- 1 Bony Landmarks of the Anterior Cruciate Ligament Tibial Footprint: A Detailed
- 2 Analysis Comparing Three-Dimensional Computed Tomography Images to Visual
- 3 and Histological Evaluations
- 4

#### 5 ABSTRACT

6

Background: Although the importance of tibial tunnel position was recently recognized
for achieving stability after anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction, there are
fewer detailed reports of tibia topographic footprint anatomy compared to the femoral
side.

11 Hypothesis: The ACL tibial footprint has relationships to bony prominences and

12 surrounding bony landmarks.

13 Study design: Descriptive laboratory study

14 **Methods:** We planned two anatomical studies for the identification of bony

15 prominences that correspond to the ACL tibial footprint and three surrounding

16 landmarks: anterior ridge, lateral groove, and intertubercular fossa. In the first study,

17 after computed tomography (CT) scanning performed on 12 paired embalmed cadaveric

18 knees, 12 knees were visually observed, while their contralateral knees were

19 histologically observed. Comparisons were made between macroscopic/microscopic

20 findings and three-dimensional (3D) CT images of these bony landmarks. In the second

study, the morphology of the bony prominence and incidence of their bony landmarks

22 were evaluated from the preoperative CT data of 60 knee joints.

23 **Results:** In the first study, we were able to confirm a bony prominence and all three

surrounding landmarks by CT imaging in all cases. Visual evaluation confirmed a small

25 bony eminence at the anterior boundary of the ACL. The lateral groove was not

26 confirmed macroscopically. The ACL was not attached to the lateral intercondylar

tubercle, ACL tibial ridge, and intertubercular space at the posterior boundary.

28 Histological evaluation confirmed an anterior ridge and lateral groove was positioned at

the anterior and lateral boundary. There was no ligament tissue on the intercondylar 2930 space corresponding to the intercondylar fossa. In the second study, the bony 31prominence shows two morphological patterns: an oval type (58.3%) and triangular type (41.6%). The three bony landmarks including the anterior ridge, lateral groove, and 32intertubercular fossa existed in 96.6%, 100%, and 96.6% of our cases, respectively. 33 34**Conclusion:** There is a bony prominence corresponding to the ACL footprint and bony landmarks on the anterior, posterior, and lateral boundary. 35Clinical relevance: Our results may help create an accurate and reproducible tunnel, 36 which is essential to the successful ACL reconstruction surgery. 37Keywords: anterior cruciate ligament; bony landmark; tibial footprint; 38 39 three-dimensional computed tomography What is known about the subject: There is very little documentation available on 40 bony landmarks surrounding the tibial ACL attachment site. Most existing literature 41continues to provide limited evaluations, either through CT or visual images, and 4243comprehensive evaluations utilizing multiple methodologies have not been reported. Although the discovery of bony landmarks led to the standardization of femoral bone 44tunnel construction, there are still no standardized methods for tibial tunnel 45construction. 46What this study adds to existing knowledge: The present study on ACL tibial 4748attachment site and bony landmarks compared 3D images with visual and histological evaluations. The results from this study found a unique bony landmark surrounding the 49ACL attachment site that was never previously described. Moreover, by showing the 50relationship of these bony landmarks and surrounding anatomical landmarks, we were 51able to document a useful indicator for determining tunnel positions during arthroscopic 52

53	surgery. The tibial attachment site is narrower than had previously thought, and we
54	believe tunnel construction within the boundaries (indicator) described in our study will
55	make reproducibility and anatomical positioning possible for tunnel construction. There
56	have been many recent biomechanical research studies that report the importance of
57	tibial tunnel positioning, and the consideration of both anatomical and biomechanical
58	factors are necessary for determining tunnel positions. We believe that our proposed
59	landmarks are useful in fulfilling both of these considerations.

## **INTRODUCTION**

63	The current operation for anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury has shifted to the
64	anatomical ACL reconstruction, which mimics the original anatomy of the ACL. A
65	recent study suggested that a more anatomical approach to replicate the original ACL
66	anatomy should be of benefit to knee stability. <sup>11, 20, 33, 34</sup> For that reason, optimal
67	anatomic replacement of ACL graft is essential for improving patient clinical outcome.
68	Therefore, in performing an anatomic ACL reconstruction, detailed knowledge of native
69	insertions are critical.
70	Ferretti et al. reported two bony prominences that exist in the anterior edge and
71	partitions the anteromedial bundle (AMB) and posterolateral bundle (PLB) in the
72	femoral ACL footprint; these bony protrusions were coined the "intercondylar ridge"
73	and "bifurcate ridge", respectively. <sup>7</sup> Iwahashi, et al. compared three dimensional
74	computed tomography (3D-CT) images and histological findings to assess their detailed
75	bony border. <sup>15</sup> Moreover, Shino et al. described the ability to arthroscopically identify
76	the intercondylar ridge as a precise intraoperative landmark when creating a femoral
77	tunnel. <sup>27</sup> These findings would standardize the view on the position of femoral ACL
78	attachment, and be beneficial for reproducible femoral tunnel placement at the time of
79	anatomical ACL reconstruction.
80	Compared to the femoral attachments, there are no general standard guidelines and
81	fewer reports on the bony landmarks for the anatomical placement of the tibial tunnel.
82	The CT study related on tibial bony landmarks by Purnell et al. is widely known. <sup>26</sup> In
83	this report, the authors reported that the posterior and medial boundaries of bone are the
84	tibial ridge and the medial intercondylar eminence; there is no bony landmark in the

