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#### Abstract

A criterion is given for blocks of modular adjacency algebras of association schemes to be simple.


## 1 Introduction

In [4], the author proved the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1. Let $(X, S)$ be an association scheme, and let $F$ be a field of characteristic $p$. Then the adjacency algebra FS is semisimple if and only if p does not divide the Frame number $\mathcal{F}(S)$.

For the definition of the Frame number, see $\S 2.4$.
The notion of an association scheme generalizes the notion of a finite group, so that Theorem 1.1 is a straightforward generalization of Maschke's theorem on finite groups [7, III. Theorem 1.22].

Example 1.2. Let $(X, S)$ be a thin association scheme defined by the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{3}$ of degree 3. Then the Frame number of $S$ is

$$
\mathcal{F}(S)=6^{6} \times \frac{1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1 \times 1}{1 \times 1 \times 2^{4}}=2^{2} \times 3^{6}=2916
$$

Thus the adjacency algebra $F S$ is semisimple if and only if $p \neq 2,3$. However, in this case, the adjacency algebra is just the group algebra. Therefore, it is characterized by the group order $\left|\mathfrak{S}_{3}\right|=6$ in Maschke's theorem.

For a finite group $G$ and a field $F$ of characteristic $p$, we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
p \nmid|G| & \Longleftrightarrow \text { the principal block of } F G \text { is simple } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow F G \text { is semisimple. }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]For an association scheme ( $X, S$ ), we still have

$$
p \nmid|X| \Longleftrightarrow \text { the principal block of } F S \text { is simple }
$$

but these two conditions are not equivalent to the fact that $F S$ is semisimple. However, this equivalence can also be considered as a generalization of Maschke's theorem, a generalization which is different from the generalization provided by Theorem 1.1.

In this article, we will divide the Frame number to blocks and give a criterion for blocks to be simple. In $\S 3$, we define a matrix $T_{B}$ for each block $B$ of $F S$ such that the determinant of $T_{B}$ decides on the simplicity of the block $B$ (Theorem 3.2). Also we will see that the product of $\left(\operatorname{det} T_{B}\right)^{2}$ for all blocks $B$ is almost equal to the Frame number, and that provides us also with a new proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Association schemes and adjacency algebras

Let $X$ be a finite set, and let $S$ be a partition of $X \times X$. The pair $(X, S)$ is said to be an association scheme if
(1) $1=\{(x, x) \mid x \in X\} \in S$,
(2) for every $s \in S, s^{*}=\{(y, x) \mid(x, y) \in s\} \in S$,
(3) for every triple $(s, t, u) \in S^{3}$, there is a non-negative integer $p_{s t}^{u}$ such that $\sharp\{z \in X \mid$ $(x, z) \in s,(z, y) \in t\}=p_{s t}^{u}$ when $(x, y) \in u$.

We denote by $M_{X}(R)$ the full matrix ring over a commutative ring $R$ where both rows and columns of matrices are indexed by the set $X$. For $s \subset X \times X$, we define the adjacency matrix $\sigma_{s} \in M_{X}(\mathbb{Z})$ whose $(x, y)$-entry is 1 if $(x, y) \in s$ and 0 otherwise. Using adjacency matrices, we can see that the conditions (1), (2), (3) are equivalent to the following conditions :
(1) there is a $1 \in S$ such that $\sigma_{1}$ is the identity matrix,
(2) for every $s \in S$, there is an $s^{*} \in S$ such that $\sigma_{s^{*}}$ is the transposed matrix of $\sigma_{s}$,
(3) for every triple $(s, t, u) \in S^{3}$, there is a non-negative integer $p_{s t}^{u}$ such that $\sigma_{s} \sigma_{t}=$ $\sum_{u \in S} p_{s t}^{u} \sigma_{u}$.

