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ABSTRACT. Highly enantioselective ( $96 \%$ ee) and endo-selective ( $>99: 1$ ) cycloaddition reactions were observed between carbonyl ylides, generated from o-(p-bromobenzyloxy)carbonyl- $\alpha$ diazoacetophenone, and 3-crotonoyl-2-oxazolidinone using (4S,5S)-Pybox-4,5-Ph2-Yb(OTf$)_{3}(20$
$\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) as the chiral Lewis acid catalyst. In contrast, high exo-selectivity (exo:endo $=82: 18 ; 96 \% \mathrm{ee}$, exo) was observed for the reaction o-methoxycarbonyl- $\alpha$-diazoacetophenone of 3-acryloyl-2oxazolidinone under similar conditions as reported previously. In the case of cycloaddition reactions between 2-benzopyrylium-4-olate, generated from o-methoxycarbonyl- $\alpha$-diazoacetophenon, and 3-cinnamoyl- or 3-[(E)-3-(ethoxylcarbonyl)propenoyl]-2-oxazolidinones, using the same chiral Lewis acid, the reaction favored the endo-adduct with relatively good enantioselectivity ( $72 \%$ ee and $78 \%$ ee, respectively).
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## MANUSCRIPT TEXT.

## 1. Introduction

The 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction between 1,3-dipols and dipolarophiles has proven to be an efficient and popular procedure in the synthesis of biologically important 5-membered heterocyclic compounds, with construction of up to four stereocenters in one concerted process. ${ }^{1}$ Accordingly, several examples of highly enantioselective chiral Lewis acid-catalyzed asymmetric cycloaddition reactions of 1,3-dipols such as nitrones, ${ }^{2}$ nitrile oxides, ${ }^{3}$ nitrile imines, ${ }^{4}$ and diazo alkanes ${ }^{5}$ have been developed over the last decade. We have previously reported on the efficient asymmetric induction observed for cycloaddition reactions between a carbonyl ylide, generated from o-methoxycarbonyl- $\alpha$ diazoacetophenone (1) via an intramolecular carbenoide-carbonyl reaction, and benzyloxyacetaldehyde derivatives, $\alpha$-ketobenzyl ester derivatives, and 3-acryloyl-2-oxazolidinone, in the presence of chiral 2,6-bis(oxazolinyl)pyridine (Pybox)-rare earth metal complexes as the Lewis acid catalysts (Scheme 1). ${ }^{6}$ From a synthetic point of view, it is valuable to investigate the scope of substrates for the asymmetric cycloadditions of carbonyl ylides ${ }^{8}$ towards the preparation of naturally occurring optically active oxabicyclic compounds and their derivatives via tandem intramolecular carbenoide-carbonyl cyclization-cycloaddition sequence. ${ }^{\text {1a,7 }}$ Although various carbonyl dipolarophiles, which can
coordinated as bidentate fashion, have exhibited high enantioselectivities, only 3-acryloyl-2oxazlidinone (4a) has been investigated as an olefinic dipolarophile. To elucidate the scope and limitations of cycloadditions that involve olefinic dipolarophiles, we undertook studies to investigate the reactions of $o$-alkoxycarbonyl- $\alpha$-diazoacetophenones with 3-crotonoyl-, 3-(2-pentenoyl)-, 3-cinnamoyl-, and 3-[(E)-3-(ethoxylcarbonyl)propenoyl]-2-oxazolidinones. In this paper, we present our findings on the highly endo-selective, ${ }^{9}$ with modest to relatively good enantioseletivities, reactions between 1-methoxy-2-benzopyrylium-4-olate and the above 3-(2-alkenoyl)-2-oxazolidinones, in the presence of chiral Pybox-lanthanoid triflate complexes. In contrast, a cycloaddition that involve 3-acryloyl-2oxazolidinone (4a) exhibited high exo-selectivity ${ }^{9}$ with high enantioselectivity of exo-adduct as reported previously. ${ }^{6}$ Moreover, high enantioselectivity along with extremely high endo-selectivity has been found to obtain for a reaction between $o$-( $p$-bromobenzyloxy)carbonyl- $\alpha$-diazoacetophenone (3) and 3-crotonoyl-2-oxazolidinone using $(4 S, 5 S)$-Pybox $-4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}-\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ as a chiral Lewis acid catalyst.

## SCHEME 1. Asymmetric Cycloaddition Reactions of 2-Benzopyrylium-4-olate Catalyzed by

 Chiral Pybox-rare Earth Metal Complexes

## 2. Results and Discussion

## SCHEME 2. Reactions of Diazoacetophenone 1, 2, and 3 with 2-Oxazolidinone 4a-e



Previous studies have shown that, in addition to the presence of the achiral Lewis acids, the ionic radius of their rare earth metal triflates can influence the diastereoselectivity of the cycloaddition reaction between 1-methoxy-2-benzopyrylium-4-olate (A) and 3-acryloyl-2-oxazolidinone (4a). ${ }^{10}$ To determine whether a similar relationship exist for 3-crotonoyl-2-oxazolidinone (4b), ${ }^{10}$ the cycloaddition reaction was carried out using several rare earth metal triflates ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), which involved the slow addition (over a period of 1 h ) of a solution of $o$-methoxycarbonyl- $\alpha$-diazoacetophenone (1) to oxazolidinone 4b (2 equiv) under $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$-catalyzed conditions in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature (Table 1, entries 4 and 5). In the case of $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, the presence of the Lewis acid catalyst resulted in only a slight increase of the exo-adduct (entry 5 vs . 3 ); significant differences in the diastereoselectivities were not observed. In contrast, the cycloaddition reaction of 3-acryloyl-2oxazolidinone (4a) in the presenc of $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ exhibited a drastic difference in the diastereoselectivities (entry 2 vs. 1). ${ }^{10}$ Extending the addition time (from 1 h to 6 h ) of diazo carbonyl substrate $\mathbf{1}$ slightly increased the exo-adduct and resulting in a practically non-stereoselective reaction (entry 6).