85anterior and lateral boundary. However, because their study used only 3D-CT images 86 adjusted by a volume-rendering technique to determine the border of the footprint, their detailed result was unclear. Recent studies show the importance of tibial tunnel location 87 in terms of translational control and rotational stability after ACL reconstruction.<sup>2, 3, 8, 13,</sup> 88 <sup>21, 24</sup> Bedi et al. reported more anterior tibial tunnel placement significantly reduced 89 90 anterior tibial translation and pivot shift movement compared with posterior tunnel placement in a cadaveric study.<sup>3</sup> Mall et al. revealed less oblique grafts were associated 91with greater anterior translation, and graft obliquity is particularly influenced by tibial 92tunnel position.<sup>21</sup> Accordingly, based on these reports, it is important for postoperative 93 94knee stability to create the anteromedial placement of tibial tunnel within anatomical 95footprints, and there is a need for accurate identification of bony or soft tissue 96 landmarks for creating an ideal tibial tunnel that is compatible with the diverse morphology of the tibial footprint. 97

We performed a preliminary investigation using preoperative 3D knee imaging in 98order to identify bony landmarks for the tibial attachment of the ACL. We identified a 99 bone prominence in front of the medial/lateral intercondylar tubercles and medial side 100 of medial intercondylar ridge as a bony landmark (Figure 1) that is distinguished from 101the surrounding area by the clear bone eminence of the anterior side which connected to 102103 the anterior edge of medial intercondylar eminence, a small groove that runs back and 104forth on the lateral side, and a small pit between the medial and lateral intercondylar tubercles on the posterior side. These bony landmarks were named as the anterior ridge, 105lateral groove, and intertubercular fossa, respectively. In this study, we focused on these 106 107 bony structures that correspond to the ACL tibial footprint and its surrounding boundaries, through a combination of visual, histological and image findings in order to 108

109 determine the ideal tibial tunnel position.

#### 111 MATERIALS AND METHODS

112

### 113 Assessment of Tibial Attachment of the ACL in Cadavers by Macroscopic and

### 114 Microscopic and CT Images Evaluation

115

To investigate the correlation between the bony landmark and ACL tibial attachment, an anatomic study was performed. Twenty four paired knees (eight men and four women) from twelve adult embalmed cadavers, with no macroscopic degenerative or traumatic changes, were donated and used in this study. The mean age of the cadavers was 82.7 years (range, 45 to 94 years).

The muscles and capsule around the knee joint were removed. The posterior 121122cruciate ligament (PCL) and patella were also removed. The proximal tibia was cut with 123a bone saw 4cm below the articular surface, and the ACL was cut at the femoral attachment from the roof of the intercondylar notch to allow macroscopic and 124microscopic examination of the tibial ACL attachment. Then all knees were scanned at 125slice thickness of 1 mm with a CT scanner (SOMATOM Sensation16; Siemens Medical 126Solutions, Erlangen, Germany). The CT data were reconstructed with software for 127image analysis (Osiri X version 5.5; Apple, CA). 3D images of the proximal tibial 128129condyle were reconstructed from CT data by a 3D volume rendering technique. Presence of each previously described bony landmark was evaluated by 3D imaging 130131from multiple orientations. 132These paired knees were randomly divided into two groups for macroscopic and microscopic evaluation, respectively. In terms of the macroscopic evaluation group, the 133

134 overlying synovium and fat tissue around the ACL were carefully removed to expose

the surface of the ligament. Gross macroscopic evaluation was performed with special 135136attention to the relationship between the bony/anatomical landmarks and margins of the ACL footprint. In terms of the microscopic evaluation group, the soft tissue around the 137 138ACL was not touched for the evaluation of its natural status. To identify the relationship 139between the ACL attachment and bony landmarks, we cut 3 specimens from a cadaver 140through corresponding planes, including the insertion of dense collagen fibers and the surrounding soft and bony tissue: the sagittal plane for the anterior ridge, coronal plane 141for the lateral groove, and axial plane for the intercondylar fossa. Because one knee 142cannot sufficiently yield three specimens, the lateral groove specimen was prepared 143144using a knee from the macroscopic group. Delipidation was performed in methyl alcohol for 3 days. Decalcification was performed in K-CX solution (Falma, Tokyo, 145146Japan) for 3 to 7 days dependent on bone quality. Dehydration was performed in a series of graded methyl alcohol. The anterior ridge and lateral groove were both sampled from 147the center of the bony landmark, while the intercondylar fossa was sampled from the tip, 148center, and base of the tubercle. Sections were sliced into 5-µm specimens, stained with 149150H&E stain. To evaluate the relationship between the bony landmark and ligament border, each specimen was carefully inspected with a light microscope (NECLIPSE E800M; 151Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). 152153Visual and histological evaluations were assessed through its comparison to 3D-CT 154images. 155Assessment of ACL Tibial Insertion Morphology and Bony Landmark with High 156**Resolution CT in Patients** 157158

