

Sasakian manifolds with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor

E-S. CHOI (KYUNGSAN)*; U-H. KI (TAEGU)* AND K. TAKANO (NAGANO)

1 Introduction

As a complex analogue to the Weyl conformal curvature tensor, Bochner and Yano [1], [15] (See also, Tachibana [13]) introduced a Bochner curvature tensor in a Kählerian manifold. Many subjects for vanishing Bochner curvature tensors with constant scalar curvature have been studied by Ki and Kim [6], Kubo [8], Matsumoto [9], Matsumoto and Tanno [11], Yano and Ishihara [16] and so on. One of those, done by Ki and Kim, asserts that the following theorem:

THEOREM A ([6]) *Let M be a Kählerian manifold with vanishing Bochner curvature tensor. Then the scalar curvature is constant if and only if $\text{Tr Ric}^{(m)}$ is constant for a positive integer $m (\geq 2)$.*

In a Sasakian manifold, a C-Bochner curvature tensor is constructed from the Bochner curvature tensor in a Kählerian manifold by the fibering of Boothby-Wang. Recently, the Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor and the constant scalar curvature is studied, and in [12], the following theorem was proved

THEOREM B *Let $M^n (n \geq 5)$ be a Sasakian manifold with constant scalar curvature whose C-Bochner curvature tensor vanishes. If the Ricci tensor is positive semi-definite, then M is a space of constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature.*

Also, when M is compact, the following theorems were proved:

THEOREM C ([4]) *Let $M^n (n \geq 5)$ be a compact Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor. If the length of the Ricci tensor is constant and the length of the η -Einstein tensor is less than $\frac{\sqrt{2}(R-n+1)}{\sqrt{(n-1)(n-3)}}$, then M is a space of constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature.*

THEOREM D ([10]) *Let $M^n (n \geq 5)$ be a compact Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor and the constant scalar curvature. If the smallest Ricci curvature greater than -2 , then M is a space of constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature.*

We shall prove Theorem A as a Sasakian analogue in §3. Moreover in §4 we shall discuss when the smallest Ricci curvature is greater than or equal to -2 in a Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor and $\text{Tr Ric}^{(m)}$ is constant for a positive integer m .

*Supported by TGRC-KOSEF.

2 Preliminaries

Let M be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold. Throughout this paper, we assume that manifolds are connected and of class C^∞ . Denoting respectively by g_{ji} , $R_{kji}{}^h$, $R_{ji} = R_{rji}{}^r$ and R the metric tensor, the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature of M in terms of local coordinates $\{x^h\}$, where Latin indices run over the range $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

An $n (= 2l + 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold is called a Sasakian manifold if there exists a unit Killing vector field ξ^h satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \eta_i = g_{ir}\xi^r, & \phi_{ji} = \nabla_j\eta_i, & \phi_{ji} + \phi_{ij} = 0, & \phi_r{}^h\xi^r = 0, & \phi_j{}^r\eta_r = 0, \\ \phi_i{}^r\phi_r{}^h = -\delta_i{}^h + \eta_i\xi^h, & \nabla_k\phi_{ji} = -g_{kj}\eta_i + g_{ki}\eta_j, \end{cases}$$

where ∇ denotes the operator of the Riemannian covariant derivative.

It is well known that in a Sasakian manifold the following equations hold:

$$(2.2) \quad R_{jr}\xi^r = (n-1)\eta_j,$$

$$(2.3) \quad H_{ji} + H_{ij} = 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad R_{ji} = R_{rs}\phi_j{}^r\phi_i{}^s + (n-1)\eta_j\eta_i,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla_k R_{ji} - \nabla_j R_{ki} = (\nabla_t R_{kr})\phi_j{}^r\phi_i{}^t - \eta_j\{H_{ki} - (n-1)\phi_{ki}\} - 2\eta_i\{H_{kj} - (n-1)\phi_{kj}\},$$

$$(2.6) \quad \nabla_k R_{ji} - (\nabla_k R_{rs})\phi_j{}^r\phi_i{}^s = -\eta_i\{H_{kj} - (n-1)\phi_{kj}\} - \eta_j\{H_{ki} - (n-1)\phi_{ki}\},$$

$$(2.7) \quad \xi^r\nabla_r R_{kji}{}^h = 0,$$

where we put $H_{ji} = \phi_j{}^r R_{ri}$.