For details, see [2] or [8].
Let $(X, S)$ be an association scheme. By the condition (3), we can define a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $\mathbb{Z} S=\bigoplus_{s \in S} \mathbb{Z} \sigma_{s} \subset M_{X}(\mathbb{Z})$. For a commutative ring $R$ with identity, we can define an $R$-algebra $R S=R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} S \subset M_{X}(R)$. We call $R S$ the adjacency algebra of $(X, S)$ over $R$. In this article, a "representation" of $(X, S)$ over $R$ means a representation of $R S$, namely an $R$-algebra homomorphism from $R S$ to the full matrix algebra $M_{n}(R)$ for some degree $n$, and a "character" means the trace function of a representation.

Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity. Since $R S$ is defined as a subalgebra of $M_{X}(R)$, the map $\Gamma_{R}: R S \rightarrow M_{X}(R), \Gamma_{R}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)=\sigma_{s}$ is a representation. We call $\Gamma_{R}$ the standard representation of $(X, S)$ over $R$. We denote by $\gamma_{R}$ the standard character of $(X, S)$ over $R$, the character of the standard representation. By definition, we can see that $\gamma_{R}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=|X| 1_{R}$ and $\gamma_{R}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)=0$ for $1 \neq s \in S$. We put $n_{s}=p_{s s^{*}}^{1}$ for $s \in S$, and call this number the valency of $s \in S$. Easily we can see that every row or column of $\sigma_{s}$ contains exactly $n_{s}$ ones. Thus the map $\sigma_{s} \mapsto n_{s} 1_{R}$ is a representation of $R S$, and we call this the trivial representation.

Now we consider the case $R=\mathbb{C}$, the complex number field. It is known that $\mathbb{C} S$ is semisimple [8, Theorem 4.1.3 (ii)]. We denote by $\operatorname{Irr}(S)$ the set of all irreducible characters of $\mathbb{C} S$. Considering the irreducible decomposition of the standard character, we set $\gamma_{\mathbb{C}}=\sum_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(S)} m_{\chi} \chi$. We call $m_{\chi}$ the multiplicity of $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(S)$.

### 2.2 Splitting $p$-modular systems and $p$-blocks

Let $p$ be a rational prime number. Like in modular representation theory of finite groups, we consider a splitting $p$-modular system $(K, R, F)$ of an association scheme $(X, S)$. For details, see [7]. Now $R$ is a complete discrete valuation ring with the maximal ideal $\pi R$, $K$ the quotient field of $R$ of characteristic zero, $F=R / \pi R$ the residue class field of characteristic $p$, and algebras $K S$ and $F S$ are splitting algebras. There is a natural correspondence between representations of $K S$ and $\mathbb{C} S$. Thus we can suppose that $\operatorname{Irr}(S)$ is the set of all irreducible characters of $K S$.

Let $R S=B_{0} \oplus \cdots \oplus B_{\ell}$ be the indecomposable direct sum decomposition of $R S$ as a two-sided ideal. We call $B_{i}$ a block or a $p$-block of $(X, S)$ and denote by $\operatorname{Bl}(S)$ the set of all blocks. We have a decomposition $1_{R S}=e_{B_{0}}+\cdots+e_{B_{\ell}}, e_{B_{i}} \in B_{i}$, and call $e_{B_{i}}$ the block idempotent of $B_{i}$. Put $F B_{i}=F \otimes_{R} B_{i}$ and $K B_{i}=K \otimes_{R} B_{i}$. Then $F B_{i}$ are also indecomposable as two-sided ideals and we have direct sum decompositions $F S=F B_{0} \oplus \cdots \oplus F B_{\ell}$ and $K S=K B_{0} \oplus \cdots \oplus K B_{\ell}$. We also have a partition $\operatorname{Irr}(S)=\operatorname{Irr}\left(K B_{0}\right) \cup \cdots \cup \operatorname{Irr}\left(K B_{\ell}\right)$, where $\operatorname{Irr}\left(K B_{i}\right)=\left\{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(S) \mid \chi\left(e_{B_{i}}\right) \neq 0\right\}$.

