

On Contraction of Walsh Fourier Transforms

Yasuo OKUYAMA*

(Received April 23, 1973)

§ 1. We begin with some notations and definitions :

Let $\{\phi_n(x)\}$ $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be the system of Rademacher functions, i. e.

$$\phi_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1(x \in [0, 1/2)) \\ -1(x \in [1/2, 1)), \end{cases} \quad \phi_0(x+1) = \phi_0(x), \quad \phi_n(x) = \phi_0(2^n \cdot x),$$

and let

$\phi_n(x) = 1$ for $n = 0$, and

$\phi_n(x) = \phi_{n(1)}(x)\phi_{n(2)}(x) \cdots \phi_{n(r)}(x)$ for $n = 2^{n(1)} + 2^{n(2)} + \dots + 2^{n(r)}$

where $n(1) > n(2) > \dots > n(r) > 0$.

The functions thus defined are called the Walsh functions, which form a complete orthonormal system over the unit interval. For basic properties of Walsh functions, the reader is referred to N. J. Fine [2].

Following A. Beurling, $g(x)$ is called a contraction of $f(x)$ if

$$|g(x) - g(x')| \leq |f(x) - f(x')| \text{ for } x, x' \in (0, 1).$$

A sequence $\{a_n\}$ is called a contraction of a sequence $\{c_n\}$ if

$$|a_m - a_n| \leq |c_m - c_n| \text{ for every } m \text{ and } n.$$

A denotes a positive absolute constant not always the same.

We have previously proved the following two theorems (see [5]):

Theorem 1. Let

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \phi_n(x)$$

and

$$g(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \phi_n(x) \in L(0, 1).$$

* Associate Professor, Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Engineering, Shinshu University.

Suppose that

$$\int_0^1 |g(x+h) - g(x)|^2 dx \leq \int_0^1 |f(x+h) - f(x)|^2 dx$$

for any h , and suppose that there exists a positive sequence $\{\gamma_n\}$ such that

$$(i) \quad |c_n| \leq \gamma_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n^p < \infty$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3p/2} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^2 \gamma_{\nu}^2 \right)^{p/2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-p/2} \left(\sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \gamma_{\nu}^2 \right)^{p/2} < \infty,$$

then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^p < \infty$$

where $2/3 < p \leq 2$.

Theorem 2. Let

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \phi_n(x).$$

For a given $\{a_n\}$, if $a_n \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_{m(n,j)} - a_n|^2 \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_{m(n,j)} - c_n|^2 \quad \text{for every integer } j$$

where $m(n, j) = n + 2j$, and if there exists a function $\gamma(x)$ such that

$$(i) \quad |f(x)| \leq \gamma(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(x) \in L^p(0, 1)$$

$$(ii) \quad \int_0^1 x^{-3p/2} \left(\int_0^x t^2 \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx + \int_0^1 x^{-p/2} \left(\int_x^1 \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx < \infty$$

where $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then there exists a function $g(x)$ belonging to $L^p(0, 1)$ such that

$$g(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \phi_n(x).$$

Theorem 2 is the dual for Theorem 1. The case $p = 1$ of Theorem 1 was first proved by prof. C. Watari [8]. For the corresponding results for Fourier series, see also [1] and [4]. Prof. G. Sunouchi [7] proved the following proposition 1:

Proposition 1. For $1 \leq p < 2$, the convergence of the series

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3p/2} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu^2 a_{\nu}^2 \right)^{p/2}$ is equivalent to the convergence of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-p/2} \left(\sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} a^2_{\nu} \right)^{p/2}$.

Hence the hypotheses of our theorems may be modified.

Now, N. J. Fine [3] introduced the generalized Walsh function

$$\phi_y(x) = \phi_{[y]}(x)\phi_{[x]}(y)$$

where $[x]$ = the greatest integer in x . Then for each z , we have $\phi_x(y + z) = \phi_x(y)\phi_x(z)$ a. e. y . Further, by symmetry, we have $\phi_y(x) = \phi_x(y)$.

If $f(x)$ is integrable on $(0, \infty)$, then its Walsh Fourier transform is defined by

$$\hat{f}(y) = Tf(y) = \int_0^{\infty} f(x)\phi_y(x)dx.$$

It is well-known that the following facts are true ;

(1°) $(\tau_z f)(x) = f(x + z) \rightarrow T(\tau_z f) = \phi_z \cdot \hat{f}$

(2°) $\widehat{f \cdot \phi_z} = \tau_z \hat{f}$

(3°) Plancheral theorem.

