FORMALIZED MATHEMATICS
Vol. 21, No. 2, Pages 133–143, 2013
DOI: 10.2478/forma-2013-0015

VERSITA
degruyter.com/view/j/forma

Constructing Binary Huffman Tree[1]

Hiroyuki Okazaki[2]
Shinshu University
Nagano, Japan

Yuichi Futa
Japan Advanced Institute
of Science and Technology
Ishikawa, Japan

Yasunari Shidama[3]
Shinshu University
Nagano, Japan

Summary. Huffman coding is one of a most famous entropy encoding methods for lossless data compression [16]. JPEG and ZIP formats employ variants of Huffman encoding as lossless compression algorithms. Huffman coding is a bijective map from source letters into leaves of the Huffman tree constructed by the algorithm. In this article we formalize an algorithm constructing a binary code tree, Huffman tree.

MSC: 14G50 68P30 03B35

Keywords: formalization of Huffman coding tree; source coding

MML identifier: `HUFFMAN1`, version: `8.1.02 5.17.1181`

The notation and terminology used in this paper have been introduced in the following articles: [9], [1], [20], [8], [14], [11], [12], [23], [22], [2], [3], [18], [19], [17], [25], [26], [24], [4], [5], [6], [7], and [13].

1. Constructing Binary Decoded Trees

Let D be a non empty set and x be an element of D. Observe that the root tree of x is binary as a decorated tree.

The functor $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ yielding a set is defined by the term

[1]This research was presented during the 2013 International Conference on Foundations of Computer Science FCS'13 in Las Vegas, USA.
[2]This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI 21240001.
[3]This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI 22300285.

ISSN 1426–2630(Print), 1898-9934(Online)

(Def. 1) $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Note that $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is non empty.

Let D be a non empty set. The binary finite trees of D yielding a set of trees decorated with elements of D is defined by

(Def. 2) Let us consider a tree T decorated with elements of D. Then $\operatorname{dom} T$ is finite and T is binary if and only if $T \in \mathit{it}$.

The Boolean binary finite trees of D yielding a non empty subset of $2^{\text{the binary finite trees of } D}$ is defined by the term

(Def. 3) $\{x$, where x is an element of $2^{\alpha} : x$ is finite and $x \neq \emptyset\}$, where α is the binary finite trees of D.

In this paper $\mathbb{S}$ denotes a non empty finite set, p denotes a probability on the trivial σ-field of $\mathbb{S}$, T_1 denotes a finite sequence of elements of the Boolean binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$, and q denotes a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$.

Let us consider $\mathbb{S}$ and p. The functor $\operatorname{InitTrees} p$ yielding a non empty finite subset of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is defined by the term

(Def. 4) $\{T$, where T is an element of $\operatorname{FinTrees}(\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}) : T$ is a finite binary tree decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and there exists an element x of $\mathbb{S}$ such that $T =$ the root tree of $\langle(\operatorname{CFS}(\mathbb{S}))^{-1}(x), p(\{x\})\rangle\}$.

Let p be a tree decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. The value of root from right of p yielding a real number is defined by the term

(Def. 5) $p(\emptyset)_{\mathbf{2}}$.

The value of root from left of p yielding a natural number is defined by the term

(Def. 6) $p(\emptyset)_{\mathbf{1}}$.

Let T be a finite binary tree decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and p be an element of $\operatorname{dom} T$. The value of tree of p yielding a real number is defined by the term

(Def. 7) $T(p)_{\mathbf{2}}$.

Let p, q be finite binary trees decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and k be a natural number. The functor $\operatorname{MakeTree}(p, q, k)$ yielding a finite binary tree decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ is defined by the term

(Def. 8) $\langle k$, (the value of root from right of p) $+$ (the value of root from right of q)$\rangle$-tree(p, q).

Let X be a non empty finite subset of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. The maximal value of X yielding a natural number is defined by

(Def. 9) There exists a non empty finite subset L of $\mathbb{N}$ such that

(i) $L = \{$the value of root from left of p, where p is an element of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}} : p \in X\}$, and

(ii) $\mathit{it} = \max L$.