159	Sixty consecutive patients undergoing surgery around the knee were involved (Table1).
160	They consisted of 31 females and 29 males with a mean age of $28.8 \pm 15.0$ years
161	ranging from 13 to 70 years. All of them underwent CT scan preoperatively for
162	clinically evaluation. The preoperative diagnosis was shown in Table 1. For ACL cases,
163	CT imaging within 6 months of injury were used. Those who had undergone a previous
164	surgery to the index knee, those who showed radiographically bone surface changes, or
165	those with a tumor lesion that invaded the articular surface were excluded in this study.
166	3D images of the proximal tibial condyle were reconstructed from CT data by a 3D
167	volume rendering technique in the same protocol of cadaver study, and the shape, length,
168	and width of the bone prominence were evaluated. Measurement of the tibial bony
169	prominence was achieved while visualizing the tibial plateau in the axial plane (Figure
170	2). Anteroposterior lengths of the bony prominence were measured by the distance
171	between the most anterior elevated points and anterior margin of the intertubercular
172	fossa (A1)/ACL tibial ridge (A2) in the AP direction, respectively. Medial-lateral widths
173	were measured by the distance between the medial intercondylar eminence and medial
174	margin of bony prominence (B1), the deepest point of lateral groove (B2) in ML
175	direction, respectively. Incidence of each bony landmark, including the anterior ridge,
176	lateral groove, and intertubercular fossa were evaluated. The anterior ridge was
177	measured in its length (C), and the lateral groove was measured in its length (D1) and
178	width (D2).
179	Ethical approval for each study was obtained from the Institutional Review Board.

#### 181 **RESULTS**

182

### 183 **Tibial Attachment of the ACL in Cadavers.**

184

185In all cases, we were able to confirm a bone prominence that corresponded to ACL 186 footprint and all three landmarks, including the anterior ridge, lateral groove, and intertubercular fossa by 3D-CT imaging. All descriptions of gross appearance are 187 compiled and presented in Table 2. Visual observation and palpation confirmed the ACL 188 in the medial margin of the medial intercondylar eminence of the tibia. Synovium and 189 190 fat tissue were not present in the anterior side, and direct tactile confirmation of a small bony eminence was made on the anterior margin with a longitudinal ligament-splitting 191192incision, closely matching the anterior ridge observed in 3D-CT images. Furthermore, 193 the ACL was attached posterior to this bony eminence. Consequently, the lateral margin of the ACL was adjacent to the anterior horn of the lateral meniscus, and the visual 194border was obscured by the attached ligament fiber that consisted of 1/2 to 1/3 the width 195of the meniscoid surface. Fat and fibrous tissues were found between the medial/lateral 196197 intercondylar tubercle, and careful removal of these tissue revealed ligament fiber attached to the tip of the medial intercondylar tubercle; however, they were not attached 198199 to the lateral intercondylar tubercle, ACL tibial ridge, and intertubercular space which 200corresponded to intertubercular fossa on 3D-CT image (Figure 3). Histological evaluation confirmed an anterior ridge in all cases, and ACL was 201202attached posterior to the border of this protrusion (Figure 4A). On the lateral aspect, 203ligament tissue was attached to bottom of the lateral groove in all but one case, and all

204 cases were positioned adjacent to the anterior horn of the lateral meniscus (Figure 4B).

205	Similarly to the macroscopic evaluation, there were fat, blood vessel, and fibrous tissue;
206	however, no ligament tissue between the lateral and medial intercondylar tubercle that
207	corresponds to the intercondylar fossa on 3D-CT image, aside from one case in which
208	we could not create sufficient specimen for histological evaluation (Figure 5).
209	
210	ACL Tibial Insertion Morphology and Bony Landmark in Patients
211	
212	In addition to the result of the preliminary study, the bony prominence was confirmed in
213	front of the medial and lateral intercondylar tubercle in all cases through the images of
214	the tibial condyle articular surface. Morphological patterns of the bony prominence,
215	incidence of the three bony landmarks, and measurements of the bony prominence and
216	bony landmarks are reported in Table 3 and 4. This prominence showed 2
217	morphological patterns: an oval type with the longer axis oriented in the AP direction
218	and a triangular type with the base opened anteriorly. The anterior ridge was located on
219	the anterior margin of this prominence, and the medial margin of this ridge was all
220	connected to the anterior edge of the medial intercondylar ridge. The lateral groove was
221	located on the anterior side of the lateral intercondylar tubercle in the AP direction, and
222	opened anteriorly. The intertubercular fossa existed between the medial-lateral
223	intercondylar tubercule and anterior margin of this fossa corresponded to the anterior
224	margin of the medial/lateral intercondylar tubercle.