We refer the reader to N. J. Fine [3], R. G. Selfridge [6] and C. Watari [9] for detailed properties of Walsh Fourier transforms.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the Walsh analogue of theorem on contraction of Fourier transforms (see [4]). The author thanks prof. C. Watari for his valuable suggestions and encouragements in the preparation of this paper.

Our result is as follows :

Theorem 3. Suppose that $F(x) \in L^p(0, \infty)$, where $1 \leq p \leq 2$, and that its Walsh Fourier transform is $f(x)$. Further, suppose that $g(x)$ is a contraction of $f(x)$, that is,

$$\int_0^{\infty} |g(x + h) - g(x)|^2 dx \leq \int_0^{\infty} |f(x + h) - f(x)|^2 dx \quad \text{for any } h,$$

satisfying either, for the case $p = 1$,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) = 0$$

or, for the case $1 < p < 2$,

$$g(x) \in L^q(A, \infty)$$

where A is a positive finite real number and $q = p/(p-1)$.

If there exists a function $\gamma(x)$ such that

- (i) $|F(x)| \leq \gamma(x)$ and $\gamma(x) \in L^p(0, \infty)$
- (ii) $t^2\gamma^2(t) \in L(0, \delta)$ and $\gamma^2(t) \in L(\delta, \infty)$ for any $\delta > 0$
- (iii) $\int_0^\infty x^{-3p/2} \left(\int_0^x t^2\gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx < \infty$ or $\int_0^\infty x^{-p/2} \left(\int_x^\infty \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx < \infty$,

then $g(x)$ is Walsh Fourier transform of a function $G(x)$ which belongs to $L^p(0, \infty)$, where $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

As this theorem is proved along the line of [4], its proof is somewhat more tedious.

§ 2. We begin with the equivalency of the convergence of the two integrals of the assumption (iii) in Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. For $1 \leq p < 2$, the convergence of the integral

$$\int_0^\infty x^{-3p/2} \left(\int_0^x t^2\gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx$$

is equivalent to the convergence of the integral $\int_0^\infty x^{-p/2} \left(\int_x^\infty \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx$.

Proof. First, we shall prove the inequality

$$\int_0^\infty x^{-3p/2} \left(\int_0^x t^2\gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx \leq A \int_0^\infty x^{-p/2} \left(\int_x^\infty \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx.$$

By dissecting the range of integration and using Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty x^{-3p/2} \left(\int_0^x t^2\gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} x^{-3p/2} \left(\int_0^x t^2\gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx \\ &\leq A \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-n(3p/2-1)} \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^n 2^{2k} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq A \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-n(3p/2-1)} \sum_{k=-\infty}^n 2^{kp} \left(\int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq A \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{kp} \left(\int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} 2^{-n(3p/2-1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq A \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^k p \cdot 2^{-k(3p/2-1)} \left(\int_{2^k}^{\infty} \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} = A \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-k(p/2-1)} \left(\int_{2^k}^{\infty} \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq A \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} x^{-p/2} \left(\int_x^{\infty} \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx = A \int_0^{\infty} x^{-p/2} \left(\int_x^{\infty} \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the converse inequality, we proceed with the same method. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{\infty} x^{-p/2} \left(\int_x^{\infty} \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{2^n}^{2^{n+1}} x^{-p/2} dx \left(\int_{2^n}^{\infty} \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq A \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-n(p/2-1)} \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 2^{-2k} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} t^2 \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq A \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-n(p/2-1)} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 2^{-kp} \left(\int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} t^2 \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq A \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-kp} \left(\int_0^{2^{k+1}} t^2 \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^k 2^{-n(p/2-1)} \\ &\leq A \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-k(3p/2-1)} \left(\int_0^{2^{k+1}} t^2 \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq A \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{2^{k+1}}^{2^{k+2}} x^{-3p/2} \left(\int_0^x t^2 \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx = A \int_0^{\infty} x^{-3p/2} \left(\int_0^x t^2 \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore this completes the proof of Theorem 4.

§ 3. To prove Theorem 3, we collect here various preliminary results which we will need.