Cycloaddition reactions using various lanthanoid triflates (entries $6-11$ ) revealed that the diastereoselectivity of the reactions is influenced by the ionic radius of the rare earth metal, of which,
under the similar conditions, $\mathrm{Tm}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ exhibited the highest exo-selectivity (exo:endo $=63: 37$ ). The use of lanthanoid triflates with metal having larger ionic radius than that of Tm increased the amount of the endo-adducts (entries $8-11$ ). In the case of $\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$, which has the largest ionic radius, the catalyst was moderately endo-selective (entry 11).

TABLE 1. Reactions of $\alpha$-Diazoacetophenone 1 with Oxazolidinones 4a or 4b in the Absence and in the Presence of Rare Earth Metal Triflates ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| entry | R | olefin | Lewis acid | ionic radius $(\AA)^{\text {b }}$ | addition time (h) | yield (\%) | endo : exo ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1{ }^{\text {d }}$ | H | 4a | none | - | 1 | 82 | 80:20 |
| $2^{\text {d }}$ | H | 4a | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | 1 | 88 | 19:81 |
| 3 | Me | 4b | none | - | 1 | 71 | 83:17 |
| 4 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.75 | 1 | 33 | 85:15 |
| 5 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | 1 | 55 | 60:40 |
| 6 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | 6 | 58 | 48:52 |
| 7 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{Tm}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.88 | 6 | 70 | 37:63 |
| 8 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{Er}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.89 | 6 | 84 | 39:61 |
| 9 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.90 | 6 | 75 | 46:54 |
| 10 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.95 | 6 | 78 | 62:38 |
| 11 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 1.03 | 6 | 41 | 70:30 |

[^0]

## FIGURE 1. Structures of Chiral Pybox Ligands

Next, the reaction between diazoacetophenone 1 and oxazolidinone $\mathbf{4 b}$ (Scheme 2) was employed to determine the asymmetric induction using chiral Lewis acid catalysts that were prepared from various chiral Pybox ligands (Figure 1) and rare earth metal triflates. First, the chiral $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ catalysts involving (S,S)-Pybox-Ph or (4S,5S)-Pybox-4,5-Ph ${ }_{2}$ were examined under several reaction temperatures (Table 2, entries $2-5$, and $11-13$ ). The catalysts were prepared by stirring the corresponding Pybox ligands and $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ in THF for 2 h at room temperature, then drying in vacuo for 1 h . The cycloaddition reactions were conducted by adding a solution of $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to a suspension of the catalyst (10 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ over a period of 6 h . In terms of the reaction temperatures, reflux or room temperature resulted in relatively good yields (entries 2 and 3), whereas lower temperature resulted in decreased yields (entries 4 and 5). Interestingly, high endo-selectivity was observed in all cases, which is in contrast to the reaction without Pybox ligand (Table1, entry 6), and also to the reaction with 3-acryloyl-2-oxazolidinone (4a) under similar conditions (Table 2, entry 1). ${ }^{6}$ The difference in the diastereoselectivities of the oxazolidinones $\mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 a}$ reactions using the chiral $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})$ catalyst can be attributed to dissimilar stabilities of the endo and exo products, which would also govern the character of the corresponding transition states. Although the energy differences may seem minor, simple calculations of the heats of formation by a semi-empirical PM3 method reveal that endo-5b is more stable than exo-5b by $1.38 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, whereas exo-5a is more stable than endo-5a by $3.12 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The higher endo-selectivity of the $\operatorname{Pybox}-\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ catalyst is attributable to the larger chiral $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{III})$ complex, relative to those of achiral lanthanoid triflates, and the increased steric repulsion between the
methoxy and the coordinated oxazolidinone moieties during the transition state that leads to exo- $\mathbf{5 b}$. The enantioselectivities of endo-5b, however, were unsatisfactory.

Effects of the ionic radius on the enantio- and diastereoselectivities of chiral catalysts were examined using several lanthanoid triflates (entries $6-10$ and $14-18$ ). Although high enantioselectivity was observed for the minor exo-adduct in several cases, especially those utilizing ( $4 S, 5 S$ )-Pybox-4,5- $\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ as the chiral ligand (entries 11, 14 and 16), the enantioselectivity of the endo-adduct did not improved significantly. Our studies show that the enantioselectivities are somewhat affected by the ionic radius of the metal triflate, and sense of asymmetric induction was switched between Ho and Er when Pybox-Ph was used as a chiral ligand (entries 8 and 9). Improved enantioselectivity of the endo-adduct was obtained using the $(S, S)$-Pybox-Ph-Tm(OTf) $)_{3}$ catalyst, unfortunately, the enantioselctivity was not reproducible with several runs (entry 6). In contrast to the behavior of the bare lanthanoid triflates (without the Pybox ligands), it is interesting that the ionic radius of the metal complexes did not influence the diasteroselectivity when Pybox-lanthanoid triflates were used as catalysts.

TABLE 2. Reactions of Diazoacetophenone 1 with Oxazolidinone 4b in the Presence of Chiral Pybox-Lanthanoid Complexes ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| entry | $\mathbf{4}$ | Pybox | $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | $\mathrm{IR}(\AA)^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Temp | Yield <br> $(\%)$ | endo:exo $^{\mathrm{c}}$ <br> \% ee $^{\mathrm{d}}$ <br> (endo) | \% ee $^{\mathrm{d}}$ <br> $($ exo $)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $\mathbf{4 a}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | -10 | 94 | $18: 82$ | 8 | 96 |
| 2 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | reflux | 65 | $97: 3$ | 30 | 52 |
| 3 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | rt | 71 | $99: 1$ | 28 | 20 |
| 4 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | -10 | 18 | $97: 3$ | 38 | 52 |
| 5 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | -25 | 5 | $97: 3$ | 30 | 52 |
| 6 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{Tm}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.88 | rt | $81-68$ | $95: 5-93: 7$ | $74-26$ | $10-4$ |
| 7 | 4b | Ph | $\operatorname{Er}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.89 | rt | 53 | $96: 4$ | 18 | 20 |
| 8 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.90 | rt | 50 | $95: 5$ | 22 | 20 |