## **DISCUSSION**

228	Whereas much attention has been paid to surgical methods for more accurate recreation
229	of the femoral tunnel placement, considerably less focus has been placed on the tibial
230	tunnel position. The purpose of this study was to provide new basic anatomical data for
231	tibial tunnel creation. There are two new points raised in our present study. Firstly, there
232	is a bony prominence at the center of the tibial plateau, which nearly overlaps with the
233	ACL attachment site. Macroscopic and microscopic findings present us with a bony
234	landmark found in the periphery of this prominence, and the bony landmark serves as a
235	border between the ligament tissue and its surroundings; the high incidence of this
236	landmark is demonstrated by 3D-CT imaging. Secondly, there is a relationship between
237	these bony landmarks and anatomical landmarks, which could be endoscopically
238	conferred and confirmed as an indicator for bone tunnel creation.
239	The size of the tibial attachment site can vary widely according to different reports
240	(Table 5). Our findings showed that the anteroposterior length was comparatively
241	shorter than other reports. We thought that the sex, physique, and racial makeup of
242	
414	research subjects had a great influence on both their and our results. <sup>18, 23</sup> Furthermore,
242	research subjects had a great influence on both their and our results. <sup>18, 23</sup> Furthermore, most research on attachment sites visually assesses cadaveric ligament attachment sites.
243 244	research subjects had a great influence on both their and our results. <sup>18, 23</sup> Furthermore, most research on attachment sites visually assesses cadaveric ligament attachment sites. There are fibrous, synovial, and fat tissues surrounding the surface of the ligament, and
243 244 245	research subjects had a great influence on both their and our results. <sup>18, 23</sup> Furthermore, most research on attachment sites visually assesses cadaveric ligament attachment sites. There are fibrous, synovial, and fat tissues surrounding the surface of the ligament, and results can vary widely, depending on how these tissues are treated and how the
243 244 245 246	research subjects had a great influence on both their and our results. <sup>18, 23</sup> Furthermore, most research on attachment sites visually assesses cadaveric ligament attachment sites. There are fibrous, synovial, and fat tissues surrounding the surface of the ligament, and results can vary widely, depending on how these tissues are treated and how the examiner defines the essential components of the ligament. In terms of ACL length, the
243 244 245 246 247	research subjects had a great influence on both their and our results. <sup>18, 23</sup> Furthermore, most research on attachment sites visually assesses cadaveric ligament attachment sites. There are fibrous, synovial, and fat tissues surrounding the surface of the ligament, and results can vary widely, depending on how these tissues are treated and how the examiner defines the essential components of the ligament. In terms of ACL length, the anteroposterior measurement varies according to the interpretation of the posterior
243 244 245 246 247 248	research subjects had a great influence on both their and our results. <sup>18, 23</sup> Furthermore, most research on attachment sites visually assesses cadaveric ligament attachment sites. There are fibrous, synovial, and fat tissues surrounding the surface of the ligament, and results can vary widely, depending on how these tissues are treated and how the examiner defines the essential components of the ligament. In terms of ACL length, the anteroposterior measurement varies according to the interpretation of the posterior boundary; taking into account our histological observations, the posterior boundary of

250 fossa, which may account for the smaller anteroposterior diameter in our study in

251 <u>comparison to other reports.</u>

There were a few reports about the posterior boundary of the ACL tibial footprint. 252Purnell et al. found the posterior attachment of the ACL lies on the tibial ridge in 253between the medial and lateral intercondylar tubercle<sup>26</sup>. Ferretti et al. reported that no 254ACL insertion was posterior to the posterior edge of lateral tibial eminence.<sup>6</sup> In this 255study, our visual and histological findings show that the ACL is attached to the medial 256257intercondylar tubercle in the posterior aspect, but not attached to the lateral intercondylar tubercle, intertubercular fossa, and the tibial ridge that Purnell et al. have 258259described. We believe the posterior border of the main fiber is attached more anteriorly than previously described. Hara et al. have reported there is a bare area on the posterior 260261side of the tibial attachment where no ligament exists at microscopic evaluation, and the intertubercular fossa we observed would correspond to this same area.<sup>9</sup> The posterior 262border of the ACL was bounded by the anterior border of the intertubercular fossa; 263264moreover, this approximately overlapped with the anterior border of the medial/lateral intercondylar tubercle as anatomical landmarks which could be referred at the 265arthroscopic orientation. 266267There are several reports in terms of anatomical landmarks anterior to the ACL

tibial attachement site. Recently, several studies about the intermeniscal ligament as the
anterior ACL tibial landmark was proposed.<sup>6, 17</sup> Kongcharoensombat et al. reported that
the transverse ligament was positioned at the anterior margin of the tibial ACL
footprint.<sup>17</sup> However, the transverse ligament was covered with fatty tissue and had
some anatomical variations, and was only found in 62.2-94.4%.<sup>1</sup> Berg et al. reported an

anterior bone bulge of the ACL attachment, named the Parson's knob, which was

confirmed at lateral X-ray of the knee at 30% of the knee joint.<sup>4</sup> We believe the anterior
ridge detailed in this report could be the same bony structure. Unlike the intermeniscal
ligament, the landmark directly indicates to the anterior boundary and can be confirmed
in almost every case.