Proposition 2 [3]. If $f(x)$ is integrable on $(0, \infty)$, then

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \hat{f}(y) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\infty} f(x) \phi_y(x) dx = 0.$$

Proposition 3 [6]. If $0 < a < 2^n$, then

$$\int_0^{2^n} f(x) dx = \int_0^{2^n} f(a \dot{+} x) dx.$$

Proposition 4 [9]. If the function $\chi_{[0, 2^n)}(x)$ is the characteristic functions of the interval $[0, 2^n)$, then we have

$$\hat{\chi}_{[0, 2^n)}(y) = \int_0^{\infty} \chi_{[0, 2^n)}(x) \phi_y(x) dx = 2^n \chi_{[0, 2^{-n})}(y)$$

where n is every integer.

Proposition 5 [6]. If $F(x) \in L^p(0, \infty)$ ($1 < p \leq 2$), then there is a function $f(y) \in L^q(0, \infty)$ such that

$$f(y) = \underset{\omega \rightarrow \infty}{\text{l. i. m}}^{(q)} \int_0^\omega F(x)\phi_y(x)dx \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_q \leq \|F\|_p$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

The following lemma is of particular importance in the proof of Theorem 3.

Lemma. Suppose that the function $F(x)$ belongs to $L^2(0, \infty)$ and $f(x)$

$= \underset{\omega \rightarrow \infty}{\text{l. i. m}}^{(2)} \int_0^\omega F(y)\phi_x(y)dy$. If the function $g(x)$ is a contraction of a function $f(x)$, then there exists a function $G(x)$ belonging to $L^2(\varepsilon, \infty)$ for any positive number ε such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\text{l. i. m}}^{(2)} \left\{ \int_0^{2^n} g(x)(\phi_j(x) - 1)\phi_y(x)dx \right\} \\ & = G(y)(\phi_j(y) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, this function $G(x)$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$\int_0^t x^2 |G(x)|^2 dx \leq A \left\{ \int_0^t |F(x)|^2 x^2 dx + t^2 \int_t^\infty |F(x)|^2 dx \right\}.$$

Proof. Since $g(x)$ is a contraction of $f(x)$, we have, by Plancherel theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |g(x \dot{+} 2^j) - g(x)|^2 dx & \leq \int_0^\infty |f(x \dot{+} 2^j) - f(x)|^2 dx \\ & = \int_0^\infty |F(x)(\phi_j(x) - 1)|^2 dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$(*) \quad \int_0^\infty |g(x \dot{+} 2^j) - g(x)|^2 dx < \infty \quad \text{for every integer } j.$$

Put

$$G_n(y) = \int_0^{2^n} g(x)\phi_y(x)dx.$$

Then, using proposition 3 for $n > j$, we obtain

$$\int_0^{2^n} g(x \dot{+} 2^j)\phi_y(x)dx = \int_0^{2^n} g(x)\phi_y(x \dot{+} 2^j)dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^{2^n} g(x)\phi_y(x)\phi_y(2^j)dx = \phi_y(2^j) \int_0^{2^n} g(x)\phi_y(x)dx \\
&= \phi_j(y) \int_0^{2^n} g(x)\phi_y(x)dx = \phi_j(y)G_n(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$G_n(y)\{\phi_j(y) - 1\} = \int_0^{2^n} \{g(x \dot{+} 2^j) - g(x)\}\phi_y(x)dx.$$

Therefore, by (*)

$$\text{l. i. m}_{n \rightarrow \infty}^{(2)} \{G_n(y)(\phi_j(y) - 1)\} = \text{l. i. m}_{n \rightarrow \infty}^{(2)} \left\{ \int_0^{2^n} g(x)\phi_y(x)(\phi_j(y) - 1)dx \right\}$$

which we can write as follows

$$\equiv G^{(j)}(y)(\phi_j(y) - 1), \quad \text{say,}$$

where $G^{(j)}(y)$ is defined for almost all y belonging to the set

$$E_j = \{y | k + (2\nu - 1)/2^{j+1} \leq y < k + 2\nu/2^{j+1}; \nu = 1, 2, \dots, 2^j, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Since

$$\phi_i(y) = \phi_j(y) = -1 \quad \text{for } y \in E_i \cap E_j (i, j = 1, 2, \dots),$$

we have clearly

$$G^{(i)}(y) = G^{(j)}(y) \quad \text{for almost all } y \in E_i \cap E_j (i \neq j).$$

As the union of the sets E_j is $(0, \infty)$, let us define the function $G(y)$ on the open interval $(0, \infty)$ according to the following rule :

$$G(y) = G^{(j)}(y) \quad \text{for } y \in E_j (j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Thus $G(y)$ is well-defined almost everywhere in the open interval $(0, \infty)$.