| 9 | $\mathbf{4 b}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.95 | rt | 88 | $97: 3$ | -8 | 38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 1.03 | rt | 34 | $90: 10$ | -24 | 36 |
| 11 | 4b | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | reflux | 79 | $97: 3$ | 50 | $>99$ |
| 12 | 4b | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | rt | 67 | $92: 8$ | 40 | 16 |
| 13 | 4b | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | -10 | 8 | $94: 6$ | 44 | 42 |
| 14 | 4b | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Tm}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.88 | rt | 50 | $96: 4$ | 40 | $>99$ |
| 15 | 4b | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Er}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.89 | rt | 59 | $97: 3$ | 42 | 76 |
| 16 | 4b | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.90 | rt | 57 | $96: 4$ | 52 | 90 |
| 17 | 4b | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.95 | rt | 96 | $98: 2$ | 24 | 50 |
| 18 | 4b | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 1.03 | rt | 83 | $90: 10$ | 8 | 16 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The reaction was carried out by adding a solution of diazo compound $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ over a period of 6 h to a suspension of the chiral Yb catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{MS} 4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and $\mathbf{4 a}$ or $4 \mathbf{b}(2$ equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ See ref. 11. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis ( 400 MHz ). ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Determined by HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak AD-H). ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Previously reported, see ref 6.

The influence of the alkoxy substituent ( $\mathrm{OR}^{1}$ ) of the diazo substrate (Scheme 2) on the enantio- and diastereoselectivities was investigated. Reactions using diazo substrates 2 and 3, which containing isopropyl ester and $p$-bromobenzyl ester, respectively, were carried out in the presence of chiral catalysts that involve $(S, S)-$ Pybox-Ph or $(4 S, 5 S)-P y b o x-4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ or $\mathrm{Tm}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ (Table 3). In the case of $(4 S, 5 S)$-Pybox-4,5- $\mathrm{Ph}_{2}-\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$-catalyzed reaction of diazo substrate 2 (isopropyl ester), the yield of the adducts and the enantioselectivity of major endo-cycloadduct were both considerably less than that of substrate $\mathbf{1}$ (methyl ester) (entry 1). The reaction of substrate $\mathbf{3}$ ( $p$-bromobenzyl ester), however, was promising in terms of enantioselectivity and extremely high endo-selectivity. Thus, in the cases of $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ with chiral ligands $(S, S)$-Pybox-Ph or $(4 S, 5 S)-$ Pybox-4,5- $\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$, the catalyzed ( 10 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) reaction afforded only endo-cycloadduct as the sole product with over $80 \%$ ee (entries 2 and 4). Moreover, increasing the catalyst to $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ in $(4 S, 5 S)-\mathrm{Pybox}-4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}-\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$-catalyzed reaction increased the enantioselectivity to $96 \%$ ee (entry 5). Although the absolute configuration of the endoadduct have yet to be determined, the enantio-facial selection is probably similar to that reported by

Desimoni in the Mukaiyama-Michael reaction between 2-trimethylsilyloxyfuran and 3-crotonoyl-2oxazolidinone catalyzed by a chiral Pybox $-4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}-\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ complex (shown as tetrahydrate by X-ray analysis). ${ }^{12}$ According to the proposed structure of the $(4 S, 5 S)-\mathrm{Pybox}^{-4,5-\mathrm{Ph}} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{La}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}-3$-crotonoyl-2oxazolidinone complex, the carbonyl ylide presumably approaches from the $R e$-face of 3-crotonoyl-2oxazolidinone with endo-orientation.

TABLE 3. Reactions of Diazoacetophenones 2 or 3 with Oxazolidinone 4b in the Presence of Chiral Pybox-Lanthanoid Complexes ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| entry | Diazo <br> substrate | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | Pybox | $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~mol} \%$ | Yield <br> $(\%)$ | endo:exo $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | \% ee $^{\mathrm{c}}$ <br> (endo $)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 10 | 39 | $89: 11^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 8 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $p-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 10 | 40 | $>99: 1$ | 84 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $p-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{Tm}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 10 | 51 | $>99: 1$ | 72 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $p-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 10 | 57 | $>99: 1$ | 81 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $p-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 20 | 60 | $>99: 1$ | 96 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $p-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 30 | 25 | $>99: 1$ | 92 |

[^1]As shown in Scheme 2, cycloadditions between 3-(2-pentenoyl)- (4c), 3-cinnamoyl- (4d), or 3-[(E)-3-(ethoxylcarbonyl)propenoyl]-2-oxazolidinones (4e) and diazoacetophenones $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{3}$, as the diazo substrates, were carried out using $(S, S)$-Pybox- Ph - or $(4 S, 5 S)-\mathrm{Pybox}-4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}-\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ as the catalyst. With the exception of the reaction between $\mathbf{4 e}$ and $\mathbf{3}$, the reactions favored the endo-cycloadduct, which was similar to that of $\mathbf{4 b}$. In the case of $\mathbf{1}$ and oxazolidinone $\mathbf{4 c}$, the reaction exhibited high endoselectivity but moderate enantioselectivity, which did not substantially improve by increasing the catalyst load (Table 4, entries $1-3$ ). Unfortunately, the reaction between 3 and 4c at room temperature
in the presence of $(4 S, 5 S)$-Pybox- $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}-\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ did not occur, presumably due to the low reactivity of $\mathbf{4 c}$ as a dipolarophile. Despite the sluggish reaction of oxazolidine $\mathbf{4 d}$, which required reflux conditions $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to drive the cycloaddition, even with $\mathbf{1}$ as a carbonyl ylide precursor, relatively good enantioselectivity with high endo-selectivity was obtained (entry 4). The reaction between 1 and olefinic diplarophile $4 \mathbf{e}$ afforded relatively good enantioselectivity of the endocycloadduct (entries $5-7$ ). It is interesting to note that the diastereoselectivity improved as the catalyst was increased from $10 \%$ to $30 \%$. Surprisingly, in contrast to the cycloaddition reactions which have been described to this point, the reaction between diazoacetophenone $\mathbf{3}$ and oxazolidinone $\mathbf{4 e}$ in the presence of $(4 S, 5 S)$-Pybox-4,5- $\mathrm{Ph}_{2}-\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ and $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ afforded only the opposite regioisomer with an exo-configuration (exo-7e'). ${ }^{9}$ The regiochemistry of exo-7e' was determined by comparing the chemical shifts of the methine protons $(\mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-7$, and $\mathrm{H}-8)$ of the epoxy-bridged bicyclic ring with those of cycloadducts endo-5b, endo-7b, and endo-5e (Figure 2). In contrast to the comparable chemical shifts of endo-5b and endo-7b, the chemical shifts of endo-5e and exo-7e' were drastically dissimilar. Coupling constants between the methine protons $(\mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{H}-7$, and $\mathrm{H}-8)$ of the four cycloadducts were comparable. These ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data suggest that endo- $\mathbf{5 b}$ and endo- $\mathbf{7 b}$ share the same regio- and stereo-chemistries, whereas endo-5e and exo-7e' have similar stereo-, but different regiochemistries. In comparison to the other cycloadducts, the upfield shift of H-6 for cycloadduct exo-7e’ indicates substitution of the ethoxycarbonyl group at C-6. Furthermore, NOEs were observed between H-6 and the benzyl methylene, and between H-6 and H-8. Based on these NMR studies, exo-7e' was determined to have the opposite regiochemistry of endo-5b, endo-7b, and endo-5e. Although the switch in the regioselectivity remains unclear, it is important to note such reactions that exhibit high regio- and diastereoselectivities with moderate enantioselectivity.