We denote a tensor field $\text{Ric}^{(m)}$ with components $R_{ji}^{(m)}$ and a function $R_{(m)}$ as follows:

$$R_{ji}^{(m)} = R_{ji_1} R_{i_1 i_2}{}^{i_1} \dots R_{i_{m-1} i_m}{}^{i_{m-1}}, \quad R_{(m)} = \text{Tr Ric}^{(m)} = g^{ji} R_{ji}^{(m)}.$$

Then, from (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$(2.8) \quad R_{jr}^{(m)}\xi^r = (n-1)^m\eta_j,$$

$$(2.9) \quad R_{jr}^{(m)}\phi_i{}^r + R_{ir}^{(m)}\phi_j{}^r = 0.$$

Also, we define the η -Einstein tensor T_{ji} by

$$(2.10) \quad T_{ji} = R_{ji} - \left(\frac{R}{n-1} - 1\right)g_{ji} + \left(\frac{R}{n-1} - n\right)\eta_j\eta_i.$$

If the η -Einstein tensor vanishes, then M is called an η -Einstein manifold. From (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$(2.11) \quad \text{Tr } T = 0,$$

$$(2.12) \quad T_{jr}\xi^r = 0,$$

$$(2.13) \quad T_{jr}\phi_i{}^r + T_{ir}\phi_j{}^r = 0.$$

A Sasakian manifold M is called a space of constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature c if the curvature tensor of M has the form:

$$R_{kji}{}^h = \frac{c+3}{4}(g_{ji}\delta_k^h - g_{ki}\delta_j^h) + \frac{c-1}{4}(g_{ki}\eta_j\xi^h - g_{ji}\eta_k\xi^h + \eta_k\eta_i\delta_j^h - \eta_j\eta_i\delta_k^h - \phi_{ki}\phi_j^h + \phi_{ji}\phi_k^h - 2\phi_{kj}\phi_i^h).$$

Matsumoto and Chūman ([10]) introduced the C-Bochner curvature tensor $B_{kji}{}^h$ defined by

$$(2.14) \quad B_{kji}{}^h = R_{kji}{}^h + \frac{1}{n+3}(R_{ki}\delta_j^h - R_{ji}\delta_k^h + g_{ki}R_j^h - g_{ji}R_k^h + H_{ki}\phi_j^h - H_{ji}\phi_k^h + \phi_{ki}H_j^h - \phi_{ji}H_k^h + 2H_{kj}\phi_i^h + 2\phi_{kj}H_i^h - R_{ki}\eta_j\xi^h + R_{ji}\eta_k\xi^h - \eta_k\eta_iR_j^h + \eta_j\eta_iR_k^h) - \frac{k+n-1}{n+3}(\phi_{ki}\phi_j^h - \phi_{ji}\phi_k^h + 2\phi_{kj}\phi_i^h) - \frac{k-4}{n+3}(g_{ki}\delta_j^h - g_{ji}\delta_k^h) + \frac{k}{n+3}(g_{ki}\eta_j\xi^h - g_{ji}\eta_k\xi^h + \eta_k\eta_i\delta_j^h - \eta_j\eta_i\delta_k^h),$$

where $k = \frac{R+n-1}{n+1}$. It is well-known that if a Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor is an η -Einstein manifold, then it is a space of constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature.

3 A Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor.

Let M^n ($n \geq 5$) be a Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor. By a straitforward computation, we can prove

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{n+3}{n-1}\nabla_r B_{kji}{}^r = \nabla_k R_{ji} - \nabla_j R_{ki} - \eta_k\{H_{ji} - (n-1)\phi_{ji}\} + \eta_j\{H_{ki} - (n-1)\phi_{ki}\} + 2\eta_i\{H_{kj} - (n-1)\phi_{kj}\} + \frac{1}{2(n+1)}\{(g_{ki} - \eta_k\eta_i)\delta_j^r - (g_{ji} - \eta_j\eta_i)\delta_k^r + \phi_{ki}\phi_j^r - \phi_{ji}\phi_k^r + 2\phi_{kj}\phi_i^r\}R_r,$$

where we put $R_j = \nabla_j R$.