It is clear from the definition of the function $G(y)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{l. i. m}_{n \rightarrow \infty}^{(2)} \left\{ \int_0^{2^n} g(x)(\phi_j(x) - 1)\phi_y(x)dx \right\} &= G(y)(\phi_j(y) - 1), \\
\text{l. i. m}_{n \rightarrow \infty}^{(2)} \left\{ \int_0^{2^n} G(y)(\phi_j(y) - 1)\phi_x(y)dy \right\} &= g(x \dot{+} 2^j) - g(x)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$G(y) \in L^2(\varepsilon, \infty)$ for every positive number ε .

To prove the inequality in the Lemma, the proof proceeds in two steps.

The first step. As the proof of this step is similar to that used by Y. Okuyama [5], we give here a proof for the sake of completeness. Since $g(x)$ is a contraction of $f(x)$ and

$$\text{l. i. m}_{n \rightarrow \infty}^{(2)} \left\{ \int_0^{2^n} F(x)(\phi_j(x) - 1)\phi_y(x) dx \right\} = f(y + 2j) - f(x),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |G(x)(\phi_j(x) - 1)|^2 dx &= \int_0^\infty |g(x + 2j) - g(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty |f(x + 2j) - f(x)|^2 dx = \int_0^\infty |F(x)(\phi_j(x) - 1)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_0^\infty |G(x)(\phi_j(x) - 1)|^2 dx \leq \int_0^\infty |F(x)(\phi_j(x) - 1)|^2 dx.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^{-k-1}}^{2^{-k}} |G(x)(\phi_k(x) - 1)|^2 dx &\leq \int_{2^{-k-1}}^1 |F(x)(\phi_k(x) - 1)|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_1^\infty |F(x)(\phi_k(x) - 1)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of Rademacher function, we have

$$\phi_k(x) = 1 \quad (0 \leq x < 2^{-k-1}), \quad \phi_k(x) = -1 \quad (2^{-k-1} \leq x < 2^{-k}).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^{-k-1}}^{2^{-k}} |G(x)|^2 dx &\leq \int_{2^{-k-1}}^1 |F(x)|^2 dx + \int_1^\infty |F(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k \int_{2^{-i-1}}^{2^{-i}} |F(x)|^2 dx + \int_1^\infty |F(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

If $x = 2^{-k}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2^{-k}} t^2 |G(t)|^2 dt &= \sum_{j=k}^\infty \int_{2^{-j-1}}^{2^{-j}} t^2 |G(t)|^2 dt \leq \sum_{j=k}^\infty 2^{-2j} \int_{2^{-j-1}}^{2^{-j}} |G(t)|^2 dt \\ &\leq A \left\{ \sum_{j=k}^\infty 2^{-2j} \sum_{i=0}^k \int_{2^{-i-1}}^{2^{-i}} |F(t)|^2 dt + \sum_{j=k}^\infty 2^{-2j} \int_1^\infty |F(t)|^2 dt \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq A \left\{ \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} + \sum_{i=k}^j \right) 2^{-2j} \int_{2^{-i-1}}^{2^{-i}} |F(t)|^2 dt + \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} 2^{-2j} \int_1^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt \right\} \\
&\leq A \left\{ 2^{-2k} \int_{2^{-k}}^1 |F(t)|^2 dt + \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} 2^{-2j} \int_{2^{-i-1}}^{2^{-i}} |F(t)|^2 dt + \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} 2^{-2j} \int_1^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt \right\} \\
&\leq A \left\{ 2^{-2k} \int_{2^{-k}}^1 |F(t)|^2 dt + \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-i-1}}^{2^{-i}} t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + 2^{-2k} \int_1^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt \right\} \\
&= A \left\{ \int_0^{2^{-k}} t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + 2^{-2k} \int_{2^{-k}}^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we suppose that