Now we state the propositions:

(1) Let us consider a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and a finite binary tree w decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose $X = \{w\}$. Then the maximal value of X = the value of root from left of w. Proof: Consider L being a non empty finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $L = \{$the value of root from left of p, where p is an element of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}} : p \in X\}$ and the maximal value of $X = \max L$. For every element n such that $n \in L$ holds $n =$ the value of root from left of w. For every element n such that $n =$ the value of root from left of w holds $n \in L$. $\square$

(2) Let us consider non empty finite subsets X, Y, Z of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose $Z = X \cup Y$. Then the maximal value of $Z = \max($the maximal value of X, the maximal value of $Y)$.

(3) Let us consider non empty finite subsets X, Z of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and a set Y. Suppose $Z = X \setminus Y$. Then the maximal value of $Z \leqslant$ the maximal value of X. The theorem is a consequence of (2).

(4) Let us consider a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and an element p of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose $p \in X$. Then the value of root from left of $p \leqslant$ the maximal value of X.

Let X be a non empty finite subset of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. A minimal value tree of X is a finite binary tree decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and is defined by

(Def. 10) (i) *it* $\in X$, and

(ii) for every finite binary tree q decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $q \in X$ holds the value of root from right of *it* $\leqslant$ the value of root from right of q.

Now we state the propositions:

(5) $\overline{\overline{\mathrm{InitTrees}\, p}} = \overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$. Proof: Reconsider $f_1 = (\mathrm{CFS}(\mathbb{S}))^{-1}$ as a function from $\mathbb{S}$ into $\mathrm{Seg}\,\overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$. Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{element}, \text{element}] \equiv \$_2 =$ the root tree of $\langle f_1(\$_1), p(\{\$_1\})\rangle$. For every element x such that $x \in \mathbb{S}$ there exists an element y such that $y \in \mathrm{InitTrees}\, p$ and $\mathcal{P}[x, y]$ by [12, (5)], [13, (87)], [7, (3)]. Consider f being a function from $\mathbb{S}$ into $\mathrm{InitTrees}\, p$ such that for every element x such that $x \in \mathbb{S}$ holds $\mathcal{P}[x, f(x)]$ from [12, Sch. 1]. $\square$

(6) Let us consider a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and finite binary trees s, t decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Then $\mathrm{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1)) \notin X$.

Let X be a set. The set of leaves of X yielding a subset of $2^{\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}}$ is defined by the term

(Def. 11) $\{\mathrm{Leaves}(p)$, where p is an element of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}} : p \in X\}$.

Now we state the propositions:

(7) Let us consider a finite binary tree X decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Then the set of leaves of $\{X\} = \{\mathrm{Leaves}(X)\}$. Proof: For every element x, $x \in$ the set of leaves of $\{X\}$ iff $x \in \{\mathrm{Leaves}(X)\}$. $\square$

(8) Let us consider sets X, Y. Then the set of leaves of $X \cup Y =$ (the set of leaves of X) $\cup$ (the set of leaves of Y). Proof: For every element x, $x \in$ the set of leaves of $X \cup Y$ iff $x \in$ (the set of leaves of X) $\cup$ (the set of leaves of Y). $\square$

(9) Let us consider trees s, t. Then $\emptyset \notin \mathrm{Leaves}(\overbrace{t, s})$. Proof: For every element p, $p \in \overbrace{t, s}$ iff $p \in$ the elementary tree of 0 by [4, (19), (29)], [10, (130)]. $\square$

(10) Let us consider trees s, t. Then $\mathrm{Leaves}(\overbrace{t, s}) = \{\langle 0 \rangle \frown p$, where p is an element of $t : p \in \mathrm{Leaves}(t)\} \cup \{\langle 1 \rangle \frown p$, where p is an element of $s : p \in \mathrm{Leaves}(s)\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (9). Proof: Set $L = \{\langle 0 \rangle \frown p$, where p is an element of $t : p \in \mathrm{Leaves}(t)\}$. Set $R = \{\langle 1 \rangle \frown p$, where p is an element of $s : p \in \mathrm{Leaves}(s)\}$. Set $H = \mathrm{Leaves}(\overbrace{t, s})$. For every element x, $x \in H$ iff $x \in L \cup R$ by [2, (23)], [9, (6)]. $\square$

Let us consider decorated trees s, t, an element x, and a finite sequence q of elements of $\mathbb{N}$. Now we state the propositions:

(11) If $q \in \mathrm{dom}\, t$, then $(x\text{-tree}(t, s))(\langle 0 \rangle \frown q) = t(q)$.

(12) If $q \in \mathrm{dom}\, s$, then $(x\text{-tree}(t, s))(\langle 1 \rangle \frown q) = s(q)$.