We suppose that the trivial character is in $\operatorname{Irr}\left(K B_{0}\right)$ and call $B_{0}$ the principal block.

### 2.3 Decomposition and Cartan matrices

Let $(X, S)$ be an association scheme, and let $(K, R, F)$ be a splitting $p$-modular system of $(X, S)$. For every representation $\Psi$ of $K S$, we can take an $R$-form of $\Psi$, namely, taking suitable similar representation, we may assume $\Psi\left(\sigma_{s}\right) \in M_{n}(R)$ for any $s \in S[7$, I. Theorem 1.6].

We denote by $\operatorname{Irr}(F S)$ the set of all irreducible characters of $F S$. Note that $\operatorname{Irr}(F S)$ is linearly independent over $F$. Let $\Psi$ be an irreducible representation of $K S$ affording $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(S)$. We suppose $\Psi\left(\sigma_{s}\right) \in M_{n}(R)$ for any $s \in S$. Then we can define an $F$ representation $\bar{\Psi}$. We denote by $d_{\chi \varphi}$ the multiplicity of $\varphi \in \operatorname{Irr}(F S)$ in the representation $\bar{\Psi}$ as an irreducible constituent. The $R$-form $\Psi$ is not unique for $\chi$, but the number $d_{\chi \varphi}$ is defined [7, I. Theorem 1.9]. We call $d_{\chi \varphi}$ the decomposition number. The matrix $D=\left(d_{\chi \varphi}\right)_{\operatorname{Irr}(S) \times \operatorname{Irr}(F S)}$ is called the decomposition matrix of $(X, S)$.

Let $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ be simple $F S$-modules affording irreducible $F$-characters $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{\prime}$, respectively. We denote by $c_{\varphi \varphi^{\prime}}$ the multiplicity of $W^{\prime}$ in the projective cover of $W$ as an irreducible constituent. We call $c_{\varphi \varphi^{\prime}}$ the Cartan invariant. The matrix $C=$ $\left(c_{\varphi \varphi^{\prime}}\right)_{\operatorname{Irr}(F S) \times \operatorname{Irr}(F S)}$ is called the Cartan matrix of $(X, S)$. We have $C={ }^{t} D D$ same as in [7, II. Theorem 6.8].

The decomposition matrix $D$ and the Cartan matrix $C$ are decomposed into blocks. Namely, for distinct blocks $B$ and $B^{\prime}, d_{\chi \varphi}=0$ if $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(K B)$ and $\varphi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(F B^{\prime}\right)$, and $c_{\varphi \varphi^{\prime}}=0$ if $\varphi \in \operatorname{Irr}(F B)$ and $\varphi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(F B^{\prime}\right)$. We write

$$
D=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{B_{0}} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & D_{B_{\ell}}
\end{array}\right), \quad C=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
C_{B_{0}} & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& & C_{B_{\ell}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and call $D_{B}$ and $C_{B}$ the decomposition and the Cartan matrices of the block $B$. We have $C_{B}={ }^{t} D_{B} D_{B}$.

We will give an easy lemma without proof to use it later.
Lemma 2.1. For $B \in \operatorname{Bl}(S)$, the following conditions are equivalent :
(1) $F B$ is a semisimple algebra.
(2) $F B$ is a simple algebra.
(3) $D_{B}=(1)$.
(4) $C_{B}=(1)$.