$$2^{-k-1} < x < 2^{-k}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^x t^2 |G(t)|^2 dt &\leq \int_0^{2^{-k}} t^2 |G(t)|^2 dt \\
&\leq A \left\{ \int_0^{2^{-k}} t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + 2^{-2k} \int_{2^{-k}}^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{2^{-k}} t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt &= \int_0^x t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + \int_x^{2^{-k}} t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt \\
&\leq \int_0^x t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + 2^{-2k} \int_x^{2^{-k}} |F(t)|^2 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\int_0^x t^2 |G(t)|^2 dt \leq A \left\{ \int_0^x t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + x^2 \int_x^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt \right\}.$$

Hence the case $0 < x \leq 1$ is proved. We must prove the case $1 \leq x < \infty$.

The second step. Since $g(x)$ is a contraction of $f(x)$, we have clearly

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\infty} |G(t)(\phi_z(t) - 1)|^2 dt &= \int_0^{\infty} |g(t \dot{+} z) - g(t)|^2 dt \\
&\leq \int_0^{\infty} |f(t \dot{+} z) - f(t)|^2 dt = \int_0^{\infty} |F(t)(\psi_z(t) - 1)|^2 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_0^{\infty} |G(t)|^2 (\psi_z(t) - 1)^2 dt \leq \int_0^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 (\phi_z(t) - 1)^2 dt.$$

We integrate both the sides of this inequality with respect to z within the limits $[2^{-k-1}, 2^{-k})$ and we obtain

$$(1) \quad \int_{2^{-k-1}}^{2^{-k}} dz \int_0^\infty |G(t)|^2 (\phi_z(t) - 1)^2 dt \leq \int_{2^{-k-1}}^{2^{-k}} dz \int_0^\infty |F(t)|^2 (\phi_z(t) - 1)^2 dt.$$

Using proposition 4, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^{-k-1}}^{2^{-k}} (\phi_z(t) - 1)^2 dz &= 2 \int_{2^{-k-1}}^{2^{-k}} (1 - \phi_z(t)) dz = 2 \cdot (2^{-k-1} - \int_{2^{-k-1}}^{2^{-k}} \phi_t(z) dz) \\ &= 2 \cdot (2^{-k-1} - \int_0^\infty \chi_{[2^{-k-1}, 2^{-k})}(z) \phi_t(z) dz) = 2 \cdot (2^{-k-1} - \hat{\chi}_{[2^{-k-1}, 2^{-k})}(t)) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq t < 2^k \\ 2^{-k+1}, & 2^k \leq t < 2^{k+1} \\ 2^{-k}, & 2^{k+1} \leq t < \infty. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have by (1)

$$(2) \quad \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} |G(t)|^2 dt \leq A \int_{2^k}^\infty |F(t)|^2 dt = A \left\{ \int_{2^k}^{2^n} |F(t)|^2 dt + \int_{2^n}^\infty |F(t)|^2 dt \right\} \\ = A \left\{ \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} |F(t)|^2 dt + \int_{2^n}^\infty |F(t)|^2 dt \right\}.$$

If $x = 2^n$, then we have

$$\int_0^{2^n} t^2 |G(t)|^2 dt = \int_0^1 t^2 |G(t)|^2 dt + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} t^2 |G(t)|^2 dt = I_1 + I_2, \quad \text{say.}$$

According to the proof of the first step, we obtain

$$(3) \quad I_1 = \int_0^1 t^2 |G(t)|^2 dt \leq A \left\{ \int_0^1 t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + \int_1^\infty |F(t)|^2 dt \right\} \\ \leq A \left\{ \int_0^{2^n} t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + 2^{2n} \int_{2^n}^\infty |F(t)|^2 dt \right\}.$$