278Moreover, we propose that the medial intercondylar eminence and anterior ridge 279are joined at their anteromedial edge, and these two bony landmarks form the anteromedial boundary of the ACL tibial footprint, which we termed the "L-shaped 280281ridge". Recent studies reported that graft obliquity on the coronal and saggital plane strongly influenced better anteroposterior-rotational knee stability.<sup>2, 19, 21, 32</sup> Also, Kato et 282283al. evaluated the stability of different femoral and tibial bone tunnel positions in single-bundle reconstruction, and they found that the AM-AM position for bone tunnel 284placement demonstrated optimal knee kinematics.<sup>16</sup> Furthermore, Plaweski et al. have 285286reported in a computer navigation study that previously described graft impingement on the intercondylar notch did not occur in the tibial tunnel placement within the 287anteromedial attachment site.<sup>25</sup> With that point in discussion, the tibial bone tunnel 288should be placed more anteromedially within the anatomical footprint, and we believe 289290this landmark we proposed is a good indicator for defining the anteromedial position of the tibial tunnel. 291292For the tibial tunnel creation, various anatomic reference landmarks, including the anterior border of PCL, posterior border of anterior horn of lateral meniscus, medial 293tibial eminence, and over-the-back ridge were used for anatomical tibial tunnel 294

295 <u>placement and</u> the two-tunnel arrangement, using distance from the ideal tunnel

- placement to the tibial tunnel as a point of comparison.<sup>5, 6, 10, 12, 14, 22, 35</sup> However,
- 297 because these landmarks have some variability in the distance between the reference

298	point and insertion point, it is difficult to endoscopically determine the bone tunnel
299	position with accurate reproducibility under this criterion, making the landmark
300	ineffective for anteromedial tibial tunnel placement. In this study, we suggested that the
301	anterior ridge approximately corresponds to the anterior boundary, the anterior horn of
302	lateral meniscus to the lateral boundary, and the anterior border of medial/lateral
303	intercondylar tubercle to the posterior boundary, respectively. These three landmarks, in
304	addition to the medial intercondylar eminence as the medial boundary, form a square
305	boundary for the placement of tibial tunnel, and the periphery of the tunnel positioned to
306	meet the "L-shaped ridge". We strongly believe these criteria based on bony/anatomical
307	landmarks are useful for creating tunnels on reproducible anatomical and functional
308	positions, regardless of morphological variations. Siebold et al. endorsed a similar
309	square model for the ACL tibial attachment site, defining the anterior aspect of the tibial
310	plateau and its surrounding border. <sup>28</sup> Although the definition of the surrounding
311	boundary may differ slightly, our concept corresponds directly with theirs.
312	This study has several limitations. Firstly, we were unable to provide a landmark in
313	determining the tunnel position arrangement of the AMB and PLB for a double-bundle
314	procedure. However, a narrower anteroposterior boundary was measured in this study
315	(average: 13.7 mm) than previously reported. If the conventional size of the tibial bone
316	tunnel is placed in the anteroposterior position, most of the hypothetical attachment site
317	could be covered. Secondly, many of the cases using 3D-CT image analysis had some
318	kind of pathological condition, which may have influenced the results. However, there
319	have been previous reports that the identification of bony landmarks is not affected after
320	ACL injury <sup>31</sup> , and strict measures were taken to select patients with no changes in their
321	tibial articular surface; thus, we consider these factors presented minimal influence on

- 322 the results. Thirdly, many of the subjects for the visual and histological study were
- 323 <u>embalmed geriatric cadavers. However, a strict selection process was implemented to</u>
- 324 choose patients with no arthrosis and detection of ligament degeneration. Because the
- 325 <u>objective of this study is to provide an evaluation of bony surface morphology, we</u>
- 326 <u>believe there is a low probability of these factors to influence our results.</u>

### 328 CONCLUSION

329

347

There was a bony prominence in the center of the tibial plateau that corresponds to the 330 ACL attachment site. Through macroscopic and microscopic evaluations combined with 3313D images, we clarified the presence of bony landmarks, including an anterior ridge on 332 333 the anterior boundary, a lateral groove on the lateral boundary, and intertubercular fossa 334 on the posterior boundary. The anterior ridge was palpable with a probe by slitting the 335anterior margin of the ligament, but the lateral groove and intertubercular fossa may not 336 be arthroscopically confirmed. However, we found that the anterior horn of the lateral 337 meniscus and the anterior border of the medial/lateral intercondylar tubercle can be considered the anatomical landmark of that boundary. Additionally, we have confirmed 338 that these landmarks can be arthroscopically identified and possibly serve as a useful 339 340 landmark for creating bone tunnels. Our research results have made the preoperative estimation of the size and 341342morphology of the tibial attachment site by CT images, and should be valuable information for preoperative planning of surgeries using CT-based navigation.<sup>30</sup> We 343 believe that our results could be used to enhance the arthroscopic assessment of tibial 344footprint and may help anatomical and functional tunnel creation, which is essential to 345346 the successful ACL reconstruction surgery.

#### 348 **REFERENCES**

349

Aydin AT, Ozenci AM, Ozcanli H, Ozdemir H, Urgüden M. The reference point to 350 1. 351measure the anterior intermeniscal ligament's thickness: an MRI study. Knee Surg 352Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2002;10(6):343-346. 353 2. Averza MA, Múscolo DL, Costa-Paz M, Makino A, Rondón L. Comparison of sagittal obliquity of the reconstructed anterior cruciate ligament with native anterior 354cruciate ligament using magnetic resonance imaging. Arthroscopy. 3552003;19(3):257-261. 356 357 Bedi A, Maak T, Musahl V, Citak M, O'Loughlin PF, Choi D, Pearle AD. Effect of 3. tibial tunnel position on stability of the knee after anterior cruciate ligament 358 359 reconstruction: is the tibial tunnel position most important? Am J Sports Med. 360 2011;39(2):366-373. Berg EE. Parsons' knob (tuberculum intercondylare tertium). A guide to tibial 3614. anterior cruciate ligament insertion. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1993;(292):229-231. 362Edwards A, Bull AM, Amis AA. The attachments of the anteromedial and 363 5. posterolateral fibre bundles of the anterior cruciate ligament: Part 1: tibial 364 365 attachment. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2007;15(12):1414-1421. 366 6. Ferretti M, Doca D, Ingham SM, Cohen M, Fu FH. Bony and soft tissue landmarks 367 of the ACL tibial insertion site: an anatomical study. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol 368 Arthrosc. 2012;20(1):62-68. Ferretti M, Ekdahl M, Shen W, Fu FH. Osseous landmarks of the femoral 369 7. attachment of the anterior cruciate ligament: an anatomic study. Arthroscopy. 370 3712007;23(11):1218-1225.

