Graphical abstract

$$Ar \xrightarrow{N} \underbrace{EtMgBr, Mg}_{OH} \xrightarrow{HO}_{Ar, 6h} \xrightarrow{HO}_{H} \xrightarrow{Ar}_{Ar} \xrightarrow{H}_{OH} \xrightarrow{1) 85\% H_3PO_4, \Delta}_{2) Boc_2O, Et_3N} \xrightarrow{BocHN}_{Ar} \xrightarrow{Ar}_{NHBoc}_{Ar = Ph}$$

Diastereoselective imino-pinacol coupling of chiral imines promoted by Mg(0) and a Grignard reagent

Kai Kitajima,[†] Ryota Nagatomo,[†]Tetsuya Fujimoto^{*‡}

Division of Chemistry and Materials, Faculty of Textile Science and Technology[†] and Interdisciplinary Graduate School and Technology[‡], Shinshu University, Ueda, Nagano, 386-8567, Japan

ABSTRACT

The reaction of chiral imines, derived from aromatic aldehydes and (*S*)-valinol, with a Grignard reagent in the presence of Mg(0) afforded imino-pinacol coupling products instead of alkylated amines, with a high level of diastereoselectivity. The chiral auxiliary in the product was successfully removed via dehydration followed by hydrolysis, and the corresponding (R,R)-ethylenediamine derivative was obtained as the *N*-Boc protected form.

Keywords:

Imino-pinacol coupling Chiral 1,2-diamine Grignard reagent Magnesium

*Corresponding author. Tel: +81 268 21 5493; Fax: +81 268 21 5391; e-mail address: <u>tfujimo@shinshu-u.ac.jp</u> (T. Fujimoto)

1. Introduction

Imino-pinacol coupling is a straightforward method for obtaining C_2 -symmetric 1,2-diamine derivatives. Several methods that use a variety of metals as reductants have been reported for the reductive homocoupling reaction.¹ Diastereoselective coupling of chiral imines is especially useful for synthesis of chiral 1,2-diamine derivatives; a valuable class of compounds used as ligands of chiral catalysts in asymmetric synthesis² and as medicinal products in pharmaceutical chemistry.³ Although this diastereoselective coupling has been extensively examined in terms of the intramolecular reaction of chiral diimines⁴ and the intermolecular reaction employing chiral imines⁵ or chiral activators,⁶ most studies use costly reducing agents, such as Sm and SmI₂, or a large excess of metals and acids. Moreover, only a few studies have investigated the conversion of the products obtained from intermolecular reactions into free ethylenediamines by the removal of the chiral auxiliary.^{5a, c, f}

Chiral imines have also been employed for the synthesis of chiral secondary amines.⁷ Chiral aldimines derived from (*S*)-valinol can also be converted to chiral secondary amines with high diastereoselectivity via addition of Grignard or organolithium reagents. It has been reported that the reaction of aldimine **1**, prepared from benzaldehyde and (*S*)-valinol, with isopropyl magnesium bromide provides the corresponding secondary amine **2** in 61% yield and 86% diastereomeric excess (**Scheme 1**).^{7c} When the same reaction was attempted in our laboratory, the desired chiral secondary amine was obtained in a similar manner. However, when the reaction was conducted in the presence of excess amounts of Mg(0), imino-pinacol coupling was predominant, giving the corresponding 1,2-diamine derivative as the major product. Although Mg(0) has been often employed in imino-pinacol coupling,⁸ its use in diastereoselective coupling leading to chiral diamines is rare. Herein, we report a diastereoselective imino-pinacol coupling reaction promoted by a more practical method using Mg(0) and a Grignard reagent.