TABLE 4. Reactions of Diazoacetophenone 1 or 3 with Oxazolidinone 4c-4e in the Presence of Chiral Pybox-Yb(OTf) Complexes $^{\text {a }}$

| entry | Diazo <br> substrate | oxazolidinone | Pybox | mol \% | temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Yield <br> (\%) | endo:exo ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \mathrm{ee}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & \text { (endo) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 4c | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 10 | rt | 32 | >99:1 | 30 |
| 2 | 1 | 4c | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 20 | rt | 47 | 99:1 | 28 |
| 3 | 1 | 4c | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 30 | rt | 49 | 98:2 | 38 |
| 4 | 1 | 4d | Ph | 10 | reflux | 13 | >99:1 | 72 |
| 5 | 1 | 4e | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 10 | rt | 54 | 76:24 | 78 |
| 6 | 1 | 4e | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 20 | rt | 51 | 83:17 | 78 |
| 7 | 1 | 4e | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 30 | rt | 55 | 93:7 | 68 |
| 8 | 3 | 4e | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 10 | rt | $15^{\text {d }}$ | $>1: 99{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 56 (exo) |
| 9 | 3 | 4e | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 20 | reflux | $15^{\text {d }}$ | $>1: 99{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 66 (exo) |

[^2]
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endo-7b

endo-5e
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## FIGURE 2. Regiochemistry of Cycloadducts

## 3. Conclusion

We have found that the cycloaddition reaction between a carbonyl ylide, which was generated from 3, and 3-crotonoyl-2-oxazolidinone, in the presence of $(4 S, 5 S)-\mathrm{Pybox}-4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}-\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ as the chiral Lewis acid catalyst, afforded the endo-cycloadduct as a sole product (endo:exo $=>99: 1$ ) with extremely high enantioselectivity ( $96 \%$ ee). In contrast, the reaction between 1, as a carbonyl ylide precursor, and 3-acryloyl-2-oxazolidinone, under the similar conditions, exhibited exo-selectivity (exo:endo $=82: 18)$. Although the cycloaddition reactions of 3 with other 3-(2-alkenoyl)-2oxazolidinoes were slow or problematic, the reaction between 1 and 3-cinnamoyl- (4d) or 3-[(E)-3-(ethoxylcarbonyl)propenoyl]-2-oxazolidinones (4e), using the same catalyst, exhibited endo-selectively with relatively high enantioselectivity ( $72 \%$ ee and $78 \%$ ee, respectively). Studies to expand this methodology of enantioselective cycloaddition to other diazo substrates are currently underway.

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. General

Melting points are uncorrected. IR spectra were obtained using an FT/IR spectrophotometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were obtained using a 400 MHz instrument; chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) downfield from tetramethylsilane (internal standard). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded using a 100 MHz instrument with broadband proton decoupling; chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) downfield from tetramethylsilane, with the middle resonance of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(77.0 \mathrm{ppm})$ as the internal standard. Preparative and medium-pressure column chromatography were performed using columns packed with Wakogel C-300HG. All reactions were carried out using dried glass and under an argon atmosphere.
$o$-Methoxycarbonyl- $\alpha$-diazoacetophenone (1), o-isopropoxycarbonyl- $\alpha$-diazoacetophenone (2) and $o$ ( $p$-bromobenzyloxy)carbonyl- $\alpha$-diazoacetophenone (3) were prepared following procedures as described in a previous paper. ${ }^{13}$ With the exception of rare earth metal triflates, the commercially available Lewis acids including $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ were used without further purifications. The rare earth metal triflates were individually dried in vacuo in a Schlenk tube at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h before use.

Commercially available powdered $4 \AA$ molecular sieves (MS 4A) were dried in vacuo at $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h before use. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was purified by distillation, first over $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, then over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$, under argon.

### 4.2. General Procedures for the Reactions of $\boldsymbol{o}$-(Alkoxycarbonyl)- $\alpha$-Diazoacetophenones with 3 -

## (2-Alkenoyl)-2-oxazolidinones

Typical procedures are exemplified by the asymmetric cycloaddition reaction between $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4 b}$ : to a solution of $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(62.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of 2,6-bis[(4S,5S)-(-)-4,5-diphenyl-2-oxazolin-2-yl]pyridine [(4S,5S)-Pybox-4,5 $\left.\mathrm{Ph}_{2}, 52.16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}\right]$ in THF ( 3.0 mL ). After stirring the mixture for 2 h , the solvent was removed in vacuo and the resulting solid was dried in vacuo ( $<3 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) at rt for 1 h . The residue was used as a catalyst without further purification. To a suspension of 3-crotonoyl-2-oxazolidinone ( $155.2 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and MS $4 \mathrm{~A}(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of the catalyst prepared above in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(4.4 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and finally a solution of diazoacetophenone $3(180.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ over a period of 6 h . After removal of MS 4A through filtration (celite), the reaction mixture was further filtered through a plug of silica gel using AcOEt/hexane $(1: 1,100 \mathrm{~mL})$ as the eluent. After concentrating the filtrate in vacuo, the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (AcOEt/hexane 1:4) to provide endo-7b ( $116.5 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ) (endo:exo $=>99: 1$ using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}$ ).

