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#### Abstract

In this paper, we will prove that in a compact almost Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$, any conformal Killing vector field is Killing if $n \geq 4$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold. We denote respectively by $g_{i j}$ and $\nabla_{j}$ the metric and the covariant derivative in terms of local coordinates $\left\{x^{i}\right\}$, where Latin indices run over the range $\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$. A conformal Killing vector field $u^{i}$ in $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{k} u_{j}+\nabla_{j} u_{k}=2 \rho g_{k j}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{i}=g_{i r} u^{r}$ and $\rho$ is a scalar function, called the associated scalar of $u^{i}$. If $\rho$ vanishes identically, then the vector field is called Killing.

Also, a conformal Killing vector field is Killing in a compact Kählerian manifold [3]. In a Sasakian manifold, any conformal Killing vector field is uniquely decomposed into the summation of Killing and closed conformal Killing [2].

In [1], Y. Ogawa has studied differential operators in a almost Kählerian manifold. Using of the operators of the almost Kählerian manifold, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem. In a compact almost Kählerian manifold $M^{n}$, any conformal Killing vector field ( $n \geq 4$ ) is Killing.
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## 2. Preliminaries

We represent tensors by their components with respect to the natural basis and use the summation convention. For a differential $p$-form

$$
u=\frac{1}{p!} u_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}} d x^{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x^{i_{p}}
$$

with skew symmetric coefficients $u_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}$, the coefficients of its exterior differential $d u$ and the exterior codifferential $\delta u$ are given by

$$
(d u)_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p+1}}=\sum_{a=1}^{p+1}(-1)^{a+1} \nabla_{i_{a}} u_{i_{1} \cdots \hat{i_{a} \cdots i_{p+1}}} \text { and }(\delta u)_{i_{2} \cdots i_{p}}=-\nabla^{h} u_{h i_{2} \cdots i_{p}}
$$

where $\nabla^{h}=g^{h j} \nabla_{j}$ and $\widehat{i_{a}}$ means $i_{a}$ to be deleted.
We consider an almost Hermitian manifold $M^{n}(n=2 m)$ with positive definite metric $g_{j i}$ and almost complex structure $\phi_{j}{ }^{i}$. We put $\phi_{j i}=\phi_{j}^{r} g_{r i}$. An almost Hermitian manifold is called almost Kählerian if the 2 -form $\phi_{j i}$ is closed. We want to recall some operators for differential forms in the almost Kählerian manifold. Denote by $\mathcal{F}^{p}$ the set of all $p$-forms. The operators $\Gamma, \gamma: \mathcal{F}^{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{p+1}, C, c, \vartheta: \mathcal{F}^{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{p-1}$ and $\Phi: \mathcal{F}^{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{p}$ are defined respectively by

$$
\begin{gathered}
(\Gamma u)_{i_{0} \cdots i_{p}}=\sum_{a=0}^{p}(-1)^{a} \phi_{i_{a}}{ }^{r} \nabla_{r} u_{i_{0} \cdots \hat{i}_{a} \cdots i_{p}}, \\
(\gamma u)_{i_{0} \cdots i_{p}}=\sum_{a \neq b}(-1)^{a} \nabla_{i_{a}} \phi_{i_{b}}{ }^{r} \cdot u_{i_{0} \cdots \widehat{i_{a} \cdots r \cdots i_{p}}}, \\
(C u)_{i_{2} \cdots i_{p}}=\phi^{r s} \nabla_{r} u_{s i_{2} \cdots i_{p}},(c u)_{i_{2} \cdots i_{p}}=\sum_{a=2}^{p} \nabla^{r} \phi_{i_{a}}^{s} \cdot u_{r i_{2} \cdots s \cdots i_{p}}, \\
(\vartheta u)_{i_{2} \cdots i_{p}}=\sum_{a=2}^{p} \phi_{i_{a}}{ }^{r} \nabla^{s} \phi_{r}{ }^{t} \cdot u_{t i_{2} \cdots s \cdots i_{p}}, \\
(\Phi u)_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}=\sum_{a=1}^{p} \phi_{i_{a}}{ }^{r} u_{i_{1} \cdots r \cdots i_{p}}
\end{gathered}
$$