By virtue of (2.1), (2.2), (2.5) - (2.7) and (3.1), we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \nabla_k R_{ji} = \{R_{kr} - (n-1)g_{kr}\}(\phi_j^r \eta_i + \phi_i^r \eta_j) + \frac{1}{2(n+1)}\{2R_k(g_{ji} - \eta_j\eta_i) + R_j(g_{ki} - \eta_k\eta_i) + R_i(g_{kj} - \eta_k\eta_j) - \phi_{kj}\phi_i^r R_r - \phi_{ki}\phi_j^r R_r\}$$

and consequently from (2.7), we find

$$(3.3) \quad (n+1)(\nabla_k R_{ji})R^j R^i = 2\lambda^2 R_k,$$

where we put $\lambda^2 = R_r R^r$.

The following lemma is needed for the later use.

LEMMA 3.1 *Let M^n ($n \geq 5$) be a Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor. Then $R_{jr}^{(m)} R^r = 0$ holds for a positive integer m if and only if the scalar curvature R is constant.*

Proof. If $R_{jr}^{(m)} R^r = 0$ holds, then we get $R_{jr}^{(2m-2)} R^r = 0$ which implies that $|R_{jr}^{(m-1)} R^r|^2 = 0$. Accordingly, we obtain $R_{jr}^{(m-1)} R^r = 0$. By the inductive method, we get $R_{jr} R^r = 0$. Operating ∇_k to this, we find $(\nabla_k R_{jr}) R^j R^r = 0$. By means of (3.3), we see that the scalar curvature R is constant. The converse is trivial.

For the sake of brevity, we shall define a function $\alpha(m)$ as follows:

$$\alpha(m) = R_{ji}^{(m)} R^j R^i.$$

Then, it is clear from (3.2) that

$$(3.4) 2(n+1)(\nabla_k R_{ji}) R^j (R^{ir(m)} R_r) = \lambda^2 R_{kr}^{(m)} R^r + 3\alpha(m) R_k,$$

$$(3.5) 2(n+1)(\nabla_k R_{ji})(R^{jr(\ell)} R_r)(R^{is(m)} R_s) = \alpha(\ell) R_{kr}^{(m)} R^r + \alpha(m) R_{kr}^{(\ell)} R^r + 2\alpha(\ell+m) R_k,$$

where we have used (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9).

Operating $R^{ji(m)}$ to (3.2) and owing to (2.1), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9), we find

$$(3.6) (n+1)\nabla_k R_{(m+1)} = (m+1)[2R_{kr}^{(m)} R^r + \{R_{(m)} - (n-1)^m\} R_k].$$

Therefore, if the scalar curvature R is constant, then $R_{(m)}$ is constant for any integer $m (\geq 2)$.

Now, we shall prove that the scalar curvature R is constant if $R_{(m)}$ is constant for any fixed integer $m (\geq 2)$.

At first, suppose that $R_{(2\ell+3)}$ ($\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) is constant. Then, from (3.6), we can get

$$2R_{kr}^{(2\ell+2)} R^r + \{R_{(2\ell+2)} - (n-1)^{2\ell+2}\} R_k = 0,$$

which yields that $2\alpha(2\ell+2) + \lambda^2 \{R_{(2\ell+2)} - (n-1)^{2\ell+2}\} = 0$, that is,

$$2|R_{jr}^{(\ell+1)} R^r|^2 + \lambda^2 |R_{ji}^{(\ell+1)} - (n-1)^{\ell+1} \eta_j \eta_i|^2 = 0.$$

Thus, from Lemma 3.1, the scalar curvature R is constant.