Scheme 1. Reaction of aldimine, derived from (*S*)-valinol, with a Grignard reagent in the presence or absence of Mg

2. Results and discussion

To optimize the reaction conditions for the imino-pinacol coupling, the reaction of imine $1,^9$ using a commercially available solution of EtMgBr in THF, was attempted under several reaction conditions. This was accomplished by varying the amounts of Grignard reagent and Mg(0) and their proportions (**Table 1**). Initially, the reaction of **1** using equimolar amounts of EtMgBr and Mg(0) was conducted at 0 °C in THF. Consequently, the desired coupling products were obtained as a mixture of (*SRRS*)and (*SRSS*)-diamines in a ratio of 92:8. The yield of the major isomer, (*SRRS*) adduct, was estimated to be 40% from the ¹H NMR spectrum (entry 1). The configuration of these products was determined by comparison with reported ¹H NMR spectral data^{5e} of each diastereomer. Another possible diastereomer, (*SSSS*)-diamine,¹⁰ was not detected in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude product. To enhance the yield of the desired coupling product, an excess of EtMgBr and/or Mg(0) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction with 1.5 equivalents of EtMgBr and 1.0 equivalent of Mg(0) was the most effective in affording the (*SRRS*)-diamine, resulting in a 74% yield without reducing the diastereomeric ratio (entry 2). The use of a larger excess of EtMgBr or Mg(0) did not improve the yield of the product (entries 3, 5). On the other hand, when the amount of Mg(0) was reduced to 0.5 equivalents, the coupling products were obtained in lower yield (entry 4). In addition, large excess amounts of EtMgBr and Mg(0) afforded diminished diastereoselectivity with only slightly enhanced yield (entry 6). The use of either a Grignard reagent or Mg(0) under similar reaction conditions afforded neither of the coupling products (entries 7, 8), indicating that both a Grignard reagent and Mg(0) are necessary for this coupling reaction.

Table 1

Imino-pinacol coupling of imine 1 using EtMgBr ^a and Mg(0)

Ph _、 N		EtMgBr, Mg HF, 0 °C, 6 h	HO Ph N H 3a	Ph OH
Entry	EtMgBr (equiv)	Mg (equiv)	Yield(%) ^b	dr ^c
1	1.0	1.0	40	92:8
2	1.5	1.0	74	94:6
3	3.0	1.0	75	91:9
4	1.5	0.5	55	93:7
5	1.5	3.0	78	95:5
6	3.0	3.0	83	91:9
7	-	1.0	_ d	-
8	1.5	-	- d	-

^a Commercially available THF solution of the Grignard reagent was employed. ^b Yields of the major diastereomer, (*SRRS*)-diamine, estimated from the ¹H NMR spectrum. ^c Diastereomeric ratio (dr) of (*SRRS*):(*SRSS*) was determined by the ¹H NMR spectrum. ^d Coupling products were not obtained.

Furthermore, to examine the effect of the choice of Grignard reagent, reaction solvent, and temperature, similar reactions using **1** were attempted under a variety of reaction conditions (**Table 2**). Coupling products were also obtained when EtMgCl was used instead of bromide (entry 2); however, this was not the case when the iodides, EtMgI, or MeMgI were used (entries 3, 4). In the reactions using these iodides, only starting materials, i.e., imines, were recovered, and secondary amines that would be formed by Grignard reactions of the imines were not identified by ¹H NMR of the crude reaction products. The coupling reaction was also attempted using *i*-PrMgBr, but the diastereoselectivity and yields of the product were similar to the reaction with EtMgBr (entry 5).

Among the ethereal solvents pursued for this reaction, THF was preferred (entry 1 vs. entries 6, 7). Unexpectedly, toluene was also effective in generating the desired product in good yield and high diastereoselectivity (entry 8). While the reaction performed at a lower temperature resulted in a considerable reduction in yield, increasing the reaction temperature led to the formation of the product in the highest yield without loss of diastereoselectivity (entry 11).