Now we state the propositions:

(13) Let us consider decorated trees s, t and an element x. Then $\mathrm{Leaves}(x\text{-tree}(t, s)) = \mathrm{Leaves}(t) \cup \mathrm{Leaves}(s)$. The theorem is a consequence of (10), (11), and (12). Proof: Set $L = \{\langle 0 \rangle \frown p$, where p is an element of $\mathrm{dom}\, t : p \in \mathrm{Leaves}(\mathrm{dom}\, t)\}$. Set $R = \{\langle 1 \rangle \frown p$, where p is an element of $\mathrm{dom}\, s : p \in \mathrm{Leaves}(\mathrm{dom}\, s)\}$. For every element z, $z \in (x\text{-tree}(t, s))^{\circ} L$ iff $z \in t^{\circ}(\mathrm{Leaves}(\mathrm{dom}\, t))$. For every element z, $z \in (x\text{-tree}(t, s))^{\circ} R$ iff $z \in s^{\circ}(\mathrm{Leaves}(\mathrm{dom}\, s))$. $\square$

(14) Let us consider a natural number k and finite binary trees s, t decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Then $\bigcup$ the set of leaves of $\{s, t\} = \bigcup$ the set of leaves of $\{\mathrm{MakeTree}(t, s, k)\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (8), (7), and (13).

(15) Leaves(the elementary tree of 0) = the elementary tree of 0. Proof: For every element x, $x \in$ Leaves(the elementary tree of 0) iff $x \in$ the elementary tree of 0 by [4, (29), (54)]. $\square$

(16) Let us consider an element x, a non empty set D, and a finite binary tree T decorated with elements of D. Suppose $T =$ the root tree of x. Then $\mathrm{Leaves}(T) = \{x\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (15).

2. Binary Huffman Tree

Let us consider $\mathbb{S}$, p, T_1, and q. We say that T_1, q, and p are constructing binary Huffman tree if and only if

(Def. 12) (i) $T_1(1) = \mathrm{InitTrees}\, p$, and

(ii) $\mathrm{len}\, T_1 = \overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$, and

(iii) for every natural number i such that $1 \leqslant i < \mathrm{len}\, T_1$ there exist non empty finite subsets X, Y of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and there exists a minimal value tree s of X and there exists a minimal value tree t of Y and there exists a finite binary tree v decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $T_1(i) = X$ and $Y = X \setminus \{s\}$ and $v \in \{\mathrm{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X)+1)), \mathrm{MakeTree}(s, t, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1))\}$ and $T_1(i+1) = (X \setminus \{t, s\}) \cup \{v\}$, and

(iv) there exists a finite binary tree T decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\{T\} = T_1(\mathrm{len}\, T_1)$, and

(v) $\mathrm{dom}\, q = \mathrm{Seg}\, \overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$, and

(vi) for every natural number k such that $k \in \mathrm{Seg}\, \overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$ holds $q(k) = \overline{\overline{T_1(k)}}$ and $q(k) \neq 0$, and

(vii) for every natural number k such that $k < \overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$ holds $q(k+1) = q(1) - k$, and

(viii) for every natural number k such that $1 \leqslant k < \overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$ holds $2 \leqslant q(k)$.

Now we state the proposition:

(17) There exists T_1 and there exists q such that T_1, q, and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. The theorem is a consequence of (5) and (6). PROOF: Define $\mathcal{A}[\text{natural number}, \text{set}, \text{set}] \equiv$ if there exist elements u, v such that $u \neq v$ and u, $v \in \$_2$, then there exist non empty finite subsets X, Y of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and there exists a minimal value tree s of X and there exists a minimal value tree t of Y and there exists a finite binary tree w decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\$_2 = X$ and $Y = X \setminus \{s\}$ and $w \in \{\mathrm{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1)), \mathrm{MakeTree}(s, t, ((\text{the maximal value of } X)+1))\}$ and $\$_3 = (X \setminus \{t, s\}) \cup \{w\}$. For every natural number n such that $1 \leqslant n < \overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$ for every element x of the Boolean binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$, there exists an element y of the Boolean binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mathcal{A}[n, x, y]$. Reconsider $I = \mathrm{InitTrees}\, p$ as an element of the Boolean binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Consider T_1 being a finite sequence of elements of the Boolean binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mathrm{len}\, T_1 = \overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$ and $T_1(1) = I$ or $\overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}} = 0$ and for every natural number n such that $1 \leqslant n < \overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$ holds $\mathcal{A}[n, T_1(n), T_1(n+1)]$ from [15, Sch. 4]. Define $\mathcal{B}[\text{element}, \text{element}] \equiv$ there exists a finite set X such that