In the next place, we shall consider when $R_{(2\ell+2)}$ ($\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) is constant. From (3.6), we have

$$(3.7) 2R_{jr}^{(2\ell+1)} R^r + \{R_{(2\ell+1)} - (n-1)^{2\ell+1}\} R_j = 0.$$

Operating ∇_k to this and owing to (3.7), we get

$$(3.8) 2(\nabla_k R_{jr}^{(2\ell+1)}) R^j R^r + \lambda^2 \nabla_k R_{(2\ell+1)} = 0.$$

From (3.3) and (3.8), we find the scalar curvature R is constant if $\ell = 0$. Because of (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), equation (3.8) is rewritten as follows:

$$(3.9) 4(\ell+1)\lambda^2 R_{kr}^{(2\ell)} R^r + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{2\ell-1} \alpha(i) R_{kr}^{(2\ell-i)} R^r + 4(\ell+1)\alpha(2\ell) R_k + (2\ell+1)\lambda^2 |R_{ji}^{(\ell)} - (n-1)^\ell \eta_j \eta_i|^2 R_k = 0.$$

By virtue of (3.9) and Lemma 3.1, it is clear that the scalar curvature R is constant if $\ell = 1$.

On the other hand, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lambda^6 \alpha(2\ell) + 2\lambda^4 \alpha(s) \alpha(2\ell - s) + \lambda^4 \alpha(2s) \alpha(2\ell - 2s) \\ & = \lambda^2 |\lambda^2 R_{jr}^{(\ell)} R^r + \alpha(s) R_{jr}^{(\ell-s)} R^r|^2 + \alpha(2\ell - 2s) |\lambda^2 R_{jr}^{(s)} R^r - \alpha(s) R_j|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Because of (3.9) and (3.10), it is to see that the following equations hold:

if $\ell = 2, 6, 10, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (7\ell + 8) \lambda^6 \alpha(2\ell) + (2\ell + 1) \lambda^8 |R_{ji}^{(\ell)} - (n-1)^\ell \eta_j \eta_i|^2 \\ & + 4\lambda^4 \sum_{i=1}^{(\ell-2)/4} \alpha(4i) \alpha(2\ell - 4i) \\ & + 2\lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\ell/2} |\lambda^2 R_{js}^{(\ell)} R^s + \alpha(2i-1) R_{js}^{(\ell-2i+1)} R^s|^2 \\ & + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\ell/2} \alpha(2\ell - 4i + 2) |\lambda^2 R_{js}^{(2i-1)} R^s - \alpha(2i-1) R_j|^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

if $\ell = 4, 8, 12, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (7\ell + 8) \lambda^6 \alpha(2\ell) + (2\ell + 1) \lambda^8 |R_{ji}^{(\ell)} - (n-1)^\ell \eta_j \eta_i|^2 \\ & + 4\lambda^4 \sum_{i=1}^{(\ell-4)/4} \alpha(4i) \alpha(2\ell - 4i) + 2\lambda^4 \alpha(\ell)^2 \\ & + 2\lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^{\ell/2} |\lambda^2 R_{js}^{(\ell)} R^s + \alpha(2i-1) R_{js}^{(\ell-2i+1)} R^s|^2 \\ & + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{\ell/2} \alpha(2\ell - 4i + 2) |\lambda^2 R_{js}^{(2i-1)} R^s - \alpha(2i-1) R_j|^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and if $\ell = 3, 5, 7, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (7\ell + 9) \lambda^6 \alpha(2\ell) + (2\ell + 1) \lambda^8 |R_{ji}^{(\ell)} - (n-1)^\ell \eta_j \eta_i|^2 \\ & + 2\lambda^4 \sum_{i=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} \alpha(2i) \alpha(2\ell - 2i) + 2\lambda^4 \alpha(\ell)^2 \\ & + 2\lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} |\lambda^2 R_{js}^{(\ell)} R^s + \alpha(2i-1) R_{js}^{(\ell-2i+1)} R^s|^2 \\ & + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{(\ell-1)/2} \alpha(2\ell - 4i + 2) |\lambda^2 R_{js}^{(2i-1)} R^s - \alpha(2i-1) R_j|^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we find from Lemma 3.1 that the scalar curvature R is constant if $R_{(2\ell+2)}$ ($\ell = 2, 3, 4, \dots$) is constant. Hence, we have

THEOREM 3.2 *Let M^n ($n \geq 5$) be a Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor. Then the scalar curvature R is constant if and only if $\text{Tr Ric}^{(m)}$ is constant for an integer $m (\geq 2)$.*

REMARK. In the proof of Theorem 3.2, we use only equation (3.1). Thus Theorem 3.2 is valid for the parallel C-Bochner curvature tensor.