Table 2

Imino-pinacol coupling of 1 under various reaction conditions ^a

Ph _√ N 1	Сон	RM(M	gX(1.5 equi g(1.0 equiv) ent, temp., ($\frac{v}{6} h + V$	Ph N N Ph H 3a	h OH
Entry	R	Х	Solvent	temp. (°C)	Yield ^b (%)	dr ^c
1	Et	Br	THF	0	74	94:6
2	Et	Cl	THF	0	63	93:7
3 ^d	Et	Ι	THF	0	_ e	-
4 f	Me	Ι	THF	0	- e	-
5	<i>i-</i> Pr	Br	THF	0	74	91:9
6	Et	Br	Et ₂ O	0	43	95:5
7	Et	Br	DME	0	48	93:7
8	Et	Br	toluene	0	73	95:5
9	Et	Br	THF	-18	18	96:4
10	Et	Br	THF	rt	77	93:7
11	Et	Br	THF	reflux	80	94:6

^a Commercially available THF solution of Grignard reagents was employed. ^b Yields of the major diastereomer, (*SRRS*)-diamine, estimated from the ¹H NMR spectrum. ^c Diastereomeric ratio (dr) was determined by the ¹H NMR spectrum. ^d Grignard reagent prepared *in situ*. ^e Coupling products were not obtained. ^f Commercially available solution of MeMgI in Et₂O was used.

Imino-pinacol coupling of **1** has been previously examined by Yanada et al. using the combination of AlMe₃, Sm, and a catalytic amount of I₂. The (*SRRS*)-diamine was successfully obtained as the major product, controlled by chelation of Lewis acidic Al.^{5e} For the present dimerization by a Grignard reagent and Mg(0), Lewis acidic Mg²⁺ from the Grignard reagent was assumed to activate the imine through its chelation to the N and O atoms and promote the diastereoselective radical coupling illustrated in **Scheme 2**. For the transition state, approach from *Si* faces of the radicals would be favorable because of the steric hindrance of the isopropyl groups, which are fixed owing to the cyclic nature of the transition state, and the steric repulsion between the bulky constituents of the Mg–chelated aminoalcohol. Consequently, the (*SRRS*)-diamine would form as the major isomer.



Scheme 2. Potential reaction mechanism for the formation of 3a

2-Naphthalenylimine and other aromatic imines bearing a substituted benzene ring were applied to the coupling reactions under the optimized reaction conditions (**Table 3**). The reactions of 2naphthalenylimine and *p-tert*-butylphenylimine provided the corresponding diamines in good yield with high diastereoselectivity, despite bulky substrates (entries 1, 2). Imines having electron-donating functional groups, for example, *p*-methyl, *p*-methoxy, and *p-N*,*N*-dimethylamino groups, could also tolerate this coupling reaction and were converted into their corresponding diamines in moderate yields (entries 3–5). The structures of all the major isomers were assumed to be (*SRRS*) from comparison of chemical shifts in ¹H NMR spectra with those of **3a**. All diamine derivatives, including **3a**, could be purified to afford a single diastereomer through column chromatography and recrystallization. The similar coupling reaction of an imine having a *p*-chlorophenyl group as an electron-withdrawing functional group was also attempted. However, complex reaction products were obtained and the desired diamine could not be isolated.

Table 3

Imino-pinacol coupling of various aryl imines^a

Ar_ _{>} N_	EtMgB Mg (8r (1.5 equiv) (1.0 equiv)	HO_Ar	
	OH THF,	reflux, 6 h	→ N → A H 3b-f	Ar ^C OH
Entry	Ar	Product	Yield(%) ^b	dr ^c
1	2-Naphthyl	3b	63	92:8
2	p- t BuC ₆ H ₄	3c	63	93:7
3	<i>p</i> -MeC ₆ H ₄	3d	48	91:9
4	<i>p</i> -MeOC ₆ H ₄	3e	35	91:9
5	<i>p</i> -Me ₂ NC ₆ H ₄	3f	44	87:13

^a Commercially available THF solution of the Grignard reagent was employed. ^b Yields of the major diastereomer, (*SRRS*)-diamine, estimated from the ¹H NMR spectrum. ^c Diastereomeric ratio (dr) of (*SRRS*):(*SRSS*) was determined by the ¹H NMR spectrum.