On the other hand, we have by (2)

$$I_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} t^2 |G(t)|^2 dt \leq A \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{2k} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} |G(t)|^2 dt \right\} \\ \leq A \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{2k} \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} |F(t)|^2 dt + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} 2^{2k} \int_{2^n}^\infty |F(t)|^2 dt \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq A \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} |F(t)|^2 dt \sum_{k=0}^j 2^{2k} + 2^{2n} \int_{2^n}^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt \right\} \\
(4) \quad &\leq A \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} 2^{2j} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} |F(t)|^2 dt + 2^{2n} \int_{2^n}^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt \right\} \\
&\leq A \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + 2^{2n} \int_{2^n}^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt \right\} \\
&= A \left\{ \int_1^{2^n} t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + 2^{2n} \int_{2^n}^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt \right\} \\
&\leq A \left\{ \int_0^{2^n} t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + 2^{2n} \int_{2^n}^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3) and (4), we have

$$\int_0^{2^n} t^2 |G(t)|^2 dt \leq A \left\{ \int_0^{2^n} t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + 2^{2n} \int_{2^n}^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt \right\}.$$

Finally, we suppose that

$$2^n < x < 2^{n+1}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^x t^2 |G(t)|^2 dt &\leq \int_0^{2^{n+1}} t^2 |G(t)|^2 dt \\
&\leq A \left\{ \int_0^{2^{n+1}} t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + 2^{2(n+1)} \int_{2^{n+1}}^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{2^{n+1}} t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt &= \int_0^x t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + \int_x^{2^{n+1}} t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt \\
&\leq \int_0^x t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + 2^{2(n+1)} \int_x^{2^{n+1}} |F(t)|^2 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^x t^2 |G(t)|^2 dt &\leq A \left\{ \int_0^x t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + 2^{2(n+1)} \int_x^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt \right\} \\
&\leq A \left\{ \int_0^x t^2 |F(t)|^2 dt + x^2 \int_x^{\infty} |F(t)|^2 dt \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

§ 4. **Proof of Theorem 3.** Now we are in position to prove our Theorem. We may suppose that $1 \leq p < 2$. By the assumption of Theorem 3, we have

$$F(x) \in L^2(\varepsilon, \infty) \text{ for every positive number } \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$F(x)(\phi_j(x) - 1) \in L^2(0, \infty) \text{ for every integer } j.$$

Since $g(x)$ is a contraction of $f(x)$, we have, by Plancherel theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty |g(x + 2^j) - g(x)|^2 dx &\leq \int_0^\infty |f(x + 2^j) - f(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty |F(x)(\phi_j(x) - 1)|^2 dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\int_0^\infty |g(x + 2^j) - g(x)|^2 dx < \infty \quad \text{for every integer } j.$$

Therefore, by Lemma, we have a function $G(x)$ which is well-defined almost everywhere on the open interval $(0, \infty)$. Now we shall show that this function $G(x)$ belongs to $L^p(0, \infty)$.

We put

$$\varphi_p(x) = \int_0^x |t|^p |G(t)|^p dt.$$

By Hölder's inequality and the lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_p(x) &\leq x^{1-p/2} \left(\int_0^x |t|^2 |G(t)|^2 dt \right)^{p/2} \\ &\leq Ax^{1-p/2} \left\{ \int_0^x |F(t)|^2 t^2 dt + x^2 \int_x^\infty |F(t)|^2 dt \right\}^{p/2} \\ (5) \quad &\leq Ax^{1-p/2} \left\{ \int_0^x \gamma^2(t) t^2 dt + x^2 \int_x^\infty \gamma^2(t) dt \right\}^{p/2}, \text{ by (i)} \\ &\leq Ax^{1-p/2} \left(\int_0^x \gamma^2(t) t^2 dt \right)^{p/2} + Ax^{1+p/2} \left(\int_x^\infty \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} \end{aligned}$$

by Jensen's inequality.

By the assumption (iii) and Theorem 4, for each $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ we obtain

$$A \geq \int_\alpha^{2\alpha} x^{-3p/2} \left(\int_0^x t^2 \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \int_{\alpha}^{2\alpha} x^{-3p/2} dx \left(\int_0^{\alpha} t^2 \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} \\ &= A_p \alpha^{1-3p/2} \left(\int_0^{\alpha} t^2 \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(6) \quad \left(\int_0^x t^2 \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} = O(x^{3p/2-1}).$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} A &\geq \int_{\alpha/2}^{\alpha} x^{-p/2} \left(\int_x^{\infty} \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx \\ &\geq \int_{\alpha/2}^{\alpha} x^{-p/2} dx \left(\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} \\ &= A_p \alpha^{1-p/2} \left(\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence we have

$$(7) \quad \left(\int_x^{\infty} \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} = O(x^{p/2-1}).$$