### 2.4 Schur relations and the Frame number

Let $(X, S)$ be an association scheme. Write $\operatorname{Irr}(S)=\left\{\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{r}\right\}$ and fix irreducible representations $\Psi^{(i)}$ affording $\chi_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. For $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j, k \leq \chi_{i}(1)$, define a function $\Psi_{j k}^{(i)}$ which send $\sigma_{s}$ to the $(j, k)$-entry of $\Psi^{(i)}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)$.
Theorem 2.2 (Schur relation $[3,5]$ ). Under the above notations, we have

$$
\frac{m_{\chi_{i}}}{|X|} \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{n_{s}} \Psi_{j k}^{(i)}\left(\sigma_{s}\right) \Psi_{j^{\prime} k^{\prime}}^{\left(i^{\prime}\right)}\left(\sigma_{s^{*}}\right)=\delta_{i i^{\prime}} \delta_{j k^{\prime}} \delta_{j^{\prime} k} .
$$

Put $I=\left\{(i, j, k) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j, k \leq \chi_{i}(1)\right\}$. Then $|I|=|S|$. We define an $I \times S$ square matrix $T=\left(\Psi_{j k}^{(i)}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right)_{(i, j, k), s}$. We have the matrix form of Schur relation.

Theorem 2.3 (Schur relation - matrix form). We have

$$
|X|^{-1} T N^{-1} P^{t} T Q M=E,
$$

where $T_{I \times S}=\left(\Psi_{j k}^{(i)}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right), N_{S \times S}=\operatorname{diag}\left(n_{s}\right), M_{I \times I}=\operatorname{diag}\left(m_{\chi_{i}}\right), P_{S \times S}$ is the permutation matrix representing $s \mapsto s^{*}$, $Q_{I \times I}$ is the permutation matrix representing $(i, j, k) \mapsto$ $(i, k, j)$, and $E$ is the identity matrix.

Considering the determinants of the equation in Theorem 2.3, we have

$$
(\operatorname{det} T)^{2}=\varepsilon|X|^{|S|}(\operatorname{det} N)(\operatorname{det} M)^{-1}=\varepsilon|X|^{|S|} \frac{\prod_{s \in S} n_{s}}{\prod_{\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(S)} m_{\chi}^{\chi(1)^{2}}}
$$

where $\varepsilon=(\operatorname{det} P)(\operatorname{det} Q) \in\{-1,1\}$. We put $\mathcal{F}(S)=\left|(\operatorname{det} T)^{2}\right|$ and call this number the Frame number of $(X, S)$. It is known that $\mathcal{F}(S)$ is a rational integer.

## 3 Simplicity of $p$-blocks

Let $(X, S)$ be an association scheme, and let $(K, R, F)$ be a splitting $p$-modular system of $(X, S)$. As in $\S 2.4$, write $\operatorname{Irr}(S)=\left\{\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{r}\right\}$ and fix irreducible representations $\Psi^{(i)}$ affording $\chi_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. We suppose that $\Psi^{(i)}\left(\sigma_{s}\right) \in M_{\chi_{i}(1)}(R)$ for any $s \in S$. Recall that $I=\left\{(i, j, k) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j, k \leq \chi_{i}(1)\right\}$. Put $I_{B}=\left\{(i, j, k) \mid \chi_{i} \in \operatorname{Irr}(K B), 1 \leq\right.$ $\left.j, k \leq \chi_{i}(1)\right\}$. Then $I=\bigcup_{B \in \operatorname{Bl}(S)} I_{B}$ is a partition of $I$. As before, we define $\Psi_{j k}^{(i)}$ and the matrix $T_{I \times S}=\left(\Psi_{j k}^{(i)}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right)$. Also we define an $I_{B} \times S$ matrix $T_{B}^{\prime}=\left(\Psi_{j k}^{(i)}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)\right)$ for $B \in \operatorname{Bl}(S)$. We can write

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{c}
T_{B_{0}}^{\prime} \\
\vdots \\
T_{B_{\ell}}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We also consider a partition of $S$. We can apply [7, III. Lemma 11.1] and get the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. There is a partition $S=\bigcup_{B \in \mathrm{Bl}(S)} S_{B}$ such that $\bigcup_{B \in \mathrm{Bl}(S)}\left\{e_{B} \sigma_{s} \mid s \in S_{B}\right\}$ is an $R$-basis of $R S$.