Finally, conversion of the coupling product (**R**,**R**)-3a into 1,2-diphenylethylenediamine was attempted. In general, α -aminoalcohols can be converted to free amines through oxidative cleavage of the C–C bond adjacent to the NH and OH groups. Lin et al. have reported the conversion of a cyclic diamine, bearing the same chiral α -aminoalcohol moieties as **3a**, into the corresponding free diamine by oxidative cleavage using NaIO4 and MeNH2·HCl.^{4h} These reaction conditions were used to synthesize the free ethylenediamine derivative from 3a; however, the reaction led to a complex mixture of products, and the desired free ethylenediamine could not be isolated. It should be noted that acyclic diamines such as 3a have relatively flexible conformations. Consequently, the oxidative cleavage of the C-C bond attached by two amino groups was expected to occur either competitively or preferentially. Therefore, another method for removing the chiral auxiliary needed to be applied. As a possible route to the free diamine, we assumed the dehydration of the α -aminoalcohols as a first step. This dehydration reaction produces enamines, which can be converted to their corresponding free amines through hydrolysis. Based on this proposed mechanism, dehydration of (**R**,**R**)-3a through the use of a wide variety of Brönsted acids, such as trifluoroacetic acid, trifluoromethanesulfonic acid, and sulfuric acid, was attempted. Unfortunately, most of these reactions did not proceed as expected. However, when a solution of (R,R)-3a in aqueous 85% H₃PO₄ was refluxed for 16 h, formation of the desired 1,2-ethylenediamine was demonstrated through the ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude product (Scheme 3). Because it was difficult to isolate the free diamine, the crude product was treated with Boc₂O in the presence of Et₃N, resulting in a 44% yield of the N,N'-diBoc-1,2-ethylenediamine derivative 5, obtained in two steps from 3a. The 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra of 5 were consistent with those previously reported for the enantiomer (S,S)-5.¹¹ In addition, specific rotation of the resulting product 5 has roughly the same magnitude as (S,S)-5, and we determined that (R,R)-5 was provided without loss of stereochemistry under the applied reaction conditions.

$$(R,R)-3a \xrightarrow{85\% H_3PO_4} (Ph \downarrow NH_2 \\ H_2N \downarrow Ph \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{Boc_2O, Et_3N} (H_2N \downarrow Ph) \xrightarrow{Ph} (H_2Cl_2, rt, 16 h)$$

Scheme 3. Synthesis of N,N'-diBoc-diamine, (R,R)-5, from (R,R)-3a

3. Conclusions

We demonstrated the diastereoselective imino-pinacol coupling of chiral (*S*)-valinol-derived imines promoted by inexpensive and commercially available Grignard reagents and Mg(0). The reactions proceeded to completion in the presence of 1.0 equivalent of Mg and a slight excess of a Grignard reagent to yield the corresponding coupling products with high diastereoselectivity in a ratio of 94:6. This protocol was especially effective for imines bearing a bulky substituent, such as 2-naphthalenyl and *p-tert*-butylphenyl, to afford the 1,2-diamine derivatives in acceptable yields. Other imines having heteroatom functional groups, such as MeO and Me₂N, were also applicable for this coupling reaction. The removal of the chiral auxiliary from the resulting imino-pinacol coupling products, which has been limited to a few examples, was also investigated. Although conversion of **3a** into the free 1,2-ethylenediamine derivative could not be accomplished by conventional oxidative cleavage, dehydration in aqueous 85% H₃PO₄ followed by hydrolysis enabled this transformation, and after treatment with Boc₂O the *N*,*N*'-diBoc-ethylenediamine could be isolated.

4. Experimental

4.1 General procedures

¹H NMR spectra were performed on a Bruker Avance 400 spectrometer. Proton chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (δ , ppm) relative to internal tetramethylsilane (TMS, δ 0.0 ppm). ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 400 (100 MHz) with complete proton decoupling. Carbon chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (δ , ppm) relative to TMS with solvent resonance as the internal standard (CDCl₃, δ 77.0 ppm). Infrared spectra were obtained on a Shimadzu IRTracer-100 FT-IR spectrometer. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained on a Bruker micrOTOF II LC-MS with an electrospray ionization source. The high-resolution mass spectra were performed using anhydrous CH₃CN as solvent. Specific rotation was measured on a Jasco DIP-370 digital polarimeter. Flash column chromatography was performed using Cica-Merck Silica Gel 60 N (40–50 μ m). Coupling reactions were performed using dried glassware under a nitrogen atmosphere. Grignard reagents were purchased from Kanto Chemical Co., Inc. Magnesium (turnings) was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, LTD. The surface area of the magnesium was estimated to be 0.16 m²/g from automated nitrogen gas sorption. All solvents were purified by conventional methods before use.