- 372Hatayama K, Terauchi M, Saito K, Higuchi H, Yanagisawa S, Takagishi K. The 8. 373 importance of tibial tunnel placement in anatomic double-bundle anterior cruciate 374 ligament reconstruction. Arthroscopy. 2013;29(6):1072-1078. 375 9. Hara K, Mochizuki T, Sekiya I, Yamaguchi K, Akita K, Muneta T. Anatomy of 376 normal human anterior cruciate ligament attachments evaluated by divided small 377 bundles. Am J Sports Med. 2009;37(12):2386-2391. 10. Heming JF, Rand J, Steiner ME. Anatomical limitations of transtibial drilling in 378 anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Am J Sports Med. 379 2007;35(10):1708-1715. 380 381 11. Hussein M, van Eck CF, Cretnik A, Dinevski D, Fu FH. Prospective randomized 382 clinical evaluation of conventional single-bundle. anatomic single-bundle. and 383 anatomic double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: 281 cases with 3-384 to 5-year follow-up. Am J Sports Med. 2012;40(3):512-520. 12. Hutchinson MR, Bae TS. Reproducibility of anatomic tibial landmarks for anterior 385cruciate ligament reconstructions. Am J Sports Med. 2001;29(6):777-780. 386 13. Inderhaug E, Strand T, Fischer-Bredenbeck C, Solheim E. Effect of a too posterior 387 388 placement of the tibial tunnel on the outcome 10-12 years after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using the 70-degree tibial guide. Knee Surg Sports 389 390 Traumatol Arthrosc. 2013 [Epub ahead of print] 39114. Iriuchishima T, Ingham SJ, Tajima G, Horaguchi T, Saito A, Tokuhashi Y, Van 392 Houten AH, Aerts MM, Fu FH. Evaluation of the tunnel placement in the 393 anatomical double-bundle ACL reconstruction: a cadaver study. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2010;18(9):1226-1231. 394 395 15. Iwahashi T, Shino K, Nakata K, Otsubo H, Suzuki T, Amano H, Nakamura N.
  - 20

- Direct anterior cruciate ligament insertion to the femur assessed by histology and
  3-dimensional volume-rendered computed tomography. Arthroscopy. 2010;26(9
  Suppl):S13-20.
- 16. Kato Y, Maeyama A, Lertwanich P, Wang JH, Ingham SJ, Kramer S, Martins CQ,
- 400 Smolinski P, Fu FH. Biomechanical comparison of different graft positions for
- 401 single-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Knee Surg Sports
- 402 Traumatol Arthrosc. 2013;21(4):816-823.
- 403 17. Kongcharoensombat W, Ochi M, Abouheif M, Adachi N, Ohkawa S, Kamei G,
- Okuhara A, Shibuya H, Niimoto T, Nakasa T, Nakamae A, Deie M. The transverse
  ligament as a landmark for tibial sagittal insertions of the anterior cruciate ligament:
  a cadaveric study. Arthroscopy. 2011;27(10):1395-1399.
- 407 18. Kopf S, Pombo MW, Szczodry M, Irrgang JJ, Fu FH. Size variability of the human
  408 anterior cruciate ligament insertion sites. Am J Sports Med. 2011;39(1):108-113.
- 409 19. Lee MC, Seong SC, Lee S, Chang CB, Park YK, Jo H, Kim CH. Vertical femoral
- 410 tunnel placement results in rotational knee laxity after anterior cruciate ligament
  411 reconstruction. Arthroscopy. 2007;23(7):771-778.
- 412 20. Lim HC, Yoon YC, Wang JH, Bae JH. Anatomical versus non-anatomical single
- 413 bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a cadaveric study of comparison
- 414 of knee stability. Clin Orthop Surg. 2012;4(4):249-255.
- 415 21. Mall NA, Matava MJ, Wright RW, Brophy RH. Relation between anterior cruciate
- 416 ligament graft obliquity and knee laxity in elite athletes at the National Football
- 417 League combine. Arthroscopy. 2012;28(8):1104-1113.
- 418 22. Morgan CD, Kalman VR, Grawl DM. Definitive landmarks for reproducible tibial
- 419 tunnel placement in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Arthroscopy.