$T_1(\$_1) = X$ and $\$_2 = \overline{\overline{X}}$ and $\$_2 \neq 0$. For every natural number k such that $k \in \mathrm{Seg}\,\overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$ there exists an element x of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{B}[k, x]$ by [11, (3)]. Consider q being a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathrm{dom}\, q = \mathrm{Seg}\,\overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$ and for every natural number k such that $k \in \mathrm{Seg}\,\overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$ holds $\mathcal{B}[k, q(k)]$ from [8, Sch. 5]. For every natural number k such that $k \in \mathrm{Seg}\,\overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$ holds $q(k) = \overline{\overline{T_1(k)}}$ and $q(k) \neq 0$. For every natural number k such that $1 \leqslant k < \overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$ holds if $2 \leqslant q(k)$, then $q(k+1) = q(k) - 1$ by [8, (1)], [2, (11), (13)]. Define $\mathcal{C}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ if $\$_1 < \overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$, then $q(\$_1 + 1) = q(1) - \$_1$. For every natural number n such that $\mathcal{C}[n]$ holds $\mathcal{C}[n+1]$ by [2, (11)], [8, (1)], [2, (14), (13)]. For every natural number n, $\mathcal{C}[n]$ from [2, Sch. 2]. For every natural number n such that $1 \leqslant n < \overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}$ holds $2 \leqslant q(n)$ by [2, (21), (13)]. For every natural number k such that $1 \leqslant k < \mathrm{len}\, T_1$ there exist non empty finite subsets X, Y of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and there exists a minimal value tree s of X and there exists a minimal value tree t of Y and there exists a finite binary tree w decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $T_1(k) = X$ and $Y = X \setminus \{s\}$ and $w \in \{\mathrm{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1)), \mathrm{MakeTree}(s, t, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1))\}$ and $T_1(k+1) = (X \setminus \{t, s\}) \cup \{w\}$ by [8, (1)]. Consider T_2 being a finite set such that $T_1(\overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}) = T_2$ and $q(\overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}) = \overline{\overline{T_2}}$ and $q(\overline{\overline{\mathbb{S}}}) \neq 0$. Consider u being an element such that $T_2 = \{u\}$. □

Let us consider $\mathbb{S}$ and p. A binary Huffman tree of p is a finite binary tree decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and is defined by

(Def. 13) There exists a finite sequence T_1 of elements of the Boolean binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and there exists a finite sequence q of elements of $\mathbb{N}$ such that T_1, q, and p are constructing binary Huffman tree and $\{it\} = T_1(\mathrm{len}\, T_1)$.

In this paper T denotes a binary Huffman tree of p.

Now we state the propositions:

(18) $\bigcup$ the set of leaves of $\mathrm{InitTrees}\, p = \{z,$ where z is an element of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$: there exists an element x of $\mathbb{S}$ such that $z = \langle (\mathrm{CFS}(\mathbb{S}))^{-1}(x), p(\{x\}) \rangle\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (16). Proof: Set $L = \bigcup$ the set of leaves of $\mathrm{InitTrees}\, p$. Set $R = \{z,$ where z is an element of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$: there exists an element x of $\mathbb{S}$ such that $z = \langle (\mathrm{CFS}(\mathbb{S}))^{-1}(x), p(\{x\}) \rangle\}$. For every element x, $x \in L$ iff $x \in R$ by [13, (87)], [7, (3)]. □

(19) Suppose T_1, q, and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Let us consider a natural number i. Suppose $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \mathrm{len}\, T_1$. Then $\bigcup$ the set of leaves of $T_1(i) = \{z,$ where z is an element of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$: there exists an element x of $\mathbb{S}$ such that $z = \langle (\mathrm{CFS}(\mathbb{S}))^{-1}(x), p(\{x\}) \rangle\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (18), (8), and (14). Proof: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ if $\$_1 < \mathrm{len}\, T_1$, then $\bigcup$ the set of leaves of $T_1(\$_1 + 1) = \{z,$ where z is an element of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$: there exists an element x of $\mathbb{S}$ such that $z = \langle (\mathrm{CFS}(\mathbb{S}))^{-1}(x),$

$p(\{x\})\rangle\!\rangle\}$. For every natural number k such that $\mathcal{P}[k]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[k+1]$ by [2, (11)], [13, (78), (32)]. For every natural number k, $\mathcal{P}[k]$ from [2, Sch. 2]. $\square$

(20) $\mathrm{Leaves}(T) = \{z,$ where z is an element of $\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{R}$: there exists an element x of $\mathbb{S}$ such that $z = \langle\!\langle(\mathrm{CFS}(\mathbb{S}))^{-1}(x), p(\{x\})\rangle\!\rangle\}$. The theorem is a consequence of (19) and (7).