4.2 General procedure for imino-pinacol coupling

Magnesium (turnings, 0.0243 g, 1.0 mmol) was added to a two-neck round-bottom flask and stirred for 30 min under a nitrogen atmosphere. After THF (2 ml) and imine **1** (0.191 g, 1.0 mmol) were added to the flask, a 0.95 M solution of EtMgBr in THF (1.6 ml, 1.5 mmol) was slowly added to the mixture at 0 °C. As the Grignard reagent was added, the colorless reaction mixture changed to a yellow or brown solution and gas evolved. When the reaction mixture was refluxed after the addition of EtMgBr, the magnesium turnings gradually reduced in size, but they did not completely disappear. Precipitates such as magnesium salts were not observed. After reflux for 6 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl while cooling. The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate, and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/*n*-hexane (1/2 to 1/0) to give a mixture of (*S*,*R*,*R*,*S*)- and (*S*,*R*,*S*,*S*)-diamines as a white solid (0.155 g). The yield of the (*S*,*R*,*R*,*S*)-diamine was estimated from the ¹H NMR spectrum. The pure (*S*,*R*,*R*,*S*)-diamine could be obtained through recrystallization using ethyl acetate/*n*-hexane.

4.2.1. (*R*,*R*)-1,2-Diphenyl-N,N'-bis((*S*)-1-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methylpropyl)-1,2-ethaenediamine (*3a*).^{5e} mp 145 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ ²⁸-58.2 (c 1.04, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.12-7.16 (m, 4H), 7.03-7.09 (m, 6H), 3.93 (s, 2H), 3.76 (dd, *J*=3.6, 11.4 Hz, 2H), 3.69 (dd, *J*=7.4, 11.4 Hz, 2H), 2.48-2.53 (m, 2H), 1.57 (dsept, *J*=6.8, 6.8 Hz, a broad signal was superimposed, total 4H), 0.73 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 6H), 0.69 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 142.1, 128.2, 127.1, 126.9, 69.0, 64.1, 63.9, 29.8, 19.2, 18.9; IR 3395, 3024, 2959, 2928, 2874, 1645, 1456, 1105, 1049, 700 (KBr, cm⁻¹); HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₄H₃₇N₂O₂ 385.2850, found 385.2859.

4.2.2. (*R*,*R*)-1,2-*D*i-2-naphthalenyl-N,N'-bis((*S*)-1-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methylpropyl)-1,2ethanediamine (**3b**). mp 177-178 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ ³⁰ -47.7 (c 1.15, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.61-7.66 (m, 6H), 7.51 (brd, *J*=0.8 Hz, 2H), 7.25-7.37 (m, 6H), 4.25 (s, 2H), 3.85 (dd, *J*=3.5, 11.4 Hz, 2H), 3.74 (dd, *J*=7.4, 11.4 Hz, 2H), 2.55-2.60 (m, 2H), 1.58 (dsept, *J*=6.8, 6.8 Hz, 2H), 0.70 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 6H), 0.67 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 139.3, 133.1, 132.5, 128.5, 127.7, 127.5, 126.4, 126.0, 125.6, 124.6, 69.0, 64.5, 64.0, 30.0, 19.2, 19.0; IR 3381, 3055, 2959, 2928, 2874, 1508, 1466, 1387, 1368, 1076, 1047, 820, 748 (KBr, cm⁻¹); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₃₂H₄₁N₂O₂ 485.3163, found 485.3169.