### 4.2.1. 5-p-Bromobenzyloxy-7-exo-methyl-6-endo-(2-oxazolidinoyl)carbonyl-8-

oxabenzo[c]bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-one (endo-7b). Pale yellow prisms; mp 205-206 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-19.83$ ${ }^{\circ}$ (c 1.00, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); 96\% ee estimated using chiral HPLC; IR (KBr) 637, 708, 752, 802, 839, 896, 936, $972,1011,1069,1121,1272,1340,1461,1489,1546,1599,1894,2371,2875,2920,2977,2997,3031$, 3057, $3094 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.48(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.44-3.51,3.80-3.89$,
4.29-4.43 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.95(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28-7.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.46-7.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.28-7.34,7,45-7.57,8.03-8.08(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 20.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 38.6(\mathrm{CH}), 43.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.9(\mathrm{CH}), 61.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 65.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 87.1(\mathrm{CH})$, $108.9(\mathrm{C}), 121.4(\mathrm{C}), 122.8(\mathrm{CH}), 126.7(\mathrm{CH}), 129.1(\mathrm{CH}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH}), 130.09(\mathrm{C}), 131.3(\mathrm{CH}), 133.3$ (CH), 136.5 (C), 142.1 (C), 152.9 (C), 169.9 (C), 193.5 (C); Mass spectrometry (EI) m/z $487\left(\mathrm{M}+2^{+}\right)$ $485\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 400,382,356,332,316,298,270,254,229,214,201,187,171,155,133,117,104,90,76$, 63, 37, 13; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrNO}_{6}: 485.0473\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, Found: 485.0498. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrNO}_{6}$ : C, 56.80; H, 4.15; N, 2.88 \%. Found: C, $57.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.17$; N, 2.52 \%.

The enantiomeric excess was determined using chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA; hexane/2$\operatorname{PrOH}, 4: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$; UV detection, 254 nm ; flow rate, $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} ; 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=42.64 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=35.69$ $\min )$.

### 4.2.2. 5-Methoxy-7-exo-methyl-6-endo-(2-oxazolidinoyl)carbonyl-8-

oxabenzo[c]bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-one (endo-5b). Colorless prisms; mp 181-183 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+56.11{ }^{\circ}$ (c 1.00, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); endo:exo $=95: 5 ; 74 \%$ ee (endo) estimated using chiral HPLC; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) 708,758$, $1044,1202,1252,1304,1387,1458,1508,1541,1653,1699,1773,2361,2976 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.45(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.40-3.59,3.75-3.85,4.30-4.42(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.42(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.2-8.0(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 38.5(\mathrm{CH})$, $43.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 51.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 55.0(\mathrm{CH}), 61.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 86.9(\mathrm{CH}), 109.0(\mathrm{C}), 122.8(\mathrm{CH}), 126.6(\mathrm{CH}), 129.1$ (CH), 129.9 (C), 133.2 (CH), 142.2 (C), 152.8 (C), 170.1 (C), 193.6 (C); Mass spectrometry (EI) m/z $331\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 299,271,244,216,187,163,133,105,69,41,14 ;$ HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ :
$331.1054\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, Found: 331.1028. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 61.63$; H, 5.17; N, 4.23 \%. Found: C, 61.70; H, 5.08; N, 4.24 \%.

### 4.2.3. 5-Methoxy-7-endo-methyl-6-exo-(2-oxazolidinoyl)carbonyl-8-

oxabenzo[c]bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-one (exo-5b). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.86(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.39(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.60-3.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.00-4.50(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20-8.0$
$(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. The minor exo-adduct was characterized using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR; unfortunately, isolation of the exoadduct using column chromatography was unsuccessful.

The enantiomeric excess was determined using chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak AD-H; hexane $/ 2-\mathrm{PrOH}, 4: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$; UV detection, 254 nm ; flow rate, $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} ; 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}($ endo $)=43.83 \mathrm{~min}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}($ endo $)=32.51 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}($ exo $)=62.68 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}($ exo $\left.)=18.64 \mathrm{~min}\right)$.

### 4.2.4. 7-exo-Ethyl-5-methoxy-6-endo-(2-oxazolidinoyl)carbonyl-8-

oxabenzo[c]bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-one (endo-5c). Colorless prisms; mp 122-123 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+25.39^{\circ}$ (c $1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $30 \%$ ee estimated using chiral HPLC; IR ( KBr ) $632,665,782,816,835,893,918,934$, $972,1126,1166,1460,1481,1512,1600,1965,1989,2857,2874,2931,2992,3069,3376 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.72-1.91(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.50-3.60,3.80-3.90,4.35-$ $4.42(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.20-8.10(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 12.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 43.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 45.7(\mathrm{CH}), 51.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 53.2(\mathrm{CH}), 61.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $84.9(\mathrm{CH}), 108.6(\mathrm{C}), 122.9(\mathrm{CH}), 126.6(\mathrm{CH}), 129.0(\mathrm{CH}), 130.0(\mathrm{C}), 133.2(\mathrm{CH}), 142.1(\mathrm{C}), 152.9(\mathrm{C})$, 170.1 (C), 193.7 (C); Mass spectrometry (EI) m/z $345\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 313,284,269,258,243,226,201,199,187$, $176,163,148,133,105,91,77,55,38,24,12 ;$ HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{6}: 345.1211\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, Found: 345.1187. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ : C, $62.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.55 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.06 \%$. Found: C, $62.87 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.50$; N, 4.05 \%.

The enantiomeric excess was determined using chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA; hexane/2$\operatorname{PrOH}, 4: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$; UV detection, 254 nm ; flow rate, $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} ; 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=31.44 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=23.88$ min).