## 420 1995;11(3):275-288.

421	23.	Papachristou G, Sourlas J, Magnissalis E, Plessas S, Papachristou K. ACL
422		reconstruction and the implication of its tibial attachment for stability of the joint:
423		anthropometric and biomechanical study. Int Orthop. 2007;31(4):465-470.
424	24.	Pinczewski LA, Salmon LJ, Jackson WF, von Bormann RB, Haslam PG, Tashiro S.
425		Radiological landmarks for placement of the tunnels in single-bundle
426		reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2008
427		90(2):172-179.
428	25.	Plaweski S, Rossi J, Merloz P, Julliard R. Analysis of anatomic positioning in
429		computer-assisted and conventional anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction.
430		Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. 2011;97(6S):S80-5.
431	26.	Purnell ML, Larson AI, Clancy W. Anterior cruciate ligament insertions on the tibia
432		and femur and their relationships to critical bony landmarks using high-resolution
433		volume-rendering computed tomography. Am J Sports Med.
434		2008;36(11):2083-2090.
435	27.	Shino K, Suzuki T, Iwahashi T, Mae T, Nakamura N, Nakata K, Nakagawa S. The
436		resident's ridge as an arthroscopic landmark for anatomical femoral tunnel drilling
437		in ACL reconstruction. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.
438		2010;18(9):1164-1168
439	28.	Siebold R, Ellert T, Metz S, Metz J. Tibial insertions of the anteromedial and
440		posterolateral bundles of the anterior cruciate ligament: morphometry, arthroscopic
441		landmarks, and orientation model for bone tunnel placement. Arthroscopy.
442		2008;24(2):154-161
443	29.	Tállay A, Lim MH, Bartlett J. Anatomical study of the human anterior cruciate

444		ligament stump's tibial insertion footprint. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.
445		2008;16(8):741-746.
446	30.	Tensho K, Kodaira H, Yasuda G, Yoshimura Y, Narita N, Morioka S, Kato H, Saito
447		N. Anatomic double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction, using
448		CT-based navigation and fiducial markers. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.
449		2011;19(3):378-383.
450	31.	van Eck CF, Morse KR, Lesniak BP, Kropf EJ, Tranovich MJ, van Dijk CN, Fu FH.
451		Does the lateral intercondylar ridge disappear in ACL deficient patients? Knee
452		Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2010;18(9):1184-1188.
453	32.	Voos JE, Musahl V, Maak TG, Wickiewicz TL, Pearle AD. Comparison of tunnel
454		positions in single-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstructions using computer
455		navigation. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2010;18(9):1282-1289.
456	33.	Yasuda K, Kondo E, Ichiyama H, Tanabe Y, Tohyama H. Clinical evaluation of
457		anatomic double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction procedure using
458		hamstring tendon grafts: comparisons among 3 different procedures. Arthroscopy.
459		2006;22(3):240-251.
460	34.	Zantop T, Diermann N, Schumacher T, Schanz S, Fu FH, Petersen W. Anatomical
461		and nonanatomical double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction:
462		importance of femoral tunnel location on knee kinematics.Am J Sports Med.
463		2008;36(4):678-685.
464	35.	Zantop T, Wellmann M, Fu FH, Petersen W. Tunnel positioning of anteromedial and
465		posterolateral bundles in anatomic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction:
466		anatomic and radiographic findings. Am J Sports Med. 2008;36(1):65-72.
467		

### 468 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

1	C	$\cap$
4	n	.7

470	Figure 1.
471	3D images of right knee tibial plateau. (A) Axial view and expansion of the central part.
472	(B) Antero-superior view of tibial plateau and expansion of the central part.
473	Demarcations: a, lateral intercondylar tubercle; b, medial intercondylar tubercle; c,
474	medial intercondylar ridge; d, anterior ridge; e, ACL tibial ridge; White dot circle, bony
475	prominence of ACL footprint; Red dot circle, lateral groove; Gray dot circle,
476	intertubercular fossa.
477	
478	Figure 2.
479	The 3D image measurements were performed on a tibial axial plane in a right knee
480	based on the morphology of the each bony landmark. (A) Demarcations: A1, distance
481	from anterior ridge to anterior margin of intertubercular fossa; A2, distance from
482	anterior ridge to ACL tibial ridge; B1, distance from medial intercondylar ridge to
483	medial margin of lateral groove; B2, distance from medial intercondylar ridge to lateral
484	margin of lateral groove. (B) Demarcations: C, length of anterior ridge; D1/2, length
485	and width of lateral groove.
486	
487	Figure 3.
488	Gross appearance and 3D-CT image of the posterosuperior view of tibial ACL insertion
489	of left knee. (A) ACL fiber was attached to the medial intercondylar tubercle(a), but not
490	attached to lateral intercondylar tubercle(b), ACL tibial ridge(c), bottom of
491	intertubercular space corresponding to fossa (white dot circle), respectively. (B) The

492 bony landmarks were observed in 3D CT image.