4.2.3. (*R*,*R*)-1,2-*Bis*(4-(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenyl)-*N*,*N*'-*bis*((*S*)-1-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methylpropyl)-1,2-ethanediamine (**3***c*). mp 174-175 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{29}$ -25.3 (c 1.01, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.10-7.13 (m, 4H), 6.91-6.94 (m, 4H), 3.87 (s, 2H), 3.73 (dd, *J*=3.2, 11.4 Hz, 2H), 3.66 (dd, *J*=7.1, 11.4 Hz, 2H), 2.47-2.51 (m, 2H), 1.58 (dsept, *J*=6.8, 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.20 (s, 18H), 0.74 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 6H), 0.72 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 149.5, 139.0, 126.6, 124.9, 68.6, 63.9, 63.7, 34.2, 31.1, 29.9, 19.2, 19.0; IR 3399, 3310, 2963, 2876, 1508, 1464, 1362, 1074, 1022, 822 (KBr, cm⁻¹); HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₃₂H₅₃N₂O₂497.4102, found 497.4106.

4.2.4. (*R*,*R*)-1,2-*Bis*(4-methylphenyl)-*N*,*N*'-*bis*((*S*)-1-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methylpropyl)-1,2ethanediamine (**3d**).^{5e} mp 135-136 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ ²⁸ -39.3 (c 1.02, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 6.91-6.96 (m, 8H), 3.89 (s, 2H), 3.74 (dd, *J*=3.6, 11.4 Hz, 2H), 3.67 (dd, *J*=7.4, 11.4 Hz, 2H), 2.48-2.52 (m, 2H), 2.21 (s, 6H), 1.56 (dsept, *J*=6.8, 6.8 Hz, 2H), 0.73 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 6H), 0.69 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 139.2, 136.3, 129.0, 126.9, 68.6, 64.3, 63.9, 29.9, 20.9, 19.2, 19.0; IR 3360, 3312, 2959, 2926, 2874, 1653, 1514, 1464, 1076, 816 (KBr, cm⁻¹); HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₆H₄₁N₂O₂413.3163, found 413.3171.

4.2.5. (*R*,*R*)-1,2-*Bis*(4-methoxylphenyl)-*N*,*N*'-*bis*((*S*)-1-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methylpropyl)-1,2ethanediamine (**3e**). mp 121-122 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{29}$ -40.8 (c 1.02, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 6.93-6.95 (m, 4H), 6.66-6.69 (m, 4H), 3.86 (s, 2H), 3.75 (dd, *J*=3.6, 11.4 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (dd, *J*=7.4, 11.4 Hz), 3.71 (s) total 8H, 2.47-2.51 (m, 2H), 1.56 (dsept, *J*=6.8, 6.8 Hz, 2H), 0.73 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 6H), 0.69 (d, *J*=6.8 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 158.2, 134.4, 128.0, 113.6, 68.3, 64.1, 63.7, 54.9, 29.8, 19.2, 18.9; IR 3383, 3302, 2963, 2874, 1611, 1512, 1464, 1246, 1175, 1032, 824 (KBr, cm⁻¹); HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₆H₄₁N₂O₄ 445.3061, found 445.3070.

4.2.6. (*R*,*R*)-1,2-*Bis*(4-*N*,*N*-dimethylaminophenyl)-*N*,*N*'-*bis*((*S*)-1-(hydroxymethyl)-2-methylpropyl)-1,2-ethanediamine (**3***f*). mp 164-165 °C; $[\alpha]_D$ ²⁸ 38.9 (c 1.02, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.11 (brd, 4H), 6.51 (brd, 4H), 2.83 (s, 12H), 2.64-2.68 (m, 2H), 1.75 (dsept, *J*=7.0, 7.0 Hz, 2H), 0.83 (d, *J*=7.0 Hz, 6H), 0.81 (d, *J*=7.0 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 149.5, 128.4, 126.9, 112.3, 67.0, 63.1, 62.0, 40.2, 29.7, 19.2, 18.7; IR 3287, 2959, 2878, 1616, 1526, 1352, 1165, 1061, 818 (KBr, cm⁻¹); HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m*/*z* [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₈H₄₇N₄O₂ 471.3694, found 471.3690.