### 4.2.5. 7-endo-Ethyl-5-methoxy-6-exo-(2-oxazolidinoyl)carbonyl-8-

oxabenzo[c]bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-one (exo-5c). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.23-1.40$
$(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.05-4.24(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.20-8.10(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. The minor exo-adduct was characterized using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR; unfortunately, isolation of the exo-adduct using column chromatography was unsuccessful.

### 4.2.6. 5-Methoxy-6-endo-(2-oxazolidinoyl)carbonyl-7-exo-phenyl-8-

oxabenzo[c]bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-one (endo-5d). Colorless prisms; mp $210-212^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+30.35^{\circ}$ (c 1.00, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); 72\% ee estimated using chiral HPLC; IR (KBr) 638, 674, 706, 785, 837, 986, 1051, $1077,1113,1150,1161,1223,1257,1299,1317,1359,1388,1459,1475,1520,1602,1700,1780$, 2995, 3029, $3060 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.69(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.7,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.52-3.60,3.78-3.88,4.32-4.38(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32-7.43(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.26-7.30$, 7.50-7.62, 8.08-8.11 (4H, m); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 43.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.2(\mathrm{CH}), 53.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 55.8(\mathrm{CH}), 62.0$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 85.6(\mathrm{CH}), 108.6(\mathrm{C}), 124.8(\mathrm{CH}), 126.5(\mathrm{CH}), 127.5(\mathrm{CH}), 128.0(\mathrm{CH}), 128.5(\mathrm{CH}), 129.2(\mathrm{CH})$, 131.1 (C), 134.1 (CH), 134.7 (C), 142.7 (C), 153.4 (C), 169.8 (C), 192.7 (C); Mass (EI) m/z 393 ( ${ }^{+}$), $361,335,317,306,278,247,235,218,187,176,163,148,133,115,103,91,77,55,38,24,13$.

HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{6}: 393.1211\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, Found: 393.1187. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ : C , 67.17; H, 4.87; N, 3.56 \%. Found: C, 67.40; H, 4.80; N, 3.40\%.

The enantiomeric excess was determined using chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA; hexane/2$\operatorname{PrOH}, 4: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$; UV detector, 254 nm ; flow rate, $\left.0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} ; 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=46.98 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=25.86 \mathrm{~min}\right)$.

### 4.2.7. 7-exo-Ethoxycarbonyl-5-methoxy-6-endo-(2-oxazolidinoyl)carbonyl-8-

 oxabenzo[c]bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-one (endo-5e). Colorless prisms; mp $179{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+20.67^{\circ}(\mathrm{c}$ $0.80, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $78 \%$ ee estimated on the basis of chiral HPLC; $\operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 654,707,769,825,867,943$, $1019,1051,1107,1158,1244,1369,1474,1600,1787,2920,2958 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.32(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.34-3.48,3.79-3.90,4.34-4.44(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.22-$ $4.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,0.49 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.35-7.38,7.46-7.61,8.01-8.05$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 14.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 48.1(\mathrm{CH}), 50.2(\mathrm{CH}), 51.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 61.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $62.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 82.2(\mathrm{CH}), 108.6(\mathrm{C}), 122.7(\mathrm{CH}), 126.8(\mathrm{CH}), 129.3(\mathrm{CH}), 129.5(\mathrm{C}), 133.5(\mathrm{CH}), 141.9$ (C), 152.5 (C), 169.0 (C), 170.5 (C), 192.1 (C); Mass spectrometry (EI) m/z 389 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$), 357, 343, 329, $316,302,284,271,257,243,229,215,201,199,187,176,163,148,133,115,104,92,77,63,50,38$, 24, 13; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{8}: 389.1109\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, Found: 389.1078. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{8}$ : C, 58.61 ; H, 4.92; N, 3.60 \%. Found: C, $58.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.85$; N, 3.65\%.The enantiomeric excess was determined using chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA; hexane/2$\operatorname{PrOH}, 4: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$; UV detection, 254 nm ; flow rate, $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} ; 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=42.16 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=35.76$ $\min )$.

### 4.2.8. 7-endo-Ethoxycarbonyl-5-methoxy-6-exo-(2-oxazolidinoyl)carbonyl-8-

oxabenzo[c]bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-one (exo-5e). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.40(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.99-4.53(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.47-7.70 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.96-7.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. The minor exo-adduct was characterized using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR; unfortunately, isolation of the exo-adduct using column chromatography was unsuccessful.

### 4.2.9. 5-isopropoxy-7-exo-methyl-6-endo-(2-oxazolidinoyl)carbonyl-8-

oxabenzo[c]bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-one (endo-6b). Colorless prisms; mp $178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+7.24^{\circ}(\mathrm{c}$ $\left.1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; 8 \%$ ee estimated on the basis of chiral HPLC; $\operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 634,708,751,807,846,899,921$, $953,970,1004,1031,1048,1114,1165,1219,1246,1269,1296,1337,1385,1463,1511,1540,1563$, 1600, 1683, 2371, 2876, 2931, 2973, $2996 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.32(3 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.44(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.56-3.65,3.81-3.91,4.16-4.27,4.32-4.38(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}), 4.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28-7.33,7.44-7.50,7.50-7.58$, 7.98-8.07 (4H, m), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 39.0(\mathrm{CH}), 43.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $57.0(\mathrm{CH}), 61.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.9(\mathrm{CH}), 87.0(\mathrm{CH}), 109.8(\mathrm{C}), 123.5(\mathrm{CH}), 126.5(\mathrm{CH}), 128.9(\mathrm{CH}), 130.0$ (C), $133.0(\mathrm{CH}), 143.2(\mathrm{C}), 152.8(\mathrm{C}), 170.4(\mathrm{C}), 193.8(\mathrm{C})$; Mass spectrometry (EI) m/z $359\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 299$, $272,260,245,229,213,201,185,173,156,145,129,114,104,88,69,50,39,24,13 ;$ HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{6}: 359.1368\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, Found: 359.1362. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 63.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.89$; N,3.74 \%. Found: C, 63.68; H, 5.87; N,3.74 \%.