Also, we have from Theorems B and 3.2

THEOREM 3.3 *Let M^n ($n \geq 5$) be a Sasakian manifold whose C-Bochner curvature tensor vanishes. If the Ricci tensor is positive semi-definite and $\text{Tr Ric}^{(m)}$ is constant for a positive integer m , then M is a space of constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature.*

Furthermore, it is easy to see from the proof of Theorem C and Theorem 3.2 that the following theorem hold:

THEOREM 3.4 *Let M^n ($n \geq 5$) be a Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor. If $\text{Tr Ric}^{(m)}$ is constant for a positive integer m and the length of the η -Einstein tensor is less than $\frac{\sqrt{2}(R-n+1)}{\sqrt{(n-1)(n-3)}}$, then M is a space of constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature.*

4 The smallest Ricci curvature.

Let M be an n (≥ 5)-dimensional Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor. Suppose that $R_{(m)}$ is constant for any positive integer m . By Theorem 3.2, equation (3.2) is reduced to

$$(4.1) \quad \nabla_k R_{ji} = \{R_{kr} - (n-1)g_{kr}\}(\phi_j^r \eta_i + \phi_i^r \eta_j),$$

which implies $\nabla_k R_{ji} + \nabla_j R_{ik} + \nabla_i R_{kj} = 0$, namely, the Ricci tensor is cyclic parallel. Therefore, using the Ricci formula, we find

$$\nabla^k \nabla_k R_{ji} = 2(R_{rjis} R^{rs} - R_{ji}^{(2)}).$$

Applying ∇^k to (4.1) and owing to (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$\nabla^k \nabla_k R_{ji} = -2[R_{ji} - (n-1)g_{ji} - \{R - n(n-1)\}\eta_j \eta_i].$$

On the other hand, by virtue of (2.1) - (2.4) and (2.14), it is clear that the following equation holds:

$$(n+3)R_{rjis} R^{rs} = 4R_{ji}^{(2)} - (4n - R + 2k)R_{ji} + \{R_{(2)} - (k-4)R + (n-1)k\}g_{ji} \\ - \{R_{(2)} + (n-1)^2 - (n-1)k - kR\}\eta_j \eta_i.$$

From the last three equations, we have

$$(4.2) \quad R_{ji}^{(2)} = \beta R_{ji} + \gamma g_{ji} + \{(n-1)^2 - (n-1)\beta - \gamma\}\eta_j \eta_i,$$

where constants β and γ are given by

$$(4.3) \quad (n+1)\beta = R - 3n - 5,$$

$$(4.4) \quad (n-1)\gamma = R_{(2)} - \frac{1}{n+1}R^2 + 4R - \frac{n-1}{n+1}(n^2 + 3n + 4).$$

Thus, equation (4.2) tells us that M has at most three constant Ricci curvatures $n-1$, x_1 and x_2 , where we have put

$$(4.5) \quad x_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\beta - \sqrt{D}), \quad x_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \sqrt{D}), \quad D = \beta^2 + 4\gamma (\geq 0),$$

moreover, the multiplicities of x_1 and x_2 denote by s and $n - 1 - s$, respectively. Therefore we have (cf. [7])

LEMMA 4.1 *Let M^n ($n \geq 5$) be a Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor such that $\text{Tr Ric}^{(m)}$ is constant for a positive integer m . Then M has at most three constant Ricci curvatures.*

Now, we shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.2 *Let M^n ($n \geq 5$) be a Sasakian manifold with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor such that $\text{Tr Ric}^{(m)}$ is constant for a positive integer m . If the smallest Ricci curvature is greater than or equal to -2 , then M is a space of constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature -3 .*