4.2 Synthesis of (R,R)-N,N'-diBoc-1,2-diphenyl-1,2-ethanediamine 5 from 3a

A solution of **3a** (0.385 g, 1.0 mmol) and 85% aqueous phosphoric acid (3 ml) was refluxed in a two-neck round-bottom flask for 16 h. After being cooled to rt and diluted with water, the solution was separated to aqueous and organic layers. The aqueous layer was washed with Et₂O and was made basic with 2 N aqueous NaOH. The resulting aqueous solution was extracted with Et₂O and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting crude product was subjected to the following protecting reaction without purification. The crude product (0.212 g, 1.0 mmol as a pure diamine), triethylamine (0.708 g, 7.0 mmol), Boc₂O (0.546 g, 2.5 mmol), and CH₂Cl₂ (7 ml) were added to a two-neck flask dried under vacuum. The mixture was stirred at rt for 16 h and subsequently poured into saturated aqueous NH₄Cl. The resulting mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was purified by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/n-hexane (1/5) and recrystallization with Et₂O to give **5** as a white solid (0.180 g, 44% from **3a**); mp 203 °C; $[\alpha]_D^{28}$ -16.1 (c 1.00, CHCl₃), $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ 15.0 (c 0.99, CHCl₃) for reported (*S*,*S*)-5¹¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.13-7.19 (m, 6H), 7.03-7.04 (m, 4H), 5.54 (brs, 2H), 4.86 (brs, 2H), 1.44 (s, 18H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 156.4, 139.3, 128.4, 127.5, 127.4, 79.8, 60.7, 28.4; IR 3381, 2980, 2934, 1686, 1518, 1368, 1250, 1173, 700 (KBr, cm⁻¹); HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z [M + Na]⁺, calcd for C₂₄H₃₂N₂O₄Na 435.2254, found 435.2259.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Shinshu University. We thank Dr. Yoshiyuki Hattori and Mr. Kento Sagisaka (Shinshu University) for the measurement of magnesium surface area.

References and notes

 For reviews on imino-pinacol coupling or vicinal diamines, see: (a) Lucet, D.; Le Gall, T.; Mioskowski, C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2580-2627; (b) Faugeroux, V.; Génisson, Y. Curr. Org. Chem. 2008, 12, 751-773; (c) Inanaga, J.; Furuno, H. Comprehensive Chirality 2012, 5, 399-420.
 Fache, F.; Schulz, E.; Tommasino, M. L.; Lemaire, M. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 2159-2231.

3. Saibabu Kotti, S. R. S.; Timmons, C.; Li, G. Chem. Biol. Drug Des. 2006, 67, 101-114.

4. (a) Shono, T.; Kise, N.; Shirakawa, E.; Matsumoto, H.; Okazaki, E. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3063-3067; (b) Larsen, A. O.; Taylor, R. A.; White, P. S.; Gagné, M. R. Organometallics 1999, 18, 5157-5162; (c) Taniguchi, N.; Hata, T.; Uemura, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 1232-1235; (d) Periasamy, M.; Srinivas, G.; Suresh, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 7123-7125; (e) Annunziata, R.;

Benaglia, M.; Caporale, M.; Raimondi, L. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2002, *13*, 2727-2734; (f)
Hesemann, P.; Moreau, J. J. E.; Soto, T. *Synth. Commun.* 2003, *33*, 183-189; (g) Biaggi, C.; Benaglia,
M.; Rossi, S.; Proto, S.; Annunziata, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, *48*, 8521-8525; (h) Lin, S.-Z.; You,
T.-P. *Synth. Commun.* 2009, *39*, 4133-4138.