The enantiomeric excess was determined using chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA; hexane/2$\operatorname{PrOH}, 4: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$; UV detection, 254 nm ; flow rate, $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} ; 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=27.32 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=20.12$ $\min )$.

### 4.2.10. 5-isopropoxy-7-endo-methyl-6-exo-(2-oxazolidinoyl)carbonyl-8-

oxabenzo[c]bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-one (exo-6b). Colorless prisms; mp 174-175 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+6.10^{\circ}(\mathrm{c}$
$0.25, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $1 \%$ ee estimated on the basis of chiral HPLC; IR (KBr) 633, 709, 749, 803, 890, 920, 949, $974,1002,1032,1048,1114,1170,1223,1246,1274,1295,1333,1391,1440,1523,1545,1571,1611$, 1673, 2351, 2865, 2902, 2973, $2996 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.18(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.19(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.06-4.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=4.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.39-4.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.44-7.51,7.60-7.68,7.98-8.02(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 15.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 24.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 35.1(\mathrm{CH}), 43.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 58.0(\mathrm{CH}), 62.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 69.5$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 84.7(\mathrm{CH}), 108.4(\mathrm{C}), 124.7(\mathrm{CH}), 126.2(\mathrm{CH}), 128.8(\mathrm{CH}), 130.2(\mathrm{C}), 133.9(\mathrm{CH}), 145.2(\mathrm{C})$, 153.5 (C), 170.2 (C), 194.1 (C); Mass spectrometry (EI) m/z $359\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 316,300,272,260,245,229$, $212,201,185,173,156,145,127,115,105,88,68,57,47,35,24,13$; HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{6}: 359.1368\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, Found: 359.1352.

The enantiomeric excess was determined using chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA; hexane/2$\operatorname{PrOH}, 4: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$; UV detection, 254 nm ; flow rate, $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} ; 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=17.89 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=12.79$ $\min )$.

### 4.2.11. 5-p-Bromobenzyloxy-6-endo-ethoxycarbonyl-7-exo-(2-oxazolidinoyl)carbonyl-8-

oxabenzo[c]bicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-one (endo-7e'). Colorless solid; mp $43-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-34.77^{\circ}$ (c $1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $54 \%$ ee estimated using chiral HPLC; $\mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}) 624,986,1015,1042,1071,1109,1215$, $1298,1368,1387,1460,1480,1489,1601,1709,1732,1788,2340,2361,2402,2926,3021,3393 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75-3.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.04-4.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.41-4.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.43-7.53(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 7.32-7.38,7.54-7.60,7.99-8.11(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 14.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 43.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 46.6(\mathrm{CH}), 52.5(\mathrm{CH}), 61.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 62.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 65.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 82.6$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 107.9(\mathrm{C}), 121.5(\mathrm{C}), 124.3(\mathrm{CH}), 127.2(\mathrm{CH}), 129.0(\mathrm{CH}), 129.2(\mathrm{C}), 129.5(\mathrm{CH}), 131.4(\mathrm{CH})$, $133.6(\mathrm{CH}), 136.3$ (C), 141.4 (C), 152.6 (C), $168.0(\mathrm{C}), 170.5(\mathrm{C}), 190.1(\mathrm{C})$; Mass spectrometry (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 545\left(\mathrm{M}+2^{+}\right), 543\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 501,340,315,287,272,242,215,186,171,149,133,104,90,63,40,24 ;$ HRMS (EI) Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BrNO}_{8}$ : $543.0528\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, Found: 543.0495. Satisfactory elemental analysis was not obtained because only a small amount of product was obtained.

The enantiomeric excess was determined using chiral HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA; hexane/2$\operatorname{PrOH}, 4: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$; UV detection, 254 nm ; flow rate, $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min} ; 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathrm{t}_{\text {minor }}=115.87 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {major }}=154.54$ min).
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TABLE 1. Reactions of $\alpha$-Diazoacetophenone 1 with Oxazolidinones 4a or $4 b$ in the Absence and in the Presence of Rare Earth Metal Triflates ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| entry | R | olefin | Lewis acid | ionic radius $(\AA)^{\text {b }}$ | addition time (h) | yield (\%) | endo : exo ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1{ }^{\text {d }}$ | H | 4a | none | - | 1 | 82 | 80:20 |
| $2^{\text {d }}$ | H | 4a | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | 1 | 88 | 19:81 |
| 3 | Me | 4b | none | - | 1 | 71 | 83:17 |
| 4 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.75 | 1 | 33 | 85:15 |
| 5 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | 1 | 55 | 60:40 |
| 6 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | 6 | 58 | 48:52 |
| 7 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{Tm}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.88 | 6 | 70 | 37:63 |
| 8 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{Er}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.89 | 6 | 84 | 39:61 |
| 9 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.90 | 6 | 75 | 46:54 |
| 10 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.95 | 6 | 78 | 62:38 |
| 11 | Me | 4b | $\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 1.03 | 6 | 41 | 70:30 |

[^3]3b (2 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ See ref. 11. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ). ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Previously reported, see ref 10 .

TABLE 2. Reactions of Diazoacetophenone 1 with Oxazolidinone 4b in the Presence of Chiral Pybox-Lanthanoid Complexes ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| entry | 4 | Pybox | $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | $\operatorname{IR}(\AA)^{\text {b }}$ | Temp | Yield (\%) | endo:exo ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \mathrm{ee}^{\mathrm{d}} \\ & (\text { endo) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \mathrm{ee}^{\mathrm{d}} \\ (\text { exo } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{\text {e }}$ | 4a | Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | -10 | 94 | 18:82 | 8 | 96 |
| 2 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | reflux | 65 | 97:3 | 30 | 52 |
| 3 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | rt | 71 | 99:1 | 28 | 20 |
| 4 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | -10 | 18 | 97:3 | 38 | 52 |
| 5 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | -25 | 5 | 97:3 | 30 | 52 |
| 6 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{Tm}(\mathrm{OTf})^{3}$ | 0.88 | rt | 81-68 | 95:5-93:7 | 74-26 | 10-4 |
| 7 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{Er}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.89 | rt | 53 | 96:4 | 18 | 20 |
| 8 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.90 | rt | 50 | 95:5 | 22 | 20 |
| 9 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.95 | rt | 88 | 97:3 | -8 | 38 |
| 10 | 4b | Ph | $\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 1.03 | rt | 34 | 90:10 | -24 | 36 |
| 11 | 4b | 4,5-Ph ${ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | reflux | 79 | 97:3 | 50 | >99 |
| 12 | 4b | 4,5-Ph ${ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | rt | 67 | 92:8 | 40 | 16 |
| 13 | 4b | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.87 | -10 | 8 | 94:6 | 44 | 42 |


