see the first part of the following

Corollary 3. (1) The radical of the polynomial ring $R[x]$ is $J[x]$.
(2) Let $R$ be a left perfect ring and $G$ a finite group. Then the group ring $R G$ is a left perfect ring.
3. Concerning Cor. $3(2)$, we establish sufficient conditions for semi-perfectness of a group ring $R G$. In the first part of the follwing theorem, we assume that
4) Cf. [3, pp. 56].
5) Cf. [5, Th. 46.2] and [9, Prop. 3.3 (b)].
6) $\Im(S)$ means the radical of a ring $S$.
$R$ is semi-primary, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} J^{n}=0$ and $R^{*}$ is the completion of $R$ with respect to the metric $d$, where $d(x, y)=\inf _{x \rightarrow y \in j^{n}} 2^{-n}(x, y \in R)$.

Theorem 3. Let $G$ be a finite group. Then we can obtain the following statements.
(1) $R^{*} G$ is semi-perfect.
(2) $R G$ is semi-perfect if $G$ is a p-group, $R$ is a semi-perfect ring and the characteristic of $R / J$ is $p$.
Proof. (1) By the same method of [2, Lemma 77.4], idempotents of $R^{* *} G$ modulo $\Im\left(R^{*} G\right)$ can be lifted. Then since $R$ is semi-primary, $R^{*} G$ is semi-primary. Hence the assertion is clear.
(2) Let $\left.e=\sum \sum_{g \in G}^{\alpha_{g} g\left(\alpha_{g}\right.} \in R\right)$ be an idempotent element of $R G$ modulo $\Im(R G)$. By [4, Cor. 1], $\sum_{\substack{ \\g \in G}}^{\alpha_{g}}$ is an idempotent element of $R$ modulo $J$. Hence, there exists an idempotent element $f$ of $R$ such that $f-\sum \sum_{g \in G}^{\alpha_{g} \in J \text {. Then, by [4, Cor. 1], }}$ $f-e$ is contained in $\Im(R G)$. Since $R G$ is semi-primary, the assertion follows.
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[^0]:    1) Throughout the present paper, the radical means the Jacobson radical.
    2) $\# A$ means the cardinal number of a set $A$.
    3) $I$ is the identity of $(R)$. .