(21) Suppose T_1, q, and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Let us consider a natural number i, a finite binary tree T decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$, and elements t, s, r of $\mathrm{dom}\,T$. Suppose

(i) $T \in T_1(i)$, and

(ii) $t \in \mathrm{dom}\,T \setminus \mathrm{Leaves}(\mathrm{dom}\,T)$, and

(iii) $s = t \frown \langle 0\rangle$, and

(iv) $r = t \frown \langle 1\rangle$.

Then the value of tree of $t =$ (the value of tree of s) + (the value of tree of r). The theorem is a consequence of (15), (11), and (12). Proof: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ if $1 \leqslant \$_1 \leqslant \mathrm{len}\,T_1$, then for every finite binary tree T decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and for every elements a, b, c of $\mathrm{dom}\,T$ such that $T \in T_1(\$_1)$ and $a \in \mathrm{dom}\,T \setminus \mathrm{Leaves}(\mathrm{dom}\,T)$ and $b = a \frown \langle 0\rangle$ and $c = a \frown \langle 1\rangle$ holds the value of tree of $a =$ (the value of tree of b) + (the value of tree of c). For every natural number i such that $\mathcal{P}[i]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[i+1]$ by [2, (16), (14)], [8, (44)]. For every natural number i, $\mathcal{P}[i]$ from [2, Sch. 2]. $\square$

(22) Let us consider elements t, s, r of $\mathrm{dom}\,T$. Suppose

(i) $t \in \mathrm{dom}\,T \setminus \mathrm{Leaves}(\mathrm{dom}\,T)$, and

(ii) $s = t \frown \langle 0\rangle$, and

(iii) $r = t \frown \langle 1\rangle$.

Then the value of tree of $t =$ (the value of tree of s) + (the value of tree of r). The theorem is a consequence of (21).

(23) Let us consider a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose a finite binary tree T decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose $T \in X$. Let us consider an element p of $\mathrm{dom}\,T$ and an element r of $\mathbb{N}$. Suppose $r = T(p)_{\mathbf{1}}$. Then $r \leqslant$ the maximal value of X. Let us consider finite binary trees s, t, w decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose

(i) $s, t \in X$, and

(ii) $w = \mathrm{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1))$.

Let us consider an element p of $\mathrm{dom}\,w$ and an element r of $\mathbb{N}$. Suppose $r = w(p)_{\mathbf{1}}$. Then $r \leqslant$ (the maximal value of X) + 1. The theorem is a consequence of (11) and (12). Proof: For every element a such that

$a \in \mathrm{dom}\, d$ holds $a = \emptyset$ or there exists an element f of $\mathrm{dom}\, t$ such that $a = \langle 0 \rangle \frown f$ or there exists an element f of $\mathrm{dom}\, s$ such that $a = \langle 1 \rangle \frown f$ by [2, (23)]. □

(24) Suppose T_1, q, and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Let us consider a natural number i. Suppose $1 \leqslant i < \mathrm{len}\, T_1$. Let us consider non empty finite subsets X, Y of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose

(i) $X = T_1(i)$, and

(ii) $Y = T_1(i + 1)$.

Then the maximal value of $Y =$ (the maximal value of $X) + 1$. PROOF: Consider X, Y being non empty finite subsets of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$, s being a minimal value tree of X, t being a minimal value tree of Y, v being a finite binary tree decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $T_1(i) = X$ and $Y = X \setminus \{s\}$ and $v \in \{\mathrm{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1)), \mathrm{MakeTree}(s, t, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1))\}$ and $T_1(i + 1) = (X \setminus \{t, s\}) \cup \{v\}$. Consider L_1 being a non empty finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $L_1 = \{$the value of root from left of p, where p is an element of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}} : p \in X0\}$ and the maximal value of $X0 = \max L_1$. Consider L_4 being a non empty finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $L_4 = \{$the value of root from left of p, where p is an element of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}} : p \in Y0\}$ and the maximal value of $Y0 = \max L_4$. Reconsider $p_1 = v$ as an element of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. For every extended real x such that $x \in L_4$ holds $x \leqslant$ the value of root from left of p_1 by [2, (16)]. □