for any $p$-form $u$, where we put $\phi^{j i}=g^{r j} \phi_{r}{ }^{i}$. For any 0 -form $u_{0}$ and 1form $u_{1}$, we define $\gamma u_{0}=C u_{0}=c u_{0}=\vartheta u_{0}=\Phi u_{0}=0$ and $c u_{1}=\vartheta u_{1}=$ 0 . In the almost Kählerian manifold, we know $* \Gamma *=-C, * \gamma *=-c$ and $* \Phi *=(-1)^{p} \Phi$ for any $p$-form, where $*$ means the dual mapping [1].

We denote by $L$ (resp. $\Lambda$ ) the exterior (resp. interior) product with the associated 2-form $\phi$, then the operators $L: \mathcal{F}^{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{p+2}$ and $\Lambda$ :
$\mathcal{F}^{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{p-2}$ are written by $L u=\phi \wedge u$ and $\Lambda u=(-1)^{p} * L * u$ for any $p$-form $u . \Lambda$ is trivial on 0 and 1-forms. These local expressions are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(L u)_{k j i_{1} \cdots i_{p}}= & \phi_{k j} u_{i_{1} \cdots i_{p}} \\
& -\sum_{a=1}^{p} \phi_{i_{a j}} u_{i_{1} \cdots k \cdots i_{p}} \\
& -\sum_{b=1}^{p} \phi_{k i_{b}} u_{i_{1} \cdots j \cdots i_{p}} \\
& +\sum_{a<b} \phi_{i_{a} i_{b}} u_{i_{1} \cdots k \cdots j \cdots i_{p}}, \\
(\Lambda u)_{i_{3} \cdots i_{p}}= & \frac{1}{2} \phi^{r s} u_{r s i_{3} \cdots i_{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the operators above, we find from [1]:
(2.1) $(d \Lambda-\Lambda d) u=-(C+c) u$,
2.2) $(d L-L d) u=0$,
(2.3) $(\Gamma \Lambda-\Lambda \Gamma) u=(\delta-\vartheta) u$,
(2.4) $(\gamma \Lambda-\Lambda \gamma) u=\vartheta u$,
(2.5) $(\Lambda L-L \Lambda) u=(m-p) u$,
(2.6) $(\delta L-L \delta) u=(\Gamma+\gamma) u$.

Moreover in a compact almost Kählerian manifold, it follows from [1] that for a $p$-form $u$ and a $(p+1)$-form $v$
$(2.7)(\Gamma u, v)=(u, C v)$,
$(2.8)(\gamma u, v)=(u, c v)$,
where (, ) denotes the global inner product.

## 3. Proof of theorem

From (1.1), we find $\delta u=-n \rho$. Operating $\phi_{h}{ }^{k}$ to (1.1), we obtain $(\Gamma u)_{h j}-(d \Phi u)_{h j}+(\gamma u)_{h j}=4(L \rho)_{h j}$. We will use the similar arrangements of indices without any special notice. This equation may be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(\Gamma u-d \Phi u+\gamma u)+4 L \delta u=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we operate $\Lambda d$ to (3.1) and regard to (2.1) $\sim(2.5)$, then we have

$$
(n-4) d \delta u+n(C \Gamma u+c \Gamma u+C \gamma u+c \gamma u)=0,
$$

which denotes that $(n-4)(\delta u, \delta u)+n(\Gamma u+\gamma u, \Gamma u+\gamma u)=0$ from (2.7) and (2.8). Thus we find $\delta u=0(n \geq 6)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma u+\gamma u=0(n \geq 4) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.1) and owing to (2.6) and (3.2), we get $n d \Phi u-$ $4 \delta L u=0$. Applying $\delta$ to this, we find $\delta d \Phi u=0$, namely $d \Phi u=0(n \geq$ 4). From (3.1) we obtain $L \delta u=0$, which means that $\delta u=0(n \geq 4)$, that is $\rho=0(n \geq 4)$. Consequently, we complete the proof of Theorem.
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