Proof. By means of (4.3), (4.5) and Lemma 4.1, we find

$$(4.6) \quad R + n - 1 = \frac{n+1}{n+3}(n-1-2s)\sqrt{D}.$$

Because of (4.3), (4.4) and (4.6), we have

$$\frac{n-1}{4} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{n-1-2s}{n+3} \right)^2 \right\} D = R_{(2)} - \frac{1}{n+1} \{ R^2 - 2(n+3)R + (n-1)^2(n+2) \},$$

which yields that

$$(4.7) \quad (n+1)R_{(2)} \geq R^2 - 2(n+3)R + (n-1)^2(n+2).$$

Let x_1 be the smallest Ricci curvature. Then, by virtue of (4.5), we obtain $\gamma \leq 2\beta + 4$ which means from (4.4) that

$$(n+1)R_{(2)} \leq R^2 - 2(n+3)R + (n-1)^2(n+2).$$

Combining this with (4.7), we get D vanishes identically, which implies that equation (4.6) gives $R = -n + 1$. We find $|R_{ji} + 2g_{ji} - (n+1)\eta_j\eta_i|^2 = 0$ which yields that M is an η -Einstein manifold. Thus, it is easy to see from (2.14) that M is of constant ϕ -holomorphic sectional curvature -3 .

REMARK. In [10], this theorem was proved under the condition that M is compact.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. BOCHNER, Curvatures and Betti numbers, II, *Annals of Math.*, **50** (1949), 77–93.
- [2] W. M. BOOTHBY AND H. C. WANG, On contact manifolds, *Annals of Math.*, **68** (1958), 721–734.
- [3] I. HASEGAWA, Sasakian manifolds with η -parallel contact Bochner curvature tensor, *J. Hokkaido Univ. Ed. Sect. II A.* **29** (1979), 1–5.
- [4] I. HASEGAWA AND T. NAKANE, On Sasakian manifolds with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **9** (1980), 184–189.
- [5] _____, On Sasakian manifolds with vanishing contact Bochner curvature tensor II, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **11** (1982), 44–51.

- [6] U-H. KI AND B. H. KIM, Manifolds with Kaehler-Bochner metric, *Kyungpook Math. J.* **32** (1992), 285–290.
- [7] U-H. KI AND H. S. KIM, Sasakian manifolds whose C-Bochner curvature tensor vanishes, *Tensor, N. S.* **49** (1990), 32–39.
- [8] Y. KUBO, Kaehlerian manifolds with vanishing Bochner curvature tensor, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **28** (1976), 85–89.
- [9] M. MATSUMOTO, On Kählerian space with parallel or vanishing Bochner curvature tensor, *Tensor N. S.* **20** (1969), 25–28.
- [10] M. MATSUMOTO AND G. CHŪMAN, On the C-Bochner curvature tensor, *TRU Math.* **5** (1969), 21–30.
- [11] M. MATSUMOTO AND S. TANNO, Kählerian spaces with parallel or vanishing Bochner curvature tensor, *Tensor N. S.* **27** (1973), 291–294.
- [12] J. S. PAK, A note on Sasakian manifolds with vanishing C-Bochner curvature tensor, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **28** (1976), 19–27.
- [13] S. TACHIBANA, On the Bochner curvature tensor, *Nat. Scie. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ.* **18** (1967), 15–19.
- [14] Y. TASHIRO AND S. TACHIBANA, On Fubinian and C-Fubinian manifolds, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **15** (1963), 176–183.
- [15] K. YANO AND S. BOCHNER, Curvature and Betti numbers, *Annals of Math. Stud.* **32** 1953.
- [16] K. YANO AND S. ISHIHARA, Kaehlerian manifolds with constant scalar curvature whose Bochner curvature tensor vanishes, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **3** (1974), 294–304.

YEUNGNAM UNIVERSITY
 KYUNGSAN 712-749
 KOREA

TOPOLOGY AND GEOMETRY RESEARCH CENTER
 KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
 TAEGU 702-701
 KOREA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
 SHINSHU UNIVERSITY
 NAGANO, 380
 JAPAN