Let us consider a natural number i, a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$, a finite binary tree T decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$, an element p of $\mathrm{dom}\, T$, and an element r of $\mathbb{N}$. Now we state the propositions:

(25) Suppose T_1, q, and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Then if $X = T_1(i)$, then if $T \in X$, then if $r = T(p)_{\mathbf{1}}$, then $r \leqslant$ the maximal value of X.

(26) Suppose T_1, q, and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Then if $X = T_1(i)$, then if $T \in X$, then if $r = T(p)_{\mathbf{1}}$, then $r \leqslant$ the maximal value of X.

Now we state the proposition:

(27) Suppose T_1, q, and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Let us consider a natural number i, finite binary trees s, t decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$, and a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose

(i) $X = T_1(i)$, and

(ii) s, $t \in X$.

Let us consider a finite binary tree z decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose $z \in X$. Then $\langle$(the maximal value of $X) + 1$, (the value of root from right of t) + (the value of root from right of s)$\rangle \notin \operatorname{rng} z$. The theorem is a consequence of (26).

Let x be an element. Note that the root tree of x is one-to-one.

Now we state the propositions:

(28) Let us consider a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and finite binary trees s, t, w decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose

(i) for every finite binary tree T decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $T \in X$ for every element p of $\operatorname{dom} T$ for every element r of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $r = T(p)_{\mathbf{1}}$ holds $r \leqslant$ the maximal value of X, and

(ii) for every finite binary trees p, q decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that p, $q \in X$ and $p \neq q$ holds $\operatorname{rng} p \cap \operatorname{rng} q = \emptyset$, and

(iii) s, $t \in X$, and

(iv) $s \neq t$, and

(v) $w \in X \setminus \{s, t\}$.

Then $\operatorname{rng} \operatorname{MakeTree}(t, s, ((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1)) \cap \operatorname{rng} w = \emptyset$. The theorem is a consequence of (11) and (12). PROOF: Set $d = \operatorname{MakeTree}(t, s,$ $((\text{the maximal value of } X) + 1))$. For every element a such that $a \in \operatorname{dom} d$ holds $a = \emptyset$ or there exists an element f of $\operatorname{dom} t$ such that $a = \langle 0 \rangle \frown f$ or there exists an element f of $\operatorname{dom} s$ such that $a = \langle 1 \rangle \frown f$ by [2, (23)]. Consider n_2 being an element such that $n_2 \in \operatorname{rng} d \cap \operatorname{rng} w$. Consider a_1 being an element such that $a_1 \in \operatorname{dom} d$ and $n_2 = d(a_1)$. Consider b_1 being an element such that $b_1 \in \operatorname{dom} w$ and $n_2 = w(b_1)$. $w \in X$ and $w \neq s$ and $w \neq t$. $\square$

(29) Suppose T_1, q, and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Let us consider a natural number i and finite binary trees T, S decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose

(i) T, $S \in T_1(i)$, and

(ii) $T \neq S$.

Then $\operatorname{rng} T \cap \operatorname{rng} S = \emptyset$. The theorem is a consequence of (26) and (28). PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}[\text{natural number}] \equiv$ if $1 \leqslant \$_1 \leqslant \operatorname{len} T_1$, then for every finite binary trees T, S decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that T, $S \in T_1(\$_1)$ and $T \neq S$ holds $\operatorname{rng} T \cap \operatorname{rng} S = \emptyset$. For every natural number i such that $\mathcal{P}[i]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[i+1]$ by [21, (8)], [2, (16), (14)]. For every natural number i, $\mathcal{P}[i]$ from [2, Sch. 2]. $\square$

(30) Let us consider a non empty finite subset X of the binary finite trees of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and finite binary trees s, t decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Suppose

(i) s is one-to-one, and

(ii) t is one-to-one, and

(iii) t, $s \in X$, and

(iv) $\operatorname{rng} s \cap \operatorname{rng} t = \emptyset$, and

(v) for every finite binary tree z decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $z \in X$ holds $\langle$(the maximal value of $X) + 1$, (the value of root from right of t) + (the value of root from right of s)$\rangle \notin \operatorname{rng} z$.