493

494	Figure 4.
495	Histology of tibial ACL insertion (H&E stain). (A) Sagittal section of anterior ridge
496	(original magnification $\times$ 4); the presence of ridge at anterior border of ACL (black
497	arrow). (B) Coronal section of lateral groove (original magnification ×4); ACL was
498	attach to the bottom of the groove (arrowheads) which adjacent to the anterior horn of
499	lateral meniscus.
500	
501	Figure 5.
502	There were fat, blood vessel, and fibrous tissue; however, there was no ligament tissue
503	between the lateral and medial intercondylar tubercle. (A) Coronal section of
504	intertubercular fossa in 3D-CT image. (B-C) Histology of tibial ACL insertion (H&E
505	stain) (original magnification ×4). (B) Slice of tip of medial/lateral intercondylar
506	tubercle. (C) Slice of midbody of medial/lateral intercondylar tubercle. (D) Slice of
507	bottom of medial/lateral intercondylar tubercle.
508	

### **Table 1**

### 510 Patients' Demographic Data of CT Evaluation

No. of knees (n=60)			
ACL rupture	31		
Osteochondritis dissecans of femur	7		
Acute/recurrence patellar dislocation	11		
Soft tissue tumor around knee joint	3		
Fracture around knee joint	5		
Avascular necrosis of medial femoral condyle	3		
Mean Age (range) (yr)	28.8±15.0 (13-70)		
Male/Female	35/25		
Right/Left	23/37		
Weight (kg)/Height (cm)	58/168		

## 512 <u>Table 2</u>

	Macroscopic evaluation	Tactile evaluation
Anterior	Border obscured	Small ridge was palpable
		with a slit in the ligament
Lateral	Adjacent to lateral meniscus	Bony structure not confirmed
	Ligament fiber was attached to	(without resection of lateral meniscus)
	the meniscoid surface	
	(border obscured)	
Posterior	Border obscured	Ligament was attached to the tip of the
	(without resection of soft tissue)	medial intercondylar tubercle, not
		attached to lateral intercondylar
		tubercle and intertubercular space (with
		resection of soft tissue)

### 513 Summary of Macroscopic and Tactile Evaluation

### **<u>Table 3</u>**

516 Morphology of Bony Prominence and Incidence of Three Bony Landm
---------------------------------------------------------------------

	Incidence		
	(%)		
n=60			
Morphology of bony prominence			
Oval	58.3	(35/60)	
Triangular	41.6	(25/60)	
Presence of bony landmark			
Anterior ridge	96.6	(58/60)	
Lateral groove	100	(58/60)	
Intertubercular fossa	96.6	(58/60)	

## 518 **<u>Table 4</u>**

# 519 Measurement of ACL Tibial Bony Landmark

Massuramont	Average ±	Range	95% confidence	Figure
wieasui ement	SD* (mm)	(mm)	interval (mm)	correlation
n=58				
Distance from anterior ridge to				
anterior margin of intertubercular	$13.5\pm1.7$	10.7 - 18.1	13.08 - 13.9	Figure 2 (A1)
fossa (A1)				
Distance from anterior ridge to	17.2 + 2.1	16.9 - 17.8		
ACL tibial ridge (A2)	$17.2 \pm 2.1$	14.3 - 22.7		Figure 2 (A2)
n=60				
Distance from medial intercondylar				
ridge to medial margin of lateral	9.1 ±1.6	5.8 - 13.3	8.7 - 9.5	Figure 2 (B1)
groove (B1)				
Distance from medial intercondylar				
ridge to lateral margin of lateral	$11.7 \pm 1.7$	8.6 - 16.8	11.3 – 12.2	Figure 2 (B2)
groove (B2)				
n=58				
Length of anterior ridge (C)	$10.9 \pm 2.8$	7.2 - 14.9	10.2 - 11.7	Figure 2 (C)
n=60				
Length of lateral groove (D1)	$10.3 \pm 1.9$	6.2 - 14.9	9.8 - 10.8	Figure 2 (D1)
Width of lateral groove (D2)	5.3 ±1.1	3.5 - 8.9	5.0 - 5.6	Figure 2 (D2)

520 \*SD: standard deviation.

### 522 <u>Table 5</u>

### 523 ACL Tibial Insertion Size and Anatomical Landmark by Previous Study

	Mean length $\pm$ SD*	Mean width $\pm$ SD*	Anatomical landmark for
Author	(mm)	(mm)	tunnel positioning
	(range)	(range)	
Siebold et al. <sup>28</sup>	14±2mm	10±2mm	Square model:
	(9-18)	(7-15)	anterior/posterior border of
			ACL remnant,
			antero-medial/-lateral
			border rim of articular
			surface
Tállay et al. <sup>29</sup>	19.5±2.6 mm	10.3±1.9mm	Distance from anterior
	(14.5-24.7)	(7.1-15.1)	edge of tibia (AM:
			17.2±4.1 mm, PL:
			25.6±14.8mm)
Morgan et al. <sup>22</sup>	18 mm	10mm	Distance from PCL
	(14-21)	(8-12)	(center: 6-7mm)
Ferretti et al. <sup>6</sup>	18.1±2.8 mm	10.7±1.9mm	Anterior: intermeniscal
	(13.7-22.1)	(7.4-13.1)	ligament
			Posterior: medial tibial
			eminence
Edwards et al. <sup>5</sup>	18±2 mm	Not described	Distance from the over the
	(11-23)		back ridge (AM: 17±2 mm
			anterior, PL: 10±1 mm
			anterior)
Heming et al. <sup>10</sup>	18.5 mm	10.3 mm	Tibial notch of PCL
			Center: 15.0 mm anterior
This study	13.5 ±1.7 mm	11.7±1.7mm	Anteromedial: L-shaped
	(10.7-18.1)	(8.6-16.8)	ridge
			Lateral: anterior horn of
			lateral meniscus
			Posterior: medial/lateral

intercondylar tubercle