Combining (6) and (7), we have

$$(8) \quad \varphi_p(x) = O(x^p).$$

Now we can show that this function $G(x)$ belongs to $L^p(0, \infty)$; that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} |G(x)|^p dx &= [x^{-p} \varphi_p(x)]_0^{\infty} + \int_0^{\infty} x^{-p-1} \varphi_p(x) dx \\ &= K_1 + K_2, \quad \text{say} \end{aligned}$$

where K_1 is $O(1)$ by (8) and K_2 is, by (5), less than

$$\begin{aligned} &A \int_0^{\infty} x^{-p-1} \cdot x^{1-p/2} \left\{ \int_0^x \gamma^2(t) t^2 dt + x^2 \int_x^{\infty} \gamma^2(t) dt \right\}^{p/2} dx \\ &\leq A \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} x^{-3p/2} \left(\int_0^x t^2 \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx + \int_0^{\infty} x^{-p/2} \left(\int_x^{\infty} \gamma^2(t) dt \right)^{p/2} dx \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which is finite because of the assumption (iii) and Theorem 4.

Therefore we see that $G(x)$ belongs to $L^p(0, \infty)$.

Using proposition 5 and the definition of Walsh-Fourier transform, we define the Walsh-Fourier transform $g^*(y)$ of $G(x)$ in the following way respectively:

$$g^*(y) = \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\text{l. i. m}}^{(q)} \int_0^{2^n} G(x) \phi_y(x) dx, \quad \text{for } 1 < p < 2$$

and

$$g^*(y) = \int_0^\infty G(x) \phi_y(x) dx, \quad \text{for } p = 1.$$

Since $G(x)(\phi_j(x) - 1) \in L^2(0, \infty)$, we have

$$g^*(y \dot{+} 2^j) - g^*(y) = \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\text{l. i. m}}^{(2)} \int_0^{2^n} G(x)(\phi_j(x) - 1) \phi_y(x) dx.$$

On the other hand, we get, by Plancherel theorem

$$g(y \dot{+} 2^j) - g(y) = \underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\text{l. i. m}}^{(2)} \int_0^{2^n} G(x)(\phi_j(x) - 1) \phi_y(x) dx.$$

Hence we obtain

$$g^*(y \dot{+} 2^j) - g^*(y) = g(y \dot{+} 2^j) - g(y) \text{ a. e.}$$

For the case $p = 1$, by proposition 2, we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} g^*(y \dot{+} 2^j) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} g(y \dot{+} 2^j) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$g^*(y) = g(y) \text{ a. e.}$$

For the case $1 < p < 2$, we have to recall the facts that

$$g(y) \in L^q(A, \infty) \quad \text{and} \quad g^*(y) \in L^q(0, \infty).$$

Take any finite interval (a, b) . If $y \in (a, b)$, then $y \dot{+} 2^j \in (2^j - b, 2^j + b)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b |g(y) - g^*(y)|^q dy &= \int_a^b |g(y \dot{+} 2^j) - g^*(y \dot{+} 2^j)|^q dy \\ &\leq \int_{2^j - b}^{2^j + b} |g(y) - g^*(y)|^q dy \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore we have

$$g(y) - g^*(y) = 0 \text{ a. e.}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 3.

References

- [1] R. P. Boas, Beurling's test for absolute convergence of Fourier series, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 66 (1960), 24-27.
- [2] N. J. Fine, On the Walsh functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 65 (1949), 372-414.
- [3] N. J. Fine, The generalized Walsh functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 69 (1950), 66-77.
- [4] M. Kinukawa, Contraction of Fourier coefficients and Fourier integrals, Jour. d'Analyse Math., 8 (1960/1961), 377-406.
- [5] Y. Okuyama, On contraction of Walsh Fourier series, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 19 (1967), 156-167.
- [6] R. G. Selfridge, Generalized Walsh transforms, Pacific J. Math., 5 (1955) 450-480.
- [7] G. Sunouchi, On the convolution algebra of Beurling, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 19 (1967), 303-310.
- [8] C. Watari, Contraction of Walsh Fourier series, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 189-192.
- [9] C. Watari, On Walsh-Fourier transforms (in Japanese), Proceedings of Symposium on Real Analysis and Functional Analysis, 1970.