We call the partition in Lemma 3.1 a block decomposition of $S$. We note that the decomposition is not unique. For $s \in S$, we write $B_{s}$ for the block such that $s \in S_{B_{s}}$. Now we define an $I \times S$ matrix $T^{\prime}=\left(\Psi_{j k}^{(i)}\left(e_{B_{s}} \sigma_{s}\right)\right)$. Since $\Psi_{j k}^{(i)}\left(e_{B_{s}} \sigma_{s}\right)=0$ if $\chi_{i} \notin \operatorname{Irr}\left(K B_{s}\right)$, we can write

$$
T^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{B_{0}} & & 0 \\
& \ddots & \\
0 & & T_{B_{\ell}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $T_{B}$ is an $I_{B} \times S_{B}$ square matrix over $R$.
Now we can state our main result.
Theorem 3.2. Let $(X, S)$ be an association scheme, and $B \in \operatorname{Bl}(S)$. Then $F B$ is simple if and only if $\operatorname{det} T_{B}$ is a unit in $R$.

Proof. For $B \in \operatorname{Bl}(S)$, we show that the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $F B$ is semisimple.
(ii) $F B$ is simple.
(iii) The $F$-representation $\overline{\Psi^{(i)}}$ is surjective for every $\chi_{i} \in \operatorname{Irr}(K B)$.
(iv) $T_{B}$ is invertible in $M_{\left|S_{B}\right|}(R)$.
(v) $\operatorname{det} T_{B}$ is a unit in $R$.

Equivalences (i) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (ii) and (iii) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (iv) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (v) are clear. Also it is easy to see (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii). If (ii) holds, then (iii) holds by Lemma 2.1.

Theorem 1.1 is proved again as a corollary to Theorem 3.2.
Corollary 3.3 ([4, Theorem 4.2]). Let $(X, S)$ be an association scheme, and let $F$ be a field of characteristic $p$. Then the adjacency algebra $F S$ is semisimple if and only if $p$ does not divide the Frame number $\mathcal{F}(S)$.

Proof. Since the adjacency algebra is defined over the prime field $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is perfect, we may assume that the field $F$ is arbitrary large. Also it is easy to see that $\mathcal{F}(S)=$ $u \prod_{B \in \mathrm{Bl}(S)}\left(\operatorname{det} T_{B}\right)^{2}$ for some unit $u$ in $R$. Therefore the assertion is clear by Theorem 3.2.

We have shown in Theorem 3.2 that the determinant of $T_{B}$ decides the simplicity of the block. However the matrix $T_{B}$ is depending on the choice of representations $\Psi^{(i)}$ and a block decomposition of $S$. We give the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. The determinant of $T_{B}$ is unique up to unit factors in $R$.
Proof. We consider a similarity of a representation. If we change $\Psi^{(i)}\left(\sigma_{s}\right)$ to $P^{-1} \Psi^{(i)}\left(\sigma_{s}\right) P$ for some invertible matrix $P$ over $K, T_{B}$ becomes

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & & & & 0 \\
& \ddots & & & \\
& & P^{-1} \otimes^{t} P & & \\
& & & \ddots & \\
0 & & & & 1
\end{array}\right) T_{B}
$$

and $\operatorname{det}\left(P^{-1} \otimes{ }^{t} P\right)=1$. Thus the determinant of $T_{B}$ is not changed.
We consider choices of block decompositions of $S$. Let $S=\bigcup_{B \in \mathrm{Bl}(S)} S_{B}=\bigcup_{B \in \mathrm{Bl}(S)} S_{B}^{\prime}$ be two block decompositions. If we change the basis, $T_{B}$ becomes $T_{B} Q$ for some unimodular matrix $Q$ over $R$ since $\left\{e_{B} \sigma_{s} \mid s \in S_{B}\right\}$ and $\left\{e_{B} \sigma_{s} \mid s \in S_{B}^{\prime}\right\}$ are $R$-bases of $B$. Therefore $\operatorname{det} T_{B} Q=\left(\operatorname{det} T_{B}\right)(\operatorname{det} Q)$ and $\operatorname{det} Q$ is a unit in $R$.