| 4b | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Tm}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.88 | rt | 50 | $96: 4$ | 40 | $>99$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4b | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Er}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.89 | rt | 59 | $97: 3$ | 42 | 76 |
| 4b | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.90 | rt | 57 | $96: 4$ | 52 | 90 |
| 4b | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 0.95 | rt | 96 | $98: 2$ | 24 | 50 |
| 4b | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{La}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 1.03 | rt | 83 | $90: 10$ | 8 | 16 |

[^4]TABLE 3. Reactions of Diazoacetophenones 2 or $\mathbf{3}$ with Oxazolidinone 4b in the Presence of Chiral Pybox-Lanthanoid Complexes ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| entry | Diazo <br> substrate | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | Pybox | $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~mol} \%$ | Yield <br> $(\%)$ | endo:exo $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | \% ee $^{\mathrm{c}}$ <br> (endo) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 10 | 39 | $89: 11^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 8 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $p-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 10 | 40 | $>99: 1$ | 84 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $p-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{Tm}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 10 | 51 | $>99: 1$ | 72 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $p-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 10 | 57 | $>99: 1$ | 81 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $p-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 20 | 60 | $>99: 1$ | 96 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{3}$ | $p-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ | 30 | 25 | $>99: 1$ | 92 |

[^5]TABLE 4. Reactions of Diazoacetophenone 1 or 3 with Oxazolidinone 4c-4e in the Presence of Chiral Pybox-Yb(OTf) ${ }_{3}$ Complexes ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| entry | Diazo <br> substrate | oxazolidinone | Pybox | mol \% | temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Yield <br> (\%) | endo:exo ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \% \mathrm{ee}^{\mathrm{c}} \\ & \text { (endo) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 4c | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 10 | rt | 32 | >99:1 | 30 |
| 2 | 1 | 4c | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 20 | rt | 47 | 99:1 | 28 |
| 3 | 1 | 4c | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 30 | rt | 49 | 98:2 | 38 |
| 4 | 1 | 4d | Ph | 10 | reflux | 13 | >99:1 | 72 |
| 5 | 1 | 4e | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 10 | rt | 54 | 76:24 | 78 |
| 6 | 1 | 4e | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 20 | rt | 51 | 83:17 | 78 |
| 7 | 1 | 4e | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 30 | rt | 55 | 93:7 | 68 |
| 8 | 3 | 4e | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 10 | rt | $15^{\text {d }}$ | $>1: 99{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 56 (exo) |
| 9 | 3 | 4e | $4,5-\mathrm{Ph}_{2}$ | 20 | reflux | $15^{\text {d }}$ | $>1: 99{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 66 (exo) |

[^6]
## SCHEME 1. Asymmetric Cycloaddition Reactions of 2-Benzopyrylium-4-olate Catalyzed by

## Chiral Pybox-rare Earth Metal Complexes



SCHEME 2. Reactions of Diazoacetophenone 1, 2, and 3 with 2-Oxazolidinone 4a-e


$R^{1}=P h, R^{2}=H:(S, S)-P y b o x-P h$
$\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}:(4 \mathrm{~S}, 5 \mathrm{~S})-$ Pybox-4,5-Ph${ }_{2}$
FIGURE 1. Structures of Chiral Pybox Ligands
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endo-5e


FIGURE 2. Regiochemistry of Cycloadducts

## Graphical Abstract


$\mathrm{R}^{1}=p-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Me}:>99: 1$ (endolexo), $96 \%$ ee


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The reaction was carried out by adding a solution of diazo compound $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ over a period of 1 or 6 h to a suspension of the Lewis acid ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), MS 4A, $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and oxazolidinone 3b (2 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ See ref. 11. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ). ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Previously reported, see ref 10 .

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The reaction was carried out at room temperature by adding a solution of diazo substrates $\mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ over a period of 6 h to a suspension of the chiral Yb catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), MS 4A, $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(2$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), and $\mathbf{4 b}$ ( 2 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis ( 400 MHz ). ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Determined by HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA). ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Calculated from yields.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The reaction was carried out by adding a solution of diazo compound $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ over a period of 6 h to a suspension of the chiral Yb catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), MS $4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and $\mathbf{4 c}-\mathbf{4 e}$ (2 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis ( 400 MHz ). ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Determined by HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA). ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Regioisomer exo-7e' was obtained. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Only exo-isomer was obtained.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The reaction was carried out by adding a solution of diazo compound $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ over a period of 1 or 6 h to a suspension of the Lewis acid ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), MS 4A, $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and oxazolidinone

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The reaction was carried out by adding a solution of diazo compound $\mathbf{1}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ over a period of 6 h to a suspension of the chiral Yb catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{MS} 4 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and $\mathbf{4 a}$ or $\mathbf{4 b}$ ( 2 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ See ref. 11. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis ( 400 MHz ). ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Determined by HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak AD-H). ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Previously reported, see ref 6 .

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The reaction was carried out at room temperature by adding a solution of diazo substrates 2 or $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ over a period of 6 h to a suspension of the chiral Yb catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), MS 4A, $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(2$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), and $\mathbf{4 b}$ ( 2 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis ( 400 MHz ). ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Determined by HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA). ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Calculated from yields.

[^6]:    ${ }^{a}$ The reaction was carried out by adding a solution of diazo compound $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ over a period of 6 h to a suspension of the chiral Yb catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), MS 4A, $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, and $\mathbf{4 c}-\mathbf{4 e}$ (2 equiv) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis ( 400 MHz ). ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Determined by HPLC analysis (Daicel Chiralpak IA). ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Regioisomer exo-7e' was obtained. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Only exo-isomer was obtained.