(a) Kise, N.; Oike, H.; Okazaki, E.; Yoshimoto, M.; Shono, T. *J. Org. Chem.* **1995**, *60*, 3980-3992;
 (b) Annunziata, R.; Benaglia, M.; Cinquini, M.; Cozzi, F.; Raimondi, L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 3333-3336;
 (c) Taniguchi, N.; Uemura, M. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 12775-12788;
 (d) Yanada, R.; Negoro, N.; Okaniwa, M.; Miwa, Y.; Taga, T.; Yanada, K.; Fujita, T. *Synlett*, **1999**, 537-540;
 (e) Yanada, R.; Okaniwa, M.; Kaieda, A.; Ibuka, T.; Takemoto, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 1283-1286;
 (f) Zhong, Y.-W.; Izumi, K.; Xu, M.-H.; Lin, G.-Q. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 4747-4750;
 (g) Sergeeva, E. V.; Rozenberg, V. I.; Antonov, D. Y.; Vorontsov, E. V.; Starikova, Z. A.; Fedyanin, I. V.; Hopf, H. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2005**, *11*,6944-6961;
 (h) Kise, N.; Iwasaki, T.; Yasuda, Y.; Sakurai, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, *49*, 7074-7077;
 (i) Bharathi, P.; Comins, D. L. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 221-223.

6. (a) Shimizu, M.; Iida, T.; Fujisawa, T. *Chem. Lett.* 1995, 609-610; (b) Vairaprakash, P.; Periasamy,
M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008, 49, 1233-1236.

7. (a) Suzuki, Y.; Takahashi, H. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1983**, *31*, 31-40; (b) Takahashi, H.; Suzuki, Y.;
Hori, T. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1983**, *31*, 2183-2191; (c) Lamblin, M.; Couture, A.; Deniau, E.;
Grandclaudon, P. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2008**, *19*, 111-123.

8. (a) Bachmann, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1931, 53, 2672-2676; (b) Eisch, J. J.; Kaska, D. D.; Peterson,
C. J. J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 453-456; (c) Grignon-Dubois, M.; Fialeix, M.; Leger, J.-M. Can. J.
Chem. 1993, 71, 754-761; (d) Alexakis, A.; Aujard, I.; Mangeney, P. Synlett 1998, 873-874; (e) Dutta,
M. P.; Baruah, B.; Boruah, A.; Prajapati, D.; Sandhu, J. S. Synlett 1998, 857-858; (f) Mercer, G. J.;
Sturdy, M.; Jensen, D. R.; Sigman, M. S. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 6418-6424; (g) Aspinall, H. C.;
Greeves, N.; Hin, S. L. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 1558-1561. Imino-pinacol coupling by Grignard
reagents have also been reported, see: (h) Thies, H.; Schoenenberger, H. Chem. Ber. 1956, 89, 1918-1921; (i) Ōkubo, M.; Ueda, S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1979, 52, 3346-3348.

9. We determined from the ¹H NMR spectrum that (*S*)-valinol-derived imines exist as a mixture of imine and oxazolidine, its tautomer, (ca 6:4 to 9:1) in solution. In this manuscript, however, only the structure of imines was depicted in Schemes and Tables.



10. Gualandi, A.; Manoni, F.; Monari, M.; Savoia, D. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 715-720.

11. Murai, K.; Fukushima, S.; Hayashi, S.; Takahara, Y.; Fujioka, H. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 964-966.

Supplementary data

Copies of ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of products in this study are available. Supplementary data with this article can be found at http//.

Supporting information

Diastereoselective imino-pinacol coupling of chiral imines promoted by Mg(0) and a Grignard reagent

Kai Kitajima,[†] Ryota Nagatomo,[†]Tetsuya Fujimoto^{*‡}

Division of Chemistry and Materials, Faculty of Textile Science and Technology[†] and Interdisciplinary Graduate School and Technology[‡], Shinshu University, Ueda, Nagano, 386-8567, Japan

*Corresponding author. Tel: +81 268 21 5493; Fax: +81 268 21 5391; e-mail address: <u>tfujimo@shinshu-u.ac.jp</u> (T. Fujimoto)

Table of Contents

Copies of ¹ H and ¹³ C NMR spectra of 3a	S2
Copies of ¹ H and ¹³ C NMR spectra of 3b	S 3
Copies of ¹ H and ¹³ C NMR spectra of $3c$	S4
Copies of ¹ H and ¹³ C NMR spectra of 3d	S 5
Copies of ¹ H and ¹³ C NMR spectra of 3e	S6
Copies of ¹ H and ¹³ C NMR spectra of 3f	S7
Copies of ¹ H and ¹³ C NMR spectra of 5	S8













ő 5