Example 3.5. Let $(X, S)$ be the unique non-commutative association scheme with
$|X|=15$. The matrix $T$ is as follows.

|  | $\sigma_{0}$ | $\sigma_{1}$ | $\sigma_{2}$ | $\sigma_{3}$ | $\sigma_{4}$ | $\sigma_{5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\Psi_{11}^{(1)}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $\Psi_{11}^{(2)}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| $\Psi_{11}^{(3)}$ | 1 | 0 | -1 | 2 | 0 | -2 |
| $\Psi_{21}^{(3)}$ | 0 | -1 | 1 | -2 | 2 | 0 |
| $\Psi_{12}^{(3)}$ | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 2 | -2 |
| $\Psi_{22}^{(3)}$ | 1 | -1 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 2 |

We consider the case $p=2$. Then $\operatorname{Bl}(S)=\left\{B_{0}, B_{1}, B_{2}\right\}$ and we have

$$
S_{B_{0}}=\left\{\sigma_{0}\right\}, \quad S_{B_{1}}=\left\{\sigma_{3}\right\}, \quad S_{B_{2}}=\left\{\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{4}, \sigma_{5}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Irr}\left(K B_{0}\right)=\left\{\chi_{1}\right\}, \quad \operatorname{Irr}\left(K B_{1}\right)=\left\{\chi_{2}\right\}, \quad \operatorname{Irr}\left(K B_{2}\right)=\left\{\chi_{3}\right\} .
$$

The matrix $T^{\prime}$ is as follows.

|  | $e_{B_{0}} \sigma_{0}$ | $e_{B_{1}} \sigma_{3}$ | $e_{B_{2}} \sigma_{1}$ | $e_{B_{2}} \sigma_{2}$ | $e_{B_{2}} \sigma_{4}$ | $e_{B_{2}} \sigma_{5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\Psi_{11}^{(1)}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\Psi_{11}^{(2)}$ |  | -1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\Psi_{11}^{(3)}$ |  |  | 0 | -1 | 0 | -2 |
| $\Psi_{21}^{(3)}$ |  |  | -1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| $\Psi_{12}^{(3)}$ |  |  | 1 | -1 | 2 | -2 |
| $\Psi_{22}^{(3)}$ |  |  | -1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

We have $\operatorname{det} T_{B_{0}}=1$, $\operatorname{det} T_{B_{1}}=-1$, $\operatorname{det} T_{B_{2}}=-12$. Therefore, we can see that $F B_{0}$ and $F B_{1}$ are simple and $F B_{2}$ is not simple.

Remark. Let $A$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-free $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra of finite rank such that the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}$ $A$ is semisimple. For example, adjacency algebras of coherent configurations [6] and integral standard generalized table algebras [1] satisfy this condition. Then we can apply arguments in this article, except in $\S 2.4$, especially the Frame numbers are not defined for them, in general. We can define $T_{B}$ and Theorem 3.2 holds for them.

Example 3.6. Let $X$ be a finite poset such that $|X| \geq 2$, and let $n$ be a nonzero rational integer. Define a $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra $A$ of $M_{X}(\mathbb{Z})$ by $A_{x y}=\mathbb{Z}$ if $x \leq y$ and $A_{x y}=n \mathbb{Z}$ otherwise. Then $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} A \cong M_{X}(\mathbb{C})$ is semisimple and Theorem 3.2 holds for $A$. We can define the matrix $T$ and we have $|\operatorname{det} T|=n^{a}$ where $a=\sharp\{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \not \leq y\}$. Thus $A / p A$ is a semisimple $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-algebra if and only if $p \nmid n$.
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