Then MakeTree(t, s, ((the maximal value of X) + 1)) is one-to-one. The theorem is a consequence of (11) and (12). PROOF: Set $d =$ MakeTree(t, s, ((the maximal value of X) + 1)). For every element a such that $a \in \operatorname{dom} d$ holds $a = \emptyset$ or there exists an element f of $\operatorname{dom} t$ such that $a = \langle 0 \rangle \frown f$ or there exists an element f of $\operatorname{dom} s$ such that $a = \langle 1 \rangle \frown f$ by [2, (23)]. For every element x such that $x \in \operatorname{dom} d$ and $x \neq \emptyset$ holds $d(x) \neq d(\emptyset)$ by [11, (3)]. For every elements x_1, x_2 such that x_1, $x_2 \in \operatorname{dom} d$ and $d(x_1) = d(x_2)$ holds it is not true that there exists an element f of $\operatorname{dom} s$ such that $x_1 = \langle 1 \rangle \frown f$ and there exists an element f of $\operatorname{dom} t$ such that $x_2 = \langle 0 \rangle \frown f$ by [11, (3)]. For every elements x_1, x_2 such that $x_1, x_2 \in \operatorname{dom} d$ and $d(x_1) = d(x_2)$ holds $x_1 = x_2$. □

(31) Suppose T_1, q, and p are constructing binary Huffman tree. Let us consider a natural number i and a finite binary tree T decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$. If $T \in T_1(i)$, then T is one-to-one. The theorem is a consequence of (27), (29), and (30). PROOF: Define $\mathcal{P}$[natural number] $\equiv$ if $1 \leqslant \$_1 \leqslant \operatorname{len} T_1$, then for every finite binary tree T decorated with elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $T \in T_1(\$_1)$ holds T is one-to-one. For every natural number i such that $\mathcal{P}[i]$ holds $\mathcal{P}[i+1]$ by [2, (16), (14)]. For every natural number i, $\mathcal{P}[i]$ from [2, Sch. 2]. □

Let us consider p.

NOW WE ARE AT THE POSITION WHERE WE CAN PRESENT THE MAIN THEOREM OF THE PAPER: Every binary Huffman tree of p is one-to-one.

References

[1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**2**):377–382, 1990.

[2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):41–46, 1990.

[3] Grzegorz Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):91–96, 1990.

[4] Grzegorz Bancerek. Introduction to trees. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**2**):421–427, 1990.

[5] Grzegorz Bancerek. König's lemma. *Formalized Mathematics*, 2(**3**):397–402, 1991.

[6] Grzegorz Bancerek. Sets and functions of trees and joining operations of trees. *Formalized Mathematics*, 3(**2**):195–204, 1992.

[7] Grzegorz Bancerek. Joining of decorated trees. *Formalized Mathematics*, 4(**1**):77–82, 1993.

[8] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):107–114, 1990.

[9] Grzegorz Bancerek and Piotr Rudnicki. On defining functions on binary trees. *Formalized Mathematics*, 5(**1**):9–13, 1996.

[10] Czesław Byliński. Finite sequences and tuples of elements of a non-empty sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**):529–536, 1990.
[11] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**): 55–65, 1990.
[12] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):153–164, 1990.
[13] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):47–53, 1990.
[14] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):165–167, 1990.
[15] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Recursive definitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**2**):321–328, 1990.
[16] D. A. Huffman. *A method for the construction of minimum-redundancy codes*. Proceedings of the I.R.E, 1952.
[17] Andrzej Kondracki. Basic properties of rational numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**5**): 841–845, 1990.
[18] Andrzej Nędzusiak. σ-fields and probability. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**2**):401–407, 1990.
[19] Hiroyuki Okazaki and Yasunari Shidama. Probability on finite set and real-valued random variables. *Formalized Mathematics*, 17(**2**):129–136, 2009. doi:10.2478/v10037-009-0014-x.
[20] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**): 115–122, 1990.
[21] Andrzej Trybulec. Binary operations applied to functions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1 (**2**):329–334, 1990.
[22] Andrzej Trybulec. On the sets inhabited by numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 11(**4**): 341–347, 2003.
[23] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**3**):501–505, 1990.
[24] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):67–71, 1990.
[25] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1 (**1**):73–83, 1990.
[26] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations defined on sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(**1**):181–186, 1990.

Received June 18